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U.S. Government uses old KGB-style tactics to persecute Paul Ceglia

Secret Service forensics prove Zuckerberg lied to the court, Eric Holder acted without probable cause while the Government and judiciary protect NSA / C.I.A. backdoors into Facebook

(March 26, 2015)—The old Soviet KGB\(^1\) regularly fabricated charges against innocent citizens, then sent them to prison. The U.S. Government and federal judges are engaged in similar conduct in *U.S. v. Paul Ceglia*.

When the Soviets wanted to silence a critic, they might have a psychiatrist provide a diagnosis like “philosophical intoxication,” “delusion of reformism,” “poor social adaptation,” “social dangerousness” “dissemination of slander,” “exaggerated religious belief,” “excessive valuation of the West” and “sluggish schizophrenia.” Next, they would plant contraband evidence in the person’s home (favorites were foreign literature and Bibles). Then, KGB lawyers filed criminal charges as the excuse to incarcerate the dissenter in a mental institution “for rehabilitation.”\(^2\)

This same type of collusion among politicians, law enforcement and the judiciary is occurring in the Government’s persecution of Paul Ceglia. Mr. Ceglia’s current effort to protect himself and his family against this oppression is being met with sarcasm and derision from the Facebook PR machine—just like the Soviets gloated over their staged incarcerations.

---


Even though the Government has not proven its case against Paul Ceglia, the mainstream media persists with pejorative descriptions like “scammer” (NY Post), “fraud suspect” (ABC News) and “schemer.”

Mainstream media: Obedient lemmings repeating the narratives of the highest bidders

Nowhere in the current press is Mark Zuckerberg described as the hacker, thief and liar that he has been proven to be. This is yet more proof that given enough money and a salacious “narrative,” the mainstream media will repeat the lies like obedient lemmings.³

New forensic tests by the U.S. Secret Service prove that Zuckerberg lied and that the Government never had probable cause

Before the Government can bring criminal charges against a citizen, it must have probable cause. In this case, the Government was required to be relatively certain that Zuckerberg’s forgery charges against Paul Ceglia were more likely than not to be true.

Logic says the Government would have performed forensic analysis on the contract before bringing charges two and a half years ago, on October 25, 2012. Instead, the Government pressed this case with no proof at all. In fact, it was not until March 11, 2015 that the

³ The Facebook Effect by David Kilpatrick and The Social Network movie were primary vehicles in perpetrating the false narratives about Zuckerberg’s activities at Harvard in 2003-2004.
Government finally submitted the contract for forensic analysis at the United States Secret Service Office of Investigations, Forensic Services Division, reported on March 11, 2015. The lab concluded that on two of four criteria (toner and paper), the contract is likely genuine. And, on the other two criteria (age and ink), the lab was unable to give an opinion due to inability to test the materials. Nothing in the Government’s own forensic tests support Zuckerberg’s complaint. Therefore, the Government had no objective criteria to support probable cause.

Zuckerberg’s flip-flop

To put this duplicity in perspective, in November 2011, Zuckerberg told the Government’s investigator that Paul Ceglia's contract was a one-page forgery. Then a year later, in August 2012, Zuckerberg flip-flopped by asserting to the Buffalo Judge that Paul Ceglia's contract was a two-page forgery! In a fair court, this fact alone would have caused dismissal.

The Government's U.S. Postal Service and Secret Service Labs have effectively proven Zuckerberg as the liar.

1. Government based its case solely on the word of Zuckerberg, a Harvard drop out

Taken at face value, the Government based its case solely on Zuckerberg’s unsubstantiated accusations. Since this is highly unlikely given the Harvard Law pedigrees of the Government’s lawyers, other agendas were afoot. After all, in this day and age the words lawyer and “evidence fabrication” are all too often synonymous. The energy being expended by these Harvard Law graduates on a 19-year-old Zuckerberg’s behalf raises eyebrows.

---

4 Exhibit. C, Defendant’s Opposition to the Motion to Dismiss, Doc. No. 20, US v. Ceglia, Case No. 15-628 (Second Circuit, Mar.23, 2015).
2. CIA interests bias the Government

Thanks to Edward Snowden, Americans now know that the CIA and NSA have spied on Americans citizens through Facebook since June 6, 2009 using a dubious FISA\textsuperscript{5} court judicial opinion.\textsuperscript{6} The CIA, through its private venture capital business In-Q-Tel, invests in “big data” projects with all of Facebook’s principal underwriters, investors and partners.\textsuperscript{7} Therefore, the Government itself has a bias in the outcome of \textit{U.S. v. Ceglia} and cannot offer an impartial court.

Despite this obvious conflict of interest, the Government continues to press the criminal case against Paul Ceglia in an evident effort to protect its private investments in Facebook and its collaborators.

3. Harvard Law interests bias the Government

Further complicating the C.I.A.’s conflict of interest is the Harvard Law connection among the prosecutors and judges in this case. Both judges, Vernon S. Broderick and Andrew L. Carter, Jr., the U.S. Attorney Preetinder Bharara and Attorney General Eric H. Holder, Jr. are all Harvard Law graduates.

