REID AND PELOSI HELPED FIX JUDGES IN LEADER V. FACEBOOK

CORRUPT OBAMA APPOINTEES ALSO FUELED THE HEALTHCARE.GOV DEBACLE, NSA AND AP SPYING, IRS MEDDLING, BENGHAZI STONEWALLING, AND RUSSIA POLICY FAILURES

(MAR. 26, 2014) — Our recovery effort after the Scribd censorship highlighted new evidence about Congressional leaders HARRY REID and NANCY PELOSI that was previously overlooked.

The Leader v. Facebook case had four main judges. District Court judge LEONARD P. STARK, and three Federal Circuit judges: ALAN D. LOURIE, KIMBERLY A. MOORE and EVAN J. WALLACH. This doesn't count the Supreme Court and the Federal Circuit En Banc petitions.

Hindsight shows clearly that Reid and Pelosi helped President Obama stack the Leader v. Facebook courts with crony judges, namely district court judge LEONARD P. STARK and Federal Circuit appeals judge EVAN J. WALLACH.

REID EMPLOYED WALLACH AS CHIEF COUNSEL

Senator Reid's collusion is readily apparent. He had employed Wallach as his chief counsel. Curiously, Reid nominated Wallach to the Federal bench.

FIG. 1—NANCY PELOSI AND HARRY REID STACKED THE COURTS IN LEADER V. FACEBOOK.

At 5,600 to 1 odds, two Obama cronies of Reid and Pelosi were assigned to the Leader v. Facebook patent infringement case. Hindsight is 20/20. These judges were installed to guarantee a win for Facebook prior to the Facebook IPO. Pelosi, Reid and their cronies were substantial beneficiaries.

Pelosi is heavily invested in Baidu, Inc. China into which her Facebook cronies have poured tens of billions of dollars to control the Chinese social networking market. Curiously, Baidu's CEO and Facebook's Mark Zuckerberg became CEOs of their respective companies the very same month—Jan. 2004—just two months after Ohio innovator, Leader Technologies, Inc., finished debugging the critical modules of Leader's social networking invention.

At trial, Facebook's expert witness, Dr. Saul Greenberg, lied about this very module and misled the jury. At the same time, Judge Leonard P. Stark blocked the jury from hearing a proper Leader defense which would have included expert testimony from Leader's patent attorney, Professor James P. Chandler, III, President of the National Intellectual Property Law Institute. Professor Chandler oversaw Leader's invention secrecy protections. He would have handily debunked Facebook's fabrications from personal knowledge of Leader's strenuous work, guided by him as a Leader director and advisor, to protect the invention. The unrefuted court record attests to these practices.

Tellingly, Nancy Pelosi also holds investments with In-Q-Tel, the CIA's venture capital fund, and NBC Universal. NBC is a prime mover in the inordinately pro-Obama reporting of the Mainstream Media. NBC is also closely aligned with Baidu, Inc. China as well.
Circuit just two (2) days after Leader Technologies filed its Leader v. Facebook appeal at the Federal Circuit even though Wallach has no patent law experience.

PELOSI WAS STARK’S MATRON

Representative Pelosi’s duplicity is evident with 20/20 hindsight—after analyzing her N2012 financial disclosure where she declares her net worth at up to $185 million.

 Pelosi’s former national security advisor, MIKE SHEEHY, left Pelosi just as her term as Speaker of the House was starting, in March 2009. This was just three months after Leader v. Facebook started. Sheehy joined the Washington D.C. lobbying firm, McBee Strategic LLC. McBee was teamed with Facebook’s attorney, Cooley Godward LLP. Cooley also had their partner, Donald K. Stern, in the White House advising on judicial appointments. (These Facebook relationships were never disclosed by Stark.)

In Jan. 2010, just a week after Facebook’s disastrous performance in the Leader v. Facebook Markman Hearing, veteran judge Joseph J. Farnan was forced into retirement and Obama nominee, Magistrate Leonard P. Stark, was assigned, just one month before trial. This was surprising since Farnan had expressed his interest in taking the case to trial on numerous occasions. The same month as Stark’s ascendency from obscurity, Facebook’s Cooley Godward LLP trial counsel, Michael G. Rhodes, was appointed chief counsel at Elon Musk’s Tesla Motors, Inc. Musk is a notorious Obama donor who had just received $465 million in energy stimulus funds. The incestuous back scratching is apparent with hindsight.

Tesla Motor’s funds were recommended by the McBee Strategic – Cooley Godward partnership led by Nancy Pelosi’s man—Mike Sheehy.

Why was veteran judge Joseph J. Farnan forced to retire just one month before trial? Because Facebook knew they were going to lose in a fair fight.

OHIO INNOVATOR, LEADER TECHNOLOGIES, WAS DENIED BLIND JUSTICE BY REID’S AND PELOSI’S INTERFERENCE TO PROTECT OBAMA “LIKES” AND LINE THEIR POCKETS WITH IPO WINNINGS

This collusion explains how Facebook beat the odds that two of the judges in Leader v. Facebook just happened to be Obama nominees.

The odds of picking Judge Leonard P. Stark were 1:7, at best

There are seven judges and magistrates at the Delaware District Court. Therefore, the odds of selecting Stark out of a hat for Leader v. Facebook were 1 in 7. We say “at best” because the pool of candidates is not limited to active judges. Judges emeritus can be selected in special circumstances, like conflicts of interest. So, the odds were actually lower.

The odds of picking Judge Evan J. Wallach were 810 to 1 —0.10%

The Federal Circuit has 18 circuit judges and Wallach was one of about 45 Democratic chief counsels for those

PICK A JUDGE, ANY JUDGE

Justice is supposed to be blind. The odds of randomly picking two Obama appointees in Leader v. Facebook were at least 5,600 to 1—the same odds as randomly picking two Obama appointees out of this MLK crowd.

“A noble society must demand blind justice, and must toss out any judge who violates the public trust, even once.”
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Update Mar. 25, 2014

FOUR CRITICAL AFI POSTS ON JUDICIAL COMPROMISE

Fully updated Mar. 25, 2014 in the wake of the Scribd censorship:

1. WAS CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS BLACKMAILED into supporting Obamacare by his ethical compromises in Leader v. Facebook?
2. JUSTICE ROBERTS MENTORED Facebook Gibson Dunn LLP attorneys.
3. JUSTICE ROBERTS HOLDS substantial Facebook financial interests.
4. JUDGE LEONARD STARK FAILED to disclose his Facebook financial interests and his reliance on Facebook’s Cooley Godward LLP attorneys for his appointment.

