Investigations into the financial holdings of 240 members of the White House cabinet and federal judiciary reveal a corrupt agenda that is likely the cause of Washington’s dysfunction, and one which not even Tom Clancy would have written. HealthCare.gov is merely collateral damage.

The patterns of investing reveal “haves” and “have nots” within the Obama Administration. Tellingly, the vast majority of the “haves” are attorneys. One wonders if administration “have nots” know how they are being manipulated.

Over 70% of these political appointees are invested in the same set of funds featuring Fidelity, Vanguard, T. Rowe Price and TIAA-CREF.

These funds directly and indirectly invested billions in the pre-IPO sale of Facebook insider stock by Goldman Sachs. T. Rowe Price purchased more than 5% of the ownership. TIAA-CREF fund managers were along for the ride, which attracted the many academics planned for the Obama administration.

This activity pumped Facebook’s valuation dramatically. By comparison, according to Reuters (Aug. 27, 2009) the combined market shares of the two largest funds, Fidelity and Vanguard, was 23.4% in 2009.
Goldman and Morgan Stanley had received some $30 billion in bailout funds overseen by National Economic Council chairman Lawrence “Larry” Summers.

Summers is Facebook chief operating officer Sheryl K. Sandberg’s long-time business mentor and former boss at the World Bank and U.S. Treasury. Morgan Stanley told Senator Chuck Grassley that they sent over $5 billion overseas. AFI believes these funds were leveraged in foreign banks, including Dubai where the Russians operate, and returned to purchase the Facebook stock.

**SEC, COMMERCE, SUPREME COURT ARE ALL IN**

Focusing on 32 of the more interesting senior Washington officials (Fig. 1), 26 are attorneys who are one of the following: judge, chief counsel or the head of an agency. Commerce Secretary Rebecca M. Blank holds 40 funds. Supreme Court Chief Justice John G. Roberts holds 21, Eric H. Holder holds 25 and Leader v. Facebook Federal Circuit chief judge Alan D. Lourie holds 22. AFI has compiled the 240 holdings by agency (CLICK HERE).

Why didn’t the SEC, FBI and Justice Department investigate this unprecedented private market making that makes Bernie Madoff look like a schoolboy? The answer is: Because senior leaders of those agencies were in on the scheme. SEC Chair Mary L. Shapiro holds 49 of these funds.

SEC Shapiro’s chief counsel, Thomas J. Kim, a former attorney for Latham & Watkins LLP, issued the unprecedented exemption from the 500-shareholder rule that Goldman used to make the private stock market in Facebook shares. Kim did not disclose his conflict of interest with Facebook, James W. Breyer, Accel Partners or his former employer. By the way, even FBI director Robert S. Mueller holds 13 funds.

**POST DOTCOM BLUES MOTIVATED THE SUPPORT FOR BREYER’S SCHEME**

Researchers wondered why such prominent funds as Fidelity, Vanguard and T. Rowe and TIAA-CREF would become entangled in this scheme. What was their end game? The answer is they wanted more tech investing opportunities. Tech investments provided good returns, and they needed to feed their investors who had become accustomed to the high returns from tech.

These funds looked to the National Venture Capital Association (NCVA) for their next big deals.

NCVA Chairman James W. Breyer saw this insatiable appetite and devised a grand scheme.

**BREYER HAD TO STEAL TECHNOLOGY AND MANIPULATE MARKETS TO PRIME THE PUMP**

Breyer hatched a plan to steal a hot new invention by Columbus innovator Leader Technologies, Inc. that he had learned about from his attorney, Fenwick & West LLP (also Leader’s attorney).

He would select a pliable Harvard student and fabricate a budding “next Bill Gates” story around this

The analysis proves that these insiders invested disproportionately into this set of funds—almost three times the funds’ actual market share proportions. What did this group know that others did not?