---


\textsuperscript{7} Including James W. Breyer, Accel Partners LLP, The Eclipse Foundation, IBM, the National Venture Capital Association, Fidelity, Vanguard, T. Rowe Price, Kleiner Perkins, Cloudera, Brookings Institution, Meritech Capital Partners, Gibson Dunn LLP, Orrick Herrington LLP, Fenwick & West LLP, James P. Chandler, the National Intellectual Property Law Institute, Goldman Sachs, Bank of America, JPMorgan, Citigroup, Wells Fargo, Credit Suisse, Barclays, Morgan Stanley, Erskine Bowles, Peter Thiel, Reid Hoffman, Sheryl Sandberg, James Swartz and Ping Li.
4. Obama nominees bias the Government

On May 2, 2007, President Obama announced his candidacy for President on Facebook. He relies upon Facebook “Likes” to press his agenda and raise political funds. Obama appointed Judges Carter and Broderick to the court hearing this case. Obama also appointed Eric Holder and Preetinder Bharara. In addition, a notoriously large political donor to Barack Obama is Elon Musk, a prominent Facebook stockholder and beneficiary of $465 million in energy stimulus funds used to start Tesla Motors. The Tesla funds were funneled to Musk via Cooley Godward LLP, Facebook’s attorney in Leader Technologies, Inc. v. Facebook, Inc., 08-cv-862-JJF-LPS (D. Del. 2008) where Facebook was proved to be infringing Leader’s invention on 11 of 11 counts.8

These close associations with Barack Obama, Harvard and Facebook hopelessly taint the Ceglia court.

5. Facebook cartel financial interests bias the Government

The first judge in US v. Ceglia, Judge Andrew L. Carter, has substantial financial investments in Facebook interests.9 Despite those conflicts, Carter blocked discovery of Mark Zuckerberg’s 28 hard drives and Harvard emails.10 He holds up to $1.2 million investments in at least 60 stocks in Facebook interests, including Fidelity and Vanguard, as well as Facebook’s underwriters JPMorgan, Morgan Stanley and BlackRock. Carter specifically holds Fidelity Contrafund (symbol: FCNTX)11 which is the largest mutual fund holder of Facebook stock with

---

8 Petition for Writ of Certiorari, Leader Technologies, Inc. v. Facebook, Inc., No. 12-617 (U.S. Supreme Court Nov. 16, 2102).
approximately $1 billion invested currently.\textsuperscript{12} Attorney General Eric H. Holder, Jr. and Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr.\textsuperscript{13} also hold Contrafund and have not recused in matters involving Facebook.

Judge Vernon S. Broderick, also Harvard Law, replaced Carter earlier this year after Carter removed himself inexplicably. Broderick was formerly a partner with Weil Gotshal LLP who became embroiled in \textit{Leader v. Facebook} judicial scandal at the Federal Circuit Court of Appeals. Weil Gotshal’s disgraced partner, Edward R. Reines, was caught trading on his friendship with Chief Judge Randall R. Rader to get legal engagements at the Federal Circuit.\textsuperscript{14} Weil Gotshal had earlier made an appearance in \textit{Leader v. Facebook} where one of the panel judges, Judge Kimberly A. Moore, failed to disclose her Facebook financial interests as well the fact that Weil Gotshal was her former client.\textsuperscript{15}

A close collaborator with Reines at the Federal Circuit is Thomas G. Hungr, Gibson Dunn LLP. Thomas G. Hungr was Facebook’s appeal attorney in \textit{Leader v. Facebook} who concealed the existence of the 28 Zuckerberg hard drives and Harvard emails to the tribunal. Therefore, there is no arm’s length relationship among Weil Gotshal LLP, Gibson Dunn LLP and Facebook.\textsuperscript{16} Broderick’s Weil Gotshal LLP association taints these Facebook proceedings.

The fact is, a certain group of American judges are growing disproportionately rich on the strength of their financial holdings in Facebook interests concealed inside colluding mutual funds.\textsuperscript{17}
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Harvard professor James P. Chandler works in the shadows as an organizer of a shadow government, powered by NSA, judicial, Harvard, banking and Silicon Valley crony control of information flow—Paul Ceglia’s claims threaten Chandler’s monstrous agenda

The common denominator among all the Government’s Harvard lawyers and judges appears to be former Harvard Law professor, James P. Chandler. It is notoriously known in Washington D.C. that Chandler advises the White House, C.I.A., NSA, the Justice Department and some members of Congress, like Senator Harry Reid and Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi on national security. Chandler also advised IBM and Obama’s eventual Patent Office director, David J. Kappos. He also advised Eric Holder when he was Assistant Attorney General. Chandler was evidently instrumental in IBM’s $40 million “donation” to start The Eclipse Foundation on November 29, 2001. Eclipse widely distributed the platform source code that caused the uncharacteristically meteoric rise of social networking in early 2004.18

Zuckerberg was a pawn tasked to stall Paul Ceglia

Zuckerberg appears to have been a pawn in Chandler’s power play on behalf of IBM, the NSA and the C.I.A. (his clients). Unbeknownst to Paul Ceglia, his desire to build a Facebook at Harvard interfered with the Chandler / IBM grand plan for The Eclipse Foundation. Zuckerberg was likely tasked to stall Ceglia from getting a Facebook started at Harvard before The Eclipse Foundation code was ready by way of the theft of social networking source code from Columbus innovator Leader Technologies—Chandler’s and Fenwick & West LLP’s client.

