The New York Times

http://nyti.ms/1lxLcHY

POLITICS

File Says N.S.A. Found Way to Replace Email Program

By CHARLIE SAVAGE NOV. 19, 2015



The National Security Agency headquarters at Fort Meade, Maryland in 2010. Credit Saul Loeb/Agence France-Presse — Getty Images

WASHINGTON — When the National Security Agency's bulk collection of records about **Americans**' emails came to light in 2013, the government conceded the **program's** existence but said it had shut down the effort in December 2011 for "operational and resource reasons."

While that particular secret program stopped, newly disclosed documents show that the N.S.A. had found a way to create a functional

equivalent. The shift has permitted the agency to continue analyzing social links revealed by **Americans'** email patterns, but without collecting the data in bulk from American telecommunications companies — and with less oversight by the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court.

The disclosure comes as a sister program that collects **Americans'** phone records in bulk is set to end this month. Under a law enacted in June, known as the U.S.A. Freedom Act, the program will be replaced with a system in which the N.S.A. can still gain access to the data to hunt for associates of terrorism suspects, but the bulk logs will stay in the hands of phone companies.

The newly disclosed information about the email records program is contained in a report by the **N.S.A.**'s inspector general that was obtained by The New York Times through a lawsuit under the Freedom of Information Act. One passage lists four reasons that the N.S.A. decided to end the email program and purge previously collected data. Three were redacted, but the fourth was uncensored. It said that "other authorities can satisfy certain foreign intelligence requirements" that the bulk email records program "had been designed to meet."

The report explained that there were two other legal ways to get such data. One was the collection of bulk data that had been gathered in other countries, where the **N.S.A.'s** activities are largely not subject to regulation by the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act and oversight by the intelligence court. Because

of the way the Internet operates, domestic data is often found on fiber optic cables abroad.

The N.S.A. had long barred analysts from using **Americans'** data that had been swept up abroad, but in November 2010 it changed that rule, documents leaked by Edward J. Snowden have shown. The

inspector general report cited that change to the **N.S.A.'s** internal procedures.

The other replacement source for the data was collection under the FISA Amendments Act of 2008, which permits warrantless surveillance on domestic soil that targets specific noncitizens abroad, including their new or stored emails to or from Americans.

"Thus," the report said, these two sources "assist in the identification of terrorists communicating with individuals in the United States, which addresses one of the original reasons for establishing" the bulk email records program.

Timothy Edgar, a privacy official in the Office of the Director of National Intelligence in both the George W. Bush and Obama administrations who now teaches at Brown University, said the explanation filled an important gap in the still-emerging history of post-Sept. 11, 2001, surveillance.

"The document makes it clear that N.S.A. is able to get all the Internet metadata it needs through foreign **collection**," he said. "The change it made to its procedures in 2010 allowed it to exploit metadata involving Americans. Once that change was made, it was no longer worth the effort to collect Internet metadata inside the United States, in part because doing so requires

N.S.A. to deal with" restrictions by the intelligence court.

Observers have previously suggested that the **N.S.A.'s** November 2010 rules change on the use of **Americans'** data gathered abroad might be connected to the December 2011 end of the bulk email records program. Marcy Wheeler of the national security blog Emptywheel, for example, has argued that this was probably what happened.

And officials, who spoke on the condition of anonymity to discuss

sensitive collection programs, have said the rules change and the FISA Amendments Act helped make the email records program less valuable relative to its expense and trouble. The newly disclosed documents amount to official confirmation.

The N.S.A. and the Office of the Director of National Intelligence did not respond to a request for comment.

After the Sept. 11 attacks, Mr. Bush secretly authorized the N.S.A. to conduct surveillance and data-collection activities without obeying the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act, in a program called Stellarwind.

The email records component caused many internal headaches. In 2004, the Justice Department questioned its legality, contributing to a confrontation in the hospital room of Attorney General John Ashcroft and the threat of a mass resignation.

Mr. Bush then halted the program until the intelligence court began issuing secret orders authorizing it.

The court limited the categories of data that the N.S.A. was permitted to collect and restricted how it could gain access to the data. After violations of those limits were revealed in 2009, the N.S.A. suspended the program until mid-2010, only to end it the next year.

Follow the New York Times's politics and Washington coverage on Facebook and Twitter, and sign up for the First Draft politics newsletter.

A version of this article appears in print on November 20, 2015, on page A4 of the New York edition with the headline: File Says N.S.A. Found Way to Replace Email Program .

© 2016 The New York Times Company Reproduced for educational purposes only.

US

NSA Declassifies Inspector General Reports About Defunct Bulk E-mail Metadata Program By CHARLIE SAVAGE NOV. 19, 2015

In response to a <u>Freedom of Information Act lawsuit</u> by The New York Times, the National Security Agency has released these documents. They largely consist of inspector general reports related to the **NSA's defunct bulk e-**mail records collection program. The program began as part of the Bush administration's response to the Sept. 11, 2001, terrorist attacks. In the spring of 2004, its legality was a central part of a famous incident in which Bush officials confronted each other in the hospital room of Attorney General John Ashcroft. That July, the Justice Department persuaded the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court to begin issuing orders authorizing the bulk records collection under a disputed interpretation of a provision of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act permitting the installation of pen register/trap & trace devices, which collect metadata — information showing who contacted whom and when, but not the content of what they said. The NSA shuttered the program in December 2011. Its existence came to light in the summer of 2013 as part of the leaks by the former intelligence contractor Edward J. Snowden. **RELATED ARTICLE**

See following pages.

© 2016 The New York Times Company Reproduced for educational purposes only.

U.S. Department of Justice



United States Attorney
Southern District of New York

86 Chambers Street New York, New York 10007

November 10, 2015

By Electronic Mail

David E. McCraw, Esq.
Jeremy A. Kutner, Esq.
The New York Times Company
620 Eighth Avenue
New York, NY 10018

E-mail: mccrad@nytimes.com

jeremy.kutner@nytimes.com

Re: The New York Times Co. and Charlie Savage v. National Security Agency,

15 Civ. 2383 (KBF)

Dear David and Jeremy:

This Office represents the National Security Agency ("NSA"), the defendant in the above-referenced matter. Pursuant to the Scheduling Order, dated May 15, 2015, NSA has completed its review and processing of the attached documents. NSA is releasing 10 documents with redactions. Information has been redacted from these documents pursuant to 5 U.S.C. §§ 552(b)(1) and (b)(3). Each redacted document being released has been marked with the applicable FOIA exemption or exemptions.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

PREET BHARARA

United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York

By: /s/ Andrew E. Krause

ANDREW E. KRAUSE

Assistant United States Attorney Telephone: (212) 637-2769

Facsimile: (212) 637-2786

E-mail: andrew.krause@usdoj.gov

Attachments



TOP SECRET//COMINT//REL TO USA, I NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY **CENTRAL SECURITY SERVICE**

FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755-6000

November 30, 2009

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

The Honorable Silvestre Reves Chairman, Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence United States House of Representatives H-405, The Capitol Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Reyes:

(U//FOUO) The Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act Amendments Act of 2008 (FAA) authorizes the NSA Inspector General to assess the Agency's compliance with procedures for targeting certain persons outside the United States, other than United States persons. Except as otherwise stated, I have no reason to believe that any intelligence activities of the National Security Agency during the period 1 September 2008 through 31 August 2009 were unlawful.

(U/FOUC) My office reviews the collection, processing, and reporting of data at least quarterly. Incidents involving compliance with procedures for targeting certain persons outside the United States, other than United States persons, and incidents involving minimization of United States person information are reported to the OIG as they occur and quarterly. Each incident is evaluated against the targeting and minimization procedures set forth in the FAA and in NSA (b)(1)directives. (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (S//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY) In compliance with the targeting and minimization procedures of §702 of the FAA, NSA/CSS disseminated intelligence reports between FAA implementation on 1 September 2008 and 31 August 2009. Of the disseminations. reports contained a reference to a United States person identity. Additionally, NSA released names of U.S. identities in response to customer requests. -(TS//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY) During this reporting period. valid foreign targets outside the United States at the time of tasking were later suspected or confirmed to be in the (b)(1) United States. L. 86-36 B USC 798 (D)(3)-30 USC 3024(i) -(TS//SL/REL_TO USA, FVEY) We found and reported instances of §702 targeting or minimization mistakes to the President's Intelligence Oversight Board through the Assistant to the Secretary of Defense for Intelligence Oversight: target selectors had been tasked under an incorrect §702 certification category software malfunctions had caused unintended collection foreign intelligence targets had been incorrectly tasked for §702 collection (b)(1) TOP SECRET//COMINT//REL TO USA, FVE

TOP SECRET//COMINT//REL TO USA, FVEY

	target w s later found to h ve U.S. citizenship
•	There were delays in removing the t rget selectors from collection systems and
	dela s in purging unauthorized collection from NSA databases.

(U) Action was taken to correct the mist kes and processes were reviewed and adjusted to reduce the risk of unauthorized acquisition and improper retention of U.S. person communications.

(U/TOUO) The Office of Inspector General continues to exercise oversight of Agency intelligence activities.

(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

GEORGE ELLARD
Inspector General

Copy Furnished:
The Honorable Peter Hoekstra
Ranking Member, Permanent Select
Committee on Intelligence

TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN

NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY/CENTRAL SECURITY SERVICE



INSPECTOR GENERAL REPORT

-(TS//SI//NF) Report on	the Audit of NS	A Controls to Comply
with the Foreign Into	elligence Surve	illance Court Order
Regarding Pen Reg	ister and Trap	
		(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

DERIVED FROM: NSA/CSS Manual 1-52 DATED: 08 January 2007 DECLASSIFY ON: -20320108

-TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN-

TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN

(U) OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

(U) Chartered by the Director, NSA/Chief, CSS, the Office of the Inspector General (OIG) conducts audits, and investigations and inspections. It's mission is to ensure the integrity, efficiency, and effectiveness of NSA/CSS operations, provide intelligence oversight, protect against fraud, waste, and mismanagement of resources, and ensure that NSA/CSS activities are conducted in compliance with the law, executive orders, and regulations. The OIG also serves as ombudsman, assisting NSA/CSS employees, civilian and military.

(U) AUDITS

(U) The audit function provides independent assessment of programs and organizations. Performance audits evaluate the effectiveness and efficiency of entities and programs and assesses whether program objectives are being met and whether operations comply with law and regulations. Financial audits determine the accuracy of an entity's financial statements. All audits are conducted in accordance with standards established by the Comptroller General of the United States.

(U) INVESTIGATIONS AND SPECIAL INQUIRIES

(U) The OIG administers a system for receiving and acting upon requests for assistance or complaints (including anonymous tips) about fraud, waste and mismanagement. Investigations and Special Inquiries may be undertaken as a result of such requests, complaints, at the request of management, as the result of irregularities that surface during inspections and audits, or at the initiative of the Inspector General.

(U) FIELD INSPECTIONS

(U) The inspection function consists of organizational and functional reviews undertaken as part of the OIG's annual plan or by management request. Inspections yield accurate, up-to-date information on the effectiveness and efficiency of entities and programs, along with an assessment of compliance with law and regulations. The Office of Field Inspections also partners with Inspectors General of the Service Cryptologic Elements to conduct joint inspections of consolidated cryptologic facilities.

-TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN-



OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY CENTRAL SECURITY SERVICE

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

TO: DISTRIBUTION

SUBJECT: (TS//SI//NF) Advisory Report on the Audit of NSA Controls to Comply with the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court Order Regarding Pen Register and Trap and Trace Devices —ACTION MEMORANDUM	
1. (TS//SI//NF) This advisory report summarizes results of testing by the Office of the Inspector General in support of the Audit of NSA Controls to Comply with the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court Order Regarding Pen Register and Trap and Trace Devices (b)(3)-P.L. 86-3	36
2. (U//EQUO) We determined that querying controls were adequate to provide reasonable assurance of compliance with the terms of the Order.	
Based on our review, no management response is required for this report. 3. (U//FOU) To discuss this report further, please contact on 963 0922(s) or by a mail at	
4. (U) We appreciate the courtesy and cooperation extended to the audit team throughout the review. (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	

GEORGE ELLARD Inspector General

D14

TOP SECRET//COMINT/NOFORN	
	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
(U// FOUO) DISTRIBUTION:	
D●C (J. DcLong)	
SID (T. Shea)	
TD	
cc:	
Director	
SV SV4	
SV4[]	
S12	Saling by A. D. L. and A.
S2	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
S2I S2I4	
S332 S332	
T1 T	
T12	
T122 T	
D4 IG POC	
●GC IG POC	
SID IG POC	
TD IG POC	
D●J NSD	
IG (b)(6)	
D/ <u>IG</u>	
D1 D11	
D11 D12	
D13	

TOP SECRET/COMINT//NOFORN

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

	,	(U) EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	
(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L.	se re R 6-36 be in re be	rested as part of a	.L. 86-36
(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86 (b)(3)-50 US	5-36	/NF) The Pen Register and Trap and Trace (PR/TT) Order (TS//SI//NF) The Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court (FISC) granted NSA the authority to collect certain categories of metadata with the assistance of certain United States based telecommunications service providers and to analyze that metadata in support of investigations to protect against international terrorism. The PR/TT Order authorizes NSA to collect and analyze bulk metadata from providers within the United States. (TS//SI//NF) PR/TT metadata includes communication: - (TS//SI//NF) addressing information (e.g., the "to," "from," "cc," and "bcc" fields	
	5 3024(1)	(TS//SI//NF) The PR/TT Order prohibits collection of content of communications. (TS//SI//NF) The FISC renews the PR/TT Order approximately every 90 days. NSA, in consultation with the Department of Justice, did not seek an immediate renewal and allowed the PR/TT Order to expire in	
		TOP SECRET/COMINT/NOFORN	

DOCID: 424	18584 TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN	
	(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-	36
(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i)	because of concern the Agency could not comply with the order as written. the FISC issued an Order substantially different from the previous versions in that, among other things, it redefined "facilities" However, the provisions that limit the selectors on which NSA may query, as well as provisions to track and report on dissemination, remained essentially unchanged and are similar to those in the current Business Records (BR) Order, which authorizes the collection of bulk telephony metadata. The PR/TT Order includes a series of provisions to protect the privacy of United States persons (USPs) because the bulk metadata collected under the Order includes USP communications, the vast majority of which are unrelated to investigations to protect against international terrorism.	
<u>(</u> U) Thi	s Review	
	(TS//SI//NF) We began this review in SA allowed the PR/TT Order to expire. We then conducted a yearlong Audit of NSA Controls to Comply with the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court Order Regarding Business Records (ST-10-0004) using a continuous auditing methodology to test monthly certain controls related to querying and dissemination. As part of that review, we evaluated the adequacy of controls to ensure compliance with seven requirements tested against Standards of Internal Control in the Federal Government. Because the requirements, controls, and processes used to query and to disseminate information are essentially the same under the PR/TT Order and the BR Order, we relied on the overall evaluation of controls conducted under ST-10-0004 and used the same test objectives and plans for both reviews. See Appendix A for details on the objective, scope, and methodology as well as a list of reports issued on our tests of BR controls.	
	(TS//SI//NF) For this review, we tested NSA compliance with five provisions of the PR/TT Order related to querying for while an active Order was in place. Although the Order first became active in after the Agency had allowed it to expire, the Agency did not resume collection and querying of PR/TT metadata until (which closely mirrors its first renewal).	(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
	<u> </u>	
<u>(U)</u> Te:	st Results and Objectives Related to Querying	-
appropriatel	F) For the period reviewed. issued from PR/TT metadata and	(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

- (TS//SI//NF) Access: Were all queries to the PR/TI metadata made by authorized individuals (e.g., intelligence analysts and approved technical support personnel)?
- (U//FOUO) Reasonable Articulable Suspicion (RAS) Approval of Queried Selectors: Did all queries use RAS-approved seed selectors?
- (U/FOUO) Office of General Counsel (OGC) Review of USP Selectors: Did OGC verify that RAS determinations of all queried seed selectors associated with USPs had not been based solely on activities protected by the First Amendment to the Constitution?
- (C//REL TO-USA, FVEY) Chaining: Were all queries chained to no more than two hops?
- (U//FOUO) Revalidation of Queried Selectors: Were all queried foreign and USP seed selectors revalidated within the Court's time frames—one year and 180 days, respectively—and approved by an authorized Homeland Mission Coordinator?

T(TS//SI//NF) These provisions limit access to the bulk metadata and the selectors that NSA is authorized to query. See Appendix B for details of test results.

(U) Test Results and Objectives Related to Dissemination

-(TS//SI//NF) The PR/TT Order also required that NSA track and rep	ort
information shared outside the Agency.	
	-\(2\ D
·	o)(3)-P.L. 86-36

- (TS//SI//NF) 30-Day Reports: Did NSA accurately and completely report disseminations of PR/TT metadata outside NSA?
- - (TS//SI//NF) Dissemination of Serialized SIGINT Reports with PR/TT Metadata: Was all information disseminated through serialized SIGINT reports approved by the Chief of Information Sharing Services (S12) or other authorized individuals?

(U) Conclusion

(TS//SI//NF) Our tests of queries made under the PR/TT Order parallel the findings of our review of BR controls: querying controls are adequate to		
provide reasonable assurance of compliance with the provisions tested, but NSA management must ensure that controls remain effective.		
we must rely on findings of our BR review that the largely manual		

DOCID:	42485	- T(10-VP('R1	SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36			
		701 SECRE	51// C (7///1. 41//) 4	OI OKA		(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

disseminated in 2010. We make no recommendations in this report because the implementation of recommendations in ST-10-0004L will be tracked by the Office of the Inspector General follow-up process.

TOP SECRET/COMINT//NOFORN

(U) APPENDIX A

(U) About the Audit

DOCID: 4	1248584	TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN	
		TOF SECRET//COMINT//WOFVRN	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

(U) This page intentionally left blank.

(b)(3)	P.L.	86-36

(U) ABOUT THE AUDIT

(U) Ob	jectives
	(PR/TT) The objective of this audit was to test whether controls to ensure that NSA compliance with key terms of the Pen Register and Trap and Trace (PR/TT) Order were operating effectively. Specifically, we tested NSA compliance with live provisions of the Order related to querying to assess the adequacy of controls. We tested these provisions because they were relatively stable, at risk for technical non-compliance or violation of privacy rights, and testable. For a requirement to be testable, compliance must be clearly objective and verifiable by supporting data. (b)(3)-P.L. 86-3
(U) Sc	ope and Methodology
	- (TS//SI//NF) From January through February we tested queries of PR/TT metadata made during which NSA was operating under
)(1))(3)-P.L. 86-36	which was operating direct
	Outside of testing, we based our evaluation of controls on work conducted as part of the Business Records (BR) review (ST-10-0004).
	(TS//SI//NF) For querying, all sclectors that were documented in audit logs as having been queried were compared against access lists maintained by SV42 and reasonable articulable suspicion approvals and Office of General Counsel (OGC) reviews documented in is NSA's corporate contact chaining system. It stores metadata from multiple (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 sources, storing PR/TT metadata in a separate realm. performs data quality, preparation, and sorting functions and summarizes contacts in the processed data. is the selector tracking application used for PR/TT and BR querying. We also counted the number of hops chained for each selector as documented in audit logs. We researched anomalies to make a final determination of compliance. (b)(1)
	(TS//SI//NF) (MIS)-F L 00-30
(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	We intended to verify that serialized Signals Intelligence (SIGINT) reports derived from PR/TT metadata, as documented in were supported by dissemination authorizations and included in 30-Day Reports provided to the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court (FISC). a management information system for SIGINT production, contains statistical information and customer feedback about serialized reports.