Billions were also invested by Goldman Sachs’ Russian oligarch partner, Alisher Usmanov. Months earlier, oligarch partner, Alisher Usmanov. Months earlier, Billions were also invested by Goldman Sachs’ Russian oligarch partner, Alisher Usmanov. Months earlier, Billions were also invested by Goldman Sachs’ Russian oligarch partner, Alisher Usmanov. Months earlier, Billions were also invested by Goldman Sachs’ Russian oligarch partner, Alisher Usmanov. Months earlier,
Breyer knew he needed institutional investors in his Facebook creation before he took it public. So, he got his fellow NCVA directors from Fidelity, Vanguard, T. Rowe and TIAA-CREF to agree to eventually invest in Facebook. In the meantime, he would get his friends to invest in these funds immediately, with the sure knowledge (wink, wink) that these funds would come into a windfall in the coming years (four years later) when Facebook went public. The windfall would float all boats in these funds.

FUND INVESTMENTS KEEP JUDGES, REGULATORS AND MEDIA COOPERATIVE

Collateral benefits of this insider trading include: (1) the elite group invited to invest would keep their mouths shut, and (2) they would do favors for Breyer. Fix lawsuits. Suppress journalists. Destroy and conceal evidence. Plant false stories. Fabricate evidence. Get expert witnesses to lie. File criminal charges to stop civil cases against Zuckerberg (Ceglia v. Zuckerberg). Waive off the public’s privacy complaints. All such conduct is cannon fodder to the corrupt.

Several of these favors have included concealing Zuckerberg’s 28 hard drives and Harvard emails from Leader Technologies’ attorneys; stipulating to his judge-investors (Lourie, Moore, Wallach, Rader, Horbaly) in Leader v. Facebook that Facebook attorneys be allowed to dictate the outcome; and ordering Patent Office director David J. Kappos to try killing Leader’s patent by administrative dictate.

STOCK MANIPULATION IS ILLEGAL. IF THE SEC AND JUDICIARY ARE COMPLICIT, WHO WILL ENFORCE THE CONSTITUTION?

Does this scheme sound too good to be true? It is. This kind of stock manipulation by insiders is illegal. For example, a corporate officer who knows about a pending public offering cannot tell his family and friends about the upcoming sale so they can buy in while the price is low.

However, this is exactly what Breyer and these administration officials have done and continue to do. Sometime before 2008, Breyer encouraged them to invest in his colleague’s funds with the promise certain (wink, wink) that all the skids were greased to create the largest tech IPO in history.

WASHINGTON’S DYSFUNCTION IS CAUSED BY THIS HIDDEN AGENDA OF FACEBOOK INTERESTS

Now we know why Washington is dysfunctional.

The hidden agenda of these Facebook interests has destabilized Washington. Nothing is what it seems since the real agenda is never on the table. Obama officials are looking out for their personal Facebook investments at every turn.

This agenda comes into focus when we observe U.S. CTO Todd Y. Park’s priorities. Instead of making the HealthCare.org website development and implementation his number one priority, he busied himself with giving away government data in the “Open Health Data Initiative,” OpenGov.org and HHS “Datapalooza.”

Then, Park lied to the House Oversight Committee about his role in developing HealthCare.gov. (See previous post.)

2005; Oak Investments director; AthenaHealth director and investor; TODD Y. PARK, U.S. chief technology officer investing partner; DAVID A. EBERSMAN, Facebook chief financial officer deal partner; David Y. Park, brother of Todd Y. Park, AthenaHealth chief operating officer partner.

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP, NCVA chief Washington lobbyist; former employer of Thomas J. Kim, SEC Chief Counsel; current employer of Matthew J. Moore, husband of Leader v. Facebook federal judge KIMBERLY A. MOORE; James W. Breyer, Accel Partners LLP attorney.

FIG. 2—NATIONAL VENTURE CAPITAL ASSOCIATION PRINCIPALS who conspired to build perhaps the largest patent property theft and stock manipulation scheme in the history of the United States.

Sources NCVA, Financial Press, SEC-EDGAR, OpenSecrets.org, Judicial Watch and OGE.
For President Obama to put Todd Y. Park in charge of the tech surge, when Park created the mess, is either incompetence or intentional. AFI believes this is an intentional act by a President who is favoring his Facebook interests, not America’s.

Apparently, Facebook interests are hell bent to get access to all of America’s most private health and personal data. And, we have a President dedicated to letting them have it.

