How the Clinton Foundation is organized

Started in 1997, the foundation — renamed in 2013 as the Bill, Hillary and Chelsea Clinton Foundation — has since grown to include a vast number of initiatives. Here is how it all stacked up over the last 18 years. Read related article.

By Shelly Tan, Tom Hamburger and Rosalind S. Helderman, Published: June 2, 2015





SOURCE: The Bill, Hillary and Chelsea Clinton Foundation.

Editor's picks

\$100,000 or less	\$100,001 - \$200,000	\$200,001 - \$300,000	\$300,001 - \$400,000		
••			•		
***	••••• ••••• •••••• •••••	•••	•		
••		••••			

From 'dead broke' to multimillionaires

Starting two weeks after his last day as president, Bill Clinton began giving paid speeches — a career that has generated extraordinary wealth.



Clinton Foundat on reveals up to \$26 million in additional payments

Major companies, foreign sources and others gave money for Bill, Hillary and Chelsea Clinton speeches. •

All Comments

Newest First -

Pause live updates

sillysally

6/4/2015 2:49 AM EDT

I don't trust Bill or Hillary as far as I can throw them. It's no coincidence that their personal wealth has coincided in time with the growth of their "foundation". Just put 2 and 2 together folks.

1 · Like Reply Share

lbmac47

6/3/2015 9:54 AM EDT

Attention liberals and progressives who just can't believe Bill and Hill who could do anything wrong – take a closer look at where all this cash came from. Some of the biggest donors are crooks, ripping off some of the poorest nations on the planet for exclusive mineral and timber rights, oil drilling rights, cell phone rights and so on. The billions of dollars they are sucking out of these countries could have helped millions of their citizens had their leaders not been so greedy and corrupt.

1 · Like Reply Share

sightseer

6/3/2015 9:31 AM EDT

This is ever more clearly a get-fabulously-wealthy scheme to keep an idle Bill out of the usual trouble while preserving for the Clintons all the prestige and perks of the Presidency. This enterprise not only funds an entire Clinton network of cronies, including Hillary's intimate sidekick Huma, but does not even take into account the millions Bill and Hillary have received (and continue to receive) for their speeches while people seeking one kind of influence or another lavish untold sums of money upon Hillary, Bill and Chelsea.

This foundation has all the marks of a criminal enterprise dressed up in a charitable cloak. The Justice Department and FBI are asleep at the switch if they are not investigating this massive sale and attempted purchase of public influence. Surely, the common place bribery and corruption of soccer's FIFA officials is tiny compared to this scheme. Clever beyond belief. But is it als corrupt beyond belief. And one of its two primary sponsors is running for President. Is this a joke? If so, is the joke on we stupid Americans.

As for the Clinton's defense that these criticisms are all political, aren't we yet tired of these ridiculous defenses intende to whip up the left wing political base in the defense of potentially

criminal, but clearly despicable, behavior masquerading as charity.

1 · Like Reply Share

sillysally

6/4/2015 2:52 AM EDT

"sightseeer", you are right on !!! I wholeheartedly agree with every word of your post, and you put it so well.

Like Reply

Arnie08515

6/3/2015 8:58 AM EDT

This is just terrible. I think she should pull out. Romney at least had the scrutiny of the SEC, the Feds, the State regulators, etc.

Clinton: nobody was watching her. Criminal

1 · Like Reply Share

sillysally

6/4/2015 2:53 AM EDT

I truly wish Romney would get back in the race. He would have a better chance of winning than any of the uninspiring Republicans who are running.

Like Reply

MadamDeb

6/4/2015 10:04 PM EDT

So does Hillary. Her campaign fund is separate from the Foundation or their personal bank accounts.

Like Reply

kenj2

6/3/2015 8:46 AM EDT

These activities look a thousand times better than the types of "business" activities Romney had been engaged in.

1 · Like Reply Share

sillysally

6/4/2015 2:56 AM EDT

"kenj2" you obviously don't understand business, including the function of venture capital

firms and how they contribute to business and the economy. The Venture firm Mitt Romney built up is just about the most successful and WELL RESPECTED firm of its kind in the world. Why don't you do some research on it.

Like Reply

CXT20

6/3/2015 8:40 AM EDT

Right wing attacks on them are par for the course for the Clintons. These charts show that the foundation is doing great good. I'm glad they don't let the political attacks hold them back.

1 · Like Reply Share

wemillerii

6/3/2015 8:35 AM EDT

Is Clinton, Inc. the new normal? Have a majority of the electorate become so polarized that they are anesthetized to basic ethics and morality? This flawed power couple, their camp followers, spear carriers, and spear chucker's (how else to describe Sid Vicious, Georgie Steph, James C. etc.) have no sense of decency when it comes to defending and perpetuating the corrupt Clinton Machine.

1 · Like Reply Share

MadamDeb

6/4/2015 10:06 PM EDT

Citizens United, Inc., redefined the "new normal." Nevertheless, why do you think they have done something nefarious? Is it only okay for Republicans to make money off their speeches? What did Dubya do in Vegas last month for his \$25,000 check (plus all expenses)?

Like Reply

MadamDeb

6/5/2015 12:14 AM EDT

Correction: Bush was paid \$250,000 for his speech.

Like Share

dhr

6/3/2015 7:16 AM EDT

what fraction of the donations to the Clinton foundation are spent on charitable efforts.