DOCID: 42	48584
	TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORM
	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
	—(TS//SI//NF) We did not plan to test whether non-serialized reports were approved by the Chief, Information Sharing Services (SI2), or other authorized officials because approvals were documented in e-mails rather than formal dissemination authorizations. For the same reason, we did not plan to test whether 30-Day Reports accurately and completely disclosed non-scrialized reports.
(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	Security Analysis Center, SID Issues Support Staff, Analytic Capabilities, Information obtained from these meetings was used as a basis to conduct the PR/TT review. (U) We conducted this performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions according to our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and
// P	conclusions according to our audit objectives.
(U) Pi	ior OIG Coverage
(b)(1)	(TS//SI//NF)
(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	(TS//SI//NF) Supplemental Report to IG Report
	(TS//SI//NF) Assessment of Management Controls to Implement the FISC Order Authorizing NSA to Collect Information Using PR/TT Devices (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
- (TS#S	H/NF) Related OIG Coverage of the BR Order

-(TS//SI//NF) We issued the following reports as part of our Audit of NSA Controls to Comply with the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court Order Regarding Business Records (ST-10-0004). These reports provide details on the processes and controls in place to ensure compliance with the BR and PR/TT Orders.

• (TS//SI//NF) Advisory Report on the Audit of NSA Controls to Comply with the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court Order Regarding Business Records (ST-10-0004), 12 May 2010

TOP SECRET/COMINT//NOFORN-

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

- (TS//SI//NF) Audit of NSA Controls to Comply with the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court Order Regarding Business Records – January to March 2010 Test Results (ST-10-0004A), 1 June 2010
- (TS//SI//NF) Audit of NSA Controls to Comply with the F•reign Intelligence Surveillance Court Order Regarding Business Records April 2010 Test Results (ST-10-0004B), 10 June 2010
- -(TS//SI//NF) Audit Report of NSA Controls to Comply with the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court Order Regarding Business Records -- Control Weaknesses (ST-10-0004C), 29 September 2010
- (TS//SI//NF) Audit of NSA Controls to Comply with the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court Order Regarding Business Records May 2010 Test Results (ST-10-0004D), 30 June 2010
- (TS//SI//NF) Audit of NSA Controls to Comply with the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court Order Regarding Business Records June 2010 Test Results (ST-10-0004E), 20 July 2010
- <u>(TS//SI//NF)</u> Audit of NSA Controls to Comply with the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court Order Regarding Business Records – July 2010 Test Results (ST-10-0001F), 18 August 2010
- (TS//SI//NF) Audit of NSA Cantrols to Comply with the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court Order Regarding Business Records -August 2010 Test Results (ST-10-0004G), 28 September 2010
- (TS//SI//NF) Audit of NSA Controls to Comply with the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court Order Regarding Business Records – September 2010 Test Results (ST-10-0004H), 28 October 2010
- -(TS//SI//NF) Audit of NSA Controls to Comply with the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court Order Regarding Business Records -October 2010 Test Results (ST-10-0004I), 1 December 2010
- (TS//SI//NF) Audit of NSA Controls to Comply with the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court Order Regarding Business Records – November 2010 Test Results (ST-10-0004J), 20 December 2010
- -(TS//SI//NF) Audit of NSA Controls to Comply with the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court Order Regarding Business Records – December 2010 Test Results (ST-10-0004K), 12 January 2011
- (TS//SI//NF) Draft Audit Report on NSA Controls to Comply with the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court Order Regarding Business Records (ST-10-0004L), 15 March 2011

DOCID:	4248584		
		TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

(U) This page intentionally left blank.

TOP SECRET/COMINT//NOFORN

(U) APPENDIX B

(U) Test Results

DOCID: 4248584		
	TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

(U) This page intentionally left blank.

-TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN

(b)(\$)-P.L. 86-36

(U) TEST RESULTS(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

	or the test period	tested. Test results show that NSA	сотрнес	i with these	The ratings
a -		e last page of this report.		(b)(3)-P.L.	· ·
	Area	Test Results	Test Errors	Compliance	Assessment of Controls
	1. Access	Authorized individuals made all queries of PR/TT metadata.	0	Compliant	Adequate
	Reasonable articulable suspicion (RAS) approval of queried selectors	Seed selectors ofqueries of PR/TT metadata inwere documented as RAS approved in at the time of the query. The remaining did not use RAS-approved seed selectors but were made for data integrity and test purposes, as permitted by the Order.	O	Compliant	Adequate
(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-3	3. Office of General Counsel (OGC) review of U.S. person (USP) selectors	All USP seed selectors were reviewed by NSA OGC for First Amendment concerns prior to being used to query These reviews are documented in NSA's RAS identifier management system.	0	Compliant	Adequate
	4. Chaining	All queries made for foreign intelligence purposes were chained to no more than two hops from a RAS-approved selector, as required. In of those instances, although a third hop was attempted, the queries were terminated before results were returned and therefore were within the two-hop limit.	0	Compliant	Adequate
	5. Approval and revalidation of queried selectors	The seed selectors queried for foreign intelligence purposes were RAS approved by authonized Homeland Mission Coordinators within the Court's time frames. An additional seed selectors were queried for data integrity or test purposes as permitted by the Order.	0	Compliant	Adequate
	6. 3•-Day Reports				
	7. Dissemination of				
	serialized SIGINT reports with PR/TT metadata		b)(1) b)(3)-P.L.	86 -36	

__(TS//SI//NE)

DOCID:	4248584	
		- TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN -

(U) RATING SYSTEM

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

1987/31//NE

Description	Rating
A rating of green indicates that no instances of non-compliance with the PR/TT Order were identified during testing. Any noted scope limitations were related to the application of the continuous auditing methodology, not known control weaknesses	Compliant
A rating of yellow indicates that although no instances of non-compliance were identified, control weaknesses prevented us from testing the entire universe, as explained in the scope limitations.	Compliant, with scope
A rating of red indicates that one or more instances of non-compliance with the PR/TT Order were identified during testing.	. Non-compliant

-(PH//NF)

- TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN-

-TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN



OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY CENTRAL SECURITY SERVICE

	(b)(3]-P.L. 86-36
TO: DISTRIBUTION	
SUBJECT: (TS//SI//NF) Assessment of Management Co the FISC Order Authorizing NSA to Collect Information U Trap and Trace Devices ACTION MEMORA	sing Pen-Register and
1. (FS//SI//NF) This report summarizes the result of management controls to implement the FISC Order aux collect information using Pen Register and Trap and Trace Because of extenuating circumstances, management was complete responses to the draft report but indicated generate recommendations. We will follow up on management implement the recommendations in 90 days.	thorizing NSA to re Devices (PRIT). s unable to provide eral concurrence with
2. (U// POUO) As required by NSA/CSS Policy 1-6 the Inspector General, actions on OIG audit recommendate monitoring and followup until completion. Consequently provide a written status report concerning each planned categorized as "OPEN." The status report should provide information to show that corrective actions have been conaction will not be completed by the original target complete reports should be sent to have been consistent.	tions are subject to r. we ask that you corrective action e sufficient mpleted. If a planned ction date, please state

1. (TS//SI//NF) This report summarizes the re of management controls to implement the FISC Order collect information using Pen Register and Trap and T Because of extenuating circumstances, management complete responses to the draft report but indicated g the recommendations. We will follow up on managen: implement the recommendations in 90 days. 2. (U//POUO) As required by NSA/CS**S** Policy the Inspector General, actions on OIG audit recommer monitoring and followup until completion. Conseque provide a written status report concerning each plann categorized as "OPEN." The status report should pro information to show that corrective actions have been action will not be completed by the original target con the reason for the delay and give a revised target com reports should be sent to Assistant Inspector General, at OPS 2B. Suite 6247, within 15 calendar days after each target completion date. 3. (U) (U//FOUO) We appreciate the courtesy and cooperation extended to the auditors throughout the review. If you need clarification or additional information, please contact on 963-2988 or via e mail at (b) (3) -P.L. 86-36 BRIAN R. MCANDREW

> Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52 Dated: 20070108

Declassify On: MR

TOP SECRET//COMINT STELLARWIND//ORCON//NOFORN//MR

Acting Inspector General

DOCID: 4248763
TOP SECRET//COMINT-STELLARWIND//ORCON//NOFORN//MR

DISTRIBUTION:
DIR
D/DIR
GC
AGC(O)
SIGINT Director
SID Program Manager for CT Special Projects, S
Chief, SID O&C
SSG 1
SID Deputy Director for Customer Relationships
SID Deputy Director for Analysis and Production
Chief, S2I
Chief, S2I5
SID Deputy Director for Data Acquisition
Chief, S332

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

-(TS//SI//NF) ASSESSMENT OF MANAGEMENT CONTROLS TO IMPLEMENT THE FISC ORDER AUTHORIZING NSA TO COLLECT INFORMATION USING PEN REGISTER AND TRAP AND TRACE DEVICES

(TS//SI//STLW//NF/OC) Background: On 14 July 2004, the Foreign Intelligence	
Surveillance Court (FISC) issued a court order (the Order) granting the NSA the authority to install and use pen registers and trap and trace (PRTT) devices to	
collect the addressing and routing information of internet-based communications	_
The Order	_'
establishes strict procedures governing the collection and use of, as well as acces	
to, the data. This report assesses the general adequacy of management controls tensure that the Agency complies with the terms of the Order. The effectiveness of	
management controls will be addressed in a subsequent report. (b) (1)	
(b) (3) -P.L. 86-36	5
SUMMARY (b) (3) -50 USC 302	

(TS//SI//STLW//NF/OC) The management controls designed by the Agency to govern the collection, dissemination, and data security of electronic communications metadata and U.S. person information obtained under the Order are adequate and in several aspects exceed the terms of the Order. Due to the risk associated with the processing of electronic communications metadata involving U.S. person information, additional controls are needed for processing and monitoring of queries made against PRTT data, documenting oversight activities, and providing annual refresher training on the terms of the Order.

(S//SI) Includes all e-mail communications.	(b) (1)
	(b) (3) -P.L. 86-36
F(TS/SH/NF) The current version of the Order	
-TOP SECRET//COMINT-STELLARWIND//ORCON//NOFORN/,	/MR

process and disseminate this data

since the first Order was signed.

(b) (1)	
(b) (3) -P.L. 86-3	36
(P) $(3) - 20$ OSC 3	02 4(i)

DOCID:

(TS//SI//NF) Since the first order was signed in July 2004, the FISC has issued subsequent orders every ninety days. Although the specific terms and requirements of each order sometimes changed. The core authority—to collect and retain electronic communications metadata in the United States using pen registers and trap and trace devices—remains. Appendix B summarizes the significant changes

-(TS//SI-STLW//OC//NF) To protect U.S. privacy rights, the Order specifies terms and restrictions regarding the collection, processing, retention, dissemination, and data security of electronic communications metadata and U.S. person information obtained under the Order. To ensure compliance with these terms and restrictions, the Order also mandates Agency management to implement a series of procedures to control the collection of data and the access to and use of the archived data collected pursuant to the Order. These control procedures are clearly stated in the Order. Appendix C summarizes the key terms of the Order and the related mandated control procedures.

(C) **Standards of Internal Control.** Internal control, or management control, comprises the plans, methods, and procedures used to meet missions, goals, and objectives. It provides reasonable assurance that an entity is effective and efficient in its operations, reliable in its reporting, and compliant with applicable laws and regulations. The General Accounting Office's *Standards for Internal Control in the Federal Government*. November 1999 (the Standards), presents the standards that define the minimum level of quality acceptable for management control in government. NSA/CSS Policy 7-3, *Internal Control Program*, April 14, 2006, advises that evaluations of internal control should consider the requirements outlined by the Standards.

⁽TS::SI/NF) We did not assess the controls over retention at this time as the Order allows data to be retained for 4½ years.

DOCID:	4248763
	TOP SECRET//COMINT-STELLARWIND//ORCON//NOFORN//MR
	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-3
	(ψ)(σ)-F.L. ασ-σ

The Office of the Inspector General (OIG) uses the Standards as the basis against which management control is evaluated.

3//SI//APP Agency management implemented all of the control

(U) Assessment Results

(b) (1)

(b) (3) -P.L. 86-36 (b) (3) -50 USC 3024(i)

procedures specifically mandated by the Order. (See Append Agency management also built on some of these mandated procedures to establish rigorous processes to ensure complia with the overall terms of the Order. For example,	ix C.)
	,
In addition, proc	esses 1
document Shift Coordinator and Office of General Counsel (Ciustifications and approvals demonstrate the Agency's diligen	OGC)

rigor in assessing whether seed addresses meet the terms of the Order.

-{TS//St//NF} In general, controls over collection, dissemination, and data security were adequate to ensure compliance with key terms of the Order. However, the following control weaknesses and needed improvements regarding processing and oversight exist:

- The authority to approve queries made against PRTT data should be separated from the capability to conduct queries.
- The SIGINT Directorate (SID) Office of Oversight and Compliance (O&C) monitoring of PRIT queries is ineffective.
- Improvements are needed to document OGC spot checks and monitoring of collection data, audit log functioning. and access lists.
- Agency management should provide annual advanced intelligence oversight training on the Order to comply with Agency and DoD policy.

(U//POUC) Details of these issues are discussed below.

(TS//SI//NF) The Authority to Approve Queries Made Against PRTT Data Should be Separated from the Capability to Conduct Queries

-(rs//st//NP) Two Shift Coordinators in the CT Advanced Analysis Division (AAD) each have both the authority to approve the querying

<i>-TO₽-S</i>	b)(3)-P.L. 86
P.L. 86-36 -50 USC 3024(i)	under the Order and the capability to conduct queries. The Standards of Internal Control in the Federal Government require that key duties and responsibilities be divided among different people to reduce the risk of error or fraud. In particular, responsibilities for authorizing transactions should be separate from processing and recording them. This lack of segregation of duties increases the risk that the Snift Coordinators will approve and query, either by error or intent, addresses that do not meet the terms of the Order.
	Recommendation 1
' '	parate the authority to approve queries from the capability to series under the Order.
	(ACTION: Chief, Counterterrorism Primary Production Center)
	(U) Management Response
	concur. (TS://STLW/NP) Though management concurred with the finding, it did not concurwith the recommoudation because Shift Coordinators occasionally need to query against PRIT data in emergency situations or during offhours. As an alternative control, management recommended that Shift Coordinators retain querying capability but that O&C routinely review their queries to ensure compliance with the Order.
	Status: OPEN Target Completion Date: (b)(3)-P.L. 86-3
	(U) OIG Comment
	(U) Planned action meets the intent of the recommendation.
, ,, ,,	NF) O&C Monitoring Does Not Provide Reasonable that PRTT Queries Comply with Key Terms of the Order
**************************************	(T\$//SI//NF) In accordance with DIRNSA's declaration dated 2004, which stated that O&C will periodically review the PRTT program. O&C personnel conducted periodic spot checks to verify that ad hoc queries made by analysts with access to PRTT data

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

TOP SECRET//COMINT-STELLARWIND//ORCON//NOFORN//MR _

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

were approved by a Shift Coordinator.³ Although O&C monitoring of PRTT queries has the potential to be a strong and valuable compliance control, it is largely ineffective because SID management did not establish a comprehensive monitoring methodology designed for that purpose. Although there are no indications that violations have occurred. O&C monitoring does not provide reasonable assurance that PRTT queries comply with the following key terms of the Order:

(b) (1)

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

(b) (3) -50 USC 3024(i)

- All queries made against PRTT data must meet the terms of the Order
- Shift Coordinators must approve the foreign seed addresses of all queries made against PRTT data.
- OGC must approve U.S. seed addresses of queries made against PRIT data.
- Analysts may query to no more than two hops from the seed address.

(U) Monitoring is Essential to Effective Internal Control

-(TS//SI//NT)-Monitoring is one of the five standards of internal control. Specifically. The Standards of Internal Control in the Federal Government states that monitoring includes regular management and supervisory activities, such as ongoing comparisons and reconciliations, to determine whether internal control is functioning properly. Effective monitoring makes management aware of inaccuracies, exceptions, or violations that could indicate internal control problems. Monitoring is the best means to verify compliance of PRTT queries because preventive controls are not practical.

-(T\$//\$I//NF) SID Management did not Establish a Comprehensive Monitoring Methodology

-(TS//SI//NF) O&C monitoring of PRTT queries is ineffective because SID management did not establish a comprehensive methodology to monitor compliance with four key terms of the Order. Developing a methodology requires identifying all the terms of the Order to be monitored, determining the most effective monitoring techniques, and identifying key data, format, and report requirements. Rather,

^{*(}TS//SI-NE) At the time of our review, O&C was transitioning to a new process to monitor PRTT queries and developing written procedures. Because O&C did not document spot check results or the procedures followed, we could not assess the overall adequacy of the monitoring conducted prior to our review. Our results are therefore based solely on the newly implemented process.

DOCID:	4248763
DOCID.	7 2 7 0 7 0 3

TOP SECRET//COMINT-STELLARWIND//ORCON//NOFORN//MR

O&C personnel spot-checked PRTT queries based on the type and format of audit log data that was already available and on the concept of "superauditing" SIGINT queries. Superauditing consists of O&C personnel spot-checking SIGINT queries that have already been reviewed by an analyst's supervisor. As a result, SID management did not use effective monitoring techniques, did not have the data and reporting elements it needed to conduct effective monitoring, and based its monitoring on incomplete or inaccurate data.

(b) (1) (b) (3)-Р.L. 86-36

(15//5t//NP) Spot checks are insumcient to assess compilance
with the Order. To effectively monitor over PRTT queries
conducted per month, spot checks ofper 30-day
period do not include enough data to draw reasonable conclusions
on the Agency's overall compliance. Rather, monitoring techniques
such as reconciliation or statistical sampling are more appropriate in
that they either include a sufficient portion of the population, or take
into account the risk that the sampled gueries do not represent the
entire population.

Using spot checks as the only monitoring technique, O&C cannot provide reasonable assurance that the Agency complies with terms of the Order.

(TS//St//NF) O&C personnel acknowledged that "superauditing" is problematic in that PRTT queries, unlike SIGINT keyword queries, do not undergo front-line audits by supervisors. O&C personnel also agreed that reconciliation of PRTT queries to approved seed addresses is the preferred technique to monitor compliance with the Order and expressed frustration that audit log data could not be easily reconciled with records of approved seed addresses. At the time of our review, O&C was working with AAD to develop the report formats needed to conduct more effective monitoring.