MORE ANGLES TO THIS SCANDAL:
- How these Facebook interests were used as “influence currency” to help win the 2008 and 2009 presidential elections for Barack Obama.
- Why the HealthCare.gov debacle may be a smokescreen for more Facebook hacker data theft. What is stored in Facebook’s data center in Lulea, Sweden?
- How the personal data collected by the Facebook Club is used to manipulate elections.
- How a dozen law firms and bar associations have corrupted American constitutional democracy, namely:
  1. American Inns of Court
  2. Blank Rome LLP
  3. Cooley Godward LLP
  4. DC Bar Association
  5. Federal Circuit Bar Association
  6. Fenwick & West LLP
  7. Gibson Dunn LLP
  8. Latham & Watkins LLP
  10. Perkins Coie LLP
  11. Weil Gotshal LLP
  12. White & Case LLP
- How the Justice Department’s $13 billion ostensible settlement with J.P. Morgan Chase is more Facebook Club self-dealing.
- Constitutional remedies for justice when both the Executive and Judicial Branches are corrupt.
- Leader Technologies Inventor Protection Act.

9 comments:

Mark Goeings November 24, 2013 at 7:23 PM
As someone who has been in the VC community for decades, I can only say one thing. Wrong. Whoever wrote this post is really out of touch with the reality of how VC funding
works. Mark Zuckerberg controls roughly 30% of Facebook. That is a phenomenally high percentage. If he were a puppet like this blog claims, it is inconceivable that he would have such influence.

I also have to note another major misrepresentation that I noticed. This blog claims that the federal circuit and Supreme Court deviated from case law by not evaluating the Pfaff and Group One tests. But there is a very simple reason for this. Look at Leader's appeal brief. They conceded that Leader sold the invention too soon, so these issues were never raised at the appellate level.

Reply

K. Craine November 25, 2013 at 7:52 AM
Well, Mark Goeings (a.k.a. Facebook attorney). Even novice investors now know Zuckerberg is nothing but a prop. He's an empty suit, you know what I mean, ah, dude? The hubcaps are flying off the story you fabricated with the help of so-called journalists like David Kirkpatrick. Facebook is and has always been a custom-creation of Summers, Sandberg, Breyer and their VC and banking buddies.

As to your assertion that Leader conceded that it sold the invention too soon, that is OUTRAGEOUSLY FALSE, as you know. Just the opposite is the reality. Leader said they could not have sold it too soon because it wasn’t ready. Your penny arcade antics using fabricated video snippets and an altered interrogatory is your so-called proof of admission... with judge skids greased. Let’s see how that works out for you when all is said and done.

Repeat your lies all you like, the dogs are on to you. Woof. Woof.

Reply

K. Craine November 25, 2013 at 7:59 AM
So our readers can read for themselves that Goeings-Facebook is trying to put out false statements to fool the unsuspecting, here is a link to Leader Technologies’ Supreme Court “Petition for Writ of Certiorari.”


Jill Amblin November 25, 2013 at 3:27 PM
Mark is correct. Read the briefs. Leader never tried to challenge the jury’s finding that they offered the software to companies more than a year before the patent application was filed. They took a different approach. They admitted that they offered it for sale too early, but claimed that the product they offered didn’t embody the invention. McKibben made that same argument on the stand but the jury didn’t believe it. If you read the appellate briefs, you will see that Leader didn’t argue that they did indeed offer the software for sale too early. That is why the court didn’t analyze the NDAs, etc. those issues were not raised on appeal, and an appellate court can only address the issues that are actually raised in the briefs.

Reply

John Craven November 25, 2013 at 7:56 PM
Mark you hit the nail right on the head. Appellate review is very narrow. Leader appealed on the sole issue of whether its product embodied the claimed invention when they offered it for sale. They admitted that it was offered for sale more than a year before the patent application. They just claimed that what they offered wasn’t the invention. The jury didn’t believe it. That is why the federal circuit didn’t address NDAs, etc. irrelevant since the only issue was whether the product offered for sale embodies the invention.

