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(DEC. 12, 2013) — The obvious judicial misconduct in the Leader v. Facebook patent infringement case has triggered a string of questions. Why would these federal judges risk their reputations and careers to side with Facebook? How much are they being paid? Are there other hidden agendas?

ETHICS AND SEPARATION OF POWERS SCOFFED AT

The answers are both sad and shocking. Sad because American democracy is much closer to a breaking point than suspected. Shocking in the depth and breadth of the collusion.

Investigators studied four courts, first the U.S. Supreme Court and the Federal Circuit. Both of these first two courts turned a blind eye to justice in Leader v. Facebook. Then, the investigators randomly picked two appeals courts, the 1st and 8th Circuits. They wanted to see if the investing patterns of the judges in Leader v. Facebook repeated themselves in other courts. This would give an indication of how widespread the corruption is.

THE INVESTING PATTERN REPEATS ITSELF

In each court, certain judges enriched themselves on investments in one or more of the following funds: Fidelity, T.RowePrice, Vanguard, Goldman Sachs, Morgan Stanley, JPMorganChase, Microsoft, IBM, and Blackrock (the “Facebook Basket”).

THE NATIONAL VENTURE CAPITAL ASSOC. BREEDING GROUND

The common denominator among these funds is a group of directors from the National Venture Capital Association (NCVA).

Facebook’s largest investor and former chairman, James W. Breyer, Accel Partners LLP, was the chairman of NCVA in 2004, when the theft of Leader Technologies’ patent took full sail.

Other NCVA directors who served with Breyer are Fidelity’s Robert C. Keterson and Vanguard’s Anne Rockhold (now CFO of Accel Partners).
The NCVA’s chief lobbyist is the law firm Latham & Watkins LLP, who employed the SEC’s Chief Counsel Thomas J. Kim. Kim granted Facebook an unprecedented exemption from the 500-shareholder rule in 2008. This exemption triggered a flood of dubious foreign investing in Facebook led by Goldman Sachs and Morgan Stanley. This activity pumped Facebook’s pre-IPO valuation to $100 billion, before it crashed after the insiders cashed out over $13 billion shares. See previous post.

Latham & Watkins LLP represents James W. Breyer, and currently employs the husband of Leader v. Facebook Federal Circuit judge Kimberly A. Moore.

THE HEALTHCARE.GOV CORRUPTION STARTS HERE

Ann Huntress Lamont also served as a NCVA director during the formative days of this Facebook IPO investing scheme. Lamont has co-invested with Breyer and currently serves as director and investor in Castlight Health, founded by Todd Y. Park, President Obama’s chief technology officer and HealthCare.gov architect. Lamont’s husband, Ned Lamont, funding his own campaign, tried unsuccessfully to defeat Senator Joe Lieberman in Connecticut in 2006. Lamont holds over 60 investments in Goldman Sachs funds and 19 JPMorganChase funds alone—more Facebook Club members.

In the 1st Circuit, 44% of the judges held six or more Facebook Basket investments (TIAA-CREF, Fidelity, T.RowePrice, Vanguard, JPMorgan, Morgan Stanley, Microsoft, IBM, Blackrock, Goldman Sachs, State Street) with a whopping 71 holdings by Chief Judge Sandra L. Lynch.

In the 8th Circuit, 54% of the judges held six or more, with a maximum of 17 holdings by Judge James B. Loken.

In the Federal Circuit, 40% of the judges held six or more, with a maximum of 22 holding by Chief Judge Alan D. Lourie.

In the Supreme Court, 67% of the Supreme Court Justices with Facebook Basket interests attended Harvard.

35.6% ATTENDED HARVARD; 60% ARE IVY LEAGUE

Among these “red zone” judges collectively (more than five Facebook Basket holdings), 35.6% attended Harvard, and 60% are Ivy League.

In Leader v. Facebook, the “red zone” holdings are higher: 46% Harvard and 69% Ivy League.

* * *

HARVARD LAW: SCREW THE PUBLIC 101

Such duplicity begs the question: What is Harvard teaching these lawyers about ethics and conflicts of interest? Are they nurturing statesmen, or criminals? Most of these lawyers have lectured at one time or another at Harvard. And why does one higher education institution dominate such a powerful and important branch of government?

From the Harvard University Financial Conflict of Interest Policy:

http://americans4innovation.blogspot.com/2013/12/harvard-university-is-corrupting.html
Harvard requires that its faculty members disclose relationships with outside entities, directly or through third party funds, when the relationships and/or common sense dictate. When there is a doubt, disclose.

Harvard requires disclosure when “products, services, or activities are related to the areas” engaged in by the faculty member, like investments in Facebook.

“Examples of Financial Interests that Should be Disclosed . . . (d.) An equity interest in a publicly-traded entity . . . (e.) income from investment vehicles . . . (f.) Stocks in large publicly traded companies.”

**From the Code of Conduct for U.S. Judges:**

“CANON 2: A judge should avoid impropriety and the appearance of impropriety.”

“CANON 3: A judge should perform the duties of the office fairly, impartially and diligently.”

CANON 3(C)(1)(d): “A judge shall disqualify himself or herself in a proceeding in which the judge’s impartiality might reasonably be questioned, including but not limited to instances in which: . . . the judge [has an] interest that could be substantially affected by the outcome of the proceeding.”

Despite their substantial Facebook Basket holdings, not a single judge in Leader v. Facebook withdrew or even disclosed his or her conflicts.

**BY 2008, THE FACEBOOK BASKET TRAIN HAD LEFT THE STATION**

By the time Leader Technologies filed their patent infringement lawsuit against Facebook in November 2008, the Facebook Basket train had already left the station. They had promises of a massive Facebook IPO to keep. They had an election to win, and massive amounts of American data to possess (HHS: Health "Datapalooza" – yee ha). With Barack Obama in the White House they could pad the bureaucracy with high-level accomplices at the SEC, Commerce, Justice, HHS, FEC, National Economic Council, etc. All the while, their hackers would be burying their data-siphoning code so deep in America’s digital infrastructure, that it would take decades to find. Providing leverage for future influence and control.

**COLLUSION IS EXPENSIVE**

This agenda needed cash, and lots of it.

