



Breaking News

CONTRIBUTING WRITERS | OPINION | AMERICANS FOR INNOVATION | AUGUST 19, 2016

Paul Ceglia releases full statement that Bloomberg morphed into tabloid snark

Received death threats after **Secret Service forensics proved his contract with Zuckerberg was genuine**; plans to sue the United States in international court for human rights violations

Here is the Bloomberg article written after receiving Paul Ceglia's statement below: [Bob Van Voris. \(Aug. 04, 2010\)](#). Paul Ceglia: The Man Who Owns 84% of Facebook? *Bloomberg*.¹

Mr. Ceglia's request was simple: "If you could consider publishing my entire statement that I gave to Bloomberg, rather than the tabloid version they put out, I would really appreciate that."

Note: Mr. Ceglia asked Bloomberg to provide a link to this full statement, but they did not honor that request, and exploited the information anyway. We wonder if Mr. Van Voris has ever experienced persecution. Since Van Voris is exploiting the victim, obviously not. This is the conduct of an abuser.

Editorial Question: Since Bloomberg chooses to be a propaganda organ for Facebook and the judicial-corporate Cartel underpinning them, don't they *lose* their press privileges guaranteed under the First Amendment? Those privileges extend only to a *Free Press*, not a globalist propaganda rag sheet.

Here is the full statement from Paul Ceglia (with no editing):

Paul Ceglia Statement Aug. 19, 2016

Everyone including our dog is happy and in good health. It has been a difficult and scary year for Iasia and I but faith in God has seen us through and a determination to get justice has inspired me to keep going. The children remained largely oblivious to it all, which I am glad for. We miss talking to our family and friends but hope soon that shall all be over. I understand that I have written far too much here for your main article. I would appreciate it though if you could at least provide a link to what I've written in its entirety. If you have other questions you would like to ask I'll answer the ones I can.

¹ <http://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2010-08-04/paul-ceglia-the-man-who-owns-84-percent-of-facebook>

I am seeking Political Asylum in a country that has expressed real interest in my case and we are on track to make an announcement soon.

I have been fortunate to find a great team of lawyers, experienced in international human rights. I am going to sue the United States for Human Rights violations at The Hague, under some of their lesser known provisions.

We left the US after receiving what turned out to be a very credible death threat. I was assured in a letter that I was just about to be rearrested with newly invented charges, put back in jail and killed before my trial ever started, to avoid the risk of loss and the public exposure of In-Q-Tel's involvement in Facebook.

The letter was on my mind as it seemed to contain information that only someone in the know could write. I believe now I know whose conscience got the better of them, and I am eternally grateful to them for having the courage to warn me.

Days after the letter, friends that had helped me financially to have the forensics on the contract completed were getting subpoenas and threatened with prosecution themselves. They were either going to claim to be victims in front of this new Grand Jury or they were going to be considered accomplices. Friends though they are, I wouldn't expect anyone to sign up for a criminal trial to defend my honor.

More importantly, those newly fabricated charges made me realize that the letter really was written by someone that knew in advance what these criminals, whom I refer to with little affection as the Goldman Sachs mafia, were capable of doing to protect their investments. Seeing it all happening, I felt I had no one in government I could trust to protect me from this fate and no friends or family I would want subjected to the intimidation tactics of the Marshall's service, who are I believe, unwittingly being used as errand boys for Facebook, In-Q-Tel and the Goldman Cartel. An opportunity presented itself, so I MacGyver'd together some things together and started running for my life.

I'll be delighted to be bringing this suit in international court where the completely biased media coverage I have often received in the US, will be forced to discuss the real issues in this case, rather than to create more tabloid stories that focus on me buying beer for my high school classmates 25 years ago or that I was caught after picking mushrooms in a field in Texas in the 90's.

I am consistently portrayed as a scammer and yet, even if that were all true, which it is not, Ernesto Miranda was a bonafide real creep. Certainly far worse of a human being than I could ever even be eluded to be, yet his case and the press of his day focused on his rights and the importance of protecting them. I've never been an alter boy, nor ever claimed to be, but I am honest and a kind person that cares a great deal for my family, friends and community. I also am the man that started the company that Mark Zuckerberg worked for. I also funded Facebook's start and developed the search engine that runs it. All this is ignored along with decades of a track record demonstrating my integrity to misconstrue age old facts into tabloid gossip.

Growing up in several countries let me see patriotism in great variety. The IRA in Ireland to Bump the Dumper's on horseback in Allegany County. The US always stood out to me as a child. It's people unique in it's dedication to our rights. One phrase in particular that in my young adult mind really defined the beauty of being American:

"I disagree with what you say, but I will defend to the death your right to say it"

That to me is the quintessence of being American. Yet it is a statement that seems to languish as a defense of the 1st Amendment rather than a philosophy that encompasses all of our unalienable rights.

Some of your readers may surely think my lawsuit against Facebook was bogus, but if they consider themselves Americans, then they should defend to the death my right under the constitution of the United States to have a jury of my peers make that decision. Some one sided press and a crooked judge are no substitute for a 7th amendment trial by jury. Only a Jury gets all the facts.