- (1877 / 1817) Addit log reports do not consistently and accurately
document originating seed addresses.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Unmatched or missing seed addresses are not, in and
of themselves, violations of the Order. Rather, because we do not
know the seed addresses, we do not know whether a Shift
Coordinator had approved them. Thus, O&C monitoring cannot
provide reasonable assurance that of the queries comply
with two key terms of the Order. Specifically, because the audit logs

(b) (1) (b) (3) -P.L. 86-36 do not consistently and accurately document originating seed addresses, management cannot verify that;

	b)	,	1	١
- [\mathbf{D}	•		

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

(b) (3) -50 USC 3024(i)

•	all queries made against PRIT data are traceable to seed
	addresses that meet the terms of the Order
	, and

 a Shift Coordinator approved the originating seed addresses of all queries made against PRIT data.

(15//SI//NF) Audit log reports are incomplete. The audit log reports that •&C spot-checks do not include all queries made against PRTT data. The reports include only the queries of analysts that the Program Management Office (PMO) lists as being approved for access to PRTT data. This data is incomplete because it does not include queries of excluded individuals—those that have the ability to query the PKIT data but are not on the PM
 list or who are not analysts. For example, in one instance, the PMO list had not been updated to include two individuals who had just been granted access to PRTI data. Although the error was eventually caught and corrected by management, the audit log report was initially generated without including the two newly added individuals. Two systems administrators, who have the ability to query PRTT data, were also omitted from the audit log reports. Because all potential queries made against PRFT data are not included in the log reports, management cannot provide reasonable assurance of compliance with the Order.

(b) (3) -P.L. 86-36

audit logs do not capture needed data. Raw audit logs comply with the terms of the Order by recording all queries made against PRTT data, including user login, IP address, date and time, and retrieval request. However, the audit logs do not capture critical data to verify compliance with two key terms of the Order. Specifically,

- (FS//SI//NF) Management cannot verify that OGC approved the originating U.S. seed addresses of queries made against PRTT data because the audit logs do not distinguish between U.S. and foreign addresses.
- (TS//SI//NF) Management cannot verify that analysts query to no more than two hops out because the audit logs

³(TSU/SU/SUP) In response to a related recommendation in the OIG Report on the Assessment of Management Controls for Implementing the Poreign Intelligence Surveillance Court (FISC) Order Telephony Business Records (ST-06-0018). September 5, 2006. Agency management indicated that limited programming resources have prevened them from identifying and making changes to raw audit logs that would facilitate periodic reconciliations. Action is contingent on the approval of a pending request to SID management to detail two computer programmers to the team.

(b) (3) -P.L. 86-36

42 48763 TOT SECRET//COMINT-STELLARWIND//ORCON//NOFORN//MP———————————————————————————————————	P.L. 86-
do not track the number of hops from an originating seed address.	
(TS//SI) SID management did not identify the needed data, did not request changes be made to the audit logs to capture the data, and made no attempt to verify compliance with these two terms of the Order.	
Recommendation 2	
(TS//SI) Restructure the raw audit logs to capture needed data, such as originating seed address, U.S. identifiers, number of hops, and PRTT identifiers. (ACTION: with Chief, SID Oversight and Compliance)	
(U) Management Response	
(U) Management Response CONCUR. (PS//SI//STEW/NF) The PMO and O&C concurred with the finding and recommendation did not respond directly to the draft report, and no details were provided on its plans to implement the recommendation. Rather, O&C stated that it had provided its data requirements to the PMO. The Chief of the Advanced Analysis Division added that the database now distinguishes between U.S. and foreign addresses, so O&C can now monitor •GC approval of U.S. seed addresses.	

intent of the recommendation.

(U) OIG Comment

(11.17 / POHO)-Because we did not receive detailed plans from we cannot determine whether planned action meets the

(ACTION: Chief, SID Oversight & Computeries. (ACTION: Chief, SID Oversight & Computeries. (U) Management Response CONCUR. (FS//SI//STEW/NF) O&C concurred with the finding recommendation. Although it had developed a foundational document for monitoring PRTT queries. O&C emphasized that successful implementation depends on the completion of Recommendation 2. Status: OPEN Target Completion Date (U) OIG Comment (U) Planned action meets the intent of the recommendation. (TS//SI//NF) Improvements Are Needed to Document Oversight activities is being maintained. In addition to specific controls, the Order mandates that the OCC conduct specific oversight activities: random spot checks of collected data, monitoring of the audit	iance
(U) Management Response CONCUR. (FS//St//STLW/NF) O&C concurred with the finding recommendation. Although it had developed a foundational document for monitoring PRTT queries. O&C emphasized that successful implementation depends on the completion of Recommendation 2. Statust OPEN Target Completion Date: (U) OIG Comment (U) Planned action meets the intent of the recommendation. (U) Planned action meets the intent of the recommendation. (U) Time Provements Are Needed to Document Oversignation of certain oversight activities is being maintained. In addition to specific controls, the Order mandates that the OCC conduct specific oversight activities; random spot checks of collected data, monitoring of the audit	·-··
CONCUR. (FS//SF//STEW/NF) O&C concurred with the finding recommendation. Although it had developed a foundational document for monitoring PRTT queries. O&C emphasized that successful implementation depends on the completion of Recommendation 2. Statust OPEN Target Completion Date (U) OIG Comment (U) Planned action meets the intent of the recommendation. TS//SI//NF) Improvements Are Needed to Document Oversignativities [TS//SI//NF] Documentation of certain oversight activities is being maintained. In addition to specific controls, the Order mandates that the OGC conduct specific oversight activities; random spot checks of collected data, monitoring of the audit	
recommendation. Although it had developed a foundational document for monitoring PRTT queries. O&C emphasized that successful implementation depends on the completion of Recommendation 2. Status: OPEN Target Completion Date (U) OIG Comment (U) Planned action meets the intent of the recommendation. TS//SI//NF) Improvements Are Needed to Document Oversignativities (IS//SI//NF) Documentation of certain oversight activities is being maintained. In addition to specific controls, the Order mandates that the OGC conduct specific oversight activities; random spot checks of collected data, monitoring of the audit	
(U) OIG Comment (U) Planned action meets the intent of the recommendation. (II) Planned action meets the intent of the recommendation. (IS//SI//NF) Improvements Are Needed to Document Oversignativities (TS//SI//NF) Documentation of certain oversight activities is being maintained. In addition to specific controls, the Order mandates that the OGC conduct specific oversight activities; random spot checks of collected data, monitoring of the audit	g and
(U) Planned action meets the intent of the recommendation. TS//SI//NF) Improvements Are Needed to Document Oversignativities (TS//SI//NF) Documentation of certain oversight activities is being maintained. In addition to specific controls, the Order mandates that the OGC conduct specific oversight activities; random spot checks of collected data, monitoring of the audit	(
TS//SI//NF) Improvements Are Needed to Document Oversignativities (TS//SI//NF) Documentation of certain oversight activities is being maintained. In addition to specific controls, the Order mandates that the OGC conduct specific oversight activities; random spot checks of collected data, monitoring of the audit	
(TS//SI//NF) Documentation of certain oversight activities is being maintained. In addition to specific controls, the Order mandates that the OGC conduct specific oversight activities; random spot checks of collected data, monitoring of the audit	
being maintained. In addition to specific controls, the Order mandates that the OGC conduct specific oversight activities; random spot checks of collected data, monitoring of the audit	nt
function, and monitoring of individuals with access to PRTT d	og
(TS//SI//NF) OGC Does Not Document Mandated Spot Checks of Collection Data and Monitoring of the Audit Log Function	
(TS//SI//NF) As mandated by the Order, OGC periodically contained and an anomitors the audit log function. OGC does not, however, does the date, scope, or results of the reviews. The purpose of the checks is to ensure that filters and other controls in place on are functioning as described by the Order that only court authorized data is retained. The purpose of	

OCID: 4248763	RET//COMINT STELLARWIND//ORCON//NOFORN//MR	
		(b)(3)-P.L. 8
(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i)	meets with the individuals responsible and audit log functions and reviews samples of the data to determine compliance with the Order. The attorney stated that she would formally document the reviews only if there were violations or other discrepancies of note. To date, OGC has found no violations or discrepancies.	
	(U/ POUO) NSA/CSS Policy 7-3 requires management to document internal control systems and conduct internal control assessments. Documentation of internal control systems includes review documentation that shows the scope of review, the responsible official, the pertinent dates and facts, the key findings, and the recommended corrective actions.	
	(13//SI//NF) Without adequate documentation of court-ordered reviews, the Agency does not have readily available and verifiable evidence of its compliance with the Order.	
	Recommendation 4	
	ain documentation of spot checks of collection data and audit logs functions to include:	
• Da	ate of the review,	
• Ti	me period reviewed,	ĺ
• Sc	ource of the data (i.e. personnel assisting OGC), and	
• R	esults and corrective actions, if needed.	
	(ACTION: NSA Office of the General Counse	1)
<u> </u>	(U) Management Response	—J
	CONCUR. (TS//SI//STEW/NE) OGC concurred with the finding and recommendation and stated that it will begin documenting spot checks.	1
	Status: OPEN Target Completion Date:	(b)(3)-P.L. 8
	(U) OIG Comment	

(b) Planned action meets the intent of the recommendation.

DOCID:	4248763	
	42 487 63 TCT SECRETY/COMINT-STELLARWIND//ORCON//NOFORN//MR-	
		(þ)(3)-P.L. 86-36

(TS//SI//NF) OGC Does Not Maintain Documentation of Data Access Monitoring Activities

-(TS//SI//NF)-Although the OGC is notified when the PMO has approved a request for PRIT data access, it does not maintain documentation that individuals being approved for access have obtained the required OGC briefing. The Order requires OGC to monitor the designation of individuals with access to the PRTT data. The Standards for Internal Control in the Federal Government states that "internal control and all transactions and other significant events need to be clearly documented, and the documentation should be readily available for examination." The lack of readily available documentation makes it difficult to effectively monitor who has access to PRTT data.

(FS//SI//NF) Further, the Standards for Internal Control in the Federal Government defines monitoring to include comparisons and reconciliations. Periodically, Program management compares a list of system users with PRTT data access (system list) to a list of analysts approved by the PMO for access (PMO list). OGC conducts a similar review of the PMO list; however, there is no OGC-maintained list to compare against. Instead, the attorney conducting the review relies on memory to verify the accuracy and completeness of the list. Although the same attorney normally conducts all briefings and reviews the lists, during one review, the attorney did not recognize the name of one person on the PMO list. Upon further investigation, the attorney discovered that another operations attorney, who was properly cleared and familiar with the requirements of the order, had briefed the analyst. This was confirmed in the briefing attorney's calendar.

(TS//SI//NF) When performing a review of individuals with access to the PRIT data, the OGC attorney is using the PMO list rather than the system list. Although only approved individuals should have access to the PRTT data, the system list shows which individuals are actually authorized in the system to query the data, including any analysts or other users who may not be approved by the PMO.

DOCID:	4248763	
	TOP SECRETY/COMINT-STELLARWIND//ORCON//NOFORN/	'/MD
	TOT BEGREEN, COMMITTON MARKET MINE, CONT., THO PORCE,	
		(╽)(3)-P.L. 86-36

Recommendation 5

(TS//SI) Maintain a list of individuals who have been briefed on the proper use of the PRTT data and periodically reconcile that list with both the system list and the PMO list.

(ACTION: NSA Office of the General Counsel)

(U) Management Response

CONCUR. (TS//SI//STLW/NF) OGC did not agree that reconciliation is needed to effectively monitor the designation of individuals with access to the PRTT data. It did, however, concur with the recommendation and agreed to a proposal made by the PMO to replicate the PMO list in the Lotus Notes Tracker Program, a program for which the OGC has restricted access, and automate a process to reconcile the lists weekly.

Status: OPEN		, .	 _
Target Completion	Date:		

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

(U) OIG Comment

(U) Planned action meets the intent of the recommendation.

(TS//SI//NF) Annual Advanced Intelligence Oversight Training on the Order Is Needed to Comply with NSA Policy

-(S//SI) SID management does not provide annual refresher training on the terms of the Order to appropriate personnel. Such training constitutes advanced Intelligence Oversight training as defined by NSA/CSS Policy 1-23. Procedures Governing NSA/CSS Activities that Affect U.S. Persons, March 11, 2004. Specifically, NSA/CSS Policy 1-23 requires that the SIGINT Director:

(IJ) . . . provide training to all employees (including contractors and integrees) in order to maintain a high degree of sensitivity to, and understanding of, the laws and authorities referenced in this Policy. Such training shall include both core and advanced intelligence oversight training and refresher training with appropriate testing. All employees shall receive core training, and those with exposure to U.S. person information shall receive appropriate advanced training. Training shall be required at least annually (or more often commensurate with the

	CA GECRET//COMINT-STELLARWIND//ORCON//NOFORN//MR(I)(3)	-P.L. 86-36
	level of exposure to U.S. person information by the employee).	
)(1))(3)-P.L. 86-36	Order when they are granted access to PKIT data. OGC also forwards, by e-mail, copies of newly issued orders to key personnel in and AAD. The PMO, in turn, posts the Order on a website accessible to cleared personnel; however, because the e-mails do not include detailed explanations of changes made to the Order, they do not constitute advanced training. No additional refresher training on the Order is provided. As a result, the SIGINT Director does not comply with Agency policy and risks violations of the Order by individuals who do not fully understand the terms of the Order.	
	Recommendation 6	
'	Conduct annual advanced intelligence oversight refresher training to a sand collectors on the terms of the Order as required by NSA/CSS	
ànalysi	Conduct annual advanced intelligence oversight refresher training to a sand collectors on the terms of the Order as required by NSA/CSS 1-23.	
ànalysi	Conduct annual advanced intelligence oversight refresher training to is and collectors on the terms of the Order as required by NSA/CSS 1-23. (ACTION: SIGINT Director)	
ànalysi	(U) Management Response CONCUR. (18//SI//STLW/NF) O&C tentatively concurred with the finding and recommendation but had not yet formally coordinated with the SIGINT Director or OGC. Status: OPEN)-P.L. 86-36
ànalysi	Conduct annual advanced intelligence oversight refresher training to is and collectors on the terms of the Order as required by NSA/CSS 1-23. (ACTION: SIGINT Director) (U) Management Response CONCUR(18//SI//STLW/NF) O&C tentatively concurred with the finding and recommendation but had not yet formally coordinated with the SIGINT Director or OGC. Status: OPEN)-P.L. 86-36

-{TS://SI://NF} The authority for the Agency to obtain and query on bulk address and routing information on electronic communications is extraordinary. Activities conducted under the Order are thus extremely sensitive. The Agency must take this responsibility.

DOCID: 4248763 TOP SECRETY/COMINT-STELLARWIND//ORCON//NOFORN//MR__

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

seriously and show good faith in its execution. Much of the foundation for a strong control system is set up by the Order itself, in the form of mandated control procedures, and, in many ways. Agency management has made the controls even stronger. Our recommendations will address control weaknesses not covered by the Order or Agency management and will meet Federal standards for internal control and Agency regulations. Once the noted weaknesses are addressed, and additional controls are implemented, the management control system will provide reasonable assurance that the terms of the Order will not be violated.

DOCID:	4248763	
	-TOP SECRET//COMINT STELLARWIND//ORCON//NOFORN//	MR -
	The state of the s	(比)(3)-P.L. 86-36

APPENDIX A

(U) About the Audit

This page intentionally left blank

DOCID: 4248	SECRETY/COMINT-STELLARWIND//ORCON//NOFORN//MR (b)(3)-P.L. 86-:
	(U) ABOUT THE AUDIT
(U) Object	ives
	-(TS//SI) The overall objectives of this review were to:
	 assess whether management controls are adequate to provide reasonable assurance that NSA complies with the terms of the PR/TT Order, and
	 verify that control procedures mandated in the PR/TT Order are in place.
(U) Scope	and Methodology (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
	(U// FOUO) The audit was conducted from
	(TS//SI) We interviewed Agency personnel and reviewed documentation to satisfy the review objectives. We conducted limited testing of audit log data of PRIT queries to assess the effectiveness of controls.
	(TS//SI) As footnoted, we did not assess controls related to the retention of Internet metadata pursuant to the Order. As the Order authorizes NSA to retain data for up to 4½ years, such controls are not applicable at this time.
(U) OIG I	vestigation of Violations of PRTT Orders
(b) (1) (b) (3) -P.L. 86-36	the OIG issued a report of the findings from an investigation into violations of the PRTT Order, 14 July 2004 [PR/TI
(b) (1) (b) (3)-P.L. 86-36 (b) (3)-50 USC 3024(i)	The investigation determined the cause of the violation and the extent to which unauthorized collection occurred.
	(TS//SI) The OIG report of investigation does not make formal recommendations to management. Rather, the report summarizes key facts and evaluates responsibility for the violation. This review confirms that management has taken steps to prevent recurrence of the violation. In particular, management now continuously monitors
	trat might result in violations. This review also

DOCID:	4248763
DOCID.	* * TOP SECRET//COMINT-STELLARWIND//ORCON//NOFORN//MR

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

identified, however, two areas that were cited in the report of the investigation that still need improvement:

- Although O&C has become more involved in monitoring PRTT queries, additional action is needed to make the monitoring effective.
- While personnel are notified of changes in renewals of the PRTT Order and new orders are posted on a centralized website, refresher training is still needed to ensure that NSA personnel implement the Order correctly.

