

Original: 00007797.eml (attached, click paper clip)

Cite: John Podesta. (Oct. 25, 2014). Re: CONFIRMED Follow - up Call, Sunday, October 26, 9:30am EDT - PRE-CALL. To: John Podesta, From: Cheryl Mills, Cc: Jake Sullivan, Joanne Laszczych, Robby Mook, Nicholas S. Merrill, Philippe Reines. WikiLeaks.

<https://wikileaks.org/podesta-emails/emailid/15370>

[Return to search \(/podesta-emails/\)](#)

[View email](#)[View source](#)

Re: CONFIRMED Follow - up Call, Sunday, October 26, 9:30am EDT - PRE-CALL

From: cheryl.mills@gmail.com

To: john.podesta@gmail.com

Date: 2014-10-25 20:08

Subject: Re: CONFIRMED Follow - up Call, Sunday, October 26, 9:30am EDT - PRE-CALL

Great

cdm

> On Oct 25, 2014, at 5:58 PM, John Podesta <john.podesta@gmail.com> wrote:

>

> Todd Park all clear with counsel. Ready to go.

>
> JP
> --Sent from my iPad--
> john.podesta@gmail.com
> For scheduling: eryl.sepp@gmail.com
>
>> On Oct 24, 2014, at 7:11 AM, robbymook@gmail.com wrote:
>>
>> And talked to Teddy last night. He said he'll have something Monday am.
>>
>>> On Oct 24, 2014, at 1:05 AM, Cheryl Mills <cheryl.mills@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>> i read it as not needing the meeting
>>>
>>> so going to not do meeting but call you on saturday
>>>
>>>> On Thu, Oct 23, 2014 at 10:46 PM, <robbymook@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>> Sorry I just saw the typo in my email so maybe it was misleading. I was saying I don't think we need MORE than 30 minutes. (Not sure if that was interpreted as we don't need the time at all). I definitely think we need to talk about post Eday schedule as soon as possible. That can be with her but I wonder if it's better for the three of us to get on the same page first. I just don't know where her mind is right now so you guys would be better to determine what's best to propose.
>>>> On the digital piece I hope to have a memo for her tomorrow pm but I'm a bit skeptical it will arrive on time. We have him working on a ton of stuff for no money so I've been trying to cut him a little slack but I'll check in again now.
>>>> Happy to talk sat. I'm completely off the grid 8am-12pm and then have calls after that but can jump off. What time are you thinking? I will just make sure I'm open.
>>>>

>>>> On Oct 23, 2014, at 7:12 AM, Cheryl Mills <cheryl.mills@gmail.com> wrote:

>>>>

>>>>> That was the purpose I had - follow up on digital and any other matters.

>>>>>

>>>>> But for clarity - No pre-meeting Sunday.

>>>>>

>>>>> I will call you Saturday when I land in DC.

>>>>>

>>>>> Best

>>>>>

>>>>> cdm

>>>>>

>>>>>> On Oct 23, 2014, at 7:07 AM, robbymook@gmail.com wrote:

>>>>>>

>>>>>> Agree--what I feel is needed on my end is for the three of us to talk about the timeline and next steps with her per that timeline I sent.

>>>>>>

>>>>>>> On Oct 23, 2014, at 6:53 AM, Cheryl Mills <cheryl.mills@gmail.com> wrote:

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> If we don't need the time, let's not do if.

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> Will call you on Saturday.

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> Best.

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> cdm

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> On Oct 23, 2014, at 5:03 AM, robbymook@gmail.com wrote:

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> I don't necessarily think we need not time I was just curious on agenda. Teddy told me his memo would be done by the end of this week so

hopefully we have that to her by then (although I'm worried that realistically means Monday). Otherwise do you want me to give an update on the site?

>>>>>>> Should we discuss the timeline I sent?

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> On Oct 23, 2014, at 1:34 AM, Cheryl Mills <cheryl.mills@gmail.com> wrote:

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> I thought digital and follow-up but can do other stuff - but tell me how much more time we need than 30 mins

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> cdm

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> On Oct 22, 2014, at 7:22 PM, robbymook@gmail.com wrote:

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> Sure thing. Is this on digital specifically or overall stuff?

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> On Oct 22, 2014, at 11:47 AM, Cheryl Mills <cheryl.mills@gmail.com> wrote:

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> Robby/John

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> Are you free to follow-up on the call with HRC for 30 minutes on Sunday at 9am. I committ to get us done by 10am so it still will be only an hour of your time.

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> best.

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> cdm

>>>>>>>> ----- Forwarded message -----

>>>>>>>> From: Joanne Laszczych <jlaszczych@cdmillsgroup.com>

>>>>>>>> Date: Wed, Oct 22, 2014 at 11:19 AM

>>>>>>>> Subject: CONFIRMED Follow - up Call, Sunday, October 26, 9:30am EDT

>>>>>>>>> To: Cheryl Mills <cheryl.mills@gmail.com>, Jake Sullivan
<jake.sullivan@gmail.com>
>>>>>>>>> Cc: Philippe Reines <pir@hrcoffice.com>, Nicholas S Merrill
<nmerrill@hrcoffice.com>, John Podesta <john.podesta@gmail.com>, Robby Mook
<robbymook@gmail.com>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Call is confirmed for 9:30am EDT on Sunday, 10/26.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Please use:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Dial i: 1-530-881-1000
>>>>>>>>> Code: 742374#
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> -----Original Message-----
>>>>>>>>> From: Cheryl Mills [mailto:cheryl.mills@gmail.com]
>>>>>>>>> Sent: Wednesday, October 22, 2014 3:24 AM
>>>>>>>>> To: Jake Sullivan
>>>>>>>>> Cc: Philippe Reines; Nicholas S Merrill; John Podesta; Robby Mook;
Joanne Laszczych
>>>>>>>>> Subject: Re: Follow - up Call
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Does 930am work better for folks then?
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> cdm
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> > On Oct 21, 2014, at 11:45 PM, Jake Sullivan
<jake.sullivan@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>> > I'll be on a flight and could do 930 (Robby and I were skedded to
speak then)
>>>>>>>>> >

>>>>>>>>>> >> On Oct 21, 2014, at 8:17 PM, Cheryl Mills
<cheryl.mills@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>> >> Dear all
>>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>> >> Can you advise Joanne if a call at 9am Sunday will work for a
follow up call?
>>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>> >> Best.
>>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>> >> cdm
>>>

Top



Tor is an encrypted
anonymising network
that makes it harder
to intercept internet
communications, or
see where



Tails is a live
operating system,
that you can start on
almost any computer
from a DVD, USB
stick, or SD card. It





The Courage
Foundation is an
international
organisation that
supports those who
risk life or liberty to



Bitcoin uses peer-to-
peer technology to
operate with no
central authority or
banks; managing
transactions and the

communications are coming from or going to. (https://www.torproject.org/) aims at preserving your privacy and anonymity. (https://tails.boum.org/) make significant contributions to the historical record. (https://www.couragefound.org/) issuing of bitcoins is carried out collectively by the network. (https://www.bitcoin.org/)

 (https://www.facebook.com/wikileaks)  (https://twitter.com/wikileaks)

Delivered-To: john.podesta@gmail.com
Received: by 10.25.80.78 with SMTP id e75csp79655lfb;
Sat, 25 Oct 2014 15:08:08 -0700 (PDT)
X-Received: by 10.43.140.198 with SMTP id jb6mr10797091icc.34.1414274888134;
Sat, 25 Oct 2014 15:08:08 -0700 (PDT)
Return-Path: <cheryl.mills@gmail.com>
Received: from mail-ig0-x22a.google.com (mail-ig0-x22a.google.com. [2607:f8b0:4001:c05::22a])
by mx.google.com with ESMTPS id z9si4990462igl.46.2014.10.25.15.08.07
for <multiple recipients>
(version=TLSv1 cipher=ECDHE-RSA-RC4-SHA bits=128/128);
Sat, 25 Oct 2014 15:08:08 -0700 (PDT)
Received-SPF: pass (google.com: domain of cheryl.mills@gmail.com designates 2607:f8b0:4001:c05::22a as permitted
sender) client-ip=2607:f8b0:4001:c05::22a;
Authentication-Results: mx.google.com;
spf=pass (google.com: domain of cheryl.mills@gmail.com designates 2607:f8b0:4001:c05::22a as permitted
sender) smtp.mail=cheryl.mills@gmail.com;
dkim=pass header.i=@gmail.com;
dmarc=pass (p=NONE dis=NONE) header.from=gmail.com
Received: by mail-ig0-x22a.google.com with SMTP id hn18so2010553igb.1
for <multiple recipients>; Sat, 25 Oct 2014 15:08:07 -0700 (PDT)
DKIM-Signature: v=1; a=rsa-sha256; c=relaxed/relaxed;
d=gmail.com; s=20120113;
h=references:mime-version:in-reply-to:content-type
:content-transfer-encoding:message-id:cc:from:subject:date:to;
bh=cdEYkHsVKmD8hfcPbL96dMCEOwnUrIPwUOtKpo7NqGs=;
b=dDbpDfXWfyN9pZW3wtL9N1WD8nanFbm6+aT905wIvgaZjBRkTKmdhlJglH7LtRbSJX
1LD6NseCgh2f8zWcHPdETLgG63hgrxH3MtnQrPj6hbuJ8DAkKF630XimNJhiKhAh8hTI
ViIMbkcH2ExcG1TG1W0JIv1o6AK9wpcOsIdvBVz8AWRu3qCdl1+bl4czJzLchyEKqptN
VqhXQsPN9Mty+0rguJXAtV+YfHK+v2P24ifS3MJERDJTLy0n6yoONS7SRa+9i7hOwGL9
+YSzVqSazJDa3ZMp21hOgJVow2ucOl8i19cwHYBXmkkhxw6NGO1/CQyaYqylozQCfRYg
yQrw==
X-Received: by 10.43.75.138 with SMTP id za10mr10759082icb.23.1414274887526;
Sat, 25 Oct 2014 15:08:07 -0700 (PDT)
Return-Path: <cheryl.mills@gmail.com>
Received: from [10.23.44.99] ([166.137.95.106])
by mx.google.com with ESMTPSA id ir3sm2786392igb.4.2014.10.25.15.08.05
for <multiple recipients>
(version=TLSv1 cipher=ECDHE-RSA-RC4-SHA bits=128/128);
Sat, 25 Oct 2014 15:08:06 -0700 (PDT)
References: <CALk44aAPP6D-upw07ARi_DvMprYD6R0JYm1EjTsRAKiXWcjf9Q@mail.gmail.com> <FABF6367-
BF24-4B63-956C-B4207CF9F157@gmail.com> <6AEA35AE-1A4E-4246-9A3D-10CE4E93B632@gmail.com>
<8E00A08A-070B-42B5-8EAC-678BD62CC853@gmail.com> <C4FFCEC4-1EED-4AA0-A05E-
452D8C4E9702@gmail.com> <903AE167-9516-400C-988E-E189B88B391F@gmail.com> <21A56563-9F58-456A-
83F7-DA03306C2DAE@gmail.com> <D0916FB0-9609-4E8A-A96B-3F747D473A96@gmail.com>
<CALk44aCN_TPZekkcbnLwbM-9Up3ZyX1M7hmMMdX_SDTfLj8zQ@mail.gmail.com> <F74DE2B4-C009-
4245-BEB6-F42EB2013ECA@gmail.com> <533909A4-A4F4-4377-BD65-77825ABD23AE@gmail.com>
Mime-Version: 1.0 (1.0)
In-Reply-To: <533909A4-A4F4-4377-BD65-77825ABD23AE@gmail.com>
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
boundary=Apple-Mail-0675E951-379E-4B6F-93B4-75E9CCCCFB855
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Message-Id: <B5DEA46F-3D8E-4936-8068-1C6B8A0F34E0@gmail.com>
CC: "robbymook@gmail.com" <robbymook@gmail.com>,

Joanne Laszczyc <jlaszczyc@cdmillsgroup.com>
X-Mailer: iPhone Mail (11D201)
From: Cheryl Mills <cheryl.mills@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: CONFIRMED Follow - up Call, Sunday, October 26, 9:30am EDT - PRE-CALL
Date: Sat, 25 Oct 2014 18:08:03 -0400
To: John Podesta <john.podesta@gmail.com>

--Apple-Mail-0675E951-379E-4B6F-93B4-75E9CCCCFB855
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Great=20

cdm

> On Oct 25, 2014, at 5:58 PM, John Podesta <john.podesta@gmail.com> wrote:

>=20

> Todd Park all clear with counsel. Ready to go.

>=20

> JP

> --Sent from my iPad--

> john.podesta@gmail.com

> For scheduling: eryl.sepp@gmail.com

>=20

>> On Oct 24, 2014, at 7:11 AM, robbymook@gmail.com wrote:

>>=20

>> And talked to Teddy last night. He said he'll have something Monday am. =

=20

>>=20

>>> On Oct 24, 2014, at 1:05 AM, Cheryl Mills <cheryl.mills@gmail.com> wrote=

:

>>>=20

>>> i read it as not needing the meeting

>>>=20

>>> so going to not do meeting but call you on saturday

>>>=20

>>>> On Thu, Oct 23, 2014 at 10:46 PM, <robbymook@gmail.com> wrote:

>>>> Sorry I just saw the typo in my email so maybe it was misleading. I wa=

s saying I don't think we need MORE than 30 minutes. (Not sure if that was i=

nterpreted as we don't need the time at all). I definitely think we need to t=

alk about post Eday schedule as soon as possible. That can be with her but I=

wonder if it's better for the three of us to get on the same page first. I=

just don't know where her mind is right now so you guys would be better to d=

etermine what's best to propose. =20

>>>> On the digital piece I hope to have a memo for her tomorrow pm but I'm a=

bit skeptical it will arrive on time. We have him working on a ton of stuf=

f for no money so I've been trying to cut him a little slack but I'll check i=

n again now. =20

>>>> Happy to talk sat. I'm completely off the grid 8am-12pm and then have c=

alls after that but can jump off. What time are you thinking? I will just m=

ake sure I'm open. =20

>>>>=20

>>>> On Oct 23, 2014, at 7:12 AM, Cheryl Mills <cheryl.mills@gmail.com> wrot=

e:

>>>>=20

>>>>> That was the purpose I had - follow up on digital and any other matters.=20

>>>>>=20

>>>>> But for clarity - No pre-meeting Sunday.=20

>>>>>=20

>>>>> I will call you Saturday when I land in DC.=20

>>>>>=20

>>>>> Best=20

>>>>>=20

>>>>> cdm

>>>>>=20

>>>>>> On Oct 23, 2014, at 7:07 AM, robbymook@gmail.com wrote:

>>>>>>=20

>>>>>> Agree--what I feel is needed on my end is for the three of us to talk about the timeline and next steps with her per that timeline I sent. =20

>>>>>>=20

>>>>>>> On Oct 23, 2014, at 6:53 AM, Cheryl Mills <cheryl.mills@gmail.com> wrote:

>>>>>>>=20

>>>>>>> If we don't need the time, let's not do it.=20

>>>>>>>=20

>>>>>>> Will call you on Saturday.=20

>>>>>>>=20

>>>>>>> Best.=20

>>>>>>>=20

>>>>>>> cdm

>>>>>>>=20

>>>>>>>> On Oct 23, 2014, at 5:03 AM, robbymook@gmail.com wrote:

>>>>>>>>=20

>>>>>>>> I don't necessarily think we need not time I was just curious on agenda. Teddy told me his memo would be done by the end of this week so hopefully we have that to her by then (although I'm worried that realistically means Monday). Otherwise do you want me to give an update on the site?

>>>>>>>> Should we discuss the timeline I sent?

>>>>>>>>=20

>>>>>>>>> On Oct 23, 2014, at 1:34 AM, Cheryl Mills <cheryl.mills@gmail.com> wrote:

>>>>>>>>>=20

>>>>>>>>> I thought digital and follow-up but can do other stuff - but tell me how much more time we need than 30 mins=20

>>>>>>>>>=20

>>>>>>>>> cdm

>>>>>>>>>=20

>>>>>>>>>> On Oct 22, 2014, at 7:22 PM, robbymook@gmail.com wrote:

>>>>>>>>>>=20

>>>>>>>>>> Sure thing. Is this on digital specifically or overall stuff?

>>>>>>>>>>=20

>>>>>>>>>>> On Oct 22, 2014, at 11:47 AM, Cheryl Mills <cheryl.mills@gmail.com> wrote:

>>>>>>>>>>>=20

>>>>>>>>>>> Robby/John

>>>>>>>>>>>=20

>>>>>>>>>> Are you free to follow-up on the call with HRC for 30 minutes on=
Sunday at 9am. I committ to get us done by 10am so it still will be only a=
n hour of your time.
>>>>>>>>>>=20
>>>>>>>>>> best.
>>>>>>>>>>=20
>>>>>>>>>> cdm
>>>>>>>>>> ----- Forwarded message -----
>>>>>>>>>> From: Joanne Laszczuch <jlaszczuch@cdmillsgroup.com>
>>>>>>>>>> Date: Wed, Oct 22, 2014 at 11:19 AM
>>>>>>>>>> Subject: CONFIRMED Follow - up Call, Sunday, October 26, 9:30am E=
DT
>>>>>>>>>> To: Cheryl Mills <cheryl.mills@gmail.com>, Jake Sullivan <jake.s=
ullivan@gmail.com>
>>>>>>>>>> Cc: Philippe Reines <pir@hrcoffice.com>, Nicholas S Merrill <nme=
rrill@hrcoffice.com>, John Podesta <john.podesta@gmail.com>, Robby Mook <rob=
bymook@gmail.com>
>>>>>>>>>>=20
>>>>>>>>>>=20
>>>>>>>>>> Call is confirmed for 9:30am EDT on Sunday, 10/26.
>>>>>>>>>>=20
>>>>>>>>>> Please use:
>>>>>>>>>>=20
>>>>>>>>>> Dial i: 1-530-881-1000
>>>>>>>>>> Code: 742374#
>>>>>>>>>>=20
>>>>>>>>>> -----Original Message-----
>>>>>>>>>> From: Cheryl Mills [mailto:cheryl.mills@gmail.com]
>>>>>>>>>> Sent: Wednesday, October 22, 2014 3:24 AM
>>>>>>>>>> To: Jake Sullivan
>>>>>>>>>> Cc: Philippe Reines; Nicholas S Merrill; John Podesta; Robby Moo=
k; Joanne Laszczuch
>>>>>>>>>> Subject: Re: Follow - up Call
>>>>>>>>>>=20
>>>>>>>>>> Does 930am work better for folks then?
>>>>>>>>>>=20
>>>>>>>>>> cdm
>>>>>>>>>>=20
>>>>>>>>>> > On Oct 21, 2014, at 11:45 PM, Jake Sullivan <jake.sullivan@gma=
il.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>> > I'll be on a flight and could do 930 (Robby and I were skedded=
to speak then)
>>>>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>> >> On Oct 21, 2014, at 8:17 PM, Cheryl Mills <cheryl.mills@gmail=
.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>> >> Dear all
>>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>> >> Can you advise Joanne if a call at 9am Sunday will work for a=
follow up call?
>>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>> >> Best.
>>>>>>>>>> >>

s <cheryl.mil=ls@gmail.com> wrote:

</div><blockquote type=3D"cite"><div><div>>If we don't need the time, let's not do if. </div><div>
</div><div>=Will call you on Saturday. </div><div>
</div><div>Best. </div><=div>
cdm</div><div>
On Oct 23, 2014, at 5:03 AM, robbymook@gmail.com wrote:

</=div><blockquote type=3D"cite"><div><div>I don't necessarily think we need no=t time I was just curious on agenda.
Teddy told me his memo would be d=one by the end of this week so hopefully we have that to her by then (althou=gh I'm worried that realistically means Monday).
Otherwise do you wan=t me to give an update on the site?</div><div>Should we discuss the timeline=I sent?</div><div>
On Oct 23, 2014, at 1:34 AM, Cheryl Mills <cheryl.mills@gmail.com<= /a>> wrote:

</div><blockquote type=3D"cite"><div><div>I thought di=gital and follow-up but can do other stuff - but tell me how much more time w=e need than 30 mins

cdm</div><div>
On Oct 22, 2014, at 7:22 P=M, robbymook@gmail.=com wrote:

</div><blockquote type=3D"cite"><div><div>Sure thing. &=nbsp;
Is this on digital specifically or overall stuff?</div><div>
On Oct=22, 2014, at 11:47 AM, Cheryl Mills <cheryl.mills@gmail.com> wrote:

</div>=<blockquote type=3D"cite"><div><div dir=3D"ltr">Robby/John<div>
</div><di=v>Are you free to follow-up on the call with HRC for 30 minutes on Sunday at=9am.
I committ to get us done by 10am so it still will be only an hou=r of your time.</div><div>
</div><div>best.</div><div>
</div><div>cdm<=br><div class=3D"gmail_quote">----- Forwarded message -----
From:=<b class=3D"gmail_sendername">Joanne Laszczych <jlaszczych@cd= millsgroup.com>
Date: Wed, Oct 22, 2014 at 11:19 AM
Subj=ect: CONFIRMED Follow - up Call, Sunday, October 26, 9:30am EDT
To: Cher=yl Mills <che=ryl.mills@gmail.com>, Jake Sullivan <jake.sullivan@gmail.com>
Cc: Phili=ppe Reines <pir@hr=coffice.com>, Nicholas S Merrill <nmerrill@hrcoffice.com>, John Podesta <=;john.podesta@gm=ail.com>, Robby Mook <robbymook@gmail.com>

Call is confirmed for 9:=30am EDT on Sunday, 10/26.

Please use:

Dial i: 1-530-881-1000

Code:

742374#

-----Original Message-----

From: Cheryl Mills [mailto:cheryl.mills@gmail.com]

Sent: Wednesday, October 22, 2014 3:24 AM

To: Jake Sullivan

Cc: Philippe Reines; Nicholas S Merrill; John Podesta; Robby Mook; Joanne La=szczych

Subject: Re: Follow - up Call

Does 930am work better for folks then?

cdm

> On Oct 21, 2014, at 11:45 PM, Jake Sullivan <<a href=3D"mailto:jake.=
sullivan@gmail.com" target=3D"_blank">jake.sullivan@gmail.com> wrote:=

>

> I'll be on a flight and could do 930 (Robby and I were skedded to speak=
then)

>

>> On Oct 21, 2014, at 8:17 PM, Cheryl Mills <<a href=3D"mailto:che=
ryl.mills@gmail.com" target=3D"_blank">cheryl.mills@gmail.com> wrote:=

>>

>> Dear all

>>

>> Can you advise Joanne if a call at 9am Sunday will work for a follo=
w up call?

>>

>> Best.

>>

>> cdm

</div>
</div></div>

</div></blockquote></div></blockquote></div></blockquote></div></blockquote>=

</div></blockquote></div></blockquote></div></blockquote></div></div></div><=

/blockquote></div>
</div>

</div></blockquote></div></blockquote></div></blockquote></body></html>=

--Apple-Mail-0675E951-379E-4B6F-93B4-75E9CCCCFB855--