1. **BAILOUT.** First was the fabricated crisis called the bank bailout in 2008. This carefully planned “crisis” was led by more Harvard people—by Jamie Dimon at JPMorganChase—by Lloyd Blankfein and Henry Paulson at Goldman Sachs—by Lawrence “Larry Summers” at the National Economic Council.

2. **STIMULUS.** Next came the “green” energy stimulus. 20 of 22 investments failed, but the bulk of the funds went to cronies, so no harm, no foul.

3. **FACEBOOK IPO.** Then, the long-promised Facebook IPO emerged with more Harvard-led collusion. The SEC’s Harvard alum Thomas J. Kim granted Facebook an unprecedented exemption from the sacrosanct 500-shareholder rule. His boss, Mary L. Shapiro, SEC chair, gave secret blessing since she held 49 funds in the Facebook Basket.

Facebook’s schemers-in-chief were Harvard alums James W. Breyer, Accel Partners, along with his partners, James Swartz and Ping Li.

Fellow Harvard schemers were Sheryl K. Sandberg and Lawrence “Larry” Summers. Sandberg had been mentored by Summers for the last 20 years. She was his research assistant at the World Bank in 1993, and later his chief of staff at the U.S. Treasury Department.

4. **HEALTHCARE.GOV.** Next came almost a billion dollars to build HealthCare.gov. Todd Y. Park, another Harvard man, was put in charge. Michelle Obama chimed in with her own Ivy connections. She used her influence to ensure that her Princeton friend, Toni Townes-Whitley, received the no-bid contract that has cost the American people over $634 million dollars to build the HealthCare.gov site.

5. **U.S. DATA SIPHONS.** Once the HealthCare.gov data siphon backdoors are fully functioning, the Facebook Club will have unfettered access to all of America’s healthcare and IRS data. The opportunities for criminal blackmail by misuse of the data will be locked

![Click here for Washington’s ethical disease discoveries re. Facebook “DARK POOLS”](https://www.facebook.com/365072036507203)

**STOP FACEBOOK PROPERTY THEFT**

**ASK CONGRESS: PASS THE INVENTOR PROTECTION ACT!**

![Click image above to download a poster-quality PDF optimized for a 11in. x 17in. (ledger-size) poster. America should not be in the business of cheating its entrepreneurial investors simply because the cheaters buy off judges with the money gained from their theft. Such permissiveness is obscene.](https://americansforinnovation.blogspot.com/2013/12/harvard-university-is-corrupting.html)
(Oh, you want that job now, do you? What if we tell your prospective employer about your medical problem? Don’t worry, we’ll make sure they don’t find out, but you must promise to do what we ask when we come calling down the road.)

6. DIGITAL BLACKMAIL

The Soviet KGB secret police were especially good at this sort of blackmail. Is it any mistake that Facebook’s second largest shareholder is a Russian oligarch with close ties to Vladimir Putin? Blackmail is generally an income generator too.

Was Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr. blackmailed into supporting Obamacare? He has 21 holdings in the Facebook Basket.

A hiccup in the Facebook Club strategy appears to have come when Harvard man Lawrence “Larry” Summers was rebuffed as Barack Obama’s choice to be Chairman of the Federal Reserve. Even 300 of his fellow economists opposed his nomination.

However, with Facebook massive data center in Lulea, Sweden in full operation, the giant sucking sound of American private data leaving U.S. shores is deafening. It’ll be outside the reach of U.S. law and unaccountable. Will the Facebook Club succeed?

DATA SNAPSHOT ARE ENOUGH

The snapshots of healthcare data they’re getting now, while the HealthCare.gov site is insecure, will be enough to blackmail you and your children for decades to come, even if the spigot is turned off later. It’ll be too late.

AMERICA’S HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE RELIES ON CONFISCATED PROPERTY

The HealthCare.gov site claims the social technology being used is “open source” when they know it is not. According to Leader Technologies, no Health and Human Services official has contacted them about licensing their invention. As a result, HealthCare.gov is operating on confiscated private property.

Leader Technologies’ investors risked $10 million and invested 145,000 man-hours to invent social networking. Facebook stole it. The U.S. government is now relying on Leader’s invention, without licensing it, for an important element of our civic way of life; our health. Doesn’t that bother anyone?

Harvard people in all the right places.

What’s wrong with this picture?

* * *

3 comments:

Cathy Lee December 13, 2013 at 3:59 PM

Harvard’s motto is “Veritas,” or truth, personified by the Roman god Veritas.

In light the level of corruption evidenced by the alumni of this institution, perhaps the new motto should be “Mantus,” the ancient Roman god of the underworld and death. His consort was “Mania,” whose influence might have been seen in the mania surrounding the Facebook IPO. Clearly, the influence of the underworld of the Facebook Club has brought about major threats to truth and justice. I cannot fathom how the leadership of Harvard can tolerate these behaviors. The Founders must be churning in their graves.

There certainly are some Harvard professors who are ethical, and they must stand up and be heard now.

Roman gods aside, the injustice done to Leader Technologies has not yet been resolved. I’m hopeful that it will be and that the perpetrators will be brought to justice sooner
rather than later before any more damage is created.

Jason Atha December 17, 2013 at 2:54 PM
Wait, this post is not accurate. The only conflicts of interest that are claimed are mutual fund holdings. But it is already well established that the holding of mutual funds does not establish a conflict of interest. See Canon 3 for Federal Judges. There is no conflict here so I don’t understand how this blog could claim there was corruption.

K. Craine December 21, 2013 at 5:24 PM
Jason, you are misinformed. See the post for a fuller analysis of this subject. Judges cannot play the games you are trying to play with that rule. If they will benefit from their decisions, they must recuse.

http://americans4innovation.blogspot.com/2013/12/fast-and-furious-judge-tied-to-assault.html

GIBSON DUNN LLP exposed as one of the most corrupt law firms in America

Investigative Reporter Julia Davis investigates Facebook’s Leader v. Facebook attorney Gibson Dunn LLP. She credits this firm with the reason why not a single Wall Street banker has gone to jail since 2008. Click here to read her article “Everybody hates whistleblowers.” Examiner.com, Apr. 10, 2012. Here’s an excerpt:

“Skillful manipulation of the firm’s extensive media connections allows Gibson Dunn to promote their causes, while simultaneously smearing their opponents and silencing embarrassing news coverage.”