Reply

Dave123 November 26, 2013 at 4:20 PM
Mark Zuckerberg still Seek hospital treatment for poison ivy?
K. Craine  November 26, 2013 at 2:24 PM

More lies and spin by Facebook’s attorneys. That appears to be all you people know how to do. You are the only ones who defend the Facebook misconduct because your are complicit.

Reply

dave123  November 26, 2013 at 4:11 PM

Mark Zuckerberg never work on Facebook like the film THE SHINING!!!

Zuckerberg testified under oath that he programmed the first Facebook site in Jan. 2004 by himself, in “one to two weeks,” while studying for sophomore finals, chasing girls on Craigslist list and at least a dozen burlap bags. Zuckerberg has found all his homes on Craigslist. His first place was a sparse one-bedroom apartment that a friend described as something like a “crack den.” [...] “He’s the poorest rich person I’ve ever seen in my life.”

Tyler Winklevoss said. Mark Zuckerberg never work on Facebook was just a dating porn site, zuck: I’m not exactly sure how the farm animals are going to fit into this whole thing (you can’t really ever be sure with farm animals...), but I like the idea of comparing and some of these people have pretty horrendous facebook pics.i a most want to put some of these faces next to pictures of farm animals. its interesting to learn that facebook was intended to be a dating site just like facemash you see zuckerberg stole the idea facebook with the help of Larry Summers and paypal mafia Breyer the same people that were in on the 2008 global economic crisis that cost tens of millions of people their life savings. Larry Summers and Breyer nothing comes without consequence you little bitch. the 2008 global economic crisis was a PONZI scheme

Reply
EDITORIALS

1. DC Bar refuses to investigate attorney misconduct in Leader v. Facebook - Unwillingness of DC attorneys to self-police may explain why Washington is broken, Dec. 30, 2012

2. Will the U.S. Supreme court support schemers or real American inventors? Facebook's case dangles on a doctored interrogatory. Eighteen (18) areas of question shout for attention, Dec. 27, 2012

3. Two Policy Changes That Will Make America More Democratic (and less contentious), Dec. 21, 2012

OUR MISSION

American citizens must fight abuse of the constitutional right for authors and inventors to enjoy the fruits of their inventions, as a matter of basic property rights and sound public policy. Otherwise, instead of innovation, creativity, genius, ideas, vision, courage, entrepreneurship, respect, property, rejuvenation, morals, ethics, values, renewal, truth, facts, rights, privacy, solutions and judicial faithfulness,... our society and economy will be dragged down (and eventually destroyed) by copying, infringement, thievery, counterfeiting, hacking, greed, misinformation, exploitation, abuse, waste, disrespect, falsity, corruption, bribery, coercion, intimidation, doublespeak, misconduct, lies, deception, attorney "dark arts," destruction, confusion, dishonesty, judicial chicanery and lawlessness.

If we do not speak up, impeach derelict judges and imprison corrupt attorneys, we cannot possibly hope to start fixing the current ills in our society. Without justice and respect for private property, democracy has no sure foundation.

CURRENT EDITORIAL FOCUS

We are an opinion blog that advocates for strong intellectual property rights. We welcome commenters and contributors. The Leader v. Facebook patent infringement case first came to our attention after learning that the trial judge, Leonard P. Stark, U.S. District Court of Delaware, ignored his jury's admission that they had no evidence to support their on-sale bar verdict, but the judge supported it anyway.

The judicial misconduct has deteriorated from there, replete with two of the three
judges on the Federal Circuit appeal panel, Judges Alan D. Lourie and Kimberly A. Moore, holding Facebook stock that they did not disclose to the litigants, and later tried to excuse through a quick motion slipped in at the last minute by the Clerk of Court, Jan Horbaly, and his close friends at The Federal Circuit Bar Association. (The DC Bar subsequently revealed that Mr. Horbaly is not licensed to practice law in Washington D.C.)

The judges ignored shocking new evidence that Mark Zuckerberg withheld 28 hard drives of 2003-2004 evidence from Leader Technologies that could prove actual theft (and therefore claims even more serious than infringement). In addition, Facebook’s appeal attorney, Thomas G. Hungar of Gibson Dunn LLP, has close personal ties to just about every judicial player in this story. The misconduct appears to reach into the U.S. Patent Office through abuse of the reexamination process by Facebook. We will stay focused on Leader v. Facebook until justice is served, but we also welcome news and analysis of intellectual property abuse in other cases as well.