BARACK OBAMA’S DARK POOLS OF CORRUPTION

Click to enlarge
judges. The odds of Wallach’s appearance on the bench in Leader v. Facebook were 1 in 18x45=810 choices. This number is higher since the pool of candidates was likely much higher than 45. In other words, the selection of Wallach had a 1 in 810 chance of occurring, 1/18 x 1/45 = 1/810.

The odds of the two Obama nominees being selected was 5670 to 1—0.02%

Therefore, the odds that two Obama nominees would be assigned to Leader v. Facebook were 1 in 5670 or 0.02%, at best.

By way of analogy, the odds of picking Stark and Wallach were the same as randomly picking their names out of 128 decks of cards. See Fig. 3.

PELOSI VALUES HER HOLDINGS AT UP TO $185 MILLION, NOT COUNTING 10 UNDISCLOSED HOLDINGS

Pelosi holds funds with direct investments in these members of the now familiar Facebook cartel:

1. Facebook
2. Baidu, Inc. ("The Chinese Facebook")
3. Qualcomm (Cooley Godward LLP, chief counsel)
4. Accel Partners LLP
5. IDG Ventures (James W. Breyer, Facebook, Accel Partners LLP, IDG-Accel China)
6. Venrock (Robert P. Kocher, MD, Obamacare)
7. Hambrecht (Ann H. Lamont)
8. Meritech (Ann H. Lamont)
9. In-Q-Tel (CIA)
10. Microsoft
11. IBM
12. NBC Universal
13. Comcast (NBC)
14. Goldman Sachs
15. Morgan Stanley
16. T.Rowe Price

Through $7 million in Matthews International Capital, Pelosi holds major interests in Baidu, Inc., sometimes called "The Chinese Facebook." Baidu and Facebook are quit similar. Is that just coincidental?

Here are some facts about Baidu, Inc., China:

- CEO, Robin Yangong Li, was appointed in Jan. 2004, the same month that Mark Zuckerberg purportedly programmed Facebook at Harvard. Facebook launched on Feb. 4, 2004 after Zuckerberg said he developed the whole system in one to two weeks. By contrast, Leader Technologies said it cost them $10 million and 145,000 man-hours to actually develop the innovations now called "social networking." In court, Leader proved that Facebook was guilty of all 11 of 11 infringement claims.

- Robin Yangong Li established a Cayman Island presence to hold his Baidu stock, naming the company "Handsome Reward."

- Chief of Patents, Parker Zhang, was a rookie attorney, not even a partner, at Fenwick & West LLP in Palo Alto, CA before being catapulted to the top intellectual property job at Baidu.

- T.Rowe Price and Baillie Gifford, along with Robin Li, are the top three largest shareholders in Baidu. Baillie Gifford and T. Rowe were the 2nd and 4th largest fund investors in the Facebook IPO. Baillie Gifford “advised” Vanguard who was the 9th largest purchaser of Facebook stock.

We see. We “like.” We steal. STOP FACEBOOK PROPERTY THEFT

WILL HUMANKIND EVER LEARN? Facebook’s Orwellian doublespeak about property and privacy (theft) merely repeats the eventual dehumanization of the individual under Mao’s Red Star, Stalin’s SOVIET Hammer & Cycle and Hitler’s NAZI Swastika. Respect for the inalienable rights of each individual is a bedrock value of democracy. The members of the Facebook Cabal abuse this principle at every opportunity. They evidently believe that they deserve special privileges and are willing to lie, cheat and steal in order to treat themselves to these privileges.

ASK CONGRESS: PASS THE INVENTOR PROTECTION ACT!
IDG Capital Partners appears to have simultaneously directed the birth of Baidu (China) “The Chinese Facebook”), Facebook (US) and VKontakte (Russia) (“the Russian Facebook”). Russian oligarch Alisher Usmanov invested billions of “dubious” origins into Facebook private “dark pools” pre-IPO stock facilitated by Goldman Sachs, who was also Usmanov’s partner in Moscow. This Alisher Usmanov’s activity pumped Facebook pre-IPO valuation to $100 billion. Usmanov also owns 52% of Wontakte.

Former Treasury Secretary and Obama bailout director, Lawrence “Larry” Summers, mentored Usmanov’s co-oligarch, Yuri Milner, along with Facebook COO, Sheryl K. Summers, when he was Chief Economist for the World Bank. Pundits believe Summers manipulated failing Soviet markets and created the current Russian oligarchies, including Usmanov.

IDG Capital Partners is led by the Breyer family: John P. Breyer (father) and James W. Breyer (son).

James W. Breyer is Facebook’ largest shareholder and together with Mark Zuckerberg elicited Stanford students to write apps for the stolen Leader Technologies’ platform on Oct. 22, 2005. See Mark E. Zuckerberg and James W. Breyer solicitation of Stanford Univ. students, Oct. 26, 2005, Stanford Ctr. for Prof. Dev., Video: YouTube and FBCP; See also the Transcript.

Facebook’s law firm, Gibson Dunn LLP, withheld 28 Zuckerberg Harvard hard drives and emails from Leader Technologies, saying they were “lost.” Yet magically, one (1) day after the Federal Circuit denial of Leader’s petition, they produced all 28 in Ceglia v. Zuckerberg. What sins are they hiding? Why have the federal courts been so cooperative in preventing all access, even when after they were “found.”


Pelosi has up to $500,000 invested in Granit Ventures who is co-invests with the CIA (In-Q-Tel), Accel Partners LLP (James W. Breyer), IDG Capital Partners (James W. Breyer and John P. Breyer), Venrock (Robert P. Kocher, MD—ObamaCare), Ann H. Lamont (ObamaCare), Meritech (Facebook, ObamaCare), Accenture (ObamaCare), Microsoft and IBM.

JUDGE FIXING IS A CRIME

Equal treatment before the law is a sacred American democratic value. Leader v. Facebook has exposed serious flaws in the administration of justice in America. Citizens have no hope of justice, on any topic, when the leaders of the three branches of government all collude, as occurred here.

In Leader v. Facebook, the Executive Branch conspired with the leaders of the Legislative Branch (Pelosi and Reid) to fix a court case managed by the Judicial Branch. The only honest actor was the jury, which when given proper evidence, ruled in Leader Technologies’ favor on all 11 of 11 counts. They ruled that Facebook is in ‘literal infringement’ of Leader’s patent.

However, the guilty ruling was overwhelmed by attorney-fabricated sophistry on appeal, by dozens if not hundreds of colluding lawyers who know better, but who, like their counterparts at the White House, Patent Office and Legislature, were lavished riches that have seared their consciences with a hot iron.