This statement followed right after Davis cited Facebook’s chief inside counsel in the Leader v. Facebook case, Theodore Ullyot, who appears to have helped lead the Leader v. Facebook judicial corruption. Interesting word choices associated with Gibson Dunn LLP: manipulation, smear. Attorneys swear a solemn oath to act morally, ethically, and in support of democratic principles. They promise to conduct themselves in a manner than instills confidence among the citizenry in the rule of law and the judicial system. These promises appear to be meaningless. Click here for a PDF version of Julie Davis’ article.

http://americans4innovation.blogspot.com/2013/12/harvard-university-is-corrupting.html
Constitutional rights advocates demand that NCAA stop its copyright infringement in social media; ask Congress to preserve Zuckerberg's ...

THE REAL FACEBOOK - A PORTRAIT OF CORRUPTION
SEC counsel cleared the way for the Facebook "pump and dump" scheme in 2008? SEC counsel appears to have failed to disclose his confl...

LEADER V. FACEBOOK JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT EXPOSES A CONSTITUTIONAL CRISIS
Leader v. Facebook judicial misconduct exposes a constitutional crisis The theft of Leader Technologies' patent by Facebook, and the eff...

LEADER V. FACEBOOK WALL OF SHAME
Judges go to jail for far less serious misconduct; Facebook users should pay Leader fees voluntarily; Its the right thing to do since Facebo...

WHITE HOUSE SCANDAL SPREADS TO LEADER V. FACEBOOK
SEC counsel cleared the way for the Facebook "pump and dump" scheme in 2008? SEC counsel appears to have failed to disclose his confl...

PATENT OFFICE REMOVES CRITICAL LEADER V. FACEBOOK DISCLOSURE ITEMS
USPTO conduct parallels the document obstruction by the IRS Fig. 2 - On Jun. 15, 2013 Congressman Darrell Issa held up a blacked-ou...

A COCKSURE FACEBOOK
Facebook waived their right to respond to Leader Technologies' U.S. Supreme Court petition AFI Insights | Contributing Writers | AMERI...

WAS CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS BLACKMAILED INTO SUPPORTING OBAMA CARE BY HIS LEADER V. FACEBOOK MISCONDUCT?
Enlarge banner image New, Jun. 28, 2013: Undermined Checks & Balances Between Executive & Judicial Branches ...

MASSIVE WASHINGTON CORRUPTION EXPOSED BY LEADER V. FACEBOOK
Bi-partisan citizen group appeals to Congress to RESTORE PROPERTY CONFISCATED BY widespread federal corruption incl. Interference by Nancy...

WALL STREET MANIPULATION OF JUDGES, POLITICIANS AND REGULATORS EXPOSED BY LEADER V. FACEBOOK JUDICIAL CORRUPTION
"Dark pools" used for currency for bribes, coercion and undue influence Rigged Leader v. Facebook , likely crashed
EDITORIALS

1. DC Bar refuses to investigate attorney misconduct in Leader v. Facebook - Unwillingness of DC attorneys to self-police may explain why Washington is broken, Dec. 30, 2012

2. Will the U.S. Supreme court support schemers or real American inventors? Facebook’s case dangles on a doctored interrogatory. Eighteen (18) areas of question shout for attention, Dec. 27, 2012

3. Two Policy Changes That Will Make America More Democratic (and less contentious), Dec. 21, 2012

OUR MISSION

American citizens must fight abuse of the constitutional right for authors and inventors to enjoy the fruits of their inventions, as a matter of matter of basic property rights and sound public policy. Otherwise, instead of innovation, creativity, genius, ideas, vision, courage, entrepreneurship, respect, property, rejuvenation, morals, ethics, values, renewal, truth, facts, rights, privacy, solutions and judicial faithfulness, our society and economy will be dragged down (and eventually destroyed) by copying, infringement, thievery, counterfeiting, hacking, greed, misinformation, exploitation, abuse, waste, disrespect, falsity, corruption, bribery, coercion, intimidation, doublespeak, misconduct, lies, deception, attorney “dark arts,” destruction, confusion, dishonesty, judicial chicanery and lawlessness.

If we do not speak up, impeach derelict judges and imprison corrupt attorneys, we cannot possibly hope to start fixing the current ills in our society. Without justice and respect for private property, democracy has no sure foundation.

CURRENT EDITORIAL FOCUS

We are an opinion blog that advocates for strong intellectual property rights. We welcome commenters and contributors. The Leader v. Facebook patent infringement case first came to our attention after learning that the trial judge, Leonard P. Stark, U.S. District Court of Delaware, ignored his jury’s admission that they had no evidence to support their on-sale bar verdict, but the judge supported it anyway.

The judicial misconduct has deteriorated from there, replete with two of the three
judges on the Federal Circuit appeal panel, Judges Alan D. Lourie and Kimberly A. Moore, holding Facebook stock that they did not disclose to the litigants, and later tried to excuse through a quick motion slipped in at the last minute by the Clerk of Court, Jan Horbaly, and his close friends at The Federal Circuit Bar Association. (The DC Bar subsequently revealed that Mr. Horbaly is not licensed to practice law in Washington D.C.)

The judges ignored shocking new evidence that Mark Zuckerberg withheld 28 hard drives of 2003-2004 evidence from Leader Technologies that could prove actual theft (and therefore claims even more serious than infringement). In addition, Facebook's appeal attorney, Thomas G. Hungar of Gibson Dunn LLP, has close personal ties to just about every judicial player in this story. The misconduct appears to reach into the U.S. Patent Office through abuse of the reexamination process by Facebook. We will stay focused on Leader v. Facebook until justice is served, but we also welcome news and analysis of intellectual property abuse in other cases as well.

WELCOME TO DONNA KLINE NOW! READERS!

AFI has been supporting Donna and is now picking up the main Leader v. Facebook coverage (she will continue coverage as well).

Anonymous Posts Are Welcomed! Blogger has more posting constraints than Donna’s WordPress, but we will continue to welcome anonymous posts. Simply send us an email at amer4innov@gmail.com with your post. Once the moderator verifies that your email address is real, your comment will be posted using your real name or handle, whatever you wish, like John Smith or Tex.