WELCOME TO DONNA KLINE NOW! READERS!

AFI has been supporting Donna and is now picking up the main Leader v. Facebook coverage (she will continue coverage as well).

Anonymous Posts Are Welcomed! Blogger has more posting constraints than Donna’s WordPress, but we will continue to welcome anonymous posts. Simply send us an email at amer4innov@gmail.com with your post. Once the moderator verifies that your email address is real, your comment will be posted using your real name or handle, whatever you wish, like John Smith or Tex.

Click here to view a complete Donna Kline Now! posts archive.

STOP Judicial Misconduct
“CANON 2: A judge should avoid impropriety and the appearance of impropriety in all activities.”

Code of Conduct for United States Judges
CODE OF CONDUCT FOR U.S. JUDGES

"CANON 2: A JUDGE SHOULD AVOID IMPROPERITY AND THE APPEARANCE OF IMPROPERITY IN ALL ACTIVITIES"

GALLERY OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT

Judge Leonard P. Stark, U.S. District Court of Delaware, trial judge in Leader Techs, Inc. v. Facebook, Inc., 770 F. Supp. 2d 686 (D.Del. 2011). Judge Stark heard his jury foreman admit that the jury made the on-sale bar decision without any evidence other than speculation, and yet he supported that verdict anyway. Just months before trial, Judge Stark allowed Facebook to add the on-sale bar claim after the close of all fact discovery and blocked Leader from preparing its defenses to this new claim. Judge Stark allowed the claims despite Leader’s prophetic argument that the action would confuse the jury and prejudice Leader. (Read Leader’s May 20, 2010 motion here.) He also permitted the jury to ignore the Pfaff v. Wells Electronics, Inc. test for on-sale bar, even after instructing the jury to use it. (See that Jury Instruction No. 4.7 here.) He also contradicted his own instruction to Leader to answer
Interrogatory No. 9 in the present tense (2009), then permitted the jury to interpret it as a 2002 admission as well. See his Sep. 14, 2009 Order. Facebook’s entire on-sale bar case is based upon this interrogatory. (Editorial: Hardly sufficient to meet the “heavy burden” of the clear and convincing evidence standard.)

Judge Alan D. Lourie, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, panel judge in Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc., 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Judge Lourie stood to benefit financially from undisclosed holdings in Facebook. See analysis of Judge Lourie’s T. Rowe Price holdings re. the Facebook IPO. Judge Lourie also failed to apply his own law-test in Group One v. Hallmark Cards to the evidence. After debunking all of Facebook’s evidence on appeal, Judge Lourie created new argument in the secrecy of chambers to support Facebook and prevent the on-sale bar verdict from being overturned—a clear breach of constitutional due process.

Judge Kimberly A. Moore, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, panel judge in Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc., 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Judge Moore stood to benefit financially from undisclosed holdings in Facebook. See disclosure of substantial holdings in Facebook and Facebook-related stocks. Judge Moore failed to follow the long-held precedent for testing on-sale bar evidence in Pfaff v. Wells Electronics, Inc.—an evident and intentional omission coming from a former patent law professor. After debunking all of Facebook’s evidence on appeal, Judge Moore created new argument in the secrecy of chambers to support Facebook and prevent the on-sale bar verdict from being overturned.
Judge Wallach is not a patent attorney. This begs the question as to why a judge with no knowledge of patent law was assigned to the case. Would anyone ask a dentist to perform brain surgery? The Federal Circuit was specially formed to appoint patent-knowledgeable judges to patent cases. There is no evidence so far in the judicial disclosures that Judge Wallach holds stock in Facebook, although when he was asked on a motion to disclose potential Facebook holdings and other conflicts of interest, he refused along with the other judges. See Motion to Disclose Conflicts of Interest.
Judge Wallach continued in silence even after Clerk of Court Horbaly failed to provide him with Dr. Lakshmi Arunachalam’s motions (according to his Federal Circuit staffer Valeri White), and yet the Clerk signed an order regarding that motion on Judge Wallach’s behalf. See a full analysis of these events at Donna Kline Now! Judge Wallach also failed to police his court’s violation of Leader’s Fifth and 14th Amendment constitutional right to due process when he participated in the fabrication of new arguments and evidence for Facebook in the secrecy of judge’s chambers after he had just invalidated Facebook’s sole remaining item of evidence (using disbelieved testimony as ostensible evidence of an opposite). Judge Wallach also failed to police his court when he failed to apply the Supreme Court’s Pfaff v. Wells Electronics, Inc. test for on-sale bar evidence, which included even the Federal Circuit’s own Group One v. Hallmark Cards, Inc. test—a test which Judge Lourie should have advised Judge Wallach to follow since Judge Lourie helped write that opinion. Group One test omission analysis.