1 · Like Reply Share

Followsun

6/3/2015 8:06 AM EDT 10% as reported in their IRS statement

1 · Like Reply

kenj2

6/3/2015 9:16 AM EDT

How do you square your 10% figure with the following info from the main article? "Overall, the foundation spends about 89 percent of its money on its charitable mission, according to the independent American Institute of Philanthropy. Based on that analysis, the watchdog group gave the foundation a rating of A for 2013, on a scale that goes to A-plus."

1 · Like Share

MadamDeb

6/4/2015 10:09 PM EDT [Edited]

80-90 percent, according to CharityWatch.org. which gives the charity an A for efficiency, because they spend \$89 in donations to poor countries and people, and spend only \$3.00 to raise \$100.00 https://www.charitywatch.org/ratings-and-metrics/b... (https://www.charitywatch.org/ratings-and-metrics/bill-hillary-chelsea-clinton-foundation/478)

Like Reply

S MedLee Butler

6/3/2015 6:11 AM EDT

Can't understand it. Hillary said they were "dead broke." She's not lying, is she?

1 · Like Reply Share

MadamDeb

6/4/2015 10:10 PM EDT

You might want to revisit your grammar lessons and learn the difference between past and present tenses.

Like Reply

Nauseating.

1 · Like Reply Share

thedefendantX

6/2/2015 10:55 PM EDT

"My campaign for President is all about you!" –Hillary Clinton But, then, why is Clinton income not?

Like Reply Share

MadamDeb

6/2/2015 10:34 PM EDT

Is it illegal for a former president to get paid for speaking to groups? Was it illegal for G.W. Bush to accept \$250,000 for speaking at the Adelson Primary in Las Vegas last month? Let's see a story on Dubya's history of giving speeches for pay.

2 · Like Reply Share

Followsun

6/3/2015 8:09 AM EDT

You're missing the point. The Clintons are trying to portray themselves as 'just like the everyday person who struggles.' This is not struggling and it's not exactly ethical to take millions of dollars from foreign governments who are asking for favors from the US while Hillary is Secretary of State. Neither of these were done by G.W. Bush. Don't take everything at face value.

1 · Like Reply

MadamDeb

6/4/2015 10:12 PM EDT

They make their money from giving speeches. The charity funds are paid out to needy countries and people. I don't think the Clintons are denying that they've made more money in the past few years than ever in their lives. For that, you resent them?

Like Share

Schwartz1

6/2/2015 8:59 PM EDT

Hillary, sadly, cannot be trusted. Bernie Sanders is looking better every day. O'Malley should be given a chance. Hillary should do the honorable thing and not run. The Foundation is nothing more

ted77

6/2/2015 9:33 PM EDT

To your point, did you read about the latest revelation of the Klinton Kash Foundation?

Sweden wanted to do business with Iran, but wanted to avoid sanctions.

Naturally, Ericcson signed Slick Willy up for a \$750,000.00 speaking fee and various Swedish corporations 'donated' millions to Klinton Kash.

Gentle readers will not be shocked to learn that Sweden did indeed avoid sanctions. Somehow the Klinton State Department under Shillary did not believe that Swedish trade, albeit much of it was of a military or security nature, warranted sanctions.

Honest, unsubtle graft is alive and well at the Klinton Kash Foundation!

1 · Like Reply

MadamDeb

6/2/2015 10:38 PM EDT [Edited]

Where is the law against what they did? The charity still goes to poor, desperate people in countries having undergone terrible natural disasters, having to live with terrorism like Boko Harem, and poverty-stricken countries that can't feed their children.

All these innuendoes are trying to indicate something illegal. What is it?

2 · Like Share

MadamDeb

6/2/2015 10:42 PM EDT

What hogwash. What part about the charity is a "racket?" Are you saying all the donated money is not going to devastated nations and people? Why do you say that?

1 · Like Reply

chawlas

6/3/2015 2:16 AM EDT

Do some research and you will be enlightened to know that only about 5% or less of the donated money is spent for legitimate charitable purposes. The remaining 95% of the money is siphoned by the Clintons for their own benefits and for the benefits of their staff. (The staff totally comprises of Clinton cronies).

Show me where and how any schools or hospitals have been built by the Clintons in either Haiti, after the earthquake or Malaysia after the tsunami.

They have gotten filthy rich (I am not going to use their quotes "dead broke" or "I have to pay my bills"). They own mansions in New York, Washington DC and own properties in other places and those assets could not have been acquired by Bill's pension or Hilary's salary. (Chelsea's wedding was a very expensive affair).

The Clinton Foundation has a very opaque and convoluted financial structure and has admitted to filing incorrect, incomplete and erroneius tax returns and financial disclosures. (In fairness, they have admitted to their mistake and have promised to refile corrected tax returns and disclosures).

Many organizations that rate charities for their work have refused to rate the Clinton Foundation due to its financial misbehavior and they have said that they are unable to evaluate the effectiveness of the Foundation due to its non transparent structure

See More

Like Share

sandyc59

6/3/2015 2:27 AM EDT

Unfortunately, because of her cunning ways and huge ego, I am afraid she would never give up her chance to rule America.

		Like	Reply
exqrsi 6/2/2015 8:47 PM EDT			
Good for them.			
1 •	Like	Reply	Share
Kevin32 6/2/2015 7:44 PM EDT			
I think it is great!! Harnessing the doers and getting it done. Works for me			

2 · Like Reply Share

More

▶

MORE COVERAGE

Wonkbook: The policy takeaways from a busy day of big court decisions

Wonkbook: With four days until recesss, a breakthrough on VA reform

Wonkbook: How the border crisis has drastically shifted the immigration debate

Obamacare isn't facing a "death spiral"

10 things I learned reporting on Obamacare

© Copyright 1996-2015 The Washington Post