CONGRESS, THE PEOPLE’S BODY, CAN MAKE THIS RIGHT

The Founders thought a time might come when the checks and balances system itself might become corrupt by party interests. In that circumstance, they knew the normal avenues of appeal would not work. Therefore, they vested ultimate power in Congress, the People’s body. There the representatives of the citizenry had the power of property and purse.

REQUEST FOR CONGRESSIONAL INTERVENTION

We encourage each and every one of our freedom-loving readers to submit the Request for Congressional Intervention. Our property rights and those of our children and grandchildren are teetering over a precipice. If Leader’s rights are not fully restored and made right, then all of our rights will be next.

These bad Facebook actors are waiting to see if American citizens even care about their Constitutional rights any longer. They have seen how easily they give up their privacy to titillation and chronic distraction. Leader v. Facebook is a test.

If America lets them steal Leader's social networking invention, then nothing will stop them.

Write your representatives folks. Don't procrastinate.

[Click here to get started: PDF | HTML]

Thank You!

A big thank you to AFI volunteers for helping us get the hundreds of censored Scribd documents relinked and back up. We are reminded of the Book of Genesis 50:20: “you meant evil against me, but God meant it for good in order to bring about this present result” (NASB).

We were impressed by the amount and quality of the hard evidence of wrongdoing now available. We took this document recovery opportunity to package up the evidence for even easier access. We also updated the AFI sidebar. So, while the Scribd principals undoubtedly meant to slow us down, the opposite has occured. :-)

Thank You!

A big thank you to AFI volunteers for helping us get the hundreds of censored Scribd documents relinked and back up. We are reminded of the Book of Genesis 50:20: “you meant evil against me, but God meant it for good in order to bring about this present result” (NASB).

We were impressed by the amount and quality of the hard evidence of wrongdoing now available. We took this document recovery opportunity to package up the evidence for even easier access. We also updated the AFI sidebar. So, while the Scribd principals undoubtedly meant to slow us down, the opposite has occured. :-)
I just stumbled onto this blog and I have to say that the whole premise here is pretty outrageous.

As I've read through the various posts, the argument seems to be that there are tons of these “dark pools” mutual funds that contain Facebook stock, and ownership of these “dark pools” led a massive number of judges, administration officials, press, etc. to conspire against Leader.

Mathematically, this makes absolutely no logical sense. Take a look at this chart, which summarizes hundreds of mutual funds containing Facebook stock. [Link to chart]

In almost every single fund, the Facebook stock consists of less than 1% of the fund’s weight. There are a couple of outliers, but virtually every one of these funds only has a miniscule percentage of Facebook stock among its holdings.

Now you’re claiming that say, for example, Justice Roberts holds a bunch of these funds. Let’s assume he’s got $10 million invested in 20 different “dark pool” funds. That’s probably WAY too high a figure, but just for argument’s sake. Assuming very generously that the average Facebook holding among those 20 funds is .75% (it’s probably a lot lower), then the value of all of that Facebook stock in those funds is a whopping $75K. And now let’s assume that Justice Roberts makes some decision that causes Facebook to go up wildly by 50%. That value of that stock, spread among 20 different funds, goes up to $112,500, for a total gain of $37,500. And this of course assumes that none of the other holdings of the funds go DOWN as a result of Facebook going up.

So you’re claiming that the Chief Justice of the United States will just throw the Constitution out the window and be “blackmailed” into supporting Obamacare on the hypothetical scenario that his decision would result in a massive 50% increase in the value of Facebook stock, for a whopping gain of less than $40,000 in 20 different mutual funds (all of which he would likely hold for years anyway)! I don’t think so.

This simple math illustrates why the basic premise of this blog is so flawed and why mutual fund holdings aren’t considered conflicts of interest. The idea that back in 2005 or so some group of people began organizing a worldwide conspiracy to get judges, administration officials, congress, press, etc. into mutual funds that—someday—would possess a not-yet-public company and that 5-10 years in the future these individuals would make decisions favorable to that not-yet-public company, resulting in miniscule percentage increases in mutual fund returns is just ridiculous. You’re right that “Tom Clancy couldn’t make this up” because it makes no sense in the fictional world or the real world.

And while we’re on the subject of the Supreme Court, why does this blog keep claiming that Justice Roberts “mentors” Thomas Hungar? Hungar said that in 1992—more than 20 years ago—he’s likely held some advice on how to prepare for oral arguments. 20+ years ago. And since then I think that Hungar has argued some 20+ cases in front of the Supreme Court. Are you claiming that because Roberts gave him some advice 20+ years ago, Hungar can never argue a case in front of Roberts? That has got to be one of the most far out arguments I’ve ever heard. And apparently since Hungar works for Gibson Dunn, this means that no one from the firm of Gibson Dunn can ever argue a case in front of Roberts? These just aren’t conflicts of interest by any stretch of the imagination.

And also, if these judges and other officials were supposedly making decisions that would bump up the value of Facebook, don’t you think you would have seen increases in share price that could be tied to these decisions? For example, the Supreme Court supposedly scandalously denied cert on January 7, 2013. This was supposedly the result of Justice Roberts and other Supreme Court judges holding mutual funds that contained Facebook stock (and not the fact that the Court denies cert to more than 99% of the cases submitted to it). Facebook stock closed at $27.77 on Friday, January 4. It closed on January 7 at 28.76, an increase of a whopping 3.5%. By the end of February, you see the price dipping back into the $27 range. It’s clear that the Supreme Court had absolutely nothing to do with Facebook or Leader.

If you look back at any of the supposed scandals this website identifies (3rd reexam, Obamacare website launch, etc.) you’ll see that they have absolutely nothing to do with the price of Facebook stock.

Now if you had identified a single judge, official, congressperson, etc. who held ACTUAL Facebook stock, then maybe you would have a conflict of interest. But here, this is all just wild speculation that has no basis in reality. The idea of bribing people through mutual funds makes absolutely no mathematical sense.
EDITORIALS

1. DC Bar refuses to investigate attorney misconduct in Leader v. Facebook - Unwillingness of DC attorneys to self-police may explain why Washington is broken, Dec. 30, 2012

2. Will the U.S. Supreme Court support schemers or real American inventors? Facebook's case dangles on a doctored interrogatory. Eighteen (18) areas of question shout for attention, Dec. 27, 2012

3. Two Policy Changes That Will Make America More Democratic (and less contentious), Dec. 21, 2012

OUR MISSION

American citizens must fight abuse of the constitutional right for authors and inventors to enjoy the fruits of their inventions, as a matter of matter of basic property rights and sound public policy. Otherwise, instead of innovation, creativity, genius, ideas, vision, courage, entrepreneurship, respect, property, rejuvenation, morals, ethics, values, renewal, truth, facts, rights, privacy, solutions and judicial faithfulness, . . . our society and economy will be dragged down (and eventually destroyed) by copying, infringement, thievery, counterfeiting, hacking, greed, misinformation, exploitation, abuse, waste, disrespect, falsity, corruption, bribery, coercion, intimidation, doublespeak, misconduct, lies, deception, attorney “dark arts,” destruction, confusion, dishonesty, judicial chicanery and lawlessness.