James P. Chandler, as author of the Economic Espionage Act of 1996 and the Federal Trade Secrets Act, was uniquely positioned to pursue this aggressive agenda across the legal,

---

technical and political spectra. At the founding of Eclipse, Chandler was a member of President Clinton’s National Infrastructure Assurance Council.19

Corrupt lawyers and judges stonewall review of Zuckerberg’s 28 hard drives and Harvard emails, like they do with Benghazi, IRS and Fast & Furious

One thing is certain, a thorough review of Zuckerberg 2003-2004 information will definitively settle the questions about Facebook’s origins—a story that has been stonewalled as badly as the Benghazi, IRS and Fast & Furious scandals. Same law firms, different subjects.

No judge in any lawsuit against Zuckerberg and Facebook has permitted a proper review of Zuckerberg’s information from 2003-2004. This is because we believe the following evidence will emerge:

1. **Ceglia’s contract is genuine.** Paul Ceglia and Mark Zuckerberg did sign a valid Facebook contract. Ceglia thought it was to have programming done. Zuckerberg knew his only job was to stall introduction of a Harvard Facebook until his handlers were ready with the Leader Technologies source code. Zuckerberg also stalled the Winklevoss Twins and Aaron Greenspan similarly.

2. **Zuckerberg used The Eclipse Foundation code stolen from Leader Technologies.**
Mark Zuckerberg misappropriated Leader Technologies’ social networking invention source code, provided through James P. Chandler, David J. Kappos, IBM, Mitch Kappor, Fenwick & West LLP and The Eclipse Foundation to start Facebook on February 4, 2004.

3. **Larry Summers shilled for the 19-year old Zuckerberg at The Harvard Crimson.**
Lawrence Summers, president of Harvard, arranged for Zuckerberg to get more press

---

19 The White House, Office of the Press Secretary (Jan. 18, 2001). President Bill Clinton Names Eighteen Members To The National Infrastructure Assurance Counsel [Press release]. National Archives.
coverage from September 2003 to June 2004 in *The Harvard Crimson* than any world leader or news topic.\(^\text{20}\)

4. **Chandler helps orchestrate the NSA surveillance agenda.** Professor James P. Chandler, III, helps drive the NSA, IBM and The Eclipse Foundation legal, technical and political agenda in secret and accountable to no one.

5. **Pay Pal Mafia gave the NSA/C.I.A. the keys to the backdoor of our privacy as the quid pro quo.** The Pay Pal Mafia bankrolled Zuckerberg to implement the commercial versions of Leader Technologies’ invention while the NSA and C.I.A. built backdoors into the code.

**How many large law firms colluding with Facebook does it take to corrupt the American Republic?**

The judicial community has permitted Facebook’s gaggle of unscrupulous law firms to stonewall discovery of the truth. For the record, those Facebook attorneys include:

1. Gibson Dunn LLP
2. Cooley Godward LLP
3. Weil Gotshal LLP
4. Fenwick & West LLP
5. Orrick Herrington LLP
6. Perkins Coie LLP
7. Blank Rome LLP
8. White & Case LLP
9. Latham & Watkins LLP
10. Cravath Swaine LLP
11. Wily Rein LLP

\(^{\text{20}}\) *The Harvard Crimson.* (Oct. 23, 2003 to Jun. 01, 2004). One or more references to Mark Zuckerberg and/or Facebook.
How many large law firms colluding with Facebook does it take to spoil the American Republic? Apparently, about eleven.

Paul Ceglia is innocent. The Government and Zuckerberg have committed willful fraud on the court.

# # #

**Paul Ceglia’s appeals attorneys are:**

**Joseph M. Alioto**  
Alioto Law Firm  
One Sansome Street, 35th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
(415) 434-8900  
[josephalioto@mac.com](mailto:josephalioto@mac.com)

**Robert Ross Fogg**  
Law Office of Robert Ross Fogg, Esq. LL.M  
69 Delaware Avenue  
Buffalo, NY 14202  
(716) 940-5573  
[rfogg@rfogglaw.com](mailto:rfogg@rfogglaw.com)

**Gil D. Messina**  
Messina Law Firm, P.C.  
961 Holmdel Road  
Holmdel, NJ 07733  
(732) 332-9300  
[gmessina@messinalawfirm.com](mailto:gmessina@messinalawfirm.com)

Note that *Friends of Paul Ceglia’s Constitutional Rights* is a private advocacy group with no affiliation to Paul Ceglia or his legal counsel. This document may contain opinion that should not be relied upon without independent verification.

Our interest is in ensuring that Mr. Ceglia is afforded his sacred constitutional right to fair and impartial tribunals—something he has been egregiously denied.

We believe that if we do not vigorously defend Paul Ceglia’s constitutional rights now, the abuses will only grow until America becomes a full-fledged *plutocracy* and ceases to be a Republic.