Click here to view a complete Donna Kline Now! posts archive.
Judge Leonard P. Stark, U.S. District Court of Delaware, trial judge in Leader Techs, Inc. v. Facebook, Inc., 770 F. Supp. 2d 686 (D.Del. 2011). Judge Stark heard his jury foreman admit that the jury made the on-sale bar decision without any evidence other than speculation, and yet he supported that verdict anyway. Just months before trial, Judge Stark allowed Facebook to add the on-sale bar claim after the close of all fact discovery and blocked Leader from preparing its defenses to this new claim. Judge Stark allowed the claims despite Leader’s prophetic argument that the action would confuse the jury and prejudice Leader. (Read Leader’s May 20, 2010 motion here.) He also permitted the jury to ignore the Pfaff v. Wells Electric, Inc. test for on-sale bar, even after instructing the jury to use it. (See that Jury Instruction No. 4.7 here.) He also contradicted his own instruction to Leader to answer
Interrogatory No. 9 in the present tense (2009), then permitted the jury to interpret it as a 2002 admission as well. See his Sep. 14, 2009 Order. Facebook's entire on-sale bar case is based upon this interrogatory. (Editorial: Hardly sufficient to meet the "heavy burden" of the clear and convincing evidence standard.)

Judge Alan D. Lourie, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, panel judge in Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc., 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Judge Lourie stood to benefit financially from undisclosed holdings in Facebook. See analysis of Judge Lourie's T. Rowe Price holdings re. the Facebook IPO. Judge Lourie also failed to apply his own law-test in Group One v. Hallmark Cards to the evidence. After debunking all of Facebook's evidence on appeal, Judge Lourie created new argument in the secrecy of chambers to support Facebook and prevent the on-sale bar verdict from being overturned—a clear breach of constitutional due process.

Judge Kimberly A. Moore, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, panel judge in Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc., 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Judge Moore stood to benefit financially from undisclosed holdings in Facebook. See disclosure of substantial holdings in Facebook and Facebook-related stocks. Judge Moore failed to follow the long-held precedent for testing on-sale bar evidence in Pfaff v. Wells Electronics, Inc.—an evident and intentional omission coming from a former patent law professor. After debunking all of Facebook's evidence on appeal, Judge Moore created new argument in the secrecy of chambers to support Facebook and prevent the on-sale bar verdict from being overturned.
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—a clear breach of constitutional
due process.

Judge Evan J. Wallach, U.S. Court
of Appeals for the Federal Circuit,
member of the three-judge panel
in Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc.,
678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012).
Judge Wallach is not a patent
attorney. This begs the question
as to why a judge with no
knowledge of patent law was
assigned to the case. Would
anyone ask a dentist to perform
brain surgery? The Federal Circuit
was specially formed to appoint
patent-knowledgeable judges to
patent cases. There is no
evidence so far in the judicial
disclosures that Judge Wallach
holds stock in Facebook, although
when he was asked on a motion to
disclose potential Facebook
holdings and other conflicts of
interest, he refused along with
the other judges. See Motion to
Disclose Conflicts of Interest.
Judge Wallach continued in
silence even after
Clerk of Court
Horbaly failed to
provide him with
Dr. Lakshmi Arunachalam’s motions
(according to his Federal Circuit
staffer Valeri White), and yet the
Clerk signed an order regarding
that motion on Judge Wallach’s
behalf. See a full analysis of these
events at Donna Kline Now! Judge
Wallach also failed to police his
court’s violation of Leader’s Fifth
and 14th Amendment
constitutional right to due
process when he participated in
the fabrication of new arguments
and evidence for Facebook in the
secrecy of judge’s chambers after
he had just invalidated Facebook’s
sole remaining item of evidence
(using disbelieved testimony as
ostensible evidence of an
opposite). Judge Wallach also
failed to police his court when he
failed to apply the Supreme
Court’s Pfaff v. Wells Electronics,
Inc. test for on-sale bar evidence,
which included even the Federal
Circuit’s own Group One v.
Hallmark Cards, Inc. test—a test
which Judge Lourie should have
advised Judge Wallach to follow
since Judge Lourie helped write
that opinion. Group One test
omission analysis.
Clerk of Court Jan Horbaly, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, clerk who signed all the opinions in Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc., 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Clerk Horbaly and his staff obfuscated when the court’s ruling was challenged by an amicus curiae brief revealing clear mistakes of law and new evidence. See analysis of the misconduct and misrepresentations within the Federal Circuit Clerk of Court in Leader v. Facebook. Mr. Horbaly failed to disclose his conflicts of interest and close associations with numerous Facebook attorneys and law firms, as well as his close association with one of Facebook’s largest shareholders, Microsoft, who is a Director of The Federal Circuit Bar Association where Mr. Horbaly is an ex officio officer. Additionally, the DC Bar revealed in a written statement that Clerk Horbaly is not licensed to practice law in the District of Columbia.

[Editorial: What does that make the Federal Circuit with its location within a stone’s throw of the White House? A self-governing state?]

Judge Randall R. Rader, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, chief judge responsible for the (mis)conduct of his judges and Clerk of Court in Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc., 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Judge Rader failed to manage his court resulting in a likely situation where his judges never even received briefs that they allegedly ruled on in favor of Facebook. Judge Rader also failed to disclose his conflicting relationships with a Leader principle.
with whom he may have had deep professional differences during his time at the Senate Judiciary Committee—his former professor of law at George Washington University Law Center, former Leader director Professor James P. Chandler. See analysis of Judge Rader's undisclosed conflicts of interest in Leader v. Facebook. Judge Rader also did not stop his judges from creating new arguments and evidence for Facebook in the secrecy of chambers—after they had debunked all of Facebook's evidence on appeal, which is a clear breach of constitutional due process.

Click here to view a Federal Circuit Leader v. Facebook Conflicts of Interest Map.


Leader v. Facebook Legal Research Links

**NOTICE: Opinion**

This is an opinion blog. Any information contained or linked herein should be independently verified and should be considered the sole opinion of the writer. Free Speech and Freedom of the Press are protected by the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution and other local, state, national and international laws. Therefore, as with all opinion, such opinion should not be relied upon without independent verification.