If we do not speak up, impeach derelict judges and imprison corrupt attorneys, we cannot possibly hope to start fixing the current ills in our society. Without justice and respect for private property, democracy has no sure foundation.

CURRENT EDITORIAL FOCUS

We are an opinion blog that advocates for strong intellectual property rights. We welcome commenters and contributors. The Leader v. Facebook patent infringement case first came to our attention after learning that the trial judge, Leonard P. Stark, U.S. District Court of Delaware, ignored his jury’s admission that they had no evidence to support their on-sale bar verdict, but the judge supported it anyway.

The judicial misconduct has deteriorated from there, replete with two of the three judges on the Federal Circuit appeal panel, Judges Alan D. Lourie and Kimberly A. Moore, holding Facebook stock that they did not disclose to the litigants, and later
tried to excuse through a quick motion slipped in at the last minute by the Clerk of Court, Jan Horbaly, and his close friends at The Federal Circuit Bar Association. (The DC Bar subsequently revealed that Mr. Horbaly is not licensed to practice law in Washington D.C.)

The judges ignored shocking new evidence that Mark Zuckerberg withheld 28 hard drives of 2003-2004 evidence from Leader Technologies that could prove actual theft (and therefore claims even more serious than infringement). In addition, Facebook’s appeal attorney, Thomas G. Hungar of Gibson Dunn LLP, has close personal ties to just about every judicial player in this story. The misconduct appears to reach into the U.S. Patent Office through abuse of the reexamination process by Facebook. We will stay focused on Leader v. Facebook until justice is served, but we also welcome news and analysis of intellectual property abuse in other cases as well.

WELCOME TO DONNA KLINE NOW! READERS!

AFI has been supporting Donna and is now picking up the main Leader v. Facebook coverage (she will continue coverage as well).

Anonymous Posts Are Welcomed! Blogger has more posting constraints than Donná’s WordPress, but we will continue to welcome anonymous posts. Simply send us an email at amer4innov@gmail.com with your post. Once the moderator verifies that your email address is real, your comment will be posted using your real name or handle, whatever you wish, like John Smith or Tex.

Click here to view a complete Donna Kline Now! posts archive.
Judge Leonard P. Stark, U.S. District Court of Delaware, trial judge in Leader Techs, Inc. v. Facebook, Inc., 770 F. Supp. 2d 686 (D.Del. 2011). Judge Stark heard his jury foreman admit that the jury made the on-sale bar decision without any evidence other than speculation, and yet he supported that verdict anyway. Just months before trial, Judge Stark allowed Facebook to add the on-sale bar claim after the close of all fact discovery and blocked Leader from preparing its defenses to this new claim. Judge Stark allowed the claims despite Leader’s prophetic argument that the action would confuse the jury and prejudice Leader. (Read Leader’s May 20, 2010 motion here.) He also permitted the jury to ignore the Pfaff v. Wells Electronics, Inc. test for on-sale bar, even after instructing the jury to use it. (See that Jury Instruction No. 4.7 here.) He also contradicted his own instruction to Leader to answer
Interrogatory No. 9 in the present tense (2009), then permitted the jury to interpret it as a 2002 admission as well. See his Sep. 14, 2009 Order. Facebook's entire on-sale bar case is based upon this interrogatory. (Editorial: Hardly sufficient to meet the “heavy burden” of the clear and convincing evidence standard.)

Judge Alan D. Lourie, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, panel judge in Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc., 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Judge Lourie stood to benefit financially from undisclosed holdings in Facebook. See analysis of Judge Lourie’s T. Rowe Price holdings re. the Facebook IPO. Judge Lourie also failed to apply his own law-test in Group One v. Hallmark Cards to the evidence. After debunking all of Facebook's evidence on appeal, Judge Lourie created new argument in the secrecy of chambers to support Facebook and prevent the on-sale bar verdict from being overturned—a clear breach of constitutional due process.

Judge Kimberly A. Moore, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, panel judge in Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc., 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Judge Moore stood to benefit financially from undisclosed holdings in Facebook. See disclosure of substantial holdings in Facebook and Facebook-related stocks. Judge Moore failed to follow the long-held precedent for testing on-sale bar evidence in Pfaff v. Wells Electronics, Inc.—an evident and intentional omission coming from a former patent law professor. After debunking all of Facebook's evidence on appeal, Judge Moore created new argument in the secrecy of chambers to support Facebook and prevent the on-sale bar verdict from being overturned.
Judge Evan J. Wallach, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, member of the three-judge panel in Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc., 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Judge Wallach is not a patent attorney. This begs the question as to why a judge with no knowledge of patent law was assigned to the case. Would anyone ask a dentist to perform brain surgery? The Federal Circuit was specially formed to appoint patent-knowledgeable judges to patent cases. There is no evidence so far in the judicial disclosures that Judge Wallach holds stock in Facebook, although when he was asked on a motion to disclose potential Facebook holdings and other conflicts of interest, he refused along with the other judges. See Motion to Disclose Conflicts of Interest. Judge Wallach continued in silence even after Clerk of Court Horbaly failed to provide him with Dr. Lakshmi Arunachalam’s motions (according to his Federal Circuit staffer Valeri White), and yet the Clerk signed an order regarding that motion on Judge Wallach’s behalf. See a full analysis of these events at Donna Kline Now! Judge Wallach also failed to police his court’s violation of Leader’s Fifth and 14th Amendment constitutional right to due process when he participated in the fabrication of new arguments and evidence for Facebook in the secrecy of judge’s chambers after he had just invalidated Facebook’s sole remaining item of evidence (using disbelieved testimony as ostensible evidence of an opposite). Judge Wallach also failed to police his court when he failed to apply the Supreme Court’s Pfaff v. Wells Electronics, Inc. test for on-sale bar evidence, which included even the Federal Circuit’s own Group One v. Hallmark Cards, Inc. test—a test which Judge Lourie should have advised Judge Wallach to follow since Judge Lourie helped write that opinion. Group One test omission analysis.
Clerk of Court Jan Horbaly, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, clerk who signed all the opinions in Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc., 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Clerk Horbaly and his staff obfuscated when the court’s ruling was challenged by an amicus curiae brief revealing clear mistakes of law and new evidence. See analysis of the misconduct and misrepresentations within the Federal Circuit Clerk of Court in Leader v. Facebook. Mr. Horbaly failed to disclose his conflicts of interest and close associations with numerous Facebook attorneys and law firms, as well as his close association with one of Facebook’s largest shareholders, Microsoft, who is a Director of The Federal Circuit Bar Association where Mr. Horbaly is an ex officio officer. Additionally, the DC Bar revealed in a written statement that Clerk Horbaly is not licensed to practice law in the District of Columbia. [Editorial: What does that make the Federal Circuit with its location within a stone’s throw of the White House? A self-governing state?]

