FACEBOOK AND U.S. PATENT OFFICE HIDE GLOBAL SURVEILLANCE SCHEME?

USPTO DIRECTOR AND FORMER IBM-ER, DAVID J. KAPPOS, MENTORED SECRETLY BY NSA ADVISOR & LEADER TECHNOLOGIES' FIRST PATENT COUNSEL

UPDATE, APR. 9, 2014—A complete Leader v. Facebook document library with full citations has just been released. CLICK HERE. The "GRAND BAIT AND SWITCH" that perverted justice in this case becomes quite apparent with the benefit of hindsight. A conclusion of judicial malfeasance is unavoidable. It is very apparent that an entire echelon of judges, bureaucrats, lawyers, bankers and politicians have been working together to protect their beloved Facebook (in addition to using the platform to manipulate the LOFO vote, stock markets, banking and establish a global surveillance system). Since certain quarters like to accuse their adversaries of unfounded "conspiracies," let's call it "pathological cronyism" instead. A duck is still a duck, no matter what you call it.

(APR. 4, 2014)–The Patent Office continues to stonewall requests for basic background information on former Patent Office director, DAVID J. KAPPOS, and the judges and staff that he assigned to his unprecedented third patent office reexamination of Leader Technologies’ patent for social networking, U.S. Pat. No. 7,139,761.


THE PATENT OFFICE APPEARS TO BE HIDING DAMNING EVIDENCE.

What does the U.S. Patent Office
consider so secret about Director Kappos’ biography that they are willing to claim Executive Privilege to hide it?

We asked veteran research librarians to find out more about David J. Kappos. While Kappos touts his 25+ years at IBM, little is actually known about his personal activities. Despite Kappos’ high profile positions, including IBM Corporate Counsel and assistant general counsel, these librarians concluded that “the web has been cleansed of Mr. Kappos’ history.”

VETERAN RESEARCHERS: THE WEB INFO ON DAVID J. KAPPOS "HAS BEEN CLEANSED"

These librarians are part of a prestigious library system. They do research for top government, education and business clients every day. They were suspicious of the complete lack of information about Mr. Kappos. “It’s too clean,” they concluded. “Someone doesn’t want us to know about Mr. Kappos.”

This is the third person’s activity prior to certain dates that has been cleansed from the web. The first was Mark Zuckerberg's 2003-2004 activity that went "missing." The second was John P. Breyer, the shadowy Chinese venture capital figure behind son, James W. Breyer, Facebook’s largest shareholder and managing partner of Accel Partners LLP, Fenwick & West LLP’s client. We have document which shows that John P. Breyer founded IDG/Computer World and is a Hungarian refugee contemporary of George Soros. Ironically, despite Breyer's high profile association with Computer World, the world's computers seem cleansed of him. The researchers then started consulting their archivist sources in Washington D.C. This is when the inquiry got interesting. One source confirmed that Mr. Kappos had been heavily involved with The National Intellectual Property Law Institute in the passage of the Federal Trade Secrets and the Economic Espionage Acts in 1996.

WARNING: “BE CAREFUL . . . THIS IS BEING WATCHED AT HIGH LEVELS.”

NSA domestic syping tramples on inalienable American rights to privacy and property.

Then, in a turn right out of a Grisham spy novel, this Washington source cautioned the inquirers to “be careful’ because queries about Kappos’ involvement “are being watched at high levels.” This source also said that at least three similar inquiries had occurred in the last few months. This person would not elaborate on what “high levels” meant. We post this tidbit of information as a data point for the reader.

Of course, to us journalists, such warnings are like throwing red meat to a dog.

The librarians consulted Kappos’ Senate confirmation hearing. GPO Summary | HTML | PDF (40MB). Surprisingly, Kappos failed to disclose his association with The National Intellectual Property Law Institute and its founder, Professor James P. Chandler, III, in his Senate testimony. This is a material nondisclosure. It appears to be an intentional omission.

Testimony about Professor Chandler figures prominently in the Leader v. Facebook trial transcript since Professor Chandler was Leader’s chief patent counsel. We contacted Leader Technologies who confirmed that to their knowledge Professor Chandler was an important outside intellectual property counsel to IBM, and that Professor Chandler had initiated contact between Leader and IBM during the engagement. Leader said nothing ever came of the introduction, at least as far as they were concerned.
Kappos also continued his failure to disclose this conflict of interest when he ordered the unprecedented third reexamination of Leader’s well-tested seven-year old patent. This patent had already been thoroughly tested at trial where Leader proved that Facebook infringed on all 11 of 11 claims, and there was no prior art.

Yet magically, in the third patent reexamination, Facebook produced the same worn out prior art on which they had lost at trial and in two prior reexaminations. But this time, the patent examiner, Deandra Hughes, had an epiphany so clear and bright that she and the three-judge Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences (BPAI) panel invalidated the entire patent with the stroke of their administrative pens, without a hearing, and without a shred of legal justification. This action killed the other 24 claims of Leader’s patent that had not been asserted at trial. The whitewash was complete.

**SOCIAL MEDIA HAS A CREATOR, AND DESERVES TO BE PAID FOR THEIR PROPERTY.**

The strategy is now clear. In their hubris, the Facebook cartel doesn’t want Leader Technologies’ patent rights to be associated with social networking. They want to give it away for free. And, they will corrupt any person or law necessary to achieve that objective. The trouble is, they are breaking the law, and we are a nation of laws, not of people and their often fickle notions.

Kappos also failed to disclose his substantial Facebook holdings. **IBM – THE ORIGINAL “PATENT TROLL”**

IBM has been highly criticized for prosecuting many of its “crappy patents” in the late 1990’s.

In *Patently-O, on May 4, 2009*, Dennis Crouch, Associate Professor, University of Missouri School of Law, wrote on his reservations about Kappos’ nomination by President Obama:

> “Patent pundit Greg Aharonian has oft complained about Kappos and his tendency to talk about patent quality and then charge forward with junky IBM patent applications.”

> “Unfortunately, in the past 25 years, Kappos may have forgotten that most of the world does not operate like IBM. Thus, when he says that ‘people no longer innovate individually’ or that ‘many new innovations require investments of unprecedented size,’ I worry that his vision is skewed.”

Greg Aharonian implied that David Kappos was the original patent troll when he wrote:

> “Last week IBM was issued its usual batch of patents, many of which are crap - crappy patents whose sole value is to clog the PTO’s patent examination pipelines to the detriment of everyone else. A patent application policy actively embraced by David Kappos.”

What are these facts telling us?

A commenter asked last week, “Who’s side was Professor Chandler on?” Simple geometric logic says that Chandler was deeply involved in IBM’s litigation of “crappy patents” before Leader Technologies came along. Is the modern “patent troll” actually the brainchild of Professor Chandler’s advice to IBM? As the commentators point out, this strategy was good for IBM’s revenues, but bad for the individual inventor who doesn’t have the PR machine or influence or large prescense at the Patent Office to fight the pejorative “patent troll” moniker. Further evidence of this practice was revealed with IBM sold 750 “crappy patents” to Facebook on Mar. 22, 2012, and on Jan. 31, 2014, IBM sold Twitter sold 900 more “crappy patents.”

What good would these old patents be to Facebook and Twitter except to continue Professor Chandler’s big infringer patent trolling, on steroids?

Facebook’s opening PR salvo against Leader Technologies, after Leader filed the Leader v. Facebook patent infringement lawsuit on Nov. 19, 2008, was that Leader was a patent troll. As is typical for unscrupulous people and companies, they accuse the victim of their crimes and force them to prove a negative. Ahhm. Leader proved it.

**THE SMOKING GUN**

It appears that Professor James P. Chandler, III may have been David J. Kappos’ mentor. The $64,000 question
is: “Did Chandler throw his client, Leader Technologies, under the bus to enable his clients like IBM, Microsoft, Fenwick & West, and other big infringer allies to steal Leader Technologies’ innovations under some misguided notion of the greater good?

Is this close association between Professor Chandler and David J. Kappos the “smoking gun” that the Patent Office is hiding? Since not a single Patent Office biography of an employee associated with the Leader v. Facebook reexaminations has been produced, this seems likely. Because, in the late 1990s, our sources knew from first hand experience that Congress required that Chandler consult to Congress, the White House, the Judiciary and even foreign governments on implementation of the Federal Trade Secrets Act. Political associations with Chandler would certainly have been sought by Kappos, corporate types being politically predictable that way.

Professor Chandler’s association would also explain why the White House claimed executive privilege to stonewall the Patent Office FOIA requests. They would rationalize the need to preserve Chandler’s association with national intelligence strategies. However, once the researchers verified Kappos’s membership in Chandler’s National Intellectual Property Law Institute from two independent sources, this verified that Professor Chandler’s associations were public information.

Ohio innovator Leader Technologies did not fit Kappos’ (and Chandlers?) vision of “people no longer innovate individually.” This Freudian comment contradicts mountains of patronizing Kappos verbiage about his supposed priority for the individual inventor. His only priority seems to be to wrest control of small inventor creativity for the benefit of his deep pocket cronies. Patenting in America has become little more than a way for big firms like IBM to influence the USPTO, for lawyers on both sides to generate billable hours, and for the judges to help their lawyer friends.

IBM CREATED THE "PATENT TROLL" PEJORATIVE AS A HOAX TO DECEIVE CONGRESS INTO PASSING THE AMERICA INVENTS ACT—THE GREATEST ENEMY TO TRUE INNOVATION EVER CONCEIVED.

The numbers and facts don’t lie. IBM proudly claims its leadership as the highest achieving patent recipient in the U.S. for the past twenty-one (21) years! Their huge footprint at the USPTO certainly gives them leverage and may even “crowd out” the little guys like Leader, and their opportunities to earn patent approvals. If there are about 250 working days at the USPTO per year, this means that EACH DAY they allowed over 27 patents per day to IBM ALONE. Facebook's investor Microsoft was awarded 2,660 in 2013.

Kappos and U.S. CTO Aneesh Chopra championed the America Invents Act which packed the law with volumes of IBM-friendly bludgeons against the small inventor. Tellingly, both Kappos and Chopra held volumes of Facebook interests before the Facebook IPO. Chopra gave his approval over the claim in Healthcare.gov that Leader's social networking invention is “open source.” This deception casts a deathly pall over the entire Obamacare implementation.

Kappos assigned former Microsoft employee, Stephen C. Siu, as lead judge in the third Leader Technologies reexamination. Hmm.

Professor Chandler’s 1995 Congressional testimony reveals his close association with the NSA and the intelligence community. This is confirmed in his online biography where he discloses that he was appointed to the “National Infrastructure Assurance Council (NIAC), a council established by Executive Order in July 1999.”

Both Kappos and Chandler are alumni of the University of California, Davis.
Leader’s first director was Major General James W. Freeze (Army, ret.), former #3 at the NSA (See Footnote 1). Leader confirmed that General Freeze introduced Leader to Professor Chandler in about 2000.

Are all these connections just coincidental? Mathematically, the odds of this are now nearly zero.

FACEBOOK—A MORE COST-EFFECTIVE SPY MACHINE

Eric Snowden revealed intimate associations among the NSA and Facebook, Google, Verizon, AT&T, etc. As expected, now that the ties are public, people like Zuckerberg act out their hollow denials like Shakespeare’s Queen Gertrude in act III, scene II of Hamlet: “The lady doth protest too much, methinks.”

Fenwick & West LLP’s associations with In-Q-Tel, the CIA’s venture capital arm, are well known. Equally well known in Washington D.C. is Bill Fenwick’s association with Professor Chandler, confirmed by numerous sources. Leader Technologies has confirmed in various interviews that they had engaged Fenwick & West LLP in 2002-2003 at Professor Chandler’s recommendation.

LEADER TECHNOLOGIES: THROWN UNDER THE BUS BY CRONY LAWYERS—IS THIS WHAT THE VIOLATION OF LEADER’S PATENT PROPERTY RIGHTS WAS ALL ABOUT?

Was Ohio innovator Leader Technologies thrown under the bus by their lawyers? It appears that the intelligence world had grand designs for Leader’s invention. It appears that Leader’s lawyers Chandler and Fenwick may have given Leader’s invention source code to Fenwick & West LLP’s client, James W. Breyer, Accel Partners LLP, Palo Alto, CA, to exploit.

Besides Facebook, Breyer and Accel Partners appear to have also been central in the establishment of the Russian social network VKONTakte and the Chinese social network Baidu, which all look and operate similarly. Is this because these networks were all given Leader Technologies’ source code at the same time by Fenwick & West LLP?

Russian oligarch Alisher Usmanov, a Lawrence “Larry” Summers Harvard creation and partner of Leader Technologies’ source code at the same time by Fenwick & West LLP?

Russia’s homeland, Alisher Usmanov, a Lawrence “Larry” Summers Harvard creation and partner of Leader Technologies’ source code at the same time by Fenwick & West LLP?

Former Fenwick junior associate, Parker Zhang, was recently elevated to “Head of Patents” at Baidu. Two of the three largest investors in Baidu are T. Rowe Price and Baillie Gifford, which just so happen to also be the largest investors in Facebook.

Fenwick’s sister law firm in these crimes, Gibson Dunn LLP, withheld 28 Zuckerberg Harvard hard drives and emails from Leader Technologies’ during discovery. They said they were lost, yet they magically reappeared on July 18, 2012, one day after the Leader v. Facebook Federal Circuit denial.

NSA/FACEBOOK SPYING: TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THE UNSUSPECTING

It appears that Facebook was the brainchild of an intelligence world, championed by Professor James P. Chandler, III, that was over-eager to fulfill their dreams of simultaneous global surveillance using Leader Technologies’ innovations.

Too bad these actors had to steal real American innovations and tarnish respect for cherished American ideals of property in the process.

Now it’s time to fix these injustices and provide the appropriate compensation to the true creators of the technology.

* * *

GIBSON DUNN LLP exposed as one of the most corrupt law firms in America

Investigative Reporter Julia Davis investigates Facebook’s Leader v. Facebook attorney Gibson Dunn LLP. She credits this firm with the reason why not a single Wall Street banker has gone to jail since 2008. Click here to read her article “Everybody hates whistleblowers.” Examiner.com, Apr. 10, 2012. Here’s an excerpt:

“Skillful manipulation of the firm’s extensive media connections allows Gibson Dunn to promote their causes, while simultaneously smearing their opponents and silencing embarrassing news coverage.”

This statement followed right after Davis cited Facebook’s chief inside counsel in the Leader v. Facebook case, Theodore Ullyot, who appears to have helped lead the Leader v. Facebook judicial corruption. Interesting word choices associated with Gibson Dunn LLP: manipulation, smear. Attorneys swear a solemn oath to act morally, ethically, and in support of democratic principles. They promise to conduct themselves in a manner than instills confidence among the citizenry in the rule of law and the judicial system. These promises appear to be meaningless. Click here for a PDF version of Julie Davis’s article.

POPULAR POSTS

BOYCOTT NCAA MARCH MADNESS? COPYRIGHT-GATE Constitutional rights advocates demand that NCAA stop its copyright infringement in social media; ask Congress to preserve Zuckerberg’s ...

LEADER V. FACEBOOK JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT EXPOSES A CONSTITUTIONAL CRISIS Leadv. Facebook judicial misconduct exposes a constitutional crisis The theft of Leader Technologies’ patent by Facebook, and the effe...

THE REAL FACEBOOK - A PORTRAIT OF CORRUPTION SEC counsel cleared the way for the Facebook “pump and dump” scheme in 2008! SEC counsel appears to have failed to disclose his...
POSTSCRIPT: AFI readers are encouraged to do your own digging, and to share these perspectives immediately with your elected representatives and in the comments. We also ask you to notify us immediately with any inaccuracies. This article contains opinion. As with all opinion, it should be independently verified.

Wednesday, March 26, 2014

REID AND PELOSI HELPED FIX JUDGES IN LEADER V. FACEBOOK

CORRUPT OBAMA APPOINTEES ALSO FUELED THE HEALTHCARE.GOV DEBACLE, NSA AND AP SPYING, IRS MEDDLING, BENGHAZI STONEWALLING, AND RUSSIA POLICY FAILURES

CONTRIBUTING WRITERS | OPINION | AMERICANS FOR INNOVATION | UPDATED MAR. 28, 2014 | PDF

(MAR. 26, 2014)—Our recovery effort after the Scribd censorship highlighted new evidence about Congressional leaders HARRY REID and NANCY PELOSI that was previously overlooked.

The Leader v. Facebook case had four main judges. District Court judge LEONARD P. STARK, and three Federal Circuit judges: ALAN D. LOURIE, KIMBERLY A. MOORE and EVAN J. WALLACH.

This doesn’t count the Supreme Court and the Federal Circuit En Banc petitions.

Hindsight shows clearly that Reid and Pelosi helped President Obama stack the Leader v. Facebook courts with crony judges, namely district court judge LEONARD P. STARK and Federal Circuit appeals judge EVAN J. WALLACH.

REID EMPLOYED WALLACH AS CHIEF COUNSEL

Senator Reid’s collusion is readily apparent. He had employed Wallach as his chief counsel. Curiously, Reid nominated Wallach to the Federal Circuit just two (2) days after Leader Technologies filed its Leader v. Facebook appeal at the Federal Circuit even though Wallach has no patent law experience.

PELOSI WAS STARK’S MATRON

Representative Pelosi’s duplicity is evident with 20/20 hindsight—after analyzing her 2012牦牛.
Pelosi’s former national security advisor, MIKE SHEEHY, left Pelosi just as her term as Speaker of the House was starting, in March 2009. This was just three months after Leader v. Facebook started. Sheehy joined the Washington D.C. lobbying firm, McBee Strategic LLC. McBee was teamed with Facebook's attorney, Cooley Godward LLP. Cooley also had their partner, Donald K. Stern, in the White House advising on judicial appointments. (These Facebook relationships were never disclosed by Stark.)

In Jan. 2010, just a week after Facebook's disastrous performance in the Leader v. Facebook Markman Hearing, veteran judge Joseph J. Farnan was forced into retirement and Obama nominee, Magistrate Leonard P. Stark, was assigned, just one month before trial. This was surprising since Farnan had expressed his interest in taking the case to trial on numerous occasions. The same month as Stark's ascendance from obscurity, Facebook's Cooley Godward LLP trial counsel, Michael G. Rhodes, was appointed chief counsel at Elon Musk’s Tesla Motors, Inc. Musk is a notorious Obama donor who had just received $465 million in energy stimulus funds. The incestuous back scratching is apparent with hindsight.

Tesla Motor's funds were recommended by the McBee Strategic – Cooley Godward partnership led by Nancy Pelosi's man—Mike Sheehy.

Why was veteran judge Joseph J. Farnan forced to retire just one month before trial? Because Facebook knew they were going to lose in a fair fight.

**OHIO INNOVATOR, LEADER TECHNOLOGIES, WAS DENIED BLIND JUSTICE BY REID'S AND PELOSI'S INTERFERENCE TO PROTECT OBAMA "LIKES" AND LINE THEIR POCKETS WITH IPO WINNINGS**

This collusion explains how Facebook beat the odds that two of the judges in Leader v. Facebook just happened to be Obama nominees.

**THE ODDS OF PICKING JUDGE LEONARD P. STARK WERE 1:7, AT BEST**

There are seven judges and magistrates at the Delaware District Court. Therefore, the odds of selecting Stark out of a hat for Leader v. Facebook were 1 in 7. We say “at best” because the pool of candidates is not limited to active judges. Judges emeritus can be selected in special circumstances, like conflicts of interest. So, the odds were actually lower.

**THE ODDS OF PICKING JUDGE EVAN J. WALLACH WERE 810 TO 1 — 0.10%**

The Federal Circuit has 18 circuit judges and Wallach was one of about 45 Democratic chief counsels from which to choose. The odds of Wallach's appearance on the bench in Leader v. Facebook were 1 in 18x45=810 choices. This number is higher since the pool of candidates was likely much higher than 45. In other words, the selection of Wallach had a 1 in 810 chance of occurring, 1/18 x 1/45 = 1/810.

---

**OUR MISSION**

American citizens must fight abuse of the constitutional right for authors and inventors to enjoy the fruits of their inventions, as a matter of matter of basic property rights and sound public policy. Otherwise, instead of innovation, creativity, genius, ideas, vision, courage, entrepreneurship, respect, property, rejuvenation, morals, ethics, values, renewal, truth, facts, rights, privacy, solutions and judicial faithful ness,

... our society and economy will be dragged down (and eventually destroyed) by copying, infringement, thievery, counterfeiting, hacking, greed, misinformation, exploitation, abuse, waste, disrespect, falsity, corruption, bribery, coercion, intimidation, doublespeak, misconduct, lies, deception, attorney “dark arts,” destruction, confusion, dishonesty, judicial chicanery and lawlessness.

If we do not speak up, impeach derelict judges and imprison corrupt attorneys, we cannot possibly hope to start fixing the current ills in our society. Without justice and respect for private property, democracy has no sure foundation.

---

**CURRENT EDITORIAL FOCUS**

We are an opinion blog that advocates for strong intellectual property rights. We welcome commenters and contributors. The Leader v. Facebook patent infringement case first came to our attention after learning that the trial judge, Leonard P. Stark, U.S. District Court of Delaware, ignored his jury’s admission that they had no evidence to support their on-sale bar verdict, but the judge supported it anyway.

The judicial misconduct has deteriorated from there, replete with two of the three judges on the Federal Circuit appeal panel, Judges Alan D. Lourie and Kimberly A. Moore, holding Facebook stock that they did not disclose to the litigants, and later tried to excuse through a quick motion slipped in at the last minute by the Clerk of Court, Jan Horbaly, and his close friends at The Federal Circuit Bar Association. (The DC Bar subsequently revealed that Mr. Horbaly is not licensed to practice law in Washington D.C.)

The Judges ignored shocking new evidence that Mark Zuckerberg withheld 28 hard drives of 2003-2004 evidence from Leader Technologies that could prove actual theft (and therefore claims even more serious than infringement). In addition, Facebook’s appeal attorney, Thomas G. Hungar of Gibson Dunn LLP, has close personal ties to

---

**FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE** where she declares her net worth at up to $185 million. [Americans For Innovation](http://americans4innovation.blogspot.com/)
The odds of the two Obama nominees being selected was 5670 to 1—0.02%

Therefore, the odds that two Obama nominees would be assigned to Leader v. Facebook were 1 in 5670 or 0.02%, at best.

By way of analogy, the odds of picking Stark and Wallach were the same as randomly picking their names out of 128 decks of cards. See Fig. 3.

PELOSI VALUES HER HOLDINGS AT UP TO $185 MILLION, NOT COUNTING 10 UNDISCLOSED HOLDINGS

Pelosi holds funds with direct investments in these members of the now familiar Facebook cartel:

1. Facebook
2. Baidu, Inc. (“The Chinese Facebook”)  
3. Qualcomm (Cooley Godward LLP, chief counsel)
4. Accel Partners LLP
5. IDG Ventures (James W. Breyer, Facebook, Accel Partners LLP, IDG-Accel China)
6. Venrock (Robert P. Kocher, MD, Obamacare)
7. Hambrecht (Ann H. Lamont)
8. Meritech (Ann H. Lamont)
9. In-Q-Tel (CIA)
10. Microsoft
11. IBM
12. NBC Universal
13. Comcast (NBC)
14. Goldman Sachs
15. Morgan Stanley
16. T.Rowe Price

Through $7 million in Matthews International Capital, Pelosi holds major interests in Baidu, Inc., sometimes called “The Chinese Facebook.” Baidu and Facebook are quite similar. Is that just coincidental?

Here are some facts about Baidu, Inc., China:

- **CEO, ROBIN YANGONG LI**, was appointed in Jan. 2004, **the same month** that Mark Zuckerberg purportedly programmed Facebook at Harvard. Facebook launched on Feb. 4, 2004 after Zuckerberg said he developed the whole system in **one to two weeks**. By contrast, Leader Technologies said it cost them $10 million and 145,000 man-hours to actually develop the innovations now called “social networking.” In court, Leader proved that Facebook was guilty of all 11 of 11 infringement claims.

- Robin Yangong Li established a Cayman Island presence to hold his Baidu stock, naming the company “Handsome Reward.”

- Chief of Patents, PARKER ZHANG, was a rookie attorney, not even a partner, at Fenwick & West LLP in Palo Alto, CA before being catapulted to the top intellectual property job at Baidu.

- **T.ROWE PRICE** and **BAILLIE GIFFORD**, along with Robin Li, are the top three largest shareholders in Baidu. Baillie Gifford and T. Rowe were the 2nd and 4th largest fund investors in the Facebook IPO. Baillie Gifford “advised” Vanguard who was the 9th largest purchaser of Facebook stock.

- IDG Capital Partners appears to have simultaneously directed the birth of **BAIDU (CHINA)** “The Chinese Facebook”), **FACEBOOK (US)** and **VKONTAKTE (RUSSIA)** (“the Russian Facebook”). Russian oligarch Alisher Usmanov invested billions of “dubious” origins into Facebook private “dark pools” pre-IPO stock facilitated by Goldman Sachs, who was also Usmanov’s partner in Moscow. **This ALISHER**
USMANOV’S activity pumped Facebook pre-IPO valuation to $100 billion. Usmanov also owns 52% of VKontakte.

- Former Treasury Secretary and Obama bailout director, LAWRENCE "LARRY" SUMMERS, mentored Usmanov’s co-oligarch, YURI MILNER, along with Facebook COO, SHERYL K. SUMMERS, when he was Chief Economist for the World Bank. Pundits believe Summers manipulated failing Soviet markets and created the current Russian oligarchies, including Usmanov.

- IDG Capital Partners is led by the Breyer family: JOHN P. BREYER (father) and JAMES W. BREYER (son).

- James W. Breyer is Facebook’ largest shareholder and together with Mark Zuckerberg elicited Stanford students to write apps for the stolen Leader Technologies’ platform on Oct. 22, 2005. See Mark E. Zuckerberg and James W. Breyer solicitation of Stanford Univ. students, Oct. 26, 2005, Stanford Ctr. for Prof. Dev, Video: YouTube and FBCP; See also the Transcript.

- Facebook’s law firm, GIBSON DUNN LLP, withheld 28 ZUCKERBERG HARVARD HARD DRIVES AND EMAILS from Leader Technologies, saying they were “lost.” Yet magically, one (1) day after the Federal Circuit denial of Leader’s petition, they produced all 28 in Ceglia v. Zuckerberg. What sins are they hiding? Why have the federal courts been so cooperative in preventing all access, even when after they were “found.” See Deposition of BRYAN J. ROSE, Facebook Forensic Expert, Paul D. Ceglia v. Mark E. Zuckerberg, 1:10-cv-00569-RJA (W.D.N.Y. 2010), Jul. 18, 2012; Deposition of MICHAEL F MCGOWAN, Facebook Forensic Expert, Id., Jul. 19, 2012.

Pelosi has up to $500,000 invested in Granite Ventures who is co-invests with the CIA (In-Q-Tel), Accel Partners LLP (James W. Breyer), IDG Capital Partners (James W. Breyer and John P. Breyer), Venrock (Robert P. Kocher, MD--Obamacare), Ann H. Lamont (Obamacare), Meritech (Facebook, Obamacare), Accenture (Obamacare), Microsoft and IBM.


FIG. 4—Nancy Pelosi, CA-12th, Financial Disclosure, 2012. Click here to download the PDF.

JUDGE FIXING IS A CRIME

Equal treatment before the law is a sacred American democratic value. Leader v. Facebook has exposed serious flaws in the administration of justice in America. Citizens have no hope
Thank You!

A big thank you to AFI volunteers for helping us get the hundreds of censored Scribd documents relinked and back up. We are reminded of the Book of Genesis 50:20: "you meant evil against me, but God meant it for good in order to bring about this present result" (NASB).

We were impressed by the amount and quality of the hard evidence of wrongdoing now available. We took this document recovery opportunity to package up the evidence for even easier access. We also updated the AFI sidebar. So, while the Scribd principals undoubtedly meant to slow us down, the opposite has occurred. :-)

CONGRESS, THE PEOPLE’S BODY, CAN MAKE THIS RIGHT

The Founders thought a time might come when the checks and balances system itself might become corrupt by party interests. In that circumstance, they knew the normal avenues of appeal would not work. Therefore, they vested ultimate power in Congress, the People’s body. There the representatives of the citizenry had the power of property and purse.

REQUEST FOR CONGRESSIONAL INTERVENTION

We encourage each and every one of our freedom-loving readers to submit the Request for Congressional Intervention. Our property rights and those of our children and grandchildren are teetering over a precipice. If Leader’s rights are not fully restored and made right, then all of our rights will be next.

These bad Facebook actors are waiting to see if American citizens even care about their Constitutional rights any longer. They have seen how easily they give up their privacy to titillation and chronic distraction. Leader v. Facebook is a test.

If America lets them steal Leader's social networking invention, then nothing will stop them.

Write your representatives folks. Don't procrastinate.

[Click here to get started: PDF | HTML]
NEW, MAR. 18, 2014—SEE UPDATED SIDEBAR: INCLUDES CORRUPTION EXPOSÉ INFO CENSORED BY SCRIBD LAST WEEK

NEW, MAR. 16, 2014—EDITORIAL: WHY WE MUST OPPOSE THE PRIVITIZATION OF INTERNET DOMAIN NAMING

PUBLIC SERVICES RELATED TO THE UNIVERSAL COMMON GOOD CANNOT BE PLACED IN PRIVATE HANDS.

The censorship we just experienced by Scribd (see below) shows why. For example, if these unscrupulous Scribd principals were given control of Internet domain naming, they could (and probably would) use that power to cut off access to the Internet to any person or organization with whom they disagree.

Scribd could do this by simply deleting or altering the Internet master directory globally. In layman’s terms, domain name management is the Internet equivalent of a super phone directory. It manages all addresses on the Internet. If you aren’t on that list, or the entry is incorrect, others trying to browse to your website would not be able to because your address is missing or wrong. This directory is updated many times a day, all over the planet on every hosting server (the “server” computer that hooks your computer to the Internet).

The group maintaining and controlling this master Internet directory must be non-political, non-commercial, and scrupulously honest. The future of democracy depends upon a strictly unbiased domain name management system.

FIG. 1-Does the Facebook Cartel and the Obama administration plan to seize control of the Internet before this administration leaves office? Control of Internet domain names would put them in ultimate control of access.

Cartoon: Joyreactor.com

FIG. 2-If the DNS lookup finds a missing or corrupted entry for your website, the user is prevented from navigating to your site. The DNS lookup directory is a single point of ultimate power and control over the operation of the Internet. It cannot be permitted to be put into private secrecy of chambers to support Facebook and prevent the on-sale bar verdict from being overturned—a clear breach of constitutional due process.

UPDATE 3/25/14

FIND CRITICAL AFI POSTS ON JUDICIAL COMPROMISE

FULLY UPDATED MAR. 25, 2014 IN THE WAKE OF THE SCRIBD CENSORSHIP:

1. WAS CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS BLACKMAILED into supporting Obamacare by his ethical compromises in Leader v. Facebook?

2. JUSTICE ROBERTS MENTORED Facebook attorneys.

3. JUSTICE ROBERTS HOLDS substantial Facebook financial interests.

4. JUDGE LEONARD STARK FAILED to disclose his Facebook financial interests.

HERE’S HOW THE DNS (DOMAIN NAME SERVICE) DIRECTORY WORKS. When you type a domain name into your browser, for example, “http://www.whitehouse.gov,” your computer first goes to your ISP (Internet Service Provider, like Road America). It then contacts a “DNS” lookup directory. A DNS lookup directory is a single point of ultimate power and control over the operation of the Internet. It cannot be permitted to be put into private secrecy of chambers to support Facebook and prevent the on-sale bar verdict from being overturned—a clear breach of constitutional due process.

Judge Evan J. Wallach, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, member of the three-judge panel in Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc., 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Judge Wallach is not a patent attorney. This begs the question as to why a judge with no knowledge of patent law was assigned to the case. Would anyone ask a dentist to perform brain surgery? The Federal Circuit was specially formed to appoint patent-knowledgeable judges to patent cases. There is no evidence so far in the judicial disclosures that Judge Wallach holds stock in Facebook, although when he was asked on a motion to disclose potential Facebook holdings and other conflicts of interest, he refused along with the other judges. See Motion to Disclose Conflicts of Interest. Judge Wallach continued in silence even after Clerk of Court Horbaly failed to provide him with Dr. Lakshmi Arunachalam’s motions (according to his Federal Circuit staffer Valeri White), and yet the Clerk signed an order regarding that motion on Judge Wallach’s behalf. See a full analysis of these events at Donna Kline Now! Judge Wallach also failed to police his court’s violation of Leader’s Fifth and 14th Amendment constitutional right to due process when he participated in the fabrication of new arguments and evidence for Facebook in the secrecy of judge’s chambers after he had just invalidated Facebook’s sole remaining item of evidence (using disbelieved testimony as ostensible evidence of an opposite). Judge Wallach also failed to police his court when he failed to apply the Supreme Court’s Pfaff v. Wells Electronics, Inc. test for on-sale bar evidence, which included even the Federal Circuit’s own Group One v. Hallmark Cards, Inc. test—a test which Judge Lourie should have advised Judge Wallach to follow since Judge Lourie helped write that opinion. Group One test omission analysis.
For the White House, the Internet DNS street address is "23.45.84.11." The more technical name and figures out the street address on the Internet associated with it.

Without this DNS master directory, the Internet goes dark. If it is scrambled or sabotaged, the group controlling that master directory controls who gets to read what. It is a power that MUST be managed by all of us, not just by a few.

If unscrupulous actors are given control of DNS directory management, this could be their hypothetical response to your complaint if they didn't like your website and deleted your address from the directory (and thus prevented access to your website): "Gee Beav, we don't know what happened to our file. We'll look into it. Sorry for your bad luck."

A POWER DICTATORS HAVE ONLY DREAMED OF

Their "investigation" could go on forever. This would put tools of censorship into private hands that every past totalitarian state has only ever dreamed of. In other words, this hypothetical Internet power broker could merely pretend to do the right thing. Any lawsuits against them would take years, as Facebook has proven in Leader v. Facebook.

Given the current subservience of U.S. regulators to the Facebook Cartel, this would effectively create a situation where the private contractor could pretend to comply with regulation and the regulators could pretend to regulate them. All the while, lawlessness and the suppression of free expression would rule. This would effectively silence all opposition to those willing to bribe and coerce their way to power and control over the Internet.

Democracy requires a diverse accountability structure (separation of powers)—so that no universal public interests are put into essentially unaccountable and un-elected private hands. In our opinion, the administration's current attempt to privatize Internet domain naming will put the future of the Internet into the hands of the Facebook Cartel and must be stopped at all cost. Free democratic communication hangs in the balance.
He even calls it “gross” to present public information in a compiled form. How many thousands of companies do this for a living? Yes Jason, invasion of privacy is gross, but we did not do that. We merely re-presented already public information provided by the individuals. So, why don’t you take the lead and stop trafficking in “big data.” Are you claiming that Scribd is not a “big data” vendor? In any event, isn’t it rather draconian to take down our hundreds of documents, rather than the few you found questionable? Jason, you get four Pinocchios for your excuses for censorship.

Interesting how the Silicon Valley purveyors of the ‘death of privacy’ hide behind their user agreements when they want their own privacy, self-defined. Hypocrites.

AFI documents on Scribd were summarily deleted on Friday, Mar. 7, 2014. All of them. Even things like the financial reports of government officials and Congressional Briefings. If you try to access a document now, Scribd posts a boldface lie: “Document deleted by owner.” How Orwellian. This in-your-face conduct destroyed the trust of hundreds of thousands of readers who have relied on the site to access important evidence in the Leader v. Facebook judicial misconduct investigation.

FIVE PINOCCHIOS FOR SCRIBD

Frankly, we expected this. Scribd has been tying itself to Facebook for some time. This is especially interesting since AFI documents have had about 250,000 reads so far. Point of interest, several of Dr. A’s Federal Circuit filings had almost 20,000 reads. This censorship should be reported, publicized and demands made for Scribd to turn the documents back on. It appears they just flipped the lights off. They are now obstructing Congressional investigations and the American public’s right to know the truth about the Leader v. Facebook judicial misconduct.

What dog does Scribd have in this hunt unless they are running cover for their Facebook cronies? Hmmm.

The Request for Congressional Intervention has had almost 1,800 reads on Scribd already. Dr. Robert P. Kocher’s (the 38-year old Obamacare architect; just three years out of residency) “lost” financial report had 1,800 reads in a week. Chief Justice Roberts’ financial disclosure had about 8,000 reads. No worries. We’ll redirect links to all the documents. A number of libraries have all the documents. If you Google the document name you are looking for, you can probably find other instances. If you need a document quickly, post your request on the Comments or email us at amer4innov@gmail.com.

NEW, MAR. 7, 2014: STRATEGY TO COMPROMISE CONGRESS

P. Chandler. See analysis of Judge Rader’s undisclosed conflicts of interest in Leader v. Facebook. Judge Rader also did not stop his judges from creating new arguments and evidence for Facebook in the secrecy of chambers—after they had debunked all of Facebook’s evidence on appeal, which is a clear breach of constitutional due process.

Click here to view a Federal Circuit Leader v. Facebook Conflicts of Interest Map.


Leader v. Facebook Legal Research Links

NOTICE: Opinion

This is an opinion blog. Any information contained or linked herein should be independently verified and should be considered the sole opinion of the writer. Free Speech and Freedom of the Press are protected by the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution and other local, state, national and international laws. Therefore, as with all opinion, such opinion should not be relied upon without independent verification. This site is a not-for-profit effort focused on education, news, investigation of issues in the public interest, and research, and relies on fair use copyright exemptions under 17 U.S.C. 106(a)-117 of the United States Copyright Act, in addition to any and all other related and relevant privileges which a fair and reasonable person would attribute to this grassroots effort to root out corruption and promote justice. No rights whatsoever to third party content are claimed or implied.

AFI LOGO (with text)
LONGTERM UNEARTHED

Scribd's suppression of free speech today occurred just as AFI was provided a copy of a Congressional Request. The request contained the shocking, but believable, notion in the cover letter that the Facebook Cartel has likely been working for years to compromise members of Congress with unknowing financial conflicts of interests—like time bombs—in the form of cleverly concealed Facebook Cartel stocks inside colluding mutual funds. Click here to read the Congressional Request Cover Letter.

THE DIGITAL "CLOUD" IS A TOXIC ETHICAL WASTELAND.

This is a good lesson for why we cannot place our hope in the Facebook Cartel's one-stop-shop digital "cloud" for the future of democracy. Sounds good on paper, but it assumes honesty, integrity, good faith and respect for one's fellow man, for which these people are in short supply. Power corrupts. Absolute power corrupts absolutely. The fewer hands into which we put our digital futures, the greater will become censorship and attempts to control the "narratives" of public discourse. 1984 author George Orwell was right. You know you have arrived on the doorsteps of totalitarianism when telling the truth becomes an act of courage. All the links are updated in this post. We'll have to re-link a number of the supporting documents in the Request for Congressional Intervention.

Fight the good fight. Your efforts are working.

(MAR. 02, 2014) — OBAMACARE ARCHITECT’S 2009 FINANCIAL REPORT RECOVERED—PROVES OBAMACARE IS WEDDED TO THE FACEBOOK CARTEL

AFI investigators have uncovered the 2009 financial disclosure for ROBERT P. KOCHER, MD, the 38 year old chief architect of Obamacare. The Obama administration has removed this report from the Office of Government Ethics website. The report is a smoking gun.

It shows that Dr. Kocher held 37 Facebook "dark pools" funds in 2009—practically all of his holdings. These funds include Obamacare-specific holdings in CGI, Athenahealth, Castlight Health, Facebook and Accenture. He was clearly an insider and was in on the long-promised Facebook IPO bonanza for himself, his cartel buddies, and his wife, who uses multiple names: Cindy Chen, Chao Chen, Chao H. Chen, Chao I. Chen, Cindy C. Chen and Ci Chen, according to public records.

The disclosure reveals that Dr. Kocher—as the healthcare policy director at the National Economic Council—was making recommendations for Obamacare to use services in companies where he stood to gain financially.

Such conflicts of interests are specifically forbidden by the Standards of Ethics for Employees of the Executive Branch. In addition, Dr. Kocher signed an ethics pledge committing not to engage in such conduct. Click here to read Robert P. Kocher's 2009 Financial Disclosure. The White House removed Dr. Kocher's signed ethics pledge from public review as well.


Remarkably, Dr. Kocher was 38 years old and only three years out of medical residency when he was given these heady responsibilities. Did the appointment of this inexperienced young physician doom Obamacare to the fiasco it has become? Figuratively speaking, the President chose a boy to do a man's work.

It appears that Dr. Robert P. Kocher was the Mark Zuckerberg-esque boy band leader for healthcare "reform," along with Baidu China's Robin "Handsome Return" Yangong, and Russia's Yuri "Money Launder" Milner. All the same Facebook Cartel actors are present. America, China, and Russia; what are these peoples' designs? They must be stopped before they do more damage to the world economy.

Readers are encouraged to send the REQUEST FOR CONGRESSIONAL INTERVENTION to your members of Congress with urgency. See below.
WASHINGTION, WALL STREET AND SILICON VALLEY CORRUPTION ARE OUT OF CONTROL

Our researchers have spent the last few days verifying the research. Corruption is a sickly animal. Any one item of data doesn't necessarily prove a theory, but the sum total of this information creates visions of being slimed in the movie Ghost Busters. If you had any doubts about the level of corruption in Washington D.C., this document should put your doubts to rest.

We need the weekend to absorb what we've learned before we give our perspective. We didn't want to wait to get this Congressional Request in your hands. We have embedded it below, then in the caption we have included other sites where you can download the PDF and HTML. Here are those downloads. Try the HTML, it loads fast. GoogleDocs | LeaderDocs | LeaderHTML.

Have a great weekend, and keep on tweeting. Your actions are making a difference.

—AFI Contribution Authors

NEW!, FEB. 26, 2014

EXPRESS YOUR CONCERNS TO CONGRESS—JOIN US!

A national citizens' campaign has begun. Congress is being asked to use its Constitutional authority over property and purse to fix the horrific results of the corruption exposed by Leader v. Facebook.

Click here for instructions on how you can participate.

If not you, who? If not now, when?

NEW: FIRST IMPRESSIONS OF THE CONGRESSIONAL REQUEST

This Congressional Request contains new evidence connecting CONGRESSWOMAN NANCY PELOSI and SENATE MAJORITY LEADER HARRY REID to the judicial corruption in Leader v. Facebook. This doesn't even take into account the public knowledge that both Pelosi's and Reid's families have made out like bandits from various government stimulus programs.

WITHIN ONE WEEK after the pre-trial Markman Hearing in which 25-year veteran, Judge Joseph J. Farnan, took Facebook to the woodshed, he suddenly announced his retirement. Farnan was replaced by Magistrate LEONARD P. STARK, an Obama nominee. Stark's appointment had been recommended by Obama's Cooley Godward LLP "justice adviser," Donald K. Stern.

Despite Stark's conflict of interest with the Cooley firm, he nevertheless allowed Cooley's attorneys, including Michael G. Rhodes, to argue for Facebook.

Rhodes had just been appointed chief counsel for Tesla Motors, Inc. Tesla had just received $465 million in energy stimulus funds on the recommendation of Mike Sheehy, former national security adviser to then Speaker of the House NANCY PELOSI. Stark also held substantial Fidelity holdings.

WITHIN TWO DAYS of Leader filing its appeal with the Federal Circuit, Pres. Obama nominated EVAN J. WALLACH. Wallach was Senate Majority Leader HARRY REID's former general counsel. Wallach also held substantial Fidelity holdings. Cooley's Donald K. Stern continued his stelar "justice advice" in Wallach's appointment.

Despite their relationship and despite the financial conflicts of interest, both Judge Stark and Judge Wallach sat in judgment in Leader v. Facebook, obviously to give their sponsors the verdict they demanded.

Reid and Pelosi, who chose first to protect the Facebook Cartel, are conflicted out of having any say or vote in the coming congressional investigation into Leader v. Facebook corruption.

Request for Congressional Intervention - communication from - Concerned Citizens on Behalf of the Shareholders of Leader Technologies, Inc.
FIG. 2—A REQUEST FOR CONGRESSIONAL INTERVENTION. On Feb. 21, 2014 (latest revision: Mar. 1, 2014). A group of concerned citizens published a request being sent to numerous members of Congress. The request seeks constitutional redress for widespread corruption within the Executive and Judicial Branches surrounding the Leader v. Facebook patent battle. Facebook argues they won, but would not say "fair and square." Leader counters that any verdict or Patent Office decision based on fraud cannot stand.

The fact is, the ruling against Leader did not have a shred of justification. No expert testimony, no witnesses, no evidence, just Facebook attorney charades that confused the jury. The appeals system is supposed to fix jury confusion, not embrace it like happened here. It now appears the verdict was pre-ordained as Judge Leonard P. Stark’s quid pro quo for his judgeship appointment by President Obama—just a week after the trial. It was also insurance to protect the substantial financial holdings of the judges and Obama White House officials (thanks to the various stock market manipulations by JPMorgan Chase, Goldman Sachs and Morgan Stanley) in funds that invested heavily in Facebook pre-IPO stock. To download the PDF or HTML directly:

GoogleDocs | LeaderDocs | LeaderHTML.

16. Thomas G. Hungar (Gibson Dunn)
17. Eric H. Holder, Jr. (Attorney General, U.S. Dept. of Justice)
18. James Cole (Deputy Attorney General, U.S. Dept. of Justice)
19. Tony West (Associate Attorney General, U.S. Dept. of Justice; 2008 Obama California Campaign Manager)
20. Robert F. Bauer (Obama Attorney; White House Chief Counsel; directed IRS targeting of the Tea Party; formerly and currently employed by Perkins Coie LLP, Facebook’s “rapid response enforcement team;” spouse is Anita B. Dunn)
21. Anita B. Dunn (Obama Attorney; White House Chief Counsel; husband Robert F. Bauer directed IRS targeting of the Tea Party, formerly employed by Perkins Coie LLP, Facebook’s “rapid response enforcement team”)
22. Mary L. Schapiro (former Chairman, Securities & Exchange Commission (S.E.C.); holds investments in 51 Facebook Club basket funds)
23. James “Jamie” Brigagliano (former Deputy Director of the Division of Trading and Markets at the Securities and Exchange Commission; Mary L. Schapiro’s chief lieutenant on “dark pool” rule making)
24. Joseph P. Cutler (Perkins Coie)
25. David P. Chiappetta (Perkins Coie)
26. James R. McCullagh (Perkins Coie)
27. Ramsey M. Al-Salam (Perkins Coie)
28. Grant E. Kinsel (Perkins Coie)
29. Reeve T. Bull (Gibson Dunn)
30. Heidi Keefe (Cooley)
31. Michael G. Rhodes (Cooley; Tesla Motors)
32. Elizabeth Stameshkin (Cooley)
33. Donald K. Stern (Cooley; Justice Dept. advisor)
34. Mark R. Weinstein (Cooley)
35. Jeffrey Norberg (Cooley)
36. Ronald Lemieux (Cooley)
37. Craig W. Clark (Blank Rome)
38. Tom Amis (Cooley / McBee Strategic)
39. Erich Veitenheimer (Cooley / McBee Strategic)
40. Roel Campos (Cooley; former Commissioner of the U.S. Securities & Exchange Commission at the time of the infamous Facebook 12(g) exemption)
41. Lisa T. Simpson (Orrick)
42. Samuel O’Rourke (Facebook; Cooley-directed)
43. Theodore W. Ullyot (Facebook; Cooley-directed)
44. Amber H. Rover, aka Amber L. Hagy aka Amber Hatfield (Weil Gotshal LLP; Judge Kimberly A. Moore’s former client)
45. Edward R. Reines (Weil Gotchal)
46. Trish Harris (DC Bar Association)
47. Elizabeth A. Herman (DC Bar Association)
48. Elizabeth J. Branda (DC Bar Association)
49. David J. Kappos (former Patent Office Director; former IBM chief intellectual property counsel; ordered unprecedented 3rd reexam of Leader Technologies’ patent; Obama political appointee)
50. Preetinder ("Preet") Bharara (U.S. Attorney Ceglia v. Zuckerberg; formerly of Gibson & Dunn LLP; protects Zuckerberg)
51. Thomas J. Kim (SEC Chief Counsel)
52. Anne Krauskopf (SEC Special Sr. Counsel)
53. John G. Roberts, Jr. (Chief Justice, U.S. Supreme Court)
54. Jan Horbaly (Federal Circuit, Clerk of Court)
55. Kimberly A. Moore (Judge, Federal Circuit)
56. Matthew J. Moore (Latham & Watkins LLP; husband of Judge Kimberly A. Moore)
57. Kathryn "Kathy" Ruemmler (Latham & Watkins LLP; White House counsel)
58. Evan J. Wallach (Judge, Federal Circuit)
59. Alan D. Lourie (Judge, Federal Circuit)
60. Randall R. Rader (Chief Judge, Federal Circuit)
61. Terence P. Stewart (Federal Circuit Bar Association)
62. Leonard P. Stark (Judge, Delaware U.S. District Court)
63. Richard J. Arcara (Judge, N.Y. Western District, Ceglia v. Holder et al)
64. Allen R. MacDonald (Administrative Judge, U.S. Patent Office)
68. Kathryn Walsh Siehndel (FOIA Counsel, U.S. Patent Office - bio and conflicts log concealed)

C. Facebook puppet masters:

69. President Barack Obama (appointed Leonard P. Stark to the judge’s seat in Delaware)
Federal District Court eight days after Stark’s court allowed Facebook to get away with jury and court manipulation of an on-sale bar verdict which was attained without a single piece of hard evidence; Barack and Michelle Obama were evidently protecting their 47 million ‘likes’ on Facebook)

70. Lawrence “Larry” Summers (Harvard President who aided Zuckerberg’s light-speed rise to prominence with unprecedented Harvard Crimson coverage; Obama bailout chief; Clinton Treasury Secretary; World Bank Chief Economist; “Special Advisor” to Marc Andreessen in Instagram; co-creator of the current Russian robber baron economy; close 20-year relationships with protégés Sheryl Sandberg & Yuri Milner; aided in recommendations that created the Russian robber baron economy —and Yuri Milner/DST/Asmanov’s money used to purchase Facebook stock)

71. James W. Breyer, Accel Partners LLP; Facebook director; client of Fenwick & West LLP since the 1990’s; apparently received technology from other Fenwick clients that was shuffled to Zuckerberg, incl. Leader Technologies’ inventions)

72. David Plouffe; directed Obama’s 2008 and 2012 campaigns; a self-described “statistics nerd;” likely directed the activities of the Facebook Club; employed Robert F. Bauer, Perkins Coii LLP in 2000 at the Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee

73. McBee Strategic (one of the main “private” arms responsible for doling out the billions in Obama “green energy” stimulus funds; partnered with Cooley Godward LLP)

74. Mike Sheehy (Cooley-McBee Strategic principal; former National Security Adviser to House Speaker Nancy Pelosi)

75. Nancy Pelosi (U.S. Congresswoman; appears to be running political cover in the House for Facebook, McBee Strategic, Cooley Godward, Fenwick & West, Breyers, etc.)

76. Harry Reid (U.S. Senator; Judge Evan J. Wallach patron)

77. Thomas J. Kim (SEC, Chief Counsel & Assoc. Director) approved Facebook’s 500-shareholder exemption on Oct. 14, 2007, one day after it was submitted by Fenwick & West LLP; Facebook used this exemption to sell $3 billion insider stock to the Russians Alisher Asmanov, Yuri Milner, DST, Digital Sky, Mail.ru which pumped Facebook’s pre-IPO valuation to $100 billion; another Harvard grad, Kim worked at Latham & Watkins LLP which was the chief lobbyist for the National Venture Capital
Association in 2002-2004 whose Chairman was... James W. Beyer, Accel Partners LLP; in other words Breyer and Kim, both Harvard grads, were associated at the time of the Zuckerberg hacking and theft of Leader Technologies’ software code)

78. Ping Li (Accel Partners, Zuckerberg handler)
79. Jim Swartz (Accel Partners; Zuckerberg handler)
80. Sheryl K. Sandberg (Facebook, Summers protégé; Facebook director)
81. Yuri Milner (DST aka Digital Sky, Summers protégé; former Bank Menatep executive; Facebook director)
82. Alisher Asmanov (DST aka Digital Sky; Goldman Sachs Moscow partner; Russian oligarch; Friend of the Kremlin; Became the Richest Man in Russia after the Facebook IPO)
83. Marc L. Andreessen (Zuckerberg coach; client of Fenwick and Christopher P. King; Summers’ sponsor during Instagram-scam; Facebook director)
84. Peter Thiel (19-year old Zuckerberg coach; Pay Pal; Facebook director; CEO, Clarion Capital)
85. Clarion Capital (Peter Thiel)
86. Reid G. Hoffman (19-year old Zuckerberg coach; Pay Pal; LinkedIn; Facebook director)
87. Richard Wolpert (Accel Partners)
88. Robert Ketterson (Fidelity Ventures; Fidelity Equity Partners; Fidelity Ventures Telecommunications & Technology)
89. David Kilpatrick (Business Insider; "The Facebook Effect"; PR cleanse-meister re. Facebook origins)
90. Zynga/Groupon/LinkedIn/Square/Instagram ("Facebook Money/Credits/Bitcoin" feeder companies)
91. Tesla Motors (received $465 million in Obama stimulus funds and hired Cooley’s Michael Rhodes in the seven months before the Leader v. Facebook trial, just before veteran Judge Joseph Farnan made the surprise announcement of his retirement, just six days after Facebook’s disastrous Markman Hearing)
92. Solyndra (received $525 million in Obama stimulus at the recommendation of the Cooley-McBee Strategic “consulting” alliance)
93. BrightSource (received $1.6 billion in Obama stimulus at the recommendation of the Cooley-McBee Strategic “consulting” alliance)
94. John P. Breyer (father of James W. Breyer; founder of IDG Capital Partners - China; coached his son
on exploiting Western markets
while he quietly built a venture
capital business in China for the
last 20 years; the real brain
behind the Breyer exploitations

95. IDG Capital Partners (China)
(Founded by John P. Breyer, the
father of James W. Breyer, Accel
Partners; the current launderer
of the tens of billions James W has
fleeced from the U.S. market from
the bailout, stimulus and the
"pump & dump" Facebook IPO
schemes)

96. Goldman Sachs (received US
bailout funds; then invested with
DST in Facebook private stock via
Moscow; took Facebook public;
locked out American investors
from investing)

97. Morgan Stanley (received US
bailout funds; took Facebook
public; probably participated in
oversees purchases of Facebook
private stock before IPO)

98. State Street Corporation
(received U.S. taxpayer bailout
monies along with Goldman
Sachs and Morgan Stanley;
consolidating control of ATM
banking networks internationally

99. JP Morgan Chase (received U.S.
taxpayer bailout monies along
with Goldman Sachs, Morgan
Stanley and State Street
Corporation)

100. Lloyd Blankfein (Goldman
Sachs, CEO)

101. Jamie Dimon (JP MorganChase,
CEO)

102. Steve Cutler (JP MorganChase,
General Counsel)

103. Rodgin Cohen (JP
Chase, Outside Counsel;
Sullivan Cromwell, LLP)

104. U.S. Securities & Exchange
Commission (granted Fenwick
& West's application on behalf of
Facebook for an unprecedented
exemption to the 500 shareholder
rule; opened the floodgates for
Goldman Sachs and Morgan
Stanley to make a private market
in Facebook pre-IPO insider stock;
facilitated the influx of billions of
dollars from "dubious" sources
associated with Russian oligarchs,
Alisher Asmanow and Yuri
Milner, and the Kremlin;
Goldman Sachs is a partner with
this Moscow company, Digital
Sky Technologies, aka DST, aka
Mail.ru)

105. Jeff Markey (McBe Strategic
LLC; allied with Facebook's Cooley
Godward Kronish LLP to arrange
Obama's green energy funding;
arranged $1.5 billion for failed
BrightSource and $535 million
for failed Solyndra)

106. Steve McBee (McBe Strategic
LLC; allied with Facebook's Cooley
Godward Kronish LLP to arrange
Obama's green energy funding;
arranged $1.5 billion for failed
BrightSource and $535 million
for failed Solyndra)

107. Michael F. McGowan (Stroz
Friedberg; Facebook forensic expert who lied about his knowledge of the contents of the 28 Zuckerberg hard drives and Harvard Email accounts)

108. Bryan J. Rose (Stroz Friedberg; Facebook forensic expert who lied about his knowledge of the contents of the 28 Zuckerberg hard drives and Harvard Email accounts)

109. Dr. Saul Greenberg (Facebook's expert witness from the University of Calgary; disingenuously waived his hands and said he would be "wild guessing" about the purpose of a Java "sessionstate" import statement (even Java newbies know it is used for tracking a user while in a web session); in short, Dr. Greenberg lied to the jury, thus discrediting his testimony)

110. Toni Townes-Whitley (CGI Federal; Michelle Obama's 1985 Princeton classmate; CGI "donated" $47 million to the Obama campaign; CGI won the no-bid contract to build the www.healthcare.gov Obamacare website; CGI shut off the security features on Obama's reelection donation sites to increase donations)

111. CGI Federal (US division of a Canadian company; Donated $47 million to Obama's reelection, then received the no-bid contract to build the ill-fated Obamacare website; Michelle Obama's Princeton classmate, Toni Townes-Whitley, is a Senior Vice President of CGI; the website is replete with social features and links to Facebook)

112. Kathleen Sebelius (Obama's Secretary of Health & Human Services since 2009 responsible for $678 million Obamacare implementation; made the decision to hire CGI Federal on a no-bid contract despite the evident conflict of interest with Michelle Obama and $47 million in Obama campaign donations by CGI; the website is replete with social features and links to Facebook)

113. Todd Y. Park (White House Chief Technology Officer (CTO); former CTO for Health & Human Services; chief architect of HealthCare.gov; founder, director, CEO, Athenahealth, Inc.; founder, director, CEO, Castlight Health, Inc.)

114. Frank M. Sands, Sr./Frank M. Sands, Jr. (Founder and CEO, respectively, of Sands Capital Management LLC; failed to file S.E.C. Form SC 13G acquisition reports for Athenahealth, Inc., Baidu, Inc. (ADR) and Facebook stock during 2012; masked the association of Todd Y. Park with Athenahealth, Inc. and Baidu, Inc., and the association of both of those companies with the Facebook IPO fraud)

115. Robin "Handsome Reward" Yangong Li (CEO, Baidu, Inc.)
(ADR); appointed Jan. 2004, the same month that Mark Zuckerberg obtained Leader Technologies’ social networking source code to start Facebook; Robin Y. Li is very likely associated with John P. and James W. Breyer through their Chinese entities, including IDG Capital Partners, IDG-Accel and other variants; Li appointed a junior attorney from Fenwick & West LLP, Palo Alto/Mountain View, namely Parker Zhang, to be his “Head of Patents;” Fenwick & West LLP represented both Leader Technologies, Inc. and Accel Partners LLC in 2002-2003 and had Leader’s source code in their files.)

116. Parker Zhang ("Head of Patents" at Baidu, Inc. (ADR), appointed in approx. May 2012; formerly a junior Associate attorney at Fenwick & West LLP; graduate from Michigan Law in 2005)

117. Penny S. Pritzker (Secretary, Department of Commerce; replaced Rebecca M. Blank; holds over $24 million in Facebook “dark pools” stock, most notably in Goldman Sachs, Morgan Stanley and JPMorgan)

118. Rebecca M. Blank (Secretary, Department of Commerce; oversaw the dubious Leader v. Facebook activities of the Patent Office Director, David J. Kappos, who held over one million dollars in Facebook “dark pools” during the Leader v. Facebook proceedings; Kappos purchased this stock within weeks of his surprise recess appointment by President Obama; Kappos also was formerly employed by IBM, who sold Facebook 750 patents during the Leader v. Facebook proceedings; right before leaving the Patent Office, Kappos also ordered an unprecedented 3rd reexamination of Leader’s patent without even identifying claims)

119. Mary L. Schapiro (Chairman, Securities & Exchange Commission; holds 51 Facebook “dark pools” stocks which held stock in Facebook, Baidu and more than a dozen Facebook crony companies; failed to regulate the “dark pools;” failed to disclose her substantial conflict of interest in regulating the run up to the Facebook IPO)

120. Robert C. Hancock (Chief Compliance Officer, Sands Capital Management, LLC; failed to file S.E.C. Form SC 12G notice of acquisition reports for Athenahealth, Baidu and Facebook during the period of the Facebook IPO in 2012; this conduct masked the conflicts of interest of Todd Y. Park, who was appointed by President Obama to be the U.S. Chief Technology Officer during this same period; Todd Y. Park is/has been founder, director and CEO of both Athenahealth and Castlight Health; Todd Y. Park deeply embedded the software from
Athenahealth and Castlight Health into HealthCare.gov when he was CTO at Health & Human Services; none of these conflicts of interest were disclosed; Todd Y. Park's ethics pledges and reports are missing from the Office of Government Ethics)

121. Jonathan Goodman (Chief Counsel, Sands Capital Management, LLC; failed to file S.E.C. Form SC 12G notice of acquisition reports for Athenahealth, Baidu and Facebook during the period of the Facebook IPO in 2012; this conduct masked the conflicts of interest of Todd Y. Park, who was appointed by President Obama to be the U.S. Chief Technology Officer during this same period; Todd Y. Park is/has been founder, director and CEO of both Athenahealth and Castlight Health; Todd Y. Park deeply embedded the software from Athenahealth and Castlight Health into HealthCare.gov when he was CTO at Health & Human Services; none of these conflicts of interest were disclosed; Todd Y. Park's ethics pledges and reports are missing from the Office of Government Ethics; Goodman was formerly employed by Gibson Dunn LLP, Facebook appeals counsel in Leader v. Facebook)

122. Trip Adler (“Co-Founder” of Scribd; Harvard contemporaries of Mark Zuckerberg with a dubious origins story, like Zuckerberg’s; Scribd held AFI documents for two years, then summarily deleted the entire library without warning on Fri. Mar. 7, 2014; AFI’s library contained only public documents and much evidence proving the Leader v. Facebook judicial corruption)

123. Jared Friedman (“Co-Founder” of Scribd; Harvard contemporaries of Mark Zuckerberg with a dubious origins story, like Zuckerberg’s; Scribd held AFI documents for two years, then summarily deleted the entire library without warning on Fri. Mar. 7, 2014; AFI’s library contained only public documents and much evidence proving the Leader v. Facebook judicial corruption)

D. Facebook boy-puppets:

124. Mark E. Zuckerberg
125. Chris Hughes
126. Dustin Moskovitz
127. Eduardo Saverin
128. Matthew R. Cohler
129. Elon Musk

E. Corruption Watch—Patent Office Judges:
| 130. | Anderson, Gregg  |
| 131. | Best, George  |
| 132. | Bonilla, Jackie W. |
| 133. | Boucher, Patrick |
| 134. | Braden, Georgianna W. |
| 135. | Branch, Gene |
| 136. | Bisk, Jennifer Bresson |
| 137. | Bui, Hung H. |
| 138. | Busch, Justin |
| 139. | Clements, Matt |
| 140. | Crumley, Kit |
| 141. | Droesch, Kristen |
| 142. | Elluru, Rama |
| 143. | Fitzpatrick, Michael |
| 144. | Gerstenblith, Bart A. |
| 145. | Giannetti, Thomas L. |
| 146. | Guest, Rae Lynn |
| 147. | Hastings, Karen M. |
| 148. | Hoff, Marc |
| 149. | Horner, Linda |
| 150. | Hughes, James R. |
| 151. | Hume, Larry |
| 152. | James, House |
| 153. | Jung, Hung J. |
| 154. | Kamholz, Scott |
| 155. | Katz, Deborah |
| 156. | Lucas, Jay |
| 157. | MacDonald, Allen R. (bio unavailable) – Leader 3rd reexam judge (bio and conflicts log concealed by FOIA) |
| 158. | Mahaney, Alexandra |
| 159. | Martin, Brett |
| 160. | McKone, Dave |
| 161. | McNamara, Brian |
| 162. | Medley, Sally |
| 163. | Moore, Bryan |
| 164. | Moore, James T – Leader 3rd reexam judge (bio and conflicts log concealed by FOIA) |
| 165. | Morgan, Jason V. |
| 166. | Morrison, John |
| 167. | Pak, Chung K. |
| 168. | Perry, Glenn J. |
| 169. | Petravick, Meredith C. (bio and conflicts log concealed by FOIA) – Leader 3rd reexam judge |
| 170. | Pettigrew, Lynne |
| 171. | Praiss, Donna |
| 172. | Quinn, Miriam |
| 173. | Reimers, Annette |
| 174. | Saindon, William |
| 175. | Scanlon, Patrick |
| 176. | Siu, Stephen C. – Leader 3rd reexam judge (bio and conflicts log concealed by FOIA) |
| 177. | Smith, James Donald |
| 178. | Smith, Neil |
| 179. | Snedden, Sheridan |
| 180. | Song, Daniel |
| 181. | Spahn, Gay Ann |
| 182. | Strauss, Mike |
| 183. | Timm, Catherine |
Research Tip:
Type any name or subject in the Google search at the top of this webpage. That will show you any relevant links within the sites that we have been following and investigating in the Leader v. Facebook case. Vigilance everyone! American democracy is at risk.