This site is a not-for-profit effort focused on education, news, investigation of issues in the public interest, and research, and relies on fair use copyright exemptions under 17 U.S.C. 106(a)-117 of the United States Copyright Act, in addition to any and all other related and relevant privileges to which a fair and reasonable person would attribute to this grassroots effort to root out corruption and promote justice. No rights whatsoever to third party content are claimed or implied.

**AFI LOGO (with text)**
Faces of the Facebook Corruption (PDF) (currently being updated after the Fri. Mar. 7, 2014 Scribd censorship of this document:

Here is the cast of characters in Leader v. Facebook. We encourage you to report their corrupt activities to this site and others, like Lawless America. Feel free to communicate anonymously in any way in which you are most comfortable. The attempt of these people and their organizations to corrupt American justice and commerce cannot be tolerated. Vigilance. We will expose them. See Congressional Briefings (currently being updated after Scribd censored the documents on Fri. Mar. 7, 2014).

A. Facebook’s law firms:

1. Fenwick & West LLP (Facebook securities and patent law firm; former Leader Technologies counsel; attempted an appearance in Leader v. Facebook; did not seek conflicts waiver from Leader prior to representing Facebook)

2. Cooley Godward LLP (Facebook law firm in Leader v. Facebook;
3. Blank &1amp; Rome LLP (Facebook law firm in Leader v. Facebook; former employer to patent judges)

4. White & Case LLP (Facebook law firm in Leader v. Facebook; undisclosed former employer to Patent Office Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) officer involved in Leader v. Facebook)

5. Gibson Dunn LLP (Facebook law firm in Leader v. Facebook; undisclosed counsel to the Federal Circuit; undisclosed protégé of Chief Justice John Roberts, Jr.; undisclosed former employee to Preetinder ("Preet") Bharara, U.S. Attorney currently persecuting Paul Ceglia in U.S. v. Ceglia (Ceglia v. Zuckerberg))

6. Orrick Herrington LLP (longtime Facebook law firm and destroyer of evidence for the cabal in Winklevoss v. Zuckerberg and ConnectU v. Facebook)

7. Weil Gotshal LLP (Federal Circuit counsel in Leader v. Facebook; Judge Kimberly A. Moore's undisclosed former client)

8. Latham & Watkins LLP (Facebook Director James W. Breyer's counsel; Judge Kimberly A. Moore's husband, Matthew J. Moore's new law firm)

9. Federal Circuit Bar Association ("FCBA") (Federal Circuit's bar association; second largest in the U.S.; Facebook's law firms exert much influence in its policy and activity, incl. Fenwick & West LLP, Gibson Dunn LLP, Orrick Herrington LLP, Weil Gotshal LLP. Facebook's large shareholder, Microsoft, is a director; Federal Circuit Clerk of Court Jan Horbaly is an officer; FCBA made an appearance in Leader v. Facebook to oppose the amicus curiae (friend of the court) motion of Dr. Lakshmi Arunachalam, former Director of Network Architecture at Sun Microsystems, in favor of Leader Technologies and objecting to the evident conflicts of interest within the court itself, her motion was denied, the judges refused to disclose their conflicts which we now know include Facebook and Microsoft stocks)

10. DC Bar Association

11. Perkins Coie LLP (Facebook's "rapid response enforcement team;" law firm for Obama's chief counsels, the husband and wife team of Robert F. Bauer and Anita B. Dunn; Bauer was identified on Aug. 1, 2013 as having directed the IRS targeting of the Tea Party)

12. Stroz Friedberg (Facebook's "forensic expert" who manipulated the data in Paul Ceglia v. Mark Zuckerberg, and who first revealed the existence of 28 Zuckerberg hard drives and
Harvard emails that they told Leader Technologies in 2009 were "lost")