Judge Randall R. Rader, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, chief judge responsible for the (mis)conduct of his judges and Clerk of Court in Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc., 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Judge Rader failed to manage his court resulting in a likely situation where his judges never even received briefs that they allegedly ruled on in favor of Facebook. Judge Rader also failed to disclose his conflicting relationships with a Leader principle with whom he may have had deep professional differences during his time at the Senate Judiciary Committee—his former professor.
of law at George Washington University Law Center, former Leader director Professor James P. Chandler. See analysis of Judge Rader's undisclosed conflicts of interest in Leader v. Facebook. Judge Rader also did not stop his judges from creating new arguments and evidence for Facebook in the secrecy of chambers—after they had debunked all of Facebook's evidence on appeal, which is a clear breach of constitutional due process.

Click here to view a Federal Circuit Leader v. Facebook Conflicts of Interest Map.


Leader v. Facebook Legal Research Links

NOTICE: Opinion

This is an opinion blog. Any information contained or linked herein should be independently verified and should be considered the sole opinion of the writer. Free Speech and Freedom of the Press are protected by the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution and other local, state, national and international laws. Therefore, as with all opinion, such opinion should not be relied upon without independent verification.

This site is a not-for-profit effort focused on education, news, investigation of issues in the public interest, and research, and relies on fair use copyright exemptions under 17 U.S.C. 106(a)-117 of the United States Copyright Act, in addition to any and all other related and relevant privileges to which a fair and reasonable person would attribute to this grassroots effort to root out corruption and promote justice. No rights whatsoever to third party content are claimed or implied.

AFI LOGO (with text)
Here is the cast of characters in Leader v. Facebook. We encourage you to report their corrupt activities to this site and others, like Lawless America. Feel free to communicate anonymously in any way in which you are most comfortable. The attempt of these people and their organizations to corrupt American justice and commerce cannot be tolerated. Vigilance. We will expose them. See Congressional Briefings (currently being updated after Scribd censored the documents on Fri. Mar. 7, 2014).

**A. Facebook’s law firms:**

1. Fenwick & West LLP (Facebook securities and patent law firm; former Leader Technologies counsel; attempted an appearance in Leader v. Facebook; did not seek conflicts waiver from Leader prior to representing Facebook)
2. Cooley Godward LLP (Facebook law firm in Leader v. Facebook;
<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>McBee Strategic energy stimulus partner; Obama Justice Dept. advisor; former employer to patent judges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Blank &amp; Rome LLP (Facebook law firm in Leader v. Facebook; former employer to patent judges)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>White &amp; Case LLP (Facebook law firm in Leader v. Facebook; undisclosed former employer to Patent Office Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) officer involved in Leader v. Facebook)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Orrick Herrington LLP (longtime Facebook law firm and destroyer of evidence for the cabal in Winklevoss v. Zuckerberg and ConnectU v. Facebook)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Weil Gotshal LLP (Federal Circuit counsel in Leader v. Facebook; Judge Kimberly A. Moore's undisclosed former client)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Latham &amp; Watkins LLP (Facebook Director James W. Breyer's counsel; Judge Kimberly A. Moore's husband, Matthew J. Moore's new law firm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Federal Circuit Bar Association (&quot;FCBA&quot;) (Federal Circuit's bar association; second largest in the U.S.; Facebook's law firms exert much influence in its policy and activity, incl. Fenwick &amp; West LLP, Gibson Dunn LLP, Orrick Herrington LLP, Weil Gotshal LLP; Facebook's large shareholder, Microsoft, is a director; Federal Circuit Clerk of Court Jan Horbaly is an officer; FCBA made an appearance in Leader v. Facebook to oppose the amicus curiae (friend of the court) motion of Dr. Lakshmi Arunachalam, former Director of Network Architecture at Sun Microsystems, in favor of Leader Technologies and objecting to the evident conflicts of interest within the court itself, her motion was denied, the judges refused to disclose their conflicts which we now know include Facebook and Microsoft stocks)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>DC Bar Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Perkins Coie LLP (Facebook's &quot;rapid response enforcement team&quot;; law firm for Obama's chief counsels, the husband and wife team of Robert F. Bauer and Anita B. Dunn; Bauer was identified on Aug. 1, 2013 as having directed the IRS targeting of the Tea Party)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 11. | Stroz Friedberg (Facebook's "forensic expert" who manipulated the data in Paul Ceglia v. Mark Zuckerberg, and who first revealed the existence of 28 Zuckerberg hard drives and..."
Harvard emails that they told Leader Technologies in 2009 were "lost")