If I could hope one thing for myself and my future, it would be that I one day get the opportunity to do for the US, what Miranda did for us all those years ago. Only, in this instance, protecting the rights of the average American against the tyranny of unfettered capitalism that Pope Francis has recently warned us of. It is no news to anyone that big money has bought the seat of every politician in America. Since those hired hands choose the federal judges, it is no surprise to see that when called upon, they are just as eager to commit treason on behalf of their masters as their counterparts in the executive and legislative branches.

Most of your readers could view this one web page and conclude that Goldman Sachs clearly has bought control here in Europe - <http://www.independent.co.uk/news/business/analysis-and-features/what-price-the-new-democracy-goldman-sachs-conquers-europe-6264091.html> yet they are unable and unwilling to see that same control exerted here.

Each and every one of the judges, Leslie Foschio, Richard Arcara, and three judges in the Second Circuit, Jose A. Cabraras, Reena Raggi and Guido Calabresi all own substantial Facebook stock and Cabrara is the rubber stamp FISA court judge, whose interests and connections are surely well entrenched with In-Q-Tel. In my case they have colluded to deny me fundamental rights that have been around since the Magna Carta. In the New York State court, where apparently the Constitution still lives, they ruled that there was more than enough evidence for my lawyers to take the case, citing my positive polygraph and the hundreds of pages of forensic tests conducted as proof that a lawyer could reasonably rely upon the contracts authenticity. How can this verdict exist simultaneously with what happened in Federal Court, where the rules of evidence are supposedly etched in stone?

Judge Foschio, then Arcara authorized themselves to weigh the credibility of experts. They authorized themselves to be the finder of fact. They claimed not to believe the former head of the Secret Service's Forensic division, Larry Stewart, but found the "expert" testimony of one of his former urine analysts, Gerald LaPorte, to be compelling evidence, even though he has no scientific evidence or reproducible results to show for a test he conveniently invented just for Facebook. It is a blatant violation of not only the US Constitution but of internationally accepted Human rights.

I imagine that many Americans would rise up in protest if I were to have been tried criminally by a judge who refused to provide me with a Jury. Then again, maybe not, maybe we have all become so apathetic and accustomed to our domination by the ruling class that there is truly nothing that will get us off the couch. It seems we need reminding that The Amendments aren't in some numerical order of importance. The 7th amendment isn't less important than the sixth. The First isn't the most important. I would argue that the 1st Amendment is toothless if not for the considerable bite given to it by the 7th Amendments right to a Jury trial. Without that right in civil cases, judges, as they have done throughout history, can create immunity for the ruling class. It's why the founding fathers pushed for its inclusion. They remembered the Star Chamber in England.

I have been denied justice in the US Courts at the hands of those entrusted to defend my rights by their own oath. I was denied that right though I overwhelmingly had authenticated the contract under the Federal Rules. There is no Billionaire exception to Federal Rule 901.

While the judges betrayed their oaths of office, the US attorney's office clearly violated statute 42 USC 1985, as they actively colluded with Gibson Dunn and Facebook to intimidate and deprive me of my rights. A US citizen should never have to face a criminal trial for having sued someone, while suing them.

If after a trial is concluded a US attorney wants to bring perjury charges from the same district where the lawsuit was heard, well it is their right to do so. But intervening in the middle of a case, from a different district, where the criminal charges stem from the central issues yet to be resolved in that civil case, is Banana Republic... more accurately... Goldman Republic tactics that cannot be tolerated if a true democracy is to survive.

In my case, the arrest warrant (for the first time ever using mail and wire fraud for filing documents in a civil case) came from a different district where the US attorney Preet Bharara, not only worked for the same firm defending Facebook but also where those Facebook lawyers, Orin Snyder and Alex Southwell, worked for years as prosecutors. A clear abuse of power, giving even the casual observer a reason to raise an eyebrow.

Add to it a new judge, Vernon Broderick, that while my case was ongoing, worked as a senior partner for yet another 'stop at nothing' lawfirm that counts Facebook as one of it's largest clients, and only a coward pretends not to see blatant conflict. Why does a Senior Partner making that kind of money, leave that firm to become a Federal Court judge making less than half his former income?

I'll be content to let the International Court of Justice and Human Rights decide the answers to those questions.

It's time an international spotlight was placed on the blatant corruption in my case. Blatant corruption the US media largely pretends not to comprehend.

I've taken a page out of Edward Snowden's playbook and am using TOR over Tails to ensure my continued anonymity. I don't need men with bags of money trying to influence any more decisions against me.

I would be glad for anyone wishing to contact me to email me or my wife Iasia at stopthegoldmansachsmafia@hushmail.com

Those interested in helping us fight can send Bitcoin to the address below-

35yM1C5j96iMgH8uDKrXX8mVjsqdcAzEe

—END OF PAUL CEGLIA STATEMENT—

Godspeed Ceglia family.