**B. Facebook attorneys & cooperating judges:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Affiliations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Gordon K. Davidson</td>
<td>Fenwick; Facebook's securities and patent attorney; Leader Technologies' former attorney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>Christopher P. King</td>
<td>(sometimes Christopher-Charles King, Fenwick)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>Theodore B. Olson</td>
<td>Gibson Dunn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>Thomas G. Hungar</td>
<td>Gibson Dunn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>Eric H. Holder, Jr.</td>
<td>(Attorney General, U.S. Dept. of Justice)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>James Cole</td>
<td>(Deputy Attorney General, U.S. Dept. of Justice)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>Tony West</td>
<td>(Associate Attorney General, U.S. Dept. of Justice; 2008 Obama California Campaign Manager)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>Robert F. Bauer</td>
<td>(Obama Attorney; White House Chief Counsel; directed IRS targeting of the Tea Party; formerly and currently employed by Perkins Coie LLP, Facebook's &quot;rapid response enforcement team;&quot; spouse is Anita B. Dunn)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td>Anita B. Dunn</td>
<td>(Obama Attorney; White House Chief Counsel; husband Robert F. Bauer directed IRS targeting of the Tea Party; formerly employed by Perkins Coie LLP, Facebook's &quot;rapid response enforcement team&quot;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.</td>
<td>Mary L. Schapiro</td>
<td>(former Chairman, Securities &amp; Exchange Commission (S.E.C.); holds investments in 51 Facebook Club basket funds)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.</td>
<td>James &quot;Jamie&quot; Brigagliano</td>
<td>(former Deputy Director of the Division of Trading and Markets at the Securities and Exchange Commission; Mary L. Schapiro's chief lieutenant on &quot;dark pool&quot; rule making)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.</td>
<td>Joseph P. Cutler</td>
<td>(Perkins Coie)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.</td>
<td>David P. Chiappetta</td>
<td>(Perkins Coie)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.</td>
<td>James R. McCullagh</td>
<td>(Perkins Coie)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.</td>
<td>Ramsey M. Al-Salam</td>
<td>(Perkins Coie)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.</td>
<td>Grant E. Kinsel</td>
<td>(Perkins Coie)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.</td>
<td>Reeve T. Bull</td>
<td>(Gibson Dunn)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.</td>
<td>Heidi Keefe</td>
<td>(Cooley)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.</td>
<td>Michael G. Rhodes</td>
<td>(Cooley; Tesla Motors)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.</td>
<td>Elizabeth Stameshkin</td>
<td>(Cooley)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.</td>
<td>Donald K. Stern</td>
<td>(Cooley; Justice Dept. advisor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.</td>
<td>Mark R. Weinstein</td>
<td>(Cooley)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.</td>
<td>Jeffrey Norberg</td>
<td>(Cooley)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
36. Ronald Lemieux (Cooley)
37. Craig W. Clark (Blank Rome)
38. Tom Amis (Cooley / McBee Strategic)
39. Erich Veitenheimer (Cooley / McBee Strategic)
40. Roel Campos (Cooley; former Commissioner of the U.S. Securities & Exchange Commission at the time of the infamous Facebook 12(g) exemption)
41. Lisa T. Simpson (Orrick)
42. Samuel O’Rourke (Facebook; Cooley-directed)
43. Theodore W. Ullyot (Facebook; Cooley-directed)
44. Amber H. Rover, aka Amber L. Hagy aka Amber Hatfield (Weil Gotshal LLP; Judge Kimberly A. Moore’s former client)
45. Edward R. Reines (Weil Gotshal)
46. Trish Harris (DC Bar Association)
47. Elizabeth A. Herman (DC Bar Association)
48. Elizabeth J. Branda (DC Bar Association)
49. David J. Kappos (former Patent Office Director; former IBM chief intellectual property counsel; ordered unprecedented 3rd reexam of Leader Technologies’ patent; Obama political appointee)
50. Preetinder (“Preet”) Bharara (U.S. Attorney Ceglia v. Zuckerberg; formerly of Gibson & Dunn LLP; protects Zuckerberg)
51. Thomas J. Kim (SEC Chief Counsel)
52. Anne Krauskopf (SEC Special Sr. Counsel)
53. John G. Roberts, Jr. (Chief Justice, U.S. Supreme Court)
54. Jan Horbaly (Federal Circuit, Clerk of Court)
55. Kimberly A. Moore (Judge, Federal Circuit)
56. Matthew J. Moore (Latham & Watkins LLP; husband of Judge Kimberly A. Moore)
57. Kathryn “Kathy” Ruemmler (Latham & Watkins LLP; White House counsel)
58. Evan J. Wallach (Judge, Federal Circuit)
59. Alan D. Lourie (Judge, Federal Circuit)
60. Randall R. Rader (Chief Judge, Federal Circuit)
61. Terence P. Stewart (Federal Circuit Bar Association)
62. Leonard P. Stark (Judge, Delaware U.S. District Court)
63. Richard J. Arcara (Judge, N.Y. Western District, Ceglia v. Holder et al)
64. Allen R. MacDonald (Administrative Judge, U.S. Patent Office)
68. Kathryn Walsh Siehndel (FOIA Counsel, U.S. Patent Office - bio and conflicts log concealed)

C. Facebook puppet masters:

69. President Barack Obama (appointed Leonard P. Stark to the judge's seat in Delaware Federal District Court eight days after Stark's court allowed Facebook to get away with jury and court manipulation of an on-sale bar verdict which was attained without a single piece of hard evidence; Barack and Michelle Obama were evidently protecting their 47 million 'likes' on Facebook)

70. Lawrence "Larry" Summers (Harvard President who aided Zuckerberg's light-speed rise to prominence with unprecedented Harvard Crimson coverage; Obama bailout chief; Clinton Treasury Secretary; World Bank Chief Economist; "Special Advisor" to Marc Andreessen in Instagram; co-creator of the current Russian robber baron economy; close 20-year relationships with protégés Sheryl Sandberg & Yuri Milner; aided in recommendations that created the Russian robber baron economy — and Yuri Milner/DST/Asmanov's money used to purchase Facebook stock)

71. James W. Breyer, Accel Partners LLP; Facebook director; client of Fenwick & West LLP since the 1990's; apparently received technology from other Fenwick clients that was shuffled to Zuckerberg, incl. Leader Technologies' inventions)

72. David Plouffe; directed Obama's 2008 and 2012 campaigns; a self-described "statistics nerd;" likely directed the activities of the Facebook Club; employed Robert F. Bauer, Perkins Coii LLP in 2000 at the Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee

73. McBee Strategic (one of the main "private" arms responsible for doling out the billions in Obama "green energy" stimulus funds; partnered with Cooley Godward LLP)

74. Mike Sheehy (Cooley-McBee Strategic principal; former National Security Adviser to House Speaker Nancy Pelosi)

75. Nancy Pelosi (U.S. Congresswoman; appears to be running political cover in the House for Facebook, McBee Strategic, Cooley Godward, Fenwick & West, Breyers, etc.)
76. Harry Reid (U.S. Senator; Judge Evan J. Wallach patron)

77. Thomas J. Kim (SEC, Chief Counsel & Assoc. Director) approved Facebook’s 500-shareholder exemption on Oct. 14, 2007, one day after it was submitted by Fenwick & West LLP; Facebook used this exemption to sell $3 billion insider stock to the Russians Alisher Asmanov, Yuri Milner, DST, Digital Sky, Mail.ru which pumped Facebook’s pre-IPO valuation to $100 billion; another Harvard grad, Kim worked at Latham & Watkins LLP which was the chief lobbyist for the National Venture Capital Association in 2002-2004 whose Chairman was . . . James W. Beyer, Accel Partners LLP; in other words Breyer and Kim, both Harvard grads, were associated at the time of the Zuckerberg hacking and theft of Leader Technologies’ software code)

78. Ping Li (Accel Partners, Zuckerberg handler)

79. Jim Swartz (Accel Partners; Zuckerberg handler)

80. Sheryl K. Sandberg (Facebook, Summers protégé; Facebook director)

81. Yuri Milner (DST aka Digital Sky, Summers protégé; former Bank Menatep executive; Facebook director)

82. Alisher Asmanov (DST aka Digital Sky; Goldman Sachs Moscow partner; Russian oligarch; Friend of the Kremlin; Became the Richest Man in Russia after the Facebook IPO)

83. Marc L. Andreessen (Zuckerberg coach; client of Fenwick and Christopher P. King; Summers’ sponsor during Instagram-scam; Facebook director)