**B. Facebook attorneys & cooperating judges:**

13. Gordon K. Davidson (Fenwick; Facebook's securities and patent attorney; Leader Technologies' former attorney)
14. Christopher P. King (sometimes Christopher-Charles King, Fenwick)
15. Theodore B. Olson (Gibson Dunn)
16. Thomas G. Hungar (Gibson Dunn)
17. Eric H. Holder, Jr. (Attorney General, U.S. Dept. of Justice)
18. James Cole (Deputy Attorney General, U.S. Dept. of Justice)
19. Tony West (Associate Attorney General, U.S. Dept. of Justice; 2008 Obama California Campaign Manager)
20. Robert F. Bauer (Obama Attorney; White House Chief Counsel; directed IRS targeting of the Tea Party; formerly and currently employed by Perkins Coie LLP, Facebook's "rapid response enforcement team;"
spouse is Anita B. Dunn)
21. Anita B. Dunn (Obama Attorney; White House Chief Counsel; husband Robert F. Bauer directed IRS targeting of the Tea Party, formerly employed by Perkins Coie LLP, Facebook's "rapid response enforcement team")
22. Mary L. Schapiro (former Chairman, Securities & Exchange Commission (S.E.C.); holds investments in 51 Facebook Club basket funds)
23. James "Jamie" Brigagliano (former Deputy Director of the Division of Trading and Markets at the Securities and Exchange Commission; Mary L. Schapiro's chief lieutenant on "dark pool" rule making)
24. Joseph P. Cutler (Perkins Coie)
25. David P. Chiappetta (Perkins Coie)
26. James R. McCullagh (Perkins Coie)
27. Ramsey M. Al-Salam (Perkins Coie)
28. Grant E. Kinsel (Perkins Coie)
29. Reeve T. Bull (Gibson Dunn)
30. Heidi Keefe (Cooley)
31. Michael G. Rhodes (Cooley; Tesla Motors)
32. Elizabeth Stameshkin (Cooley)
33. Donald K. Stern (Cooley; Justice Dept. advisor)
34. Mark R. Weinstein (Cooley)
35. Jeffrey Norberg (Cooley)
36. Ronald Lemieux (Cooley)
37. Craig W. Clark (Blank Rome)
38. Tom Amis (Cooley / McBee Strategic)
39. Erich Veitenheimer (Cooley / McBee Strategic)
40. Roel Campos (Cooley; former Commissioner of the U.S. Securities & Exchange Commission at the time of the infamous Facebook 12(g) exemption)
41. Lisa T. Simpson (Orrick)
42. Samuel O’Rourke (Facebook; Cooley-directed)
43. Theodore W. Ullyot (Facebook; Cooley-directed)
44. Amber H. Rover, aka Amber L. Hagy aka Amber Hatfield (Weil Gotshal LLP; Judge Kimberly A. Moore’s former client)
45. Edward R. Reines (Weil Gotshal)
46. Trish Harris (DC Bar Association)
47. Elizabeth A. Herman (DC Bar Association)
48. Elizabeth J. Branda (DC Bar Association)
49. David J. Kappos (former Patent Office Director; former IBM chief intellectual property counsel; ordered unprecedented 3rd reexam of Leader Technologies’ patent; Obama political appointee)
50. Preetinder ("Preet") Bharara (U.S. Attorney Ceglia v. Zuckerberg; formerly of Gibson & Dunn LLP; protects Zuckerberg)
51. Thomas J. Kim (SEC Chief Counsel)
52. Anne Krauskopf (SEC Special Sr. Counsel)
53. John G. Roberts, Jr. (Chief Justice, U.S. Supreme Court)
54. Jan Horbaly (Federal Circuit, Clerk of Court)
55. Kimberly A. Moore (Judge, Federal Circuit)
56. Matthew J. Moore (Latham & Watkins LLP; husband of Judge Kimberly A. Moore)
57. Kathryn "Kathy" Ruemmler (Latham & Watkins LLP; White House counsel)
58. Evan J. Wallach (Judge, Federal Circuit)
59. Alan D. Lourie (Judge, Federal Circuit)
60. Randall R. Rader (Chief Judge, Federal Circuit)
61. Terence P. Stewart (Federal Circuit Bar Association)
62. Leonard P. Stark (Judge, Delaware U.S. District Court)
63. Richard J. Arcara (Judge, N.Y. Western District, Ceglia v. Holder et al)
64. Allen R. MacDonald (Administrative Judge, U.S. Patent Office)
68. Kathryn Walsh Siehndel (FOIA Counsel, U.S. Patent Office - bio and conflicts log concealed)

C. Facebook puppet masters:

69. President Barack Obama (appointed Leonard P. Stark to the judges seat in Delaware Federal District Court eight days after Stark’s court allowed Facebook to get away with jury and court manipulation of an on-sale bar verdict which was attained without a single piece of hard evidence; Barack and Michelle Obama were evidently protecting their 47 million ‘likes’ on Facebook)

70. Lawrence ”Larry” Summers (Harvard President who aided Zuckerberg’s light-speed rise to prominence with unprecedented Harvard Crimson coverage; Obama bailout chief; Clinton Treasury Secretary; World Bank Chief Economist; “Special Advisor” to Marc Andreessen in Instagram; co-creator of the current Russian robber baron economy; close 20-year relationships with protégés Sheryl Sandberg & Yuri Milner; aided in recommendations that created the Russian robber baron economy—and Yuri Milner/DST/Asmanov’s money used to purchase Facebook stock)

71. James W. Breyer, Accel Partners LLP; Facebook director; client of Fenwick & West LLP since the 1990’s; apparently received technology from other Fenwick clients that was shuffled to Zuckerberg, incl. Leader Technologies’ inventions)

72. David Plouffe; directed Obama’s 2008 and 2012 campaigns; a self-described “statistics nerd;” likely directed the activities of the Facebook Club; employed Robert F. Bauer, Perkins Coi 1 LLP in 2000 at the Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee

73. McBee Strategic (one of the main “private” arms responsible for doling out the billions in Obama “green energy” stimulus funds; partnered with Cooley Godward LLP)