DOCID:	4248763 TOT SECRET//COMINT-STELLARWIND//ORCON//NOFORN//A	.m
	101 SECKE1//COMINT-STELLARWIND//ORCON//NOPORTY/N	arc
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	(b](3)-P.L. 86-36

APPENDIX B

(U//FOUO) Summary of Changes to the PRTT Orders

DOCID:	4248763 TOP SECRET//COMINT-STELLARWIND//ORCON//NOFORN//I	MD_	
	,, ===================================	(b	(3)-P.L. 86-36

This page intentionally left blank

(U//FOUO) SUMMARY OF CHANGES TO THE PRTT ORDERS

Order Number	Effective Dates	Changes from Previous Order
		Initial Order—Authorized NSA to collect and retain Internet metadata to protect against international terrorism, and to process and disseminate this data regarding with certain restrictions.
		I Iwiti Certain restrictions.
		•
		Increased the number of analysts allowed access to the metadata from 10 to 15.
L. 86-36		Added OGC spot checks of the incoming data
		Added a 30-day reporting requirement.
		No changes
		Added reference to Order that prohibits querying on STELLARWIND-derived "seeds."
		Added requirement to discuss the nature of the data collected on in the 30-day report.
		No changes (b) (1) (b) (3) -P.L. 86-36 (b) (3) -50 USC 302
		No changes
		•
		 Changed on-line retention period from 18 months to 4.5 years. There was no effect on the overall retention period. Data must be destroyed after 4.5 years.
		Added the stipulation that: "E-mail addresses that are currently the subject of FISC authorized electronic surveillance and/or physical search based on the FISC's finding of probable cause to believe that
		they are used by shall be deemed
		approved for meta data querying without approval of an NSA official due to the FISC authorization" (page 12).
		•
	I	
		•
		Increased the number of analysts allowed access to the metadata from 15 to 20.
		(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
*/[*/A/A/ Tray D.	rimary Order is dated	however, all secondary orders are dated

DOCID:	4248763 TOP SECRET//COMINT-STELLARWIND//ORCON//NOFORN//R	MR-
		(b)(3)-P.L. 86-3
	This page intentionally left blank	
	Title page intentionerly felt oftain	

DOCID:	42 487 63 RET//COMINT-STELLARWIND//ORCON//NOFORN//I	MR -	
	,,,		3)-P.L. 86-36

APPENDIX C

(U//FOUO) Mandated Terms and Control Procedures

DOCID: 4248763
TOT SECRET//COMINT-STELLARWIND//ORCON//NOFORN//MR
(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

This page intentionally left blank

DOCID: 424876 3	3
------------------------	---

TOP SECRET//COMINT-STELLARWIN	CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR O
(U) PR/TT FISC Order (PR/TT	

(U) Terms and Mandated Centrol Procedures for NSA

Control Area I. Collection

(b) (1) (b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 Fernis of the Order	Responsible Entity		Mandated Control Procedures
The per registers and crap and municiples will be attached or applied to the following berlittes: [Pg 3-10] [Pg 3-10])-P.L. 86-36	i)	Except thint, (30) days during the numbrated perion (5 surveil) one. NS. Shallfile with the Control eposition (the characteristic description of the nature of the obtainment of scheme of the obtainment of scheme the filtering process is properly immine acouistion to communications that are to or from authorized. [Pg. 15 Para (50g)
Collection of the contents of such communications as defined by 18 U.S.C. \$25 (1008) is not authorized, 423-8-6, Para (13) Addressing and routing information reasonably likely to identify the sources or destinations of the electronic communications, includes • the may furnity way and object fields for those communications.	PMO OGC	J. 1.	electrottic communications process the electronic communications to extract and record only the continue and addressing information but not the contents of the electronic communications [Pg 3-10, Jona 4] [Did] [Di
	OGC	3	At least twice during the 90 day authorized pened of served ance OGC will conduct random spatielier's property of an architecture of a sunformed by the Court Such cret caceks shall include in examination of a sunption the dual the 46. Para (5)(45))

TOP SECRET//COMINT-STELLARWIND//ORCON/NOFORN//MR

Terms of the Order	Responsible Entity	Mandated Control Procedures
Unstablation and use of pen registers and trap and trace devales to requested in the Greeniment's approached a authorized for a period of ninety days from the date of this Order unies otherwise ordered by the Court (Pp 1). Para (D) This authorization	РМО) Notice

(FS//SI//STLW//NF)

(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-Sontrol Area II: Processina

Terms of the Order	Responsible Entity	Mandated Control Procedures
Such queries shall be politimed only on the basis of a particular known after the NSA has concluded, mosel on the factual and practical considerations of overview title on which reasonable and problem factions that there are facts giving use to a reasonable carteculable suspicion that such account or address is associated with	PA60	 Pac NSA shall ensure that the one human for accessing such informalis will amount only concents a log of auchting information to reach occusion when the orfo motion is accessed to include the nocessing use: Chapm. IP address, date a of time, and retrieval manes. (Py) IA Pa (5)(s)
	ac c	? Office shall manifer the functioning of the automatic logging of cooling information required by [the order] (Pg 15, Para (3)d iii))
Provided, however, that are provided as associated with adely, on the basis of activities that are provided by the First Amendment to the Constitution (Pg. 14, fram (5)c).	OCC.	3. OGC shall ensure that analysis with the ability to across such internation receive appropriate training and guidance regarding the querying standard settout in [the order), as well as other procedures and restrictions regarding the traineral, scorage, and dissipation of such juffernation. (Fig. 15, Para (Std.))
(b) (1) (b) (3)-P.L. 86-36 (b) (3)-50 USC 302	PMO 060	4 Every thirty (3) it days during the nathorized period of souveillance, NSA shall file with the Court a report film includes; the discussion of queries that keep been made since the prior report to the Court and the NSA's apply of an of the standard set and in paragraphy; above to tross queries (Pg 15 Para (5)g).

(15-54) This Order, PR 11 stile tin, to be that melades

TOP SECRET//COMINT-SPELLARWIND//ORGON/NOFORN//MR

26

(b) (1) (b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

TOP SECRET//COMINT-STELLARWIND//ORCON/NOFORN//MR

PMO	 OGC shall, to cosure astimagniate consideration of any first Ansandment issues, review and approve proposed quarters of medidata.
	Inflaving SSA officials, the Signals Intelligence Directionale Program Manager for Counterferror and Special Projects; the Clinefor Deputy Clad, Confert peters in Advanced, Analysis Division; or a Counterferror is Advanced Analysis Ship Coordinator in the Analysis and Production Directorate of the Signals Intelligence Piroctorate. (Pg.
4.41)	: Note
	(15//61//SFLW//NF
	(b) (1) (b) (3)-P.L. 86-36 (b) (3)-50 USC 3024(i)
	ta ail
	(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86
	the first ser of i

TOP SECRETI/COMINT-STELLARWIND//ORCON/NOFORN//MR

TOP SECRET//COMINT-SPELLARWIND//ORCON/NOFORN//MR

Terms of the Order	Responsible Entity	Mandated Control Procedures
miller all mela data interest shall be performed in accordance with this form! Directs in Docke Sound, is (Pg. 14, Para (S)c) NSA shall not query the meta data collected under the authorities granted in the above referenced deckets (normalier "FSA meta data") on the basis of any "seed" Vilidated using information obtained from SW collection Paraparan (2 of the Orderptolubited NSA from using SW information to volidate now seed such prohibition remains in effect. (Pp. 1, Para (1) of In addition, NSA is hereby prohibited from apersing FSA meta data in any manner based on Seed previously validated through use of SW information. (Pg. 2, Para (1) of Indiadate, but not limited to grant the use of SW information including, but not limited to grant (1) of Indiadate, but not limited (1) of Indiadate, but	AAD	(b) (1) (b) (3)-P.L. 86-36
The government in directed traditise the Court immediately of any instance where confury to the understanding, intermation from TSA flield doct was included in an application to this fourt tother than in the above-released dockres). For any such instance, the government shall advise whether the PISA meta doct was obtained from a query based area seed. In that was validated through the use of SW information. (Fig.). Plug (3) of	PMOORE	2. The government was ordered to entirilt a description of procedures for prevaring applications and advising the court of instances where fISA areta data was included its an ambiguition to the Court. (Pg. 3, Para (3)) 3. Bethe traplementing this change to those procedures, the government will submit a written explanation of the newsprocedures and how they will adequately ensure adherence to the objectives describe at pages 1 of the

(b) (1)

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36 (b) (3) -50 USC 3024(i)

DOCID:	4248763	
--------	---------	--

-TOP SECRET//COMINT-STELLARWIND//ORCON/NOFORN//MR

Terms of the Order	Responsible Entity		Mandated Control Procedures	
P-mail addresses that the currently the sale record TSC antionized electronic surveillance and/or physical search based on the PISU's finding of probable cause to believe that they are used by	AAD	1 None		- 12 1.1.
including those	(b) (1)			
used by U.S. persons, shall be durined approved for meta-duta querying	(b) (3) -P.	L. 86-36		
without approved of an NSA official due to the USC authorization (102) 15, Para (5)(7)	(b)(3)-50	USC 3024(i)		

Control Area III: Dissemination

Terms of the Order	Responsible Entity	Mandated Control Procedures	;
A. The NSA shall apply the Adornely General approved juddelities to United States Signals intelligence Directive. Its (Attachment Directive application in Docket No. PR TTI	AAD 8 O&C	Prior reclassemmating may U.S. person information outside of the NSA face that of Information Starting Scavics in the PrisA's Signals Intellipence Directorate shall determine that the information is aclated to undertactorism information and is reclassically to understand the conflict power in mormation or to assess its importance. (Pa. 16, Para (Ste))	ter
		-(15//S1//STEW//N	

(Б) (1) (Б) (3)-Р.L. 86-36

-TOP SECRET//COMINTP-STELLARWIND//ORCON/NOFORN//MR-

-TOP SECRETY/COMINT-STELLARWIND//ORCON/NOFORN//MR

Control Area IV: Retention

	Terms of the Order	Responsible Entity		Mandated Contro	of Procedures	
11111	A. Information obtained from the multiorized per registers and trap and trace cleakes shart he available online for querying, as described in the Order J. for four and one half years. Metadate shall be described in later than four and one half years after its initial collection, (Pp. 17, Pani (S)f).	Ecchnical Support	1 None		<u> </u>	; ;
					-(TS//SH//STLW//NI	"

Control Area V: Data Security (b) (3) -P.L. 86-36

Terms of the Order	Responsible Entity	Mandated Control Procedures
The NSA shall store such information in a manner that ensures har it will not be commongled with other data (Pg 13 Para (Sa)	CXCC	OGC shall mondor the designation of individuals with neess to such information under [the order]. (Og 152] Para (Such n):
3 The ability to remove information derived from the pen register and traparid frace devices shall be limited to twenty. Specially cleared analysis and to specially obsered administrators. (Pg. 13 Para (SP))	Teglinjea) Support	2 None

(b)(1) (b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

Retential) was not part of this review.

**PPR [1] increased the minder of people with PR [1] data recessio 20. TOP SECRET//COMINT STELLARWIND//ORCON/NOPORN//MR

DOCID: 4248763 TOP SECRET//COMINT-STELLARWIND//ORCON/NOFORN//MR



-SECRET//NOFORN-NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY

FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755-6000

19 December 2012

The Honorable Saxby Chambliss Vice Chairman, Select Committee on Intelligence United States Senate 211 Hart Senate Office Building Washington, DC 20510

Dear Mr. Vice Chairman:

(IJ/ACOCO) Section 702 (1) (2) of the FISA Amendments Act of 2008 (FAA) authorizes the National Security Agency/Central Security Service (NSA/CSS) Office of the Inspector General (OIG) to assess the Agency's compliance with procedures for targeting certain persons, other than U.S. persons (USPs), outside the United States. My office reviews the collection, processing, and reporting of data at least quarterly. Incidents involving compliance with procedures for targeting certain persons, other than USPs, outside the United States and incidents involving minimization of USP information are reported to the OIG as they occur and quarterly. Each incident is evaluated against the targeting and minimization procedures set forth in the FAA and in NSA/CSS directives. This report covers 1 September 2011 through 31 August 2012.

(U// POUO) The OIG completed the Special Study: Assessment of Management Control	ols
Over FAA §702. This study examined the design of these management controls; future studie	
will test the identified controls.	b)(1) b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
(S//NP) In compliance with the targeting and minimization procedures of §702 of the	,(-,
FAA, intelligence reports were disseminated by NSA/CSS	
based on SIGINT derived from FAA §702 authorized collection. Of the	∄
disseminated reports, contained one or more references to U.S. persons. ² This number	
includes references to a United States electronic communications service provider as part of the	ie
	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
(U// POUO) These reports were based in whole or in part on information acquired pursuant to FAA §702(a).	
² (U/ /FOUO)	
the referen	
to U.Sperson identities may have resulted from collection pursuant to FAA §702 or from other authorized Signa Intelligence activity conducted by NSA that was reported in conjunction with information acquired under FAA §702.	als
(S/ NF) The Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) does not conduct acquisitions under FAA §702. However, it	
receives unminimized communications from NSA and FBI and disseminates information based on that information	on.
(b)(1)	
(b)(3)-P.L. 86	y-3"

-SECRET//NOFORN-

communications identifier used by targets of this acquisition and other non-U.S. person whom they communicate. A communicant using email account targetA@USprovider.cincluded here as a report referencing a U.Sperson identity. In February 2012, NSA st counting such communications identifiers as U.S. person identifiers if the user is a non person. As a result, the number of intelligence reports containing one or more reference persons is significantly lower this year than last.	com was copped -U.S.
-(S//NF) In addition, NSA/CSS released USP identities in response to cust requests for USP identities not referred to by name or title in the original reporting. ⁴	tomer (b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
(S/NF) During this reporting period, foreign targets reasonably believed to located outside the United States at the time of tasking were later suspected or confirm the United States. In each instance, NSA/CSS targeted selectors that at the time of target were reasonably believed to be outside the United States but were later found to be the United States.	cd to be in
(U// FOUO) Compliance incidents occurred under such circumstances as:	(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
• (U// FOUO) Tasking under an incorrect certification,	(b)(3)-18 USC 798 (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i)
• (S//NF) Errors in entry of the selector for tasking,	.,,
• (U// FOHO) Insufficient foreignness support,	
• (U// FOUO) Dissemination errors,	
• (U// FOUO) Poor construction of database queries, and	
• (U// FOUO) USP status discovered post-tasking.	
(U) Action has been taken to correct mistakes, and internal management processes reviewed and adjusted to reduce the risk of unauthorized acquisition and improper retention communications.	
(U//FOUO) This is the fourth year for which the OIG has assessed for the Cong Agency's compliance with FAA §702. To ensure consistency between the DIRNSA rethe OIG report, the OIG and SID worked together to achieve a common understanding	eport and
¹ (S//NP) For the previous reporting period, NSA reported thatintelligence reports contained one or references to U.S. persons, including references to U.S. electronic communications providers as part of a communications identifier.	
4(S/NE) For the previous reporting period, NSA reported that there were identities disseminated it to requests for identities not referred to by name or title in the original reporting. The increase of the	NCSS

-SECRET//NOFORN-

reporting requirements and have agreed on a methodology for accumulating and analyzing compliance statistics.

(U) The OIG continues to exercise oversight of Agency intelligence activities.

GEORGE ELLARD

George Ellard

Inspector General

Copy Furnished:
The Honorable Dianne Feinstein
Chairman, Select Committee
on Intelligence

TOP SECRET//SI//NOFORN

NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY/CENTRAL SECURITY SERVICE



INSPECTOR GENERAL REPORT

(TS//SI//NF) Report on the Special Study of NSA's Purge of Pen Register and Trap and Trace Bulk Metadata

		 (b)
		ν

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

-TOP SECRET//SI//NOFORN

TOP SECRET//SI/NOFORN

(U) OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

(U) Chartered by statute and the Director, NSA/Chief, CSS, the Office of the Inspector General (OIG) conducts audits, and investigations and inspections. Its mission is to ensure the integrity, efficiency, and effectiveness of NSA/CSS operations, provide intelligence oversight, protect against fraud, waste, and mismanagement of resources, and ensure that NSA/CSS activities are conducted in compliance with the law, executive orders, and regulations. The OIG also serves as ombudsman, assisting NSA/CSS employees, civilian and military.

(U) AUDITS

(U) The audit function provides independent assessments of programs and organizations. Performance audits evaluate the effectiveness and efficiency of entities and programs and assess whether program objectives are being met and whether operations comply with law and regulations. Financial audits determine the accuracy of an entity's financial statements. All audits are conducted in accordance with standards established by the Comptroller General of the United States.

(U) INVESTIGATIONS AND SPECIAL INQUIRIES

(U) The OIG administers a system for receiving and acting upon requests for assistance or complaints (including anonymous tips) about fraud, waste, and mismanagement. Investigations and Special Inquiries may be undertaken as a result of such requests and complaints, at the behest of management, because of irregularities that surface during inspections and audits, or on the initiative of the Inspector General.

(U) FIELD INSPECTIONS

(U) The inspection function consists of organizational and functional reviews undertaken as part of the OIG's annual plan or by management request. Inspections yield accurate, up-to-date information on the effectiveness and efficiency of entities and programs, along with an assessment of compliance with law and regulations. The Office of Field Inspections also partners with Inspectors General of the Service Cryptologic Elements to conduct joint inspections of consolidated cryptologic facilities.

TOP SECRET//SI//NOFORN_



OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY CENTRAL SECURITY SERVICE

(b)(3)-F.L. 86-36

WC10K9	
TO: DISTRIBUTION	
SUBJECT: (TS//SI//NF) Report on the Special Study of Register and Trap and Trace Bulk Metadata MEMORANDUM	NSA's Purge of Pen — ACTION (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
1. — (TS//SI//NF) This advisory report summarized review by the Office of the Inspector General of NSA's Pur Trap and Trace Bulk Metadata	
2. (TS//SI//NF) On the basis of our observation procedures and documentation, we determined with reas the Agency destroyed Pen Register and Trap and Trace (P from its declared systems, databases, and tape and systems to us before the PR/TT authority expired on 9 December review, no management response is required for this report	sonable assurance that PR/TT) bulk metadata em backups disclosed 2011. Based on our
3. (U) We appreciate the courtesy and cooperati staff throughout the review. For additional information, on 963-0922(s) or via e-mail at	

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

George Effard Inspector General

TOP SECRET//SI//NOFORN

(U) DISTRIBUTION:
DIRNSA
SID (T. Shea)
TD
cc: EXDIR (F. Fleisch)
C●S (D. Bonanni)
D ● C (J. DcLong)
D4
0G <u>C</u>
ST
SVI L
SV4 SV42I
931
S31323 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
S3531
TE
TE6
TV
T12[
T122
T1222
D4 IG POC
OGC IG POC
SID IG POC
TD IG POC
DL d-comply-tasker DL SIDIGLIAIS●N
DL TD_REGISTRY
· ·
D●J NSD (b)(6)
<u> </u>
IG
D/IG
D1 D11
D11 D12
D13
D14

NSA/CSS Office of the Inspector General **ADVISORY REPORT**

-(TS//SI//NF) SPECIAL STUDY OF THE AGENCY'S PURGE OF PEN REGISTER AND TRAP AND TRACE BULK METADATA

(U) Overv	√ie	W
-----------	-----	---

(b)(1)

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i)

—(TS//SI//NF) This report summarizes our special study of the Agency's processes to destroy Pen Register and Trap and Trace (PR/TT) bulk metadata from its declared systems, databases, and backups before the authority expired on 9 December 2011. On the basis of our observations and review of procedures and documentation, we conclude with reasonable assurance that the Agency destroyed PR/TT bulk metadata in the systems, databases, and backups disclosed to us.