84. Peter Thiel (19-year old Zuckerberg coach; Pay Pal; Facebook director; CEO, Clarion Capital)

85. Clarion Capital (Peter Thiel)

86. Reid G. Hoffman (19-year old Zuckerberg coach; Pay Pal; LinkedIn; Facebook director)

87. Richard Wolpert (Accel Partners)

88. Robert Ketterson (Fidelity Ventures; Fidelity Equity Partners; Fidelity Ventures Telecommunications & Technology)

89. David Kilpatrick (Business Insider; "The Facebook Effect"; PR cleanse-meister re. Facebook origins)

90. Zynga/Groupon/LinkedIn/Square/Instagram ("Facebook Money/Credits/Bitcoin” feeder companies)

91. Tesla Motors (received $465 million in Obama stimulus funds and hired Cooley’s Michael Rhodes in the seven months before the Leader v. Facebook trial, just
before veteran Judge Joseph Farnan made the surprise announcement of his retirement, just six days after Facebook's disastrous Markman Hearing)

92. Solyndra (received $535 million in Obama stimulus at the recommendation of the Cooley-McBee Strategic "consulting" alliance)

93. BrightSource (received $1.6 billion in Obama stimulus at the recommendation of the Cooley-McBee Strategic "consulting" alliance)

94. John P. Breyer (father of James W. Breyer; founder of IDG Capital Partners - China; coached his son on exploiting Western markets while he quietly built a venture capital business in China for the last 20 years; the real brain behind the Breyer exploitations)

95. IDG Capital Partners (China) (founded by John P. Breyer, the father of James W. Breyer, Accel Partners; the current launderer of the tens of billions James W has fleeced from the U.S. market from the bailout, stimulus and the "pump & dump" Facebook IPO schemes)

96. Goldman Sachs (received US bailout funds; then invested with DST in Facebook private stock via Moscow; took Facebook public; locked out American investors from investing)

97. Morgan Stanley (received US bailout funds; took Facebook public; probably participated in overseas purchases of Facebook private stock before IPO)

98. State Street Corporation (received U.S. taxpayer bailout monies along with Goldman Sachs and Morgan Stanley; consolidating control of ATM banking networks internationally)

99. JP Morgan Chase (received U.S. taxpayer bailout monies along with Goldman Sachs, Morgan Stanley and State Street Corporation)

100. Lloyd Blankfein (Goldman Sachs, CEO)

101. Jamie Dimon (JP MorganChase, CEO)

102. Steve Cutler (JP MorganChase, General Counsel)

103. Rodgin Cohen (JP MorganChase, Outside Counsel; Sullivan Cromwell, LLP)

104. U.S. Securities & Exchange Commission (granted Fenwick & West's application on behalf of Facebook for an unprecedented exemption to the 500 shareholder rule; opened the floodgates for Goldman Sachs and Morgan Stanley to make a private market in Facebook pre-IPO insider stock; facilitated the influx of billions of dollars from "dubious" sources associated with Russian oligarchs, Alisher Asmanov and Yuri Milner, and the Kremlin; Goldman Sachs is a partner with...
this Moscow company, Digital Sky Technologies, aka DST, aka Mail.ru)

105. Jeff Markley (McBee Strategic LLC; allied with Facebook's Cooley Godward Kronish LLP to arrange Obama's green energy funding; arranged $1.6 billion for failed BrightSource and $535 million for failed Solyndra)

106. Steve McBea (McBee Strategic LLC; allied with Facebook's Cooley Godward Kronish LLP to arrange Obama's green energy funding; arranged $1.6 billion for failed BrightSource and $535 million for failed Solyndra)

107. Michael F. McGowan (Straz Friedberg; Facebook forensic expert who lied about his knowledge of the contents of the 28 Zuckerberg hard drives and Harvard Email accounts)

108. Bryan J. Rose (Straz Friedberg; Facebook forensic expert who lied about his knowledge of the contents of the 28 Zuckerberg hard drives and Harvard Email accounts)

109. Dr. Saul Greenberg (Facebook's expert witness from the University of Calgary; disingenuously waived his hands and said he would be "wild guessing" about the purpose of a Java "sessionstate" import statement (even Java newbies know it is used for tracking a user while in a web session); in short, Dr. Greeberg lied to the jury, thus discrediting his testimony)

110. Toni Townes-Whitely (CGI Federal; Michelle Obama's 1985 Princeton classmate; CGI "donated" $47 million to the Obama campaign; CGI won the no-bid contract to build the www.healthcare.gov Obamacare website; CGI shut off the security features on Obama's reelection donation sites to increase donations)

111. CGI Federal (US division of a Canadian company; Donated $47 million to Obama's reelection, then received the no-bid contract to build the ill-fated Obamacare website; Michelle Obama's Princeton classmate, Toni Townes-Whitely, is a Senior Vice President of CGI; the website is replete with social features and links to Facebook)

112. Kathleen Sebelius (Obama's Secretary of Health & Human Services since 2009 responsible for $678 million Obamacare implementation; made the decision to hire CGI Federal on a no-bid contract despite the evident conflict of interest with Michelle Obama and $47 million in Obama campaign donations by CGI; the website is replete with social features and links to Facebook)

113. Todd Y. Park (White House Chief Technology Officer (CTO); former CTO for Health & Human Services; chief architect of Healthcare.gov; founder,
114. Frank M. Sands, Sr. / Frank M. Sands, Jr. (Founder and CEO, respectively, of Sands Capital Management LLC; failed to file S.E.C. Form SC 13G acquisition reports for Athenahealth, Inc., Baidu, Inc. (ADR) and Facebook stock during 2012; masked the association of Todd Y. Park with Athenahealth, Inc. and Baidu, Inc., and the association of both of those companies with the Facebook IPO fraud)

115. Robin "Handsome Reward" Yangong Li (CEO, Baidu, Inc. (ADR); appointed Jan. 2004, the same month that Mark Zuckerberg obtained Leader Technologies' social networking source code to start Facebook; Robin Y. Li is very likely associated with John P. and James W. Breyer through their Chinese entities, including IDG Capital Partners, IDG-Accel and other variants; Li appointed a junior attorney from Fenwick & West LLP, Palo Alto/Mountain View, namely Parker Zhang, to be his "Head of Patents," Fenwick & West LLP represented both Leader Technologies, Inc. and Accel Partners LLC in 2002-2003 and had Leader's source code in their files.)