—a clear breach of constitutional due process.
Clerk of Court Jan Horbaly, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, clerk who signed all the opinions in Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc., 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Clerk Horbaly and his staff obfuscated when the court’s ruling was challenged by an amicus curiae brief revealing clear mistakes of law and new evidence. See analysis of the misconduct and misrepresentations within the Federal Circuit Clerk of Court in Leader v. Facebook. Mr. Horbaly failed to disclose his conflicts of interest and close associations with numerous Facebook attorneys and law firms, as well as his close association with one of Facebook’s largest shareholders, Microsoft, who is a Director of The Federal Circuit Bar Association where Mr. Horbaly is an ex officio officer. Additionally, the DC Bar revealed in a written statement that Clerk Horbaly is not licensed to practice law in the District of Columbia.

[Editorial: What does that make the Federal Circuit with its location within in a stone’s throw of the White House? A self-governing state?]

Judge Randall R. Rader, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, chief judge responsible for the (mis)conduct of his judges and Clerk of Court in Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc., 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Judge Rader failed to manage his court resulting in a likely situation where his judges never even received briefs that they allegedly ruled on in favor of Facebook. Judge Rader also failed to disclose his conflicting relationships with a Leader principle
with whom he may have had deep professional differences during his time at the Senate Judiciary Committee—his former professor of law at George Washington University Law Center, former Leader director Professor James P. Chandler. See analysis of Judge Rader's undisclosed conflicts of interest in Leader v. Facebook. Judge Rader also did not stop his judges from creating new arguments and evidence for Facebook in the secrecy of chambers—after they had debunked all of Facebook's evidence on appeal, which is a clear breach of constitutional due process.

Click here to view a Federal Circuit Leader v. Facebook Conflicts of Interest Map.


Leader v. Facebook Legal Research Links

NOTICE: Opinion

This is an opinion blog. Any information contained or linked herein should be independently verified and should be considered the sole opinion of the writer. Free Speech and Freedom of the Press are protected by the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution and other local, state, national and international laws. Therefore, as with all opinion, such opinion should not be relied upon without independent verification.

This site is a not-for-profit effort focused on education, news, investigation of issues in the public interest, and research, and relies on fair use copyright exemptions under 17 U.S.C. 106(a)-117 of the United States Copyright Act, in addition to any and all other related and relevant privileges to which a fair and reasonable person would attribute to this grassroots effort to root out corruption and promote justice. No rights whatsoever to third party content are claimed or implied.
CORRUPTION WATCH LIST

Faces of the Facebook Corruption (PDF) (currently being updated after the Fri. Mar. 7, 2014 Scribd censorship of this document):

Here is the cast of characters in Leader v. Facebook. We encourage you to report their corrupt activities to this site and others, like Lawless America. Feel free to communicate anonymously in any way in which you are most comfortable. The attempt of these people and their organizations to corrupt American justice and commerce cannot be tolerated. Vigilance. We will expose them. See Congressional Briefings (currently being updated after Scribd censored the documents on Fri. Mar. 7, 2014).