(b)(1)

(U) Ba	ckground	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
b)(1) b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i)	to the expiration of the PR/TT authority on 9 December 201 Security Agency (NSA), with the assistance of certain U.S. telecom service providers, collected, processed, and analyzed metadata fro communications to obtain foreign intelligence information about trinternational terrorist activities This activity occurred under a PR/TT authority (renewable every granted by the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court (FISC). (TS//SI//NF) In early 2011, the Signals Intelligence Directorate (San examination of the NSA PR/TT program to assess its value as a foreign intelligence information. That examination revealed that the program was not producing valuable foreign intelligence information program had been reinitiated (TS//SI//NF) On 2011, SID requested that the Director (DIRNSA) terminate the PR/TT program. SID recommended that the PR/TT authority and destroy all bulk metadata collected pursing PR/TT authority. SID identified several limitations that contribute program's inability to meet expectations.	1, the National munications m Internet he 20 days) SID) conducted a source of he PR/TT on after the (b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 8 or, NSA NSA not renew uant to the

	2(TS//SI//NF)
(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i)	
	3. (T9//SI//NF) Other authorities can satisfy certain foreign intelligence requirements that the PR/TT program was designed to meet. The Supplemental Procedures Governing Communications Metadata Analysis (SPCMA), which SID implemented widely in late 2010, allows NSA to call-chain from, to, or through U.S. person selectors in Signals Intelligence collection obtained under a number of authorities. In addition, notwithstanding restrictions stemming from the FISC's recent concerns regarding upstream collection, FAA §702 has emerged as another critical source for collection of Internet communications of foreign terrorists. Thus, SPCMA and FAA §702 assist in the identification of terrorists communicating with individuals within the United States, which addresses one of the original reasons for establishing the PR/TT program in 2004.
	4. (TS//SI//NF)
(U) DIR	NSA's Decision
o)(1) o)(3)-P.L. 86-36	(TS//SI//NF) On 2011, DIRNSA approved SID's request to allow the PR/TT Order to expire and to destroy all collected bulk metadata from the PR/TT program before the authority expired on 9 December 2011.
- (TS#SH	NF) NSA Systems and Repositories that Stored PR/TT Metadata
	(TS//SI//NF) Before the purge, the Agency declared that PR/TT metadata was stored
	TOP SECRET//SI//NOFORN
	2 (b)(1)

DOCID: 4248814 -TOP SECRET//SI/NOFORN (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 1. (TS//SI//NF) is the Agency's corporate database that accepts metadata into separate partitions, (b)(1) including PR/TT FISA. contained the contact chain (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 summaries and transaction records for PR/TT. • (TS//SI//NF) stored the contact chain summaries that document Internet communications between two persons. A contact chain summary shows that a person communicated with another person, their first and last contact dates, and the total number of communications between them- (TS//SI//NF) (b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (TS//SI//NF (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i) (TS//SI//NF) 2. (TS//SI//NF) 3. (TS//SI//NF) (B)(1) 4. (TS//SI//NF) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 5. (TS//SI//NF)

TOP SECRET//SI//NOFORN

6. (TS//SI//NF)

- TOP SECRET//SI//NOFORN

-(TS//SI//NF) Review of NSA's PR/TT Bulk Metadata Purge

(TS//SI//NF) The PR/TT metadata purge was performed from (b)(3)-P.L. 86-3
through 9 December 2011. •n 2 December and 7 December 2011, the OIG
independently observed the Agency's purge processes to destroy PR/TT bulk
metadata from its declared systems, databases, and backups (as disclosed by
TD). It is important to note that we lack the necessary system accesses and
technical resources to search NSA's networks to independently verify that only
the disclosed repositories stored PR/TT metadata. As a result, we completed
our special study through observation and review of procedures and system
documentation for the disclosed repositories only.
(TS//SI//NF) During our study, we observed the Knowledge Services'
Team (T1222) and T121 personnel perform system commands to purge PR/TT
metadata from Agency systems and databases. Atour request, TD personnel
provided us with system documentation before and after the purge commands
had been performed. This documentation showed that the file systems and
tables that stored PR/TI metadata had been deleted from Agency systems and
databases. We also observed T1222 submit the backup tapes for secure
destruction and obtained copies of receipts signed by destruction personnel.
(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
(TS//SI//NF) However, S3 had completed its purge before we had the
opportunity to observe. As a result, we were able to review thepurge
procedures only for reasonableness; we were not able to do the before and after
comparisons that we did for the TD systems and databases disclosed to us. S3
did provide system documentation that showed PR/TT metadata files no longer
resided in temporary memory of the system and confirmed that
PR/IT dataflows had been terminated and all other purge procedures had been
completed for systems according to plan. Refer to Table 1 for the
six areas reviewed.

TOP SECRET//SI//NOFORN

(U) Table 1. Special Study Results

	Review Area	Org.	OIG Review Method	Procedures Adequately Performed?
	Tape, disk, and	T1222	Observed T1222 submit backup tapes for secure destruction. Obtained copies of receipts signed by destruction personnel.	(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 8
	system backup destruction practices		Reviewed procedures and observed T1222 perform commands to purge Obtained system documentation that showed that the file system had been deleted.	Yes
		T1 22 2	Reviewed procedures and observed T1222 perform commands to purge Obtained system documentation that showed that tables had been deleted.	Yes
		T1222	Reviewed procedures and observed T1222 perform commands to purge Obtained system documentation that showed that file systems had been deleted.	Yes
)(3)-P.L. 86-36		T1222	Reviewed procedures and observed T1222 perform commands to purge Obtained system documentation that showed that file systems had been deleted.	Yes
		T121	Reviewed procedures and observed T121 perform commands to purge PR/TT metadata from directories and tables. Obtained system documentation that showed that directories, files, and tables had been purged of PR/TT metadata	Yes
	Systems	\$3	purge procedures were reviewed only for reasonableness. S3 had completed its purge before we had the opportunity to observe. S3 subsequently provided system documentation that showed that PR/TT metadata files no longer resided in temporary memory of and confirmed that PR/TT dataflows had been terminated and all other purge procedures had been completed according to plan.	Yes

(U) Conclusion

-{TS//SI//NF} On the basis of our observations and review of procedures and documentation, we conclude with reasonable assurance that the Agency destroyed PR/TT bulk metadata from its declared systems, databases, and tape and system backups disclosed to us before the PR/TT authority expired on 9 December 2011.

TOP SECRET//SI//NOFORN

(U) This page intentionally left blank.

TOP SECRET//SL/NOFORN____

(U) APPENDIX

(U) T1222, T121, and S3 Purge Procedures

DOCID: 4248814

TOP SECRET//SL//NOFORN

(U) This page intentionally left blank.

-TOP SECRET//SI//NOFORN

-(TS//SI//NF) Table A1. PR/TT Bulk Metadata Purge Procedures

(3)-P.L. 86-36	Dates	Procedure	İ
Ī		(S3) terminated PR/TT dataflows, purged metadata, and powered down	<u> </u>
		equipment.	
		query services were deleted to prevent analysts from accessing PR/TT chain data stored in	
(3)-P.L. 86-36	12/2/11	Phase 1 – T1222's purge procedures to destroy PR/TT metadata collected	ĺ
garanti s			
)(1)		•	1
)(3)-P.L. 86-3		· ·	
-	12/7/11	Phase 2 – T1222's purge procedures to destroy PR/TT metadata collected	<u> </u>
	12///11	Thase 2 - Tree 3 purge procedures to destroy PNFF inetadata conected	
		•	
		:	
		•	
		:	1
		•	
T T	12/7/11	T121's purge procedures to delete sample PR/TT metadata from the system:	 (b)(3)-P.∟. 86-36
		•	<u> </u>
			-
		•	
		·	
	12/9/11	<u> </u>	{
	12/9/11		
Ī	Note: Bef	fore the purge, the Agency had only PR/TT metadata	·
	action v	metadata obtained before had not been saved to the As a result, no was needed by T1222 for the during the Phase 1 purge.	
	† The ent	was deleted during the Phase 1 purge. As a result, no action	
	was ∩e	eded by 11222 during the Phase 2 purge.	}
			1
	9		
1.		- (TE//EMANT)	.

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

-TOP SECRET//SL/NOFORN-

(U) This page intentionally left blank.

TOP SECRET//SI//NOFORN

TOP SECRET//SI//NOFORN



SECRET/REL TO USA, FVEY NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY CENTRAL SECURITY SERVICE

FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARY: AND 20755 6000

30 December 2011

The Honorable Michael J. Rogers Chairman, Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence United States House of Representatives Capitol Visitor Center HVC-304 U.S. Capitol Building Washington, DC 20515-6415

Dear Representative Rogers:

(U//FOUO) The FISA Amendments Act of 2008 (FAA) authorizes the National Security Agency/Central Security Service (NSA/CSS) Office of the Inspector General (OIG) to assess the Agency's compliance with procedures for targeting certain persons, other than U.S. persons (USPs) outside the United States. My office reviews the collection, processing, and reporting of data at least quarterly. Incidents involving compliance with procedures for targeting certain persons, other than USPs, outside the United States and incidents involving minimization of USP information are reported to the OIG as they occur and quarterly. Each incident is evaluated against the targeting and minimization procedures set forth in the FAA and in NSA/CSS directives. This report covers 1 September 2010 through 31 August 2011.

of §702 of the FAA, NSA/CSS disseminated the FAA §702 authorized collection. Of the reference to a USP. In addition, NSA/CS requests, some of which were not unique (S//REL TO USA, FVEY) During were reasonably believed to be located or	ated intelligence reports bas disseminated report SS released USP iden this reporting period.	sed on SIGIN I derived fro s, contained a tities in response to custon (b valid foreign targets who	om ner o)(1) o)(3)-P.L. 86-36
		_	
suspected or confirmed to be in the Unite			ors
that at the time of targeting were confirm	ned to be outside the United	States but were later	
[Compliance incidents occur	red under such eineumstan	ces
as:	,		
 (U//1 OUO) Delays in implementin collection, 	ng minimization procedures a	ind purging unauthorized (b)(1)	
• (U// FOUO) Analyst misunderstand	ling of the authority.	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	

Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52

(b)(3)-18 USC 798 (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i)

Dated: 20070108

Declassify On: 20320108

- SECRET//REL TO USA, FVEY

(U//TOUO) Poor construction of database queries, and

-SECRET//REL TO USA, FVEY

- (U//FOUO) System errors.
- (U) Action has been taken to correct mistakes, and internal management processes have been reviewed and adjusted to reduce the risk of unauthorized acquisition and improper retention of USP communications.
- (U//FOUO) This is the third year for which the OIG has assessed for the Congress the Agency's compliance with FAA §702. After the OIG filed its report for the year ending 31 August 2010, discrepancies were identified between the data provided to the OIG by the Signals Intelligence Directorate (SID) and similar information contained in a draft Agency Report of Annual Review Pursuant to Section 702(1) of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act prepared by the NSA Office of General Counsel (OGC). It was determined that different methodologies had been used to provide the information. The statistics were compiled differently for the number of reports disseminated based on FAA §702 collection and for the number of USPs referenced in reporting. There were no differences in reporting for the number of USP identities released in response to customer requests.
- (U//FOHO) The following table contains data for all three years of reporting using a consistent methodology. When reconstructing the data, we discovered that we were unable to confirm exactly how of several possible legitimate counting methods—the numbers provided to us on USPs referenced in reporting for 2009 and 2010 had been compiled. For the current year and retrospectively for 2009 and 2010, the table reflects the total number of USP identities referenced in reports derived from FAA §702 collection, regardless of the number of times an individual identity was released or the number of USP identities per report. In addition, the 2010 data initially provided to us on the number of reports disseminated excluded reports produced by Signals Intelligence organizations outside NSA's headquarters complex

 That number has been adjusted.

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

(S//REL TO USA, FVEY)

Report Reports Disseminated Based on FAA §702 Collection USPs Referenced in Reporting

September 2008 – August 2009 (b)(1)

September 2009 – August 2010 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

September 2010 – August 2011

(U//FOUO) To ensure consistency of reporting for the year ending 31 August 2011 and for future years, the OIG, OGC, and SID worked together to achieve a common understanding of the reporting requirements for the two reports and have agreed on a methodology for accumulating and analyzing the compliance statistics. The process has been standardized to ensure continued accuracy and is being documented for future reporting. The table above presents the reportable figures agreed on by the OIG and OGC for all three years for which reports have been required.

SECRET//REL TO USA, FVEY

(U) The OIG continues to exercise oversight of Agency intelligence activities.

Meorge Ellard
GEORGE ELLARD
Inspector General

Copy Furnished:
The Honorable C.A. Ruppersberger
Ranking Member, Permanent Select
Committee on Intelligence

SECRET//SE/NOFORN



NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY CENTRAL SECURITY SERVICE OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL 9800 Savage Road OPS 2B, Suite 6247 Ft, George Mende, MD 20755-6247



20 December 2013

The Honorable Saxby Chambliss Vice Chairman, Select Committee on Intelligence United States Senate 211 Hart Senate Office Building Washington. ▶C 20510

(b) (1)

(b) (3) -P.L. 86-36

(b) (3) -50 USC 3024(i)

(b) (3) - P.L. 86-36

Dear Mr. Vice Chairman:

(U) Section 702 (I) (2) of the FISA Amendments Act of 2008 (FAA) authorizes the National Security Agency/Central Security Service (NSA/CSS) Office of the Inspector General (OIG) to assess the Agency's compliance with procedures for targeting non-U.S. persons outside the United States. My Office reviews incidents involving compliance with procedures for targeting non-U.S. persons outside the United States and incidents involving minimization of U.S. person information as they are reported to the OIG and quarterly. Each incident is evaluated against the targeting and minimization procedures adopted by the Director of National Intelligence and the Attorney General and approved by the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court. This letter covers the 12-month period ending 31 August 2013.

(SI/SI/NF) During that period, the OIG completed two reports on implementation of FAA §702. The first was an assessment of management controls over FAA §702, which examined the design of the management controls that ensure compliance with FAA §702 and the targeting and minimization procedures associated with the 2011 Certifications. Future studies will test the identified controls. The second report	
(SONE) In compliance with the targeting and minimization procedures of FAA \$702, intelligence reports were disseminated by NSA/CSS based on SIGINT derived from FAA \$702 authorized collection. Of the disseminated reports, contained one or more references to USPs. During the previous reporting period. NSA stopped counting references to U.S. service providers contained in an e-mail address as a USP reference if the e-mail address was used by a non-USP. For example, a reference in a disseminated report that target A communicated using e-mail account targetA@USprovider.com is no (b) (3) -P.L. 86-	-36
(U) These reports were based in whole or in part on information acquired pursuant to F.AA \$702(a).	
USP identities might have resulted from collection pursuant to FAA §702 or from other authorized SIGINT activity conducted by NSA that was reported in conjunction with information acquired under FAA §702. (SFNF) The Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) does not conduct acquisitions under FAA §702. However, it receives unminimized communications from NSA and FBI and disseminates information hased on those communications.	
(b) (1)	

ECRET//SI//NOFORIN

<u> SECRET//SI/NOFORN</u>

	longer included as a report referencing a USP identity, if target A is a non-USP. Because for the entirety of the current reporting period, the total number of NSA intelligence is as containing one or more references to USPs is significantly lower than last year.	
(b) (3)-P.1	(U.3+OUO) NSA/CSS released USP identities in response to customer request referred to by name or title in the original reporting. The majority of these requests the United States Intelligence Community or federal law enforcement agencies) (1)	were received from elements of
,	(b) (3) (5'NF) During this reporting period. NSA determined that, on occasions, selections reasonably believed to be located outside the United States at the time of tasking were to be	
	(S//SI//NF) DoJ filed a preliminary notice of compliance incide the Court that	ent with the FISC that advised
	(U) As reported in the OIG's quarterly report to the President's Intelligence Oversigh compliance incidents occurred under such circumstances as:	(b) (1)
	(U) Tasked selector not meeting the requirements of the certification	(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36 (b) (3)-18 USC 798
	(U) System errors resulting in improper storage or access	(b) (3) -50 USC 3024(i)
	(U) Delayed detasking of targets identified as USPs or traveling in the Unite	ed States
	(U) Dissemination errors	
	\	
	(U) Poor construction of database queries and (1) USB state a discourse of a set tagking. (b)(1)	(b) (1)
	• (U) USP status discovered post-tasking. (b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36 (b) (3)-50 USC 3024(i)
	(S:306) For the previous reporting period. NSA reported thatintelligence repreferences to USPs, including references to U.S. electronic communications provident identifier.	
	"(S/NF) For the previous reporting period, NSA reported thatidentities were derequests for identities not referred to by name or title in the original reporting. For the fewer than a quarter of these disseminated USP identities were proper names of indiv	e current reporting period.
	`(U// FOUO)	

-SECRET//SI//NOFORN

- (U) Action has been taken to correct mistakes, and management processes have been reviewed and adjusted to reduce the risk of unauthorized acquisition and improper retention of USP communications.
- (U) This is the fifth year for which the OIG has reviewed the Agency's compliance with FAA §702 for the Congress. To ensure consistency between DIRNSA's report of the annual review conducted in accordance with FAA §702 (I) (3) and this OIG report, the OIG and the Signals Intelligence Directorate worked together to achieve a common understanding of the reporting requirements and have agreed on a methodology for accumulating and analyzing compliance statistics.
- (U) The OIG continues to exercise oversight of Agency intelligence activities.

DR. GEORGE ELLARD Inspector General

Leange Ellard

Copy Furnished
The Honorable Dianne Feittstein
Chairman, Select Committee
on Intelligence



TOP SECRET//COMINT//REL TO USA, FVEY— NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY CENTRAL SECURITY SERVICE

FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20789-8000

19 November 2010

The Honorable Silvestre Reyes
Chairman, Permanent Select
Committee on Intelligence
United States House of Representatives
H-405, The Capitol
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Reves:

Dear Representative Reyes.	
(FAA) authorizes the National Sec of the Inspector General (OIG) to assess certain persons outside the United State collection, processing, and reporting of procedures for targeting certain person incidents involving minimization of U and quarterly. Each incident is evaluated	elligence Surveillance Act of 1978 Amendments Act of 2008 rity Agency/Central Security Service (NSA/CSS) Office of its the Agency's compliance with procedures for targeting tates, other than U.S. persons. My office reviews the of data at least quarterly. Incidents involving compliance with an outside the United States, other than U.S. persons, and U.S. person information are reported to the OIG as they occur atted against the targeting and minimization proced res set forthess. This report cov rs the period 1 September 2009 through
procedures of §702 of the FAA, NSA FAA 702 authority. Of the disperson identity. In addition, NSA/C customer requests. The total of	In compliance with the targeting and minimization A/CSS disseminat d intelligence reports based on seeminations, reports contained a reference to a U.S. SS released U.S. identities in response to is an aggregate of FAA-derived identities because discriminate between FAA sections Intil 26 November. (b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-3
reasonably believed to be located our suspected or confirmed to be in the l	During this reporting period, valid foreign targets at the United States at the time of tasking were later United States. In many instances, NSA/CSS targeted were confirmed to be outside the United States but were
	In some cases, compliance incidents occurred under
circumstances such as:	in some cases, compliance incluents occurred under
(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-18 USC 798 (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i)	Derived From: NSA/CSS Classification Guide 2-48

-TOP SECRET//COMINT//REL TO USA, FVEY-

Dated: 20090804

Declassify On: 20351130

TOP SECRET//COMINT//REL TO USA; FVEY

- (U//POUO) Target selectors were tasked under an incorrect §702 certification category.
- (U//FOUO) Targets were tasked before §702 certification was approved.
- (U//FOUO) Software malfunctions caused unintended collection.
- (U//FOUO) Database queries were poorly constructed.
- (U//FOUO) There were delays in implementing minimization procedures and in purging unauthorized collection.
- (U) Action was taken to correct any mistakes, and processes were reviewed and adjusted to reduce the risk of unauthorized acquisition and improper retention of U.S. person communications.