116. Parker Zhang ("Head of Patents" at Baidu, Inc. (ADR), appointed in approx. May 2012; formerly a junior Associate attorney at Fenwick & West LLP; graduate from Michigan Law in 2005)

117. Penny S. Pritzker (Secretary, Department of Commerce; replaced Rebecca M. Blank; holds over $24 million in Facebook "dark pools" stock, most notably in Goldman Sachs, Morgan Stanley and JPMorgan)

118. Rebecca M. Blank (Secretary, Department of Commerce; oversaw the dubious Leader v. Facebook activities of the Patent Office Director, David J. Kappos, who held over one million dollars in Facebook "dark pools" during the Leader v. Facebook proceedings; Kappos purchased this stock within weeks of his surprise recess appointment by President Obama; Kappos also was formerly employed by IBM, who sold Facebook 750 patents during the Leader v. Facebook proceedings; right before leaving the Patent Office, Kappos also ordered an unprecedented 3rd reexamination of Leader's patent without even identifying claims)

119. Mary L. Schapiro (Chairman, Securities & Exchange Commission; holds 51 Facebook "dark pools" stocks which held stock in Facebook, Baidu and more than a dozen Facebook crony companies; failed to regulate the "dark pools;" failed to disclose her substantial conflict of interest in regulating the run up to the Facebook IPO)
120. **Robert C. Hancock** (Chief Compliance Officer, Sands Capital Management, LLC; failed to file S.E.C. Form SC 12G notice of acquisition reports for Athenahealth, Baidu and Facebook during the period of the Facebook IPO in 2012; this conduct masked the conflicts of interest of Todd Y. Park, who was appointed by President Obama to be the U.S. Chief Technology Officer during this same period; Todd Y. Park is/has been founder, director and CEO of both Athenahealth and Castlight Health; Todd Y. Park deeply embedded the software from Athenahealth and Castlight Health into HealthCare.gov when he was CTO at Health & Human Services; none of these conflicts of interest were disclosed; Todd Y. Park’s ethics pledges and reports are missing from the Office of Government Ethics)

121. **Jonathan Goodman** (Chief Counsel, Sands Capital Management, LLC; failed to file S.E.C. Form SC 12G notice of acquisition reports for Athenahealth, Baidu and Facebook during the period of the Facebook IPO in 2012; this conduct masked the conflicts of interest of Todd Y. Park, who was appointed by President Obama to be the U.S. Chief Technology Officer during this same period; Todd Y. Park is/has been founder, director and CEO of both Athenahealth and Castlight Health; Todd Y. Park deeply embedded the software from Athenahealth and Castlight Health into HealthCare.gov when he was CTO at Health & Human Services; none of these conflicts of interest were disclosed; Todd Y. Park’s ethics pledges and reports are missing from the Office of Government Ethics; Goodman was formerly employed by Gibson Dunn LLP, Facebook appeals counsel in Leader v. Facebook)

122. **Trip Adler** (“Co-Founder” of Scribd; Harvard contemporaries of Mark Zuckerberg with a dubious origins story, like Zuckerberg’s; Scribd held AFI documents for two years, then summarily deleted the entire library without warning on Fri. Mar. 7, 2014; AFI’s library contained only public documents and much evidence proving the Leader v. Facebook judicial corruption)

123. **Jared Friedman** (“Co-Founder” of Scribd; Harvard contemporaries of Mark Zuckerberg with a dubious origins story, like Zuckerberg’s; Scribd held AFI documents for two years, then summarily deleted the entire library without warning on Fri. Mar. 7, 2014; AFI’s library contained only public documents and much evidence proving the Leader v. Facebook judicial corruption)

**D. Facebook boy-**
puppets:

124. Mark E. Zuckerberg
125. Chris Hughes
126. Dustin Moskowitz
127. Eduardo Saverin
128. Matthew R. Cohler
129. Elon Musk

E. Corruption Watch—Patent Office Judges:

130. Anderson, Gregg
131. Best, George
132. Bonilla, Jackie W.
133. Boucher, Patrick
134. Braden, Georgianna W.
135. Branch, Gene
136. Bisk, Jennifer Bresson
137. Bui, Hung H.
138. Busch, Justin
139. Clements, Matt
140. Crumbley, Kit
141. Droesch, Kristen
142. Elluru, Rama
143. Fitzpatrick, Michael
144. Gerstenblith, Bart A.
145. Giannetti, Thomas L.
146. Guest, Rae Lynn
147. Hastings, Karen M.
148. Hoff, Marc
149. Horner, Linda
150. Hughes, James R.
151. Hume, Larry
152. James, Housel
153. Jung, Hung J.
154. Kamholz, Scott
155. Katz, Deborah
156. Lucas, Jay
157. MacDonald, Allen R. (bio unavailable) – Leader 3rd reexam judge (bio and conflicts log concealed by FOIA)
158. Mahaney, Alexandra
159. Martin, Brett
160. McKone, Dave
161. McNamara, Brian
162. Medley, Sally
163. Moore, Bryan
164. Moore, James T – Leader 3rd reexam judge (bio and conflicts log concealed by FOIA)
165. Morgan, Jason V.
166. Morrison, John
167. Pak, Chung K.
168. Perry, Glenn J.
169. Petravick, Meredith C. (bio and conflicts log concealed by FOIA) – Leader 3rd reexam judge
170. Pettigrew, Lynne
171. Praiss, Donna
172. Quinn, Miriam
Americans For Innovation: HARVARD UNIVERSITY IS CORRUPTING AMERICAN DEMOCRACY

http://americans4innovation.blogspot.com/2013/12/harvard-university-is-corrupting.html

173. Reimers, Annette
174. Saindon, William
175. Scanlon, Patrick
176. Siu, Stephen C. – Leader 3rd reexam judge (bio and conflicts log concealed by FOIA)
177. Smith, James Donald
178. Smith, Neil
179. Snedden, Sheridan
180. Song, Daniel
181. Spahn, Gay Ann
182. Strauss, Mike
183. Timm, Catherine
184. White, Stacey
185. Zecher, Michael

Research Tip:
Type any name or subject in the Google search at the top of this webpage. That will show you any relevant links within the sites that we have been following and investigating in the Leader v. Facebook case. Vigilance everyone! American democracy is at risk.
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