A. Facebook’s law firms:

1. Fenwick & West LLP (Facebook securities and patent law firm; former Leader Technologies counsel; attempted an appearance in Leader v. Facebook; did not seek conflicts waiver from Leader prior to representing Facebook)

2. Cooley Godward LLP (Facebook law firm in Leader v. Facebook;
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Law Firm</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>McBee Strategic Energy Stimulus</td>
<td>partner; Obama Justice Dept. advisor; former employer to patent judges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Blank &amp; Roman LLP</td>
<td>Facebook law firm in Leader v. Facebook; former employer to patent judges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>White &amp; Case LLP</td>
<td>Facebook law firm in Leader v. Facebook; undisclosed former employer to Patent Office Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) officer involved in Leader v. Facebook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Orrick Herrington LLP</td>
<td>(longtime Facebook law firm and destroyer of evidence for the cabal in Winklevoss v. Zuckerberg and ConnectU v. Facebook)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Weil Gotshal LLP</td>
<td>(Federal Circuit counsel in Leader v. Facebook; Judge Kimberly A. Moore's undisclosed former client)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Latham &amp; Watkins LLP</td>
<td>(Facebook Director James W. Breyer's counsel; Judge Kimberly A. Moore's husband, Matthew J. Moore's new law firm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Federal Circuit Bar Association (&quot;FCBA&quot;)</td>
<td>(Federal Circuit's bar association; second largest in the U.S.; Facebook's law firms exert much influence in its policy and activity, incl. Fenwick &amp; West LLP, Gibson Dunn LLP, Orrick Herrington LLP, Weil Gotshal LLP. Facebook's large shareholder, Microsoft, is a director; Federal Circuit Clerk of Court Jan Horbaly is an officer; FCBA made an appearance in Leader v. Facebook to oppose the amicus curiae (friend of the court) motion of Dr. Lakshmi Arunachalam, former Director of Network Architecture at Sun Microsystems, in favor of Leader Technologies and objecting to the evident conflicts of interest within the court itself, her motion was denied, the judges refused to disclose their conflicts which we now know include Facebook and Microsoft stocks)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>DC Bar Association</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Perkins Coie LLP</td>
<td>(Facebook's &quot;rapid response enforcement team&quot;; law firm for Obama's chief counsels, the husband and wife team of Robert F. Bauer and Anita B. Dunn; Bauer was identified on Aug. 1, 2013 as having directed the IRS targeting of the Tea Party)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Stroz Friedberg</td>
<td>(Facebook's &quot;forensic expert&quot; who manipulated the data in Paul Ceglia v. Mark Zuckerberg, and who first revealed the existence of 28 Zuckerberg hard drives and...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Harvard emails that they told Leader Technologies in 2009 were "lost")

B. Facebook attorneys & cooperating judges:

13. Gordon K. Davidson (Fenwick; Facebook’s securities and patent attorney; Leader Technologies’ former attorney)
14. Christopher P. King (sometimes Christopher-Charles King, Fenwick)
15. Theodore B. Olson (Gibson Dunn)
16. Thomas G. Hungar (Gibson Dunn)
17. Eric H. Holder, Jr. (Attorney General, U.S. Dept. of Justice)
18. James Cole (Deputy Attorney General, U.S. Dept. of Justice)
19. Tony West (Associate Attorney General, U.S. Dept. of Justice; 2008 Obama California Campaign Manager)
20. Robert F. Bauer (Obama Attorney; White House Chief Counsel; directed IRS targeting of the Tea Party; formerly and currently employed by Perkins Coie LLP, Facebook’s “rapid response enforcement team;” spouse is Anita B. Dunn)
21. Anita B. Dunn (Obama Attorney; White House Chief Counsel; husband Robert F. Bauer directed IRS targeting of the Tea Party, formerly employed by Perkins Coie LLP, Facebook’s “rapid response enforcement team”)
22. Mary L. Schapiro (former Chairman, Securities & Exchange Commission (S.E.C.); holds investments in 51 Facebook Club basket funds)
23. James “Jamie” Brigagliano (former Deputy Director of the Division of Trading and Markets at the Securities and Exchange Commission; Mary L. Schapiro’s chief lieutenant on “dark pool” rule making)
24. Joseph P. Cutler (Perkins Coie)
25. David P. Chiappetta (Perkins Coie)
26. James R. McCullagh (Perkins Coie)
27. Ramsey M. Al-Salam (Perkins