74. Mike Sheehy (Cooley-McBee Strategic principal; former National Security Adviser to House Speaker Nancy Pelosi)

75. Nancy Pelosi (U.S. Congresswoman; appears to be running political cover in the House for Facebook, McBee Strategic, Cooley Godward, Fenwick & West, Breyers, etc.)
76. Harry Reid (U.S. Senator; Judge Evan J. Wallach patron)
77. Thomas J. Kim (SEC, Chief Counsel & Assoc. Director) approved Facebook’s 500-shareholder exemption on Oct. 14, 2007, one day after it was submitted by Fenwick & West LLP. Facebook used this exemption to sell $3 billion insider stock to the Russians Alisher Asmanov, Yuri Milner, DST, Digital Sky, Mail.ru which pumped Facebook's pre-IPO valuation to $100 billion; another Harvard grad, Kim worked at Latham & Watkins LLP which was the chief lobbyist for the National Venture Capital Association in 2002-2004 whose Chairman was... James W. Beyer, Accel Partners LLP, in other words Breyer and Kim, both Harvard grads, were associated at the time of the Zuckerberg hacking and theft of Leader Technologies' software code
78. Ping Li (Accel Partners, Zuckerberg handler)
79. Jim Swartz (Accel Partners; Zuckerberg handler)
80. Sheryl K. Sandberg (Facebook, Summers protégé; Facebook director)
81. Yuri Milner (DST aka Digital Sky, Summers protégé; former Bank Menatep executive; Facebook director)
82. Alisher Asmanov (DST aka Digital Sky; Goldman Sachs Moscow partner; Russian oligarch; Friend of the Kremlin; Became the Richest Man in Russia after the Facebook IPO)
83. Marc L. Andreessen (Zuckerberg coach; client of Fenwick and Christopher P. King; Summers' sponsor during Instagram-scam; Facebook director)
84. Peter Thiel (19-year old Zuckerberg coach; Pay Pal; Facebook director; CEO, Clarion Capital)
85. Clarion Capital (Peter Thiel)
86. Reid G. Hoffman (19-year old Zuckerberg coach; Pay Pal; LinkedIn; Facebook director)
87. Richard Wolpert (Accel Partners)
88. Robert Ketterson (Fidelity Ventures; Fidelity Equity Partners; Fidelity Ventures Telecommunications & Technology)
89. David Kilpatrick (Business Insider; "The Facebook Effect"; PR cleanse-meister re. Facebook origins)
90. Zynga/Groupon/LinkedIn/Square/Instagram ("Facebook Money/Credits/Bitcoin" feeder companies)
91. Tesla Motors (received $465 million in Obama stimulus funds and hired Cooley’s Michael Rhodes in the seven months before the Leader v. Facebook trial, just...
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before veteran Judge Joseph Farnan made the surprise announcement of his retirement, just six days after Facebook's disastrous Markman Hearing)

92. Solyndra (received $535 million in Obama stimulus at the recommendation of the Cooley-McBee Strategic “consulting” alliance)

93. BrightSource (received $1.6 billion in Obama stimulus at the recommendation of the Cooley-McBee Strategic “consulting” alliance)

94. John P. Breyer (father of James W. Breyer; founder of IDG Capital Partners - China; coached his son on exploiting Western markets while he quietly built a venture capital business in China for the last 20 years; the real brain behind the Breyer exploitations)

95. IDG Capital Partners (China) (founded by John P. Breyer, the father of James W. Breyer, Accel Partners; the current launderer of the tens of billions James W has fleeced from the U.S. market from the bailout, stimulus and the "pump & dump" Facebook IPO schemes)

96. Goldman Sachs (received US bailout funds; then invested with DST in Facebook private stock via Moscow; took Facebook public; locked out American investors from investing)

97. Morgan Stanley (received US bailout funds; took Facebook public; probably participated in oversees purchases of Facebook private stock before IPO)

98. State Street Corporation (received U.S. taxpayer bailout monies along with Goldman Sachs and Morgan Stanley; consolidating control of ATM banking networks internationally)

99. JP Morgan Chase (received U.S. taxpayer bailout monies along with Goldman Sachs, Morgan Stanley and State Street Corporation)

100. Lloyd Blankfein (Goldman Sachs, CEO)

101. Jamie Dimon (JP MorganChase, CEO)

102. Steve Cutler (JP MorganChase, General Counsel)

103. Rodgin Cohen (JP MorganChase, Outside Counsel; Sullivan Cromwell, LLP)

104. U.S. Securities & Exchange Commission (granted Fenwick & West's application on behalf of Facebook for an unprecedented exemption to the 500 shareholder rule; opened the floodgates for Goldman Sachs and Morgan Stanley to make a private market in Facebook pre-IPO insider stock; facilitated the influx of billions of dollars from "dubious" sources associated with Russian oligarchs, Alisher Asmanov and Yuri Milner, and the Kremlin; Goldman Sachs is a partner with
105. Jeff Markey (McBee Strategic LLC; allied with Facebook’s Cooley Godward Kronish LLP to arrange Obama’s green energy funding; arranged $1.6 billion for failed BrightSource and $535 million for failed Solyndra)

106. Steve McBee (McBee Strategic LLC; allied with Facebook’s Cooley Godward Kronish LLP to arrange Obama’s green energy funding; arranged $1.6 billion for failed BrightSource and $535 million for failed Solyndra)

107. Michael F. McGowan (Straz Friedberg; Facebook forensic expert who lied about his knowledge of the contents of the 28 Zuckerberg hard drives and Harvard Email accounts)

108. Bryan J. Rose (Straz Friedberg; Facebook forensic expert who lied about his knowledge of the contents of the 28 Zuckerberg hard drives and Harvard Email accounts)

109. Dr. Saul Greenberg (Facebook’s expert witness from the University of Calgary; disingenuously waived his hands and said he would be “wild guessing” about the purpose of a Java “sessionstate” import statement (even Java newbies know it is used for tracking a user while in a web session); in short, Dr. Greeberg lied to the jury, thus discrediting his testimony)

110. Toni Townes-Whitely (CGI Federal; Michelle Obama’s 1985 Princeton classmate; CGI “donated” $47 million to the Obama campaign; CGI won the no-bid contract to build the www.healthcare.gov Obamacare website; CGI shut off the security features on Obama’s reelection donation sites to increase donations)

111. CGI Federal (US division of a Canadian company; Donated $47 million to Obama’s reelection, then received the no-bid contract to build the ill-fated Obamacare website; Michelle Obama’s Princeton classmate, Toni Townes-Whitely, is a Senior Vice President of CGI; the website is replete with social features and links to Facebook)

112. Kathleen Sebelius (Obama’s Secretary of Health & Human Services since 2009 responsible for $678 million Obamacare implementation; made the decision to hire CGI Federal on a no-bid contract despite the evident conflict of interest with Michelle Obama and $47 million in Obama campaign donations by CGI; the website is replete with social features and links to Facebook)