(U//FOUO) The OIG continues to exercise oversight of Agency intelligence activities.

Messer Ellard GEORGE ELLARD Inspector General

Copy Furnished:
The Honorable Peter Hoekstra
Ranking Member, Permanent Select
Committee on Intelligence



OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY CENTRAL SECURITY SERVICE

7 April 2008 IG-10919-08

TO: DISTRIBUTION

SUBJECT: (U) Report on the Assessment of Management Controls to Implement the Protect America Act of 2007 (ST-08-0001)—ACTION MEMORANDUM

- 1. (U) This report summarizes our Assessment of Management Controls to Implement the Protect America Act of 2007 (ST-08-0001) and incorporates management's response to the draft report.
- 2. (U//FOUO) As required by NSA/CSS Policy 1-60, NSA/CSS Office of the Inspector General, actions on OlG recommendations are subject to monitoring and follow-up until completion. Therefore, we ask that you provide a written status report concerning each planned corrective action categorized as "OPEN." If you propose that a recommendation be considered closed, please provide sufficient information to show that actions have been taken to correct the deficiency. If a planned action will not be completed by the original target completion date, please state the reason for the delay and provide a revised target completion date. Status reports should be sent to ________ Assistant Inspector General for Follow-up, at OPS 2B, Suite 6247, within 15 calendar days after each target completion date.

3. (U/	/FOUO) We appreciate the courtesy and cooperation
extended to the	auditors throughout the review. For additional information
please contact	on 963-2988 or via e-mail at
(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36	George Ellard

Inspector General

Approved for Release by NSA on 11-10-2015. FOIA Case #80120 (litigation)

DOCIDE-084/21/8832 TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN

DISTRIBUTION:

DIR

D/DIR

GC

D/GC(O)

Signals Intelligence Director

Chief, SID/PPAS

Chief, SV

SID/POC IG Liaison SV

Chief, S2

Chief, S3

Chief, S33

Chief, 5332

cc:

IG

D12

D13

D14

(U) EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

(U) OVERVIEW

(S//SI) NSA has implemented procedures to comply with the provisions of the Protect America Act of 2007 (PAA), which modified the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISA) and was signed into law on 5 August 2007. To protect the privacy rights of U.S. persons, the new legislation required NSA to implement and follow procedures established by the Director, NSA (DIRNSA) to ensure its adherence to three requirements: that targets are located overseas, that the foreign intelligence purpose is significant, and that personnel follow applicable minimization procedures. In general, management controls to comply with PAA requirements are adequate. Specific controls to determine that targets are located overseas are especially strong.

-(S//SI) Made necessary by the technology changes that have occurred since the FISA was drafted in 1978. "FISA modernization" was intended to restore the effectiveness of the Act by eliminating the requirement for NSA to obtain court orders for monitoring the communications of persons physically located outside of the United States. Although the PAA expired in February 2008, NSA collection permitted under its provisions will continue for up to another year.

(U) HIGHLIGHTS

(U) The Office of the Inspector General assessed procedures established by DIRNSA to ensure compliance with the three PAA requirements. Management concurred with the recommendations.

- (U) NSA immediately implemented DIRNSA-directed procedures on compilance with the PAA. Management controls to determine that targets are located overseas are particularly strong.
- (3/15/17/16/12 Water PAA) PAA tasking needs additional controls. Though current controls provide reasonable assurance of compliance with the PAA, additional controls are needed to verify that only authorized selectors are on collection and that information acquired through the use of selectors is related to the expected foreign intelligence targets.

•	(U) More rigorous controls will increase the reliability of				
	for PAA compilance. While existing	are excellent			
	preventive and detective controls, current	methodologies are not			
	rigorous enough to draw valid conclusions	about the entire			
	population.				

(U) This page intentionally left blank.

(U) TABLE OF CONTENTS

(U) EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	
(U) TABLE OF CONTENTS	III
1. (U) BACKGROUND	1
II. (U) FINDING	3
III. (U) SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS	11
(U) ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS	13
APPENDIX A - (U) About the Review	
APPENDIX B ~ (U) Assessment of Management Controls	
APPENDIX C - (U) Full Text of Management Comments	

(U) This page intentionally left blank.

I. (U) BACKGROUND

(U) INO Pr	OTHER AMERICA ACT OF ZUU!
	(S//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY) On 5 August 2007, the President signed into law the Protect America Act (PAA) of 2007. The PAA, which expired on 16 February 2008, amended the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISA) of 1978. Specifically, the PAA authorized the Attorney General (AG) and Director of National Intelligence (DNI) to approve, without a court order, the collection of foreign intelligence information from facilities located inside the United States concerning persons reasonably believed to be located outside the United States, subject to certain criteria. As of 31 March 2008, NSA had approximately Internet selectors and telephony selectors on PAA-authorized collection. From the passage of the PAA through 31 March 2008, NSA had issued reports that included PAA-derived intelligence.
(U) Requi	rements of the PAA
	(U) The objective of our review was to assess the adequacy of management controls to implement and ensure compliance with three requirements of the PAA related to NSA operations:
)(1))(3)-P.L. 86-36	 (S//SI) Foreignness.¹ Selectors on PAA collection must concern "persons reasonably believed to be located outside of the United States."
	 (3//Si) Foreign intelligence Purpose. A significant purpose of the collection is to obtain foreign intelligence information.
	 (U) Minimization Procedures. NSA personnel must follow appropriate minimization procedures.
	(S//SI//RELTO USA, FVEY) At the time of our review, the AG and DNI issued separate certifications that authorize NSA to acquire foreign intelligence information of certain targets:

¹ (U) NSA's reasonable belief that a target is located outside of the United States based on one or more pre-determined factors.

\mathbf{r}	$\overline{}$	CT	רם ר	-@2	MAC	DιQ	32
11	u	L.I	1).71	-105	1 BH	n o	.T Z

	DAD DTILL	2/11/17	2001/3 t/O	TABLE
1111PS	T. K. T. 1111	7/0111		

(b) (1)
(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36
(2) (3) 1.1. 33 33

(U) These certifications were based on representations made by the Director of NSA (DIRNSA) in affidavits that detail the management controls and procedures that NSA will follow.

(U) Standards of Internal Control

(U) We assessed management controls against the General Accounting Office's Standards for Internal Control in the Federal Government, November 1999, which presents the five standards that define the minimum level of quality acceptable for management control in government—Control Environment, Risk Assessment, Control Activities, Information and Communications, and Monitoring.

(U) Internal control, or management control, comprises the plans, methods, and procedures used to meet missions, goals, and objectives. It provides reasonable assurance that an entity is effective and efficient in its operations, reliable in its reporting, and compliant with applicable laws and regulations. NSA/CSS Policy 7-3, Internal Control Program, advises that evaluations of internal control should consider the requirements outlined by the Standards. The Office of the Inspector General (OIG) uses the Standards as the basis against which management control is evaluated.

(b) (1)

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36 (b) (3)-50 USC 3024(i)

II. (U) FINDING

—(S//SI) Since the PAA was passed in August 2007, Agency management has made progress in implementing the PAA and establishing management controls that are crucial to ensuring compliance with the PAA. NSA implemented all the procedures delineated by DIRNSA in the affidavits to the certifications. The c trols implemented to verify that selectors tasked under the PAA for targets located outside of the United States are particularly strong. Nevertheless work remains to implement additional controls to:

O.		
•	(S//SI) Verify only those selectors, are o	that authorized selectors, and n collection.
•		routinely review intercepted data on acquired is related to the ce targets.
•	(U) Improve the validity an PAA compliance by Agend	od reliability of various of vari

- (U) Improve target analysts' understanding of the PAA.
- (U) Assessment details are included in Appendix B.

(U) NSA immediately implemented DIRNSA-directed procedures on compliance with the PAA

- (U) Within weeks of the PAA enactment, NSA implemented the procedures that DIRNSA delineated in the affidavits and built on those procedures to establish rigorous processes to ensure compliance with the three requirements of the PAA. Management controls to determine and document foreignness were particularly strong. Controls covering foreign intelligence purpose and compliance with minimization procedures were also adequate.
- (U) Some examples of NSA's accomplishments to date are:
 - (U/FOUO) The PAA Implementation Team was established to coordinate all aspects of PAA implementation.
 Components of the team include internal and external communications, collection and data flows, mission operations, and policy and oversight.

- (S//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY) Telephony and Internet selector tasking systems were updated to allow analysts to document the foreignness determination. Also, controls were programmed into tasking systems to ensure that required information is documented and tasking is appropriate to AG/DNI certification targets.
- (U//FOUO) Within weeks of PAA passage, Signals Intelligence Directorate (SID) Oversight & Compliance (O&C) office, with the Office of General Counsel (OGC) and the Associate Directorate for Education and Training, had developed interim training that included a briefing by an OGC attorney and a competency test. On January 9, 2008, O&C deployed new and improved training.
- (U/FOUO) Agency management developed and published standard operating procedures, including procedures for training and raw traffic access, tasking, and incident reporting that will ensure consistent application of the PAA.
- (U//FOUO) A PAA web site was established to provide the NSA workforce with consistent, reliable, and timely information. From a single location, target analysts can read communications from NSA leadership, access certification-related documents, and view PAA-related standard operating procedures (SOPs).
- (U//FOUO) The PAA Procedures and Analytic Support (PPAS) team runs various processes to ensure compliance with the PAA. Specifically, PPAS personnel conduct foreignness checks of current targeting and notify target analysts of potential changes to a target's status. They also perform various of taskings for compliance with other PAA requirements and guide target analysts through the targeting and tasking processes.

(b) (3)-Р.L. 86-36

(U) PAA tasking needs additional controls

-(5//3f) Although NSA implemented a series of controls to provide reasonable assurance that target analysts task only authorized selectors—selectors that meet the foreignness and foreign intelligence purpose requirements—additional controls are needed to verify that only authorized selectors are on collection and that tasked selectors are producing foreign intelligence of the expected targets.

-TOP SECRET#COMINT#NOFORN

ST-08-0001

	Controls are needed to verify that authorized tors, and only those selectors, are on collection.
00100	(S//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY) With the telephony tasking system, and to some extent the Internet selector tasking system, a risk of discrepancies
(b) (1) (b) (3)-P.L. 86-36 (b) (3)-50 USC 3024(Ultimately, discrepancies might result in violations of over-collection—selectors that are on collection that should not be—and incidents of under-collection—selectors that are not on collection but should be. Periodic reconciliation of NSA and provider records is critical to identify and
:	(S//SI) At the time of our review. NSA had not fully reconciled Agency tasked selectors. Although Collection Managers prepared draft reconcilitation procedures, the procedures were manual.
(b) (1)	(S//St) Implement process that routill ely reconciles PAA-tasked selectors with the providers.
(b) (3)-P.L. 86-	(ACTION: S3/Chief, S332)
	(U) Management Response
	CONCUR(TS//SI//NF)
	Status: OPEN Target Completion Date: 15 May 2008
	(U) OIG Comment
	(U) Planned and ongoing actions meet the intent of the recommendation.
	TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN

-{S/SI) Controls are needed to validate that target analysts routinely confirm that information acquired through the use of selectors is related to the expected foreign intelligence targets.

-(6//SI) PAA Standard Operating Procedures #2-07, Analyst Checklist, obligates target analysts to periodically "review intercepted data and confirm that the tasked selector is producing foreign intelligence from the expected target (which is authorized under the Certification)." A supplementary SOP on the analysts' obligation to review was in draft. Additional controls are needed to monitor compliance with this requirement to ensure that unintended persons are not mistakenly targeted.

(S//SI) implement controls to verify that target analysts routinely review intercepted data and confirm that information acquired through the use of selectors is related to the expected foreign intelligence targets.

(ACTION: Chief, S2 with O&C)

(U//FOUO) In December 2007, Analysis and Production personnel said they are considering an automated report that will determine whether target analysts query, and therefore review, communications in the collection databases. Although such a report is technically feasible, its usefulness as a management control remains uncertain.

(U) Management Response

CONCUR. (S//SI) The Deputy Director for Analysis and Production (DDAP) is working with O&C to establish formal controls to verify that target analysts routinely review both telephony and internet-based collection. The system currently being devised will

Status: **OPEN**

EN (b) (1)

Target Completion Date: 30 June 2008-P.L. 86-36

(b) (3) - 50 USC 3024(i)

(U) OIG Comment

(U) Planned action meets the intent of the recommendation.

U) More rigo pot checks	orous methodologies will improve the reliability of NSA
	(U// FOUO) As shown in Appendix B, NSA is conducting, or plans to conduct, that are important to ensure compliance with the requirements of the PAA. Specifically,
	(S//Si) The PPAS team is conducting of foreignness determinations (with limited checks of foreign intelligence purpose) of selectors tasked under the PAA.
(b) (3) -P. L	• (S//SI) The SID O&C reviews selectors pulled for AG/DNI reviews and is working on plans to conduct of targeting decisions that will complement AG/DNI reviews without being redundant.
	 (U//POUO) O&C conducts superaudit reviews of queries in raw traffic databases to ensure compliance with the appropriate certification and minimization procedures.
	 (U//POUO) O&C conducts reviews of all reports generated by PAA collection to ensure adherence to NSA policy and standard minimization procedures.
(U) While so controls, no procedures sampling to results. In methodolog and means were unstructured than rigorous en	(U) While such checks are excellent preventive and detective controls, neither organization had documented its procedures or considered using quality assurance and statistical sampling techniques that would strengthen the reliability of the results. In particular, neither organization had documented formal methodologies that specified the universe, population, sample size, and means of selecting items for review. The bases for sample sizes were unstructured and sample item selections were judgmental rather than truly random. ² Sampling results were therefore not rigorous enough to draw valid conclusions about the entire population. (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
	(U) Integration of statistical sampling or quality assurance techniques into existing and planned methodologies will not only increase the validity and usefulness of the but will likely decrease the frequency, time, and effort needed to conduct them. In short, well-planned methodologies will improve the reliability and efficiency of these important controls.

²(U) For a sample to represent a population, all items should have an equal probability of selection. Only samples that are truly random (e.g., by using a random number table to select items) are representative of the population. Samples based on haphazard or judgmental methods may be biased and are unlikely to be representative of the population.

(U/FOUO) Develo	op and document rigorous methodologies for
conducting	of PAA compliance.
	(ACTION: Chief, O&C and Chief, PPAS)

(U//FOUO) In January 2008, the Chief, O&C stated that both O&C and PPAS are working on more rigorous methodologies.

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

(U) Management Response

CONCUR. (S//SI//REL) Management stated that O&C is		
documenting methodologies and procedures for conducting		
The management response did not in lude planned		
orrective actions for PPAS		

Status: **OPEN**

Target Completion Date: 2 May 2008

(U) OIG Comment

(U) Planned action meets the intent of the recommendation for O&C. Planned action for PPAS remains unresolved,

(U) Target analysts need greater understanding of the PAA

[U//FOUC] As shown in Appendix B, NSA has made significant progress in implementing a critical management control—training and awareness. Agency-wide e-mails, workforce presentations, a PAA-dedicated web site, and interim training are used to ommunicate with the NSA workfor e. Improved training will further highlight aspects of the PAA authority most relevant to target analysts. However, two additional improvements are needed to provide target analysts the tools and guidance they need to implement the PAA.

(U) Working Aid or Quick Raference on NSA Authorities

(U/FOUO) Given the in reasingly complex and dynamic web of authorities under which NSA operates, target analysts are at risk of misunderstanding the PAA authorities. Although existing training and awareness provides details on the PAA, analysts might still be confused about how it differs from other NSA authorities. A working aid or quick referen e that compares the basic elements and requirements of NSA's various authorities, with links to the authorities themselves, will help analysts navigate through the many documents and legalese and reduce the risk of violations.

Such guidelines and working aids should be available to employees at all times.

(U/FOUO) Publish and maintain a working aid that compares key requirements for SIGINT collection, processing, retention, and dissemination authorized by E.O. 12333 with requirements of other significant additional authorities, for example the PAA and FISA. In the working aid, provide links to the authorizing documents.

(ACTION: O&C with OGC)

(U//FOUO) The Chief, O&C, stated that planning has begun to develop a course that will include an overview and explanation of NSA's authorities, when to use them, what needs to be done to acquire them, and what the handling and minimization procedures are for each. If a working aid becomes an element of such training, we recommend that it be made available to the workforce as soon as possible rather than be tied exclusively to the training course.

(U) Management Response

CONCUR. (U//POU) Management stated that O&C levied a requirement for the Associate Directorate for Education and Training to develop an overview course of NSA's surveillance authorities. Course development is well underway and includes a requirement for a job aid.

Status: **OPEN**

Target Completion Date: 25 April 2008

(U) OIG Comment

(U) Planned action meets the intent of the recommendation.

(U) Communicating PAA-related Guidance (b)(1)

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

(5//Si) Not surprisingly, certain overarching questions on how to apply and comply with the PAA surfaced during our review. For example, target analysts expressed their uncertainty on querving and purging communications of targets the United States. However, no mechanism was in place to keep

the United States. However, no mechanism was in place to keep the analysts informed of what to do while O&C consulted with OGC and developed the needed guidance. For example, by the end of our review, OGC had issued guidance in an e-mail to O&C, who subsequently decided that PPAS, rather than the target analysts, would purge collection for PAA incidents; but, existing procedures

were not updated to reflect this change. As NSA personnel continue to apply the PAA, more questions and uncertainties will inevitably emerge. To minimize confusion, a process is needed to vet, communicate, and post PAA guidance as a reference until it can be incorporated into more formal policy or SOPs, if needed.

(U/FOUO) Implement a process to vet, communicate, and post PAA guidance until it can be incorporated into policy or SOPs.

(ACTION: O&C)

(U) Management Response

CONCUR. (U//FOUO) Management stated that O&C would work with the OIG, OGC, SID Policy and the PAA Legal/Policy/Oversight Team to document the process for vetting, communicating, and posting PAA guidance.

Status: OPEN

Target Completion Date: 2 May 2008

(U) OIG Comment

(U) Planned action meets the intent of the reconunendation.

(U) Conclusion

- (U) Within a short time, NSA has made considerable progress in setting up the needed training, policies, processes, procedures, systems, and oversight to ensure compliance with the PAA. Our recommendations strengthen the planned or implemented management controls, and NSA has already taken steps to address many of our concerns. As Congress continues to debate a long-term solution to the collection gaps that exist in FISA, the controls that NSA has in place set a solid foundation that will accommodate any law that supersedes the PAA.
- (U) For this review, we did not conduct a full range of compliance and substantive testing needed to draw conclusions on the efficacy of management controls. We plan to complete such testing in a follow-on review.

III. (U) SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

(b) (3)-Р.L. 86-36 (U) Recommendation 1		
(S//SI) Implement	process that routinely reconciles PAA-tasked	
selectors		
(U) Action: SID/S332 (U) Status: OPEN	(b) (1) (b) (3)-P.L. 86-36 (b) (3)-50 USC 3024(i)	
(II) Target Completion Date		

(U) Recommendation 2

-(S//SI) implement controls to verify that target analysta routinely review intercepted data and confirm that information acquired through the use of selectors is related to the expected foreign intelligence targets.

(U) Action: SiD/S2 (U) Status: OPEN

(U) Target Completion Date: 30 June 2008

(U) Recommendation 3

(U//FOUO) Develop and document rigorous methodologies for conducting pf PAA compliance.

(U) Action: SID/O&C and PPAS

(b) (3) - P.L. 86 - 36

(U) Status: OPEN

(U) Target Completion Date: 2 May 2008

(U) Recommendation 4

(U//FOUO) Publish and maintain a working aid that compares key requirements for SIGINT collection, processing, retention, and dissemination authorized by E.O. 12333 with requirements of other significant additional authorities, for example the PAA and FISA. In the working aid, provide links to the authorizing documents.

(U) Action: SID/O&C with D/OGC

(U) Status: OPEN

(U) Target Completion Date: 25 April 2008

(U) Recommendation 5

(U//FOUO) implement a process to vet, communicate, and post PAA guidance until it can be incorporated into policy or SOPs.

(U) Action: SID/O&C (U) Status: OPEN

(U) Target Completion Date: 2 May 2008

(U) ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

AG (U) Attorney General

DIRNSA (U) Director, National Security Agency

DNI (U) Director of National Intelligence

FISA (U) Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act

O&C (U) Oversight & Compliance

OIG (U) Office of the Inspector General

PAA (U) Protect America Act

PPAS (U) PAA Procedures and Analytic Support

OGC (U) Office of General Counsel

SID (U) Signals Intelligence Directorate

DOCID <i>st-(#21</i> 48832	TOP SECRETI/COMINT/INOFORN
----------------------------	----------------------------

(U) This page intentionally left blank.

ST-08-0001

(U) APPENDIX A

(U) About the Review

DOCID: 4248832 —TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN ST-08-0001 (U) This page intentionally left blank

(U) ABOUT THE REVIEW

(U) Objectives

(U) The objective of this review was to assess whether management controls are adequate to provide reasonable assurance that NSA complies with the terms of the PAA. In particular, our review assessed the adequacy of controls on the three PAA requirements:

- (S//Si) Foreignness. Selectors on PAA collection must concern "persons reasonably believed to be located outside of the United States."
- (S//Si) Foreign intelligence Purpose. A significant purpose of the collection is to obtain foreign intelligence information.
- (U) Minimization Procedures. NSA personnel must follow appropriate minimization procedures.

(U) Scope and Methodology

- (U) The review was conducted from September 14, 2007 to November 30, 2007.
- (U) We interviewed Agency personnel and reviewed documentation to satisfy the review objectives.
- (U) We did not conduct a full range of compliance or substantive testing that would allow us to draw conclusions on the efficacy of management controls. Our assessment was limited to the overall adequacy of management controls.
- (U) This review was conducted in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards, as set forth by the Comptroller General of the United States and implemented by the audit manuals of the DoD and NSA/CSS inspectors General.

(U) Prior Coverege

(U) The OIG has conducted no prior coverage of NSA's implementation of the PAA.

(U) This page intentionally left blank

-TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN

ST-08-0001

(U) APPENDIX B

(U) Assessment of Management Controls

DOCID _{ST-} 6246 832	— TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN
	(U) This page intentionally left blank
	TOP SECRETHEOMINT//NOFORN

(U) ASSESSMENT OF MANAGEMENT CONTROLS

(U) Many of the internal control requirements were established by the Affidavit of DIRNSA submitted for each Certification. Exhibit A to the Affidavit, and Exhibit B to the Affidavit. Exhibit A is common to each of the three AG/DNi certifications issued at the time of the review and establishes the procedures used to determine the foreignness of a larget. Exhibit B for each affidavit contains the minimization procedures to be used for information collected under the related Certification. These procedures are unique to each Certification. In addition to the control requirements established by the affidavits and exhibits, the Suandards for internal Control in the Federal Government provides a general framework of controls that should be incorporated into daily operations.

Γ.					Assessme	nt
	Control Objective	Gource	Oescription		Adequate	Needs Improvement
	(U/PONO)	(U) ExhibitA	(II/AMDES) According to the Analyst Checklist a tasking analyst must review tasking submitted by a larger analyst for a accumil-level review of foreignment. If the targer analyst and tasking analyst are the same persons, a tracking auditor will perform the accord level review.		•	
9636	(B) (L) (b) (3) -P.L. 86-36		reviews tasking to ensure the required information has been entered.		•	
Foreign	(15/13/11/12/00/30		(U//FBUS) SID Overaght & Compliance (O&C), in Coordination with the OGC, has developed mandatory training for enabytes tasking under the PAA and analysis accessing information collected under the PAA.	•		
	(U/ //POUO	(U) Establit A	(U//POUG) The PAA Procedures and Analytic Support (PPAS) Team was established to detect incidents of targets entering the United States. has been particularly successful the PPAS team also to follow up with analysts on potential violations, detask, and parge data as needed.	•		

-TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN-

Appendix B Page 1 of 6

ST-08-0001

TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOPORN

(U) ASSESSMENT OF MANAGEMENT CONTROLS

	Control Objective	Source	Description	Assessment		
	Cond of Collective	Source	Description	Cond	Adoquate	Needs Improvement
	10/# 2000	(U) Eablbit A	(U/ABOO) To meet the requirements for documenting "foreignness determinations" in Exhibit A of the DIRNSA Affidavit. To ensure that analysis hilly document "foreignness" determinations when largeting under the PAA.	•		
	6.4.4		[U//POHO] The Analysi Cherkisi states that "the target analyst is required to create a partianent resurd of the citations associated with each target and associated selectors.		_	
	(b) (1) (b) (3)	-P.L. 86~36	The Checklist further describes to retain "forciginess" documentation. Procedures to retain source documents are ocaring completion.		•	
2	rt// Foud	(U) Exhibit A	(U// FEMON NSA personnel support mandated AG/DNI reviews of PAA targeting decisions. So far. AG/DNI have not formally reported any violations to NSA.		•	
Poredgnaes			(U/#9000) The AG and DNI conducted 12 independent reviews of Turenguicas" determinations as mandated in Ethiolit A. Initial reviews were conducted 14 days after the cartification was signed, and subsequent reviews are conducted every 30 days thereafter. the AG and DNI decided to conduct reviews every days. Agency personnel track resolution of feedback and recommendations provided by the AG/DNI review teams during the cycleys.	(b) (3)-P.	L. 86-36	
	(U//POUO)	(U) ExhibitA	the reviews. -(6//68)-OftC was electuring selectors pulled for AG/DNI reviews but had no formal standard open-ling promoures or rigorous methodology for conducting independent of the reviews. OftC was working to be supply procedures that will complement AG/DNI reviews without being redundant. See Recommendation #3.			•
			(U/#5000) The PPAS team does timited checks for foreignness. However, the PPAS team does not have a documented methodology for conducting the Security Sec			•

-TOP SECRET/ICOMINT/INOFORN

Appendix B Page 2 of 6

(U) ASSESSMENT OF MANAGEMENT CONTROLS

	Control Objective				Assessme	ut
L		Source	Description	Cloud	Adequate	Needs Improvement
	(U/AFOUO) In determining whether each of the persons targeted for collection pursuant to this request presences and is likely to communicate information lof a foreign	(U) DIRNSA ARIdavii	(U/ /POUG) The Analyst Checklist includes steps that analysis must follow to east? Juin under which certification the larger can be tasked.		•	
!	intelligence value), NSA considers (certain information).*		(U//2000) Tasking tools were modified o ensure consistency and accuracy in targeting information entered by analysts.			
e Purpo			(U//POUG) Analysts must also document, in the tasking tools, the information Need that a larget is expected to satisfy.] 	
ga Intelligenc	(b) (3)-Р.L. 86·36	36	15/76) The Analysi Checklist requires analysis to routinely review intercepted data and confirm that lasked selectors are producing foreign intelligence from the expected targets. A SoP is planned that will provide full instructions on an analysis review obligation. In addition to the SoP, management about develop controls to existe analysis are conducting required reviews. See Recommendation #2.			•
Poreign			(U//POSE) in conjunction with of foreign intelligence purpose. However, the PPAS team does not have a documented methodology for conducting the Recommendation #3.			•
			(U) Routine audits of queries of raw traffic databases are performed to validate that the queries will likely produce foreign intelligence information.		•	

Appendix B Page 3 of 6

TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN

ST-08-0001

TOP SECRETHEOMINTHNOFORN

(U) ASSESSMENT OF MANAGEMENT CONTROLS

	Cantrol Objective			Assessment			
_	Control Objective	Bource	Description	Good	Adequate	Neode Losprovernent	
	(S) NSA will follow: (a) the Stundard Minimization Procedures for Electronic Surveillance Conducted by the [NSA] [also known as Armex A to United States Signals Intelligence Observe 18), which have been adopted by the Attorney General and are on file with the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court (except as matified by Exhibit D to each Certification).	ह इत्तरप्रदात (१)	(U//TOUG) Standard minimization procedures have been promulgated as USED SP0018—Legal Compitance and Minimization Procedures (USSID 18), since 1993. The current version of USSID 18 supercedies a prior version issued in 1980. The policies and procedures prescribed by USSID 18 are well-certabilished and well-known to sussiyots. Also, USSID CR1610 requires analysts be briefed by OGC and SID O&C on USSID 18 before obtaining necession raw SIGINT databases. CR1610 also requires USSID 18 briefings every two years in order to maintain database access.	•			
Minimization Procedures			(U//POUG) Although PAA training has been traplemented, traprovements could be made when discussing the differences between USSID 18 and the minimization procedures for each certification. A working aid for analysis would help analysis distinguish between authorities and their related minimization procedures. See Recommendation #4.			•	
		(U) EXNIMIA	(b) (1) (b) (3) -P. L. 86-36	•			
	[U/ /FOUN]	(U) Exhibit A	(U//PROCO) The PPAS team and SID O&C verify compliance with reporting minimization procedures. For compliance with Certification minimization procedures are performed for published reports. However, O&C has not documented procedures of a methodology for such reviews. See Recommendation #3.			•	

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

Appendix B Page 4 of 6

- TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN

(U) ASSESSMENT OF MANAGEMENT CONTROLS

[]		-			Assessmen	nt
	Control Objective Source	Source	Description	Gond	Adequate	Noods Improvement
	(U) 'information should be reserved and communicated to management and others within the entity who need it and to a form and within a tireframe that enables them to carry out their internal control and other responsibilities."	(U) Standards for Internal Control in the Federal Covernment	(U/ACOLO) An internal web site has been established to centralize communication of PAA-related information to the NSA workflore. The website serves as a single point of contact for PAA-related biformation. Prom one location, analysis can access PAA SOPs. DIRNSA affidavits and related exhibits for each certification, archived PAA communications from NSA leadership, and PAA Help Team contact information.	•		
			(U//POUS) NSA leadership has emphasized the importance of the PAA through various Agency-all e-mails and presentations.	•		. ———
nication	(b) (3)	-P.L. 86-36	S://Si//Rsic TO USA: PUSA: A system to post PAA Standard Operating Procedures is in place. sets of standard operating procedures have been posted so is: Analyst Checklist, incident Reporting, Training. In addition, a number of procedures were still to draft and various local procedures had either been formalized or were to draft.	•		
Communication	(b) (3) - Р. L (b) (3) -50	. 86-36 USC 3024(i)	(U//FOHO) Athough a process is in place to promising SOPs, a similar process does not exist for emmunicaling and profiting interin glutance until Oéte and OOC can publish more formal policy, as needed. Owen the newness of the PAA more questions on applying and complying with the PAA will be triably exerge. Answers to such questions have been communicated by e-mail to OaC: Downer: existing processures had not been updated to reflect any changes. A process to post such questions and answers for fitting reference will eliminate confusion on the part of the Analysts until SOPs are updated. See Recommendation #5.			•
			(1)/APOUGH PAA SOP has been described for incident reporting and published on the PAA website. Published SOPs enable analysis to quickly recognize reportable incidents and take appropriate action.	•		
			(U//7000) The PPAS Tram measure S2 product times by guiding analysis through the targeting and tasking processes.	•		

TOP SECRET//COMENT//NOFORN

Appendix B Page 5 of 6

-TOP SECRETICOMINT//NOFORN

(U) ASSESSMENT OF MANAGEMENT CONTROLS

Г					Assessme	nt
-	Control Objective	Source	Peacription	Good	Adequate	Noods Improvement
rtion	(U/ /F0H0)	(V) Ежын(А	(U/POST) Oac and OGC developed training that requires watching a wideo briefing from OGC, reading the cartifications and related documents, and taking and passing a competency test with a score of 80% or better.	_		
mountee			(U/FECHO) OAC has made improvements to the training based on feedback from the initial course. The updated training clarifies key points for analysis and draws distinctions between PAA and other NSA authorities.	•		
Som	(b) (1) (b) (3) -P. L.	86-36	(U//POHO) A Training SoP has been developed and published on the internal P AA website. The SoP outlines the training requirements to phiato access to PAA derived collection, as well as, the process to obtain the training.	•		
Monitoring	(U) Internal control monitoring should assess the quality of performance over time and ensure findings are resolved. It includes regular management and supervisory activities, such as migning comparisons and reconciliations, to ensure controls are functioning properly.	(U) Standards for Internal Control in the Federal Government	467/68 Periodic remardifation of selectors on collection in NSA systems should be performed to detect potential over-collection or under-collection. Although collection managers prepared draft reconciliation procedures, the procedures were designed to See Recommendation #1.			(1) 3)-P.L. 86-86 3)-50 usc 3024(
	[U// POUO)	(U) Exhibit A	(U//FOHO) OdC currently conducts supermidits of queries to raw infine dutabases for USSID 18 compliance. OdC will conduct supermidits of queries made to PAA data partitions to ensure compliance with appropriate conflications and USSID 18. See Recommendation #3.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	***************************************	•

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

Appendix B Page 6 of 6

-TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN-

-TOP SECRETI/COMENT/INOFORM-

ST-08-0001

(U) APPENDIX C

(U) Full Text of Management Comments

DOCID <i>ST-</i> 企2 利贷832	- TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN
	(U) This page intentionally left blank

-TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN

TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

NSA	ST	AFF	PR	OCES	SINC	FORM	
TIDU							

	KCC CONTROL NO	UMBER
ACT L	APPROVAL	EXREG SUSPENSE
	SIGNATURE	KCC SUSPENSE
	INFORMATION	ELEMENT SUSPENSE
		1
	, a	SIGNATURE

SUMMARY

PURPOSE: (U/FOUO) To provide the SID response on the OIG Draft Report on the Assessment of Management Controls to Implement the Protect America Act (PAA) of 2007 (ST-08-0001).

BACKGROUND: (S//SI/REL) The OIG performed an assessment of the procedures established by the Director NSA (DIRNSA) to ensure NSA's adherence to three PAA requirements: that targets are located overseas, that the foreign intelligence purpose is significant, and that personnel follow applicable minimization procedures. The OIG draft report was published on 31 January 2008 and provides a complete summary of the OIG's assessment. The SIGINT Directorate (SID) was tasked to review and comment on the OIG Draft Report.

DISCUSSION: (U//FOUC)—The Office of Oversight & Compliance (SV), the SID Directorate for Analysis & Production (S2), and the SID Directorate for Data Acquisition (S3) have reviewed and concurred with the recommendations in the OIG Draft Report. These organizations have responded with detailed plans of action, to include their expected target completion dates.

/(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

FORM ASTRE REV HOVES	Derived Fram: MSA/CSSM 1-52 Extent & January 2007 Decisionity on: 20080108			SECURITY CLASSIFICATION -TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN		
ORIGINATOR	SID IG Liaison		org. SV	PHONE (Seare) 966-2464	DATE PREPARED 17 March 2008	
PAA Team	/e//25 Mar 08	966-2044				
DDDA	/e// 21 Mar 08	963-1921	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>	
ADDAP	//s// 11 Mar 08	963-3335				
sv <u>-</u>	24 marcos	966-2479				
SID	40 LZK (15 W)	962-7700				
OFFICE	NAME AND DATE	SECURE PHONE	OFFICE	NAME AN	DDATE	SECURE PHONE

-TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN-

(U/#POUO) SID RESPONSE to the OIG Draft Report on the Assessment of Management Controls to Implement the Protect America Act (PAA) (ST-08-0001)

	Recommen	ndation 1: (S//	SI) Implement	_	process to re	outinely recond	ile
	PAA-taske	ed selectors		(ACTION:	Chief, S332)		
					(b) (1)		
	(U) SID A	CTION: S3/CH	iief, S332		(ъ) (з)	-P.L. 86-36	
						- .	
			08): (U// FeVe			_(\$332) concu	rs
			and Recommen				
	description	of planned con	rective actions at	nd a target con	apletion date.		
							ī
	(TS//SI/N	F)					
	-(TS//SI//N	E7					
/		• 1.					
· .	1						
(b) (1)	• -	(TS//SI//NF)					
(b) (3)-P.L. 8		(10//02/141)					
(b) (3) -50 USC	3024(1)						

Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52

Dated: 20070108 Declesalfy On: 20320108

- TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN

(U//FOHO) The Target Completion Date for S3 to implement (b) (1) (3) -50 USC 3024 (1) (U//FOHO) The Target Completion Date for S3 to implement (b) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (2) (3) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4	• -(TS//SI//NF)
b) (1) b) (3) -P.L. 86-36 b) (3) -SO USC 3024(1) 1. 2. 3. (U/FOUG) The Target Completion Date for S3 to implement process is 15 May 2008. (U/FOUG) SID POC(s): (S33243), NSTS: 963-4886. (b) (3) -P.L. 86-36 Recommendation 2:-(S://SF) Implement controls to verify that target analysts routinely review intercepted data and confirm that tasked askectors are producing foreign intelligence from the expected targets. (ACTION: Chief, S2) (U) SID ACTION: DDAP (Chief, S2) SID Response (March 2008):-(8://ST) The Deputy Director for Analysis & Production (DDAP) will continue to work with Oversight & Compliance (SV) to formally establish the requested controls. The system currently being devised will cover both DNI and telephony.	
b) (1) b) (3) -P.L. 86-36 b) (3) -SO USC 3024(1) 1. 2. 3. (U/FOUG) The Target Completion Date for S3 to implement process is 15 May 2008. (U/FOUG) SID POC(s): (S33243), NSTS: 963-4886. (b) (3) -P.L. 86-36 Recommendation 2:-(S://SF) Implement controls to verify that target analysts routinely review intercepted data and confirm that tasked askectors are producing foreign intelligence from the expected targets. (ACTION: Chief, S2) (U) SID ACTION: DDAP (Chief, S2) SID Response (March 2008):-(8://ST) The Deputy Director for Analysis & Production (DDAP) will continue to work with Oversight & Compliance (SV) to formally establish the requested controls. The system currently being devised will cover both DNI and telephony.	
b) (1) b) (3) -P.L. 86-36 b) (3) -SO USC 3024(1) 1. 2. 3. (U/FOUG) The Target Completion Date for S3 to implement process is 15 May 2008. (b) (1) (b) (3) -P.L. 86-36 (b) (3) -P.L. 86-36 (b) (3) -P.L. 86-36 Recommendation 2:-(S//SF) Implement controls to verify that target analysts routinely review intercepted data and confirm that tasked askectors are producing foreign intelligence from the expected targets. (ACTION: Chief, S2) (U) SID ACTION: DDAP (Chief, S2) SID Response (March 2008):-(8//ST) The Deputy Director for Analysis & Production (DDAP) will continue to work with Oversight & Compliance (SV) to formally establish the requested controls. The system currently being devised will cover both DNI and telephony.	
(U/FOUG) The Target Completion Date for S3 to implement process is 15 May 2008. (U/FOUG) SID POC(s): (S33243), NSTS:963-4886. (b) (3) -P. L. 86-36 Recommendation 2: (S//SI) Implement controls to verify that target analysts routinely review intercepted data and confirm that tasked exectors are producing foreign intelligence from the expected targets. (ACTION: Chief, S2) (U) SID ACTION: DDAP (Chief, S2) SID Response (March 2008): (S//SI) The Deputy Director for Analysis & Production (DDAP) will continue to work with Oversight & Compliance (SV) to formally establish the requested controls. The system currently being devised will cover both DNI and telephony.	• (TS//SI//NF)
(U/#OUO) The Target Completion Date for S3 to implement process is 15 May 2008. (U/#OUO) SID POC(s): (S33243), NSTS: 963-4886. (b) (3) -P. L. 86-36 Recommendation 2:-(S/#St) Implement controls to verify that target analysts routinely review intercepted data and confirm that tasked selectors are producing foreign intelligence from the expected targets. (ACTION: Chief, S2) (U) SID ACTION: DDAP (Chief, S2) SID Response (March 2008):-(S/#St) The Deputy Director for Analysis & Production (DDAP) will continue to work with Oversight & Compliance (SV) to formally establish the requested controls. The system currently being devised will cover both DNI and telephony.	b) (3) -P.L. 86-36
(U//FOUC) SID POC(s): (S33243), NSTS: 963-4886. (b) (3) -P. L. 86-36 Recommendation 2:-(S//SI) Implement controls to verify that target analysts routinely review intercepted data and confirm that tasked selectors are producing foreign intelligence from the expected targets. (ACTION: Chief, S2) (U) SID ACTION: DDAP (Chief, S2) SID Response (March 2008):-(S//SI) The Deputy Director for Analysis & Production (DDAP) will continue to work with Oversight & Compliance (SV) to formally establish the requested controls. The system currently being devised will cover both DNI and telephony.	2.
(U//FOUC) SID POC(s): (S33243), NSTS: 963-4886. (b) (3) -P. L. 86-36 Recommendation 2:-(S//SI) Implement controls to verify that target analysts routinely review intercepted data and confirm that tasked selectors are producing foreign intelligence from the expected targets. (ACTION: Chief, S2) (U) SID ACTION: DDAP (Chief, S2) SID Response (March 2008):-(S//SI) The Deputy Director for Analysis & Production (DDAP) will continue to work with Oversight & Compliance (SV) to formally establish the requested controls. The system currently being devised will cover both DNI and telephony.	
(U//FOUC) SID POC(s): (S33243), NSTS: 963-4886. (b) (3) -P. L. 86-36 Recommendation 2:-(S//SI) Implement controls to verify that target analysts routinely review intercepted data and confirm that tasked selectors are producing foreign intelligence from the expected targets. (ACTION: Chief, S2) (U) SID ACTION: DDAP (Chief, S2) SID Response (March 2008):-(S//SI) The Deputy Director for Analysis & Production (DDAP) will continue to work with Oversight & Compliance (SV) to formally establish the requested controls. The system currently being devised will cover both DNI and telephony.	(TS//SU/NP)
(U//FOUC) SID POC(s): (S33243), NSTS: 963-4886. (b) (3) -P. L. 86-36 Recommendation 2:-(S//SI) Implement controls to verify that target analysts routinely review intercepted data and confirm that tasked selectors are producing foreign intelligence from the expected targets. (ACTION: Chief, S2) (U) SID ACTION: DDAP (Chief, S2) SID Response (March 2008):-(S//SI) The Deputy Director for Analysis & Production (DDAP) will continue to work with Oversight & Compliance (SV) to formally establish the requested controls. The system currently being devised will cover both DNI and telephony.	
(U//FOUC) SID POC(s): (S33243), NSTS: 963-4886. (b) (3) -P. L. 86-36 Recommendation 2: (S//SF) Implement controls to verify that target analysts routinely review intercepted data and confirm that tasked selectors are producing foreign intelligence from the expected targets. (ACTION: Chief, S2) (U) SID ACTION: DDAP (Chief, S2) SID Response (March 2008): (S//ST) The Deputy Director for Analysis & Production (DDAP) will continue to work with Oversight & Compliance (SV) to formally establish the requested controls. The system currently being devised will cover both DNI and telephony.	15 May 2008. (b) (1)
Recommendation 2:-(S//SI) Implement controls to verify that target analysts routinely review intercepted data and confirm that tasked selectors are producing foreign intelligence from the expected targets. (ACTION: Chiel, S2) (U) SID ACTION: DDAP (Chief, S2) SID Response (March 2008): (S//SI) The Deputy Director for Analysis & Production (DDAP) will continue to work with Oversight & Compliance (SV) to formally establish the requested controls. The system currently being devised will cover both DNI and telephony.	(U// FOUO) SID POC(s):
routinely review intercepted data and confirm that tasked selectors are producing foreign intelligence from the expected targets. (ACTION: Chief, S2) (U) SID ACTION: DDAP (Chief, S2) SID Response (March 2008): (8//St) The Deputy Director for Analysis & Production (DDAP) will continue to work with Oversight & Compliance (SV) to formally establish the requested controls. The system currently being devised will cover both DNI and telephony.	·
SID Response (March 2008): (8//ST) The Deputy Director for Analysis & Production (DDAP) will continue to work with Oversight & Compliance (SV) to formally establish the requested controls. The system currently being devised will cover both DNI and telephony.	routinely review intercepted data and confirm that tasked selectors are producing
(DDAP) will continue to work with Oversight & Compliance (SV) to formally establish the requested controls. The system currently being devised will cover both DNI and telephony.	(U) SID ACTION: DDAP (Chlef, S2)
The system should	(DDAP) will continue to work with Oversight & Compliance (SV) to formally establish the requested controls. The system currently being devised will cover both DNI and
	The system should
(b) (1) TOP SECRET#COMINT#NOFORN	(b) (1) TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN

⁽b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

⁽b) (3)-50 USC 3024(i)

DOCID:	4248832
(b)	(1) (3)-P.L. 86-36 (3)-50 USC 3024(i)
	(U) The Target Completion Date for official implementation of these procedures is 30 June 2008.
	(U// FOUO) SID POC(s):
	NSTS: 963-1161. (b) (3) -P.L. 86-36
	Recommendation 3: (U/FOUO) Develop and document rigorous methodologies for conducting of PAA compliance. (ACTION: Chief, O&C and Chief, PPAS)
	SID Response (March 2008): (U//FOUO) Oversight and Compliance (SV) concurs with this recommendatio (b) (1) (b) (3)-P.L. 86-36
	— (S://SI:/REL) Oversight and Compliance (O&C) is currently documenting the methodologies a d procedures for conducting pf targeting d cisions, intelligence disseminations, a d queries in data repositories to ensure compliance with established procedures and in accordance with Exhibits A under the PAA certifications. O&C is currently conducting of intelligence disseminations by reviewing 100% of all reporting
	In addition, O&C is conducting super-
(b) (3)-P.L. 8	audits against a file, All query terms are reviewed to ensure that there are no terms that will inherently return U.S. entity communications. These procedures will be documented. Finally, Oversight and Compliance is working with DOJ and ODNI attorneys in every review of all targeting decisions. Procedures for
	these reviews will also be documented. It should be noted that these procedures may change pending the passage of permanent legislation.
	(U) The Target Completion Date for the documentation of the methodologies and procedures is 2 May 2008.
	(U//F9U0) SID POC(s): Chief. (SV2), NSTS: 963-0248; PPAS (S0), NSTS: 963-0363.
	(b) (3) -P.L. 86-36

Recommendation 4: (U//FOUO) Issue and maintain an up-to-date working aid or quick reference that compares key elements and requirements of and links to NSA's various authorities. (ACTION: O&C with OGC)

-TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN

(U) SID ACTION: O&C (SV)

SID Response (March 2008): SV concurs with this recommendation.

(O&C) had already levied a requirement with ADET in Octo er 2007 to develop an overview course of NSA's surveillance authorities. The Training Control Document for this course was completed on 1 Fe ruary 2008 and includes a requirement for a job aid to fulfill this recommendation. The development of the course is well underway. Details of the course are available upon request. It should be noted that some course content may change pending the passage of permanent legislation.

(U) The Target Completion Date for the course and the job aid is 25 April 2008. (U/FOUO) SID POC(s): (SV3), NSTS: 966-4887; and FISA Technical Lead, SV09, NSTS: 963-8168. (b) (3)-P.L. 86-36 Recommendation 5: (U//FOUO) Implement a process to vet, communicate, and post PAA guidance until it can be incorporated into policy or SOPs. (ACTION: O&C) (U) SID ACTION: O&C (SV) (b) (1) (b) (3)-P.L. 86-36 SID Response (March 2008): SV concurs with this recommendation. (3//SI//REL) Immediately after the temporary PAA legislation was passed, SID established a PAA implementation team, which consisted of sub-teams that included the following: and a Legal/Policy/Oversight (LPO) team. The LPO team, led by the Chief of Oversight and Compliance (SV), has been meeting periodically since August 2007 to discuss and develop guidance related to PAA (NA) PL 86.26
(SV3), NSTS: 966-4887; and FISA Technical Lead, SV09, NSTS: 963-8168. (b) (3)-P.L. 86-36 Recommendation 5: (U//FOUG) Implement a process to vet, communicate, and post PAA guidance until it can be incorporated into policy or SOPs. (ACTION: O&C) (U) SID ACTION: O&C (SV) (b) (1) (b) (3)-P.L. 86-36 SID Response (March 2008): SV concurs with this recommendation. (3//SI//REL) Immediately after the temporary PAA legislation was passed, SID established a PAA implementation team, which consisted of sub-teams that included the following: [and a Legal/Policy/Oversight (LPO) team. The LPO team, led by the Chief of Oversight and Compliance (SV), has been meeting periodically since August 2007 to discuss and develop guidance related to PAA
Recommendation 5: (U//FOUO) Implement a process to vet, communicate, and post PAA guidance until it can be incorporated into policy or SOPs. (ACTION: O&C) (b) (1) (b) (3)-P.L. 86-36 SID Response (March 2008): SV concurs with this recommendation. (3//SIJ/REL) Immediately after the temporary PAA legislation was passed, SID established a PAA implementation team, which consisted of sub-teams that included the following: and a Legal/Policy/Oversight (LPO) team. The LPO team, led by the Chief of Oversight and Compliance (SV), has been meeting periodically since August 2007 to discuss and develop guidance related to PAA
PAA guidance until it can be incorporated into policy or SOPs. (ACTION: O&C) (b) (1) (b) (3)-P.L. 86-36 SID Response (March 2008): SV concurs with this recommendation. (3//SI//REL) Immediately after the temporary PAA legislation was passed, SID established a PAA implementation team, which consisted of sub-teams that included the following: and a Legal/Policy/Oversight (LPO) team. The LPO team, led by the Chief of Oversight and Compliance (SV), has been meeting periodically since August 2007 to discuss and develop guidance related to PAA
(U) SID ACTION: O&C (SV) (b) (3)-P.L. 86-36 SID Response (March 2008): SV concurs with this recommendation. (3//SI//REL) Immediately after the temporary PAA legislation was passed, SID established a PAA implementation team, which consisted of sub-teams that included the following: and a Legal/Policy/Oversight (LPO) team. The LPO team, led by the Chief of Oversight and Compliance (SV), has been meeting periodically since August 2007 to discuss and develop guidance related to PAA
(3//SI//REL) Immediately after the temporary PAA legislation was passed. SID established a PAA implementation team, which consisted of sub-teams that included the following: and a Legal/Policy/Oversight (LPO) team. The LPO team, led by the Chief of Oversight and Compliance (SV), has been meeting periodically since August 2007 to discuss and develop guidance related to PAA
established a PAA implementation team, which consisted of sub-teams that included the following: and a Legal/Policy/Oversight (LPO) team. The LPO team, led by the Chief of Oversight and Compliance (SV), has been meeting periodically since August 2007 to discuss and develop guidance related to PAA
implementation. The team has promulgated SOPs and is in the process of developing These SOPs are posted on both the PAA and O&C we sites. In addition, members of the LPO team (which includes SID Policy, OGC, S2, and S3 members) participate in the almost daily PAA team lead sessions where additional information is discussed to include the need for further guidance. Although this recommendation is somewhat vague in terms of expected deliverables, Oversight and Compliance will work with the OIG Office, OGC, SID Policy, and the LPO team to document the process for vetting, communicating and posting PAA guidance. It should be noted that some guidance may change pending passage of per anent legislation. (U) The Target Completion Date for documenting the process is 2 May 2008. (U/FOUO) SID POC(s): Chief, Oversight and Compliance (SV), NSTS: 966-2479.

-TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN

SECRET#SI#NOFORN



NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY CENTRAL SECURITY SERVICE OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL 9800 Savage Road OPS 2B, Suite 6247 Ft. George Meade, MD 20755-6247



17 December 2014

Declassify On: 20391217

The Honorable Dianne Feinstein Chairman, Select Committee on Intelligence United States Senate 211 Hart Senate Office Building Washington, DC 20510

Dear Madame Chairman:

(b) (1) (b) (3)-

(b) (3) -50 USC 3024(i)

(U) Section 702 (I) (2) of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISA) of 1978, as amended by the FISA Amendments Act of 2008 (FAA), authorizes the National Security Agency/Central Security Service (NSA/CSS) Office of the Inspector General (OIG) to assess the Agency's compliance with procedures for targeting non-U.S persons reasonably believed to be located outside the United States. My Office reviews incidents involving compliance with procedures for targeting non-U.S. persons reasonably believed to be located outside the United States and incidents involving minimization of U.S person information as they are reported to the OIG and quarterly. Each incident is evaluated by NSA against the targeting and minimization procedures adopted by the Attorney General, in consultation with the Director of National Intelligence, and approved by the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court (FISC). This letter covers the 12-month period ending 31 August 2014.

program:	e reporting period, the OIG performed to	wo special studies of the FAA §7€2 and the Implementation
of Section 702 of the 1	FISA Amendments Act of 2008.	
implementation of the	The second s mittee and scheduled to be published in FAA \$702 authority, the controls used pliance, and use of FAA \$702 data to sup	to protect U.S. person privacy, past
intelligence reports w	e with the FAA \$702 targeting and minimere disseminated by NSA/CSS intelligence (SIGINT) derived in whole	
	, , ,	(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36
P.L. 86-36	(b) (1) (b) (3)-P.L. 86-36	Classi fied By: Derived From: NSA/CSS Manual 1-52 Dated: 30 September 2013

-SECRET//SI//NOFORN-

DOCIE	: 424	8833			(b) (1)	
) (1)		- SECR	ET//SI//NOFORN	(b) (3) -P.L. (b) (3) -10 USG	
(Þ	o) (3)-P.L.	86-36	SECK	EI//OF/TOTOTOT	(b) (3) -50 USC	
	collection.	•f the	disseminated repo	rts, contained of	ne or more references to U	I.S.
	person ider requests w	ntities not refer	τed to by name or t	itle in the original rep	oonse to customer requests orting. The majority of tence Community and fede	hese
	to non-U.S	, persons reaso		e located outside the	occasions, selectors be United States at the time of	
) (1)) (3)-P.L	-(\$\fs\f\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	compliance in	the Departn cident that advised		iled with the FISC a prelin	ninary
(3)-P.L	 . <u>86-36 </u>				<u></u>	-
,	L(S/ANP)			······································	· <u></u>	
					the references	
					or from other authorized SIGI	
					equired under FAA §702. For	
					ained one or more references (s part of a communications id	
					s part of a communications to sunder FAA §702. However	
					d disseminates information ba	
	those commi		Sircain Communicatio	ווא ופון אווא אכא וווטון צוו	d disseminates an ormation of	
	THE COMME	tanicano,				
3)-P.L. 86						
			porting period, NSA re		ties were disseminated in resp	
	-				 For the current reporting parties of individuals or their tile. 	
	approximate	ay or the dis	sseromated (0,5) perso	a acounces were proper i	ames of marviduals of flich if	iiea.
	1				-	
	\(\frac{1}{U\lambda FOUO}\)	<u> </u>				
(ъ) (11)		-SECR	ET//SI//NOFORN	4	
(b) (1) (b) (3)))-P.L. 86-	-36	-SECR	ET//SI//NOFORN_2	(b) (1)	
(b) (3)			-SECR		(b) (1) (b) (3) -P.L. 86-36	

(b) (1)

<u> </u>		

SECRET//SI//NOFORN

(U) As explained in the OIG's quarterly report to the President's Intelligence Oversight Board on NSA activities, compliance incidents occurred under such circumstances as:

- (U//FOUO) Tasked selector not meeting the requirements of the certification,
- (U//FOUO) System errors resulting in improper storage or access,
- (U//POUO) Delayed detasking of targets identified as U.S. persons
- (U/#OUO) Dissemination errors,

- (b) (3) -P.L. 86-36
- (U//FOUO) Poor construction of database queries, and
- (U//FOUO) Post-tasking discovery of U.S. person status.
- (U) Action has been taken to correct mistakes, and management processes have been reviewed and adjusted to reduce the risk of unauthorized acquisition and improper retention of U.S. person communications.
- (U) This is the sixth year for which the OIG has reviewed for the Congress the Agency's compliance with FAA §702. To ensure consistency between DIRNSA's report of the annual review conducted in accordance with FAA §702 (I) (3) and this OIG report, the OIG and the Signals Intelligence Directorate worked together to achieve a common understanding of the reporting requirements and have agreed on a methodology for accumulating and analyzing compliance statistics.
- (U) The OlG continues to exercise oversight of Agency intelligence activities.

DR. GEORGÉ ELLARD Inspector General

Copy Furnished:

The Honorable Saxby Chambliss

Vice Chairman, Select Committee on Intelligence