113. Todd Y. Park (White House Chief Technology Officer (CTO); former CTO for Health & Human Services; chief architect of HealthCare.gov; founder,
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position and Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>Frank M. Sands, Sr. / Frank M. Sands, Jr.</td>
<td>(Founder and CEO, respectively, of Sands Capital Management LLC; failed to file S.E.C. Form 13G acquisition reports for Athenahealth, Inc., Baidu, Inc. (ADR) and Facebook stock during 2012; masked the association of Todd Y. Park with Athenahealth, Inc. and Baidu, Inc., and the association of both of those companies with the Facebook IPO fraud)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>Robin &quot;Handsome Reward&quot; Yangong Li</td>
<td>(CEO, Baidu, Inc. (ADR); appointed Jan. 2004, the same month that Mark Zuckerberg obtained Leader Technologies' social networking source code to start Facebook; Robin Y. Li is very likely associated with John P. and James W. Breyer through their Chinese entities, including IDG Capital Partners, IDG-Accel and other variants; Li appointed a junior attorney from Fenwick &amp; West LLP, Palo Alto/Mountain View, namely Parker Zhang, to be his &quot;Head of Patents;&quot; Fenwick &amp; West LLP represented both Leader Technologies, Inc. and Accel Partners LLC in 2002-2003 and had Leader's source code in their files.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>Parker Zhang</td>
<td>(&quot;Head of Patents&quot; at Baidu, Inc. (ADR), appointed in approx. May 2012; formerly a junior Associate attorney at Fenwick &amp; West LLP; graduate from Michigan Law in 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>Penny S. Pritzker</td>
<td>(Secretary, Department of Commerce; replaced Rebecca M. Blank; holds over $24 million in Facebook &quot;dark pools&quot; stock, most notably in Goldman Sachs, Morgan Stanley and JPMorgan)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118</td>
<td>Rebecca M. Blank</td>
<td>(Secretary, Department of Commerce; oversaw the dubious Leader v. Facebook activities of the Patent Office Director, David J. Kappos, who held over one million dollars in Facebook &quot;dark pools&quot; during the Leader v. Facebook proceedings; Kappos purchased this stock within weeks of his surprise recess appointment by President Obama; Kappos also was formerly employed by IBM, who sold Facebook 750 patents during the Leader v. Facebook proceedings; right before leaving the Patent Office, Kappos also ordered an unprecedented 3rd reexamination of Leader's patent without even identifying claims)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119</td>
<td>Mary L. Schapiro</td>
<td>(Chairman, Securities &amp; Exchange Commission; holds 51 Facebook &quot;dark pools&quot; stocks which held stock in Facebook, Baidu and more than a dozen Facebook crony companies; failed to regulate the &quot;dark pools;&quot; failed to disclose her substantial conflict of interest in regulating the run up to the Facebook IPO)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
120. Robert C. Hancock (Chief Compliance Officer, Sands Capital Management, LLC; failed to file S.E.C. Form SC 12G notice of acquisition reports for Athenahealth, Baidu and Facebook during the period of the Facebook IPO in 2012; this conduct masked the conflicts of interest of Todd Y. Park, who was appointed by President Obama to be the U.S. Chief Technology Officer during this same period; Todd Y. Park is/has been founder, director and CEO of both Athenahealth and Castlight Health; Todd Y. Park deeply embedded the software from Athenahealth and Castlight Health into HealthCare.gov when he was CTO at Health & Human Services; none of these conflicts of interest were disclosed; Todd Y. Park’s ethics pledges and reports are missing from the Office of Government Ethics)

121. Jonathan Goodman (Chief Counsel, Sands Capital Management, LLC; failed to file S.E.C. Form SC 12G notice of acquisition reports for Athenahealth, Baidu and Facebook during the period of the Facebook IPO in 2012; this conduct masked the conflicts of interest of Todd Y. Park, who was appointed by President Obama to be the U.S. Chief Technology Officer during this same period; Todd Y. Park is/has been founder, director and CEO of both Athenahealth and Castlight Health; Todd Y. Park deeply embedded the software from Athenahealth and Castlight Health into HealthCare.gov when he was CTO at Health & Human Services; none of these conflicts of interest were disclosed; Todd Y. Park’s ethics pledges and reports are missing from the Office of Government Ethics; Goodman was formerly employed by Gibson Dunn LLP, Facebook appeals counsel in Leader v. Facebook)

122. Trip Adler (“Co-Founder” of Scribd; Harvard contemporaries of Mark Zuckerberg with a dubious origins story, like Zuckerberg’s; Scribd held AFI documents for two years, then summarily deleted the entire library without warning on Fri. Mar. 7, 2014; AFI’s library contained only public documents and much evidence proving the Leader v. Facebook judicial corruption)

123. Jared Friedman (“Co-Founder” of Scribd; Harvard contemporaries of Mark Zuckerberg with a dubious origins story, like Zuckerberg’s; Scribd held AFI documents for two years, then summarily deleted the entire library without warning on Fri. Mar. 7, 2014; AFI’s library contained only public documents and much evidence proving the Leader v. Facebook judicial corruption)

D. Facebook boy-
### Puppets:

| 124. | Mark E. Zuckerberg |
| 125. | Chris Hughes |
| 126. | Dustin Moskowitz |
| 127. | Eduardo Saverin |
| 128. | Matthew R. Cohler |
| 129. | Elon Musk |

### E. Corruption Watch—Patent Office Judges:

| 130. | Anderson, Gregg |
| 131. | Best, George |
| 132. | Bonilla, Jackie W. |
| 133. | Boucher, Patrick |
| 134. | Braden, Georgianna W. |
| 135. | Branch, Gene |
| 136. | Bisk, Jennifer Bresson |
| 137. | Bui, Hung H. |
| 138. | Busch, Justin |
| 139. | Clements, Matt |
| 140. | Crumbley, Kit |
| 141. | Droesch, Kristen |
| 142. | Elluru, Rama |
| 143. | Fitzpatrick, Michael |
| 144. | Gerstenblith, Bart A. |
| 145. | Giannetti, Thomas L. |
| 146. | Guest, Rae Lynn |
| 147. | Hastings, Karen M. |
| 148. | Hoff, Marc |
| 149. | Horner, Linda |
| 150. | Hughes, James R. |
| 151. | Hume, Larry |
| 152. | James, Housel |
| 153. | Jung, Hung J. |
| 154. | Kamholz, Scott |
| 155. | Katz, Deborah |
| 156. | Lucas, Jay |
| 157. | MacDonald, Allen R. (bio unavailable) – Leader 3rd reexam judge (bio and conflicts log concealed by FOIA) |
| 158. | Mahaney, Alexandra |
| 159. | Martin, Brett |
| 160. | McKone, Dave |
| 161. | McNama, Brian |
| 162. | Medley, Sally |
| 163. | Moore, Bryan |
| 164. | Moore, James T – Leader 3rd reexam judge (bio and conflicts log concealed by FOIA) |
| 165. | Morgan, Jason V. |
| 166. | Morrison, John |
| 167. | Pak, Chung K. |
| 168. | Perry, Glenn J. |
| 169. | Petravick, Meredith C. (bio and conflicts log concealed by FOIA) – Leader 3rd reexam judge |
| 170. | Pettigrew, Lynne |
| 171. | Praiss, Donna |
| 172. | Quinn, Miriam |
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Research Tip:
Type any name or subject in the Google search at the top of this webpage. That will show you any relevant links within the sites that we have been following and investigating in the Leader v. Facebook case. Vigilance everyone! American democracy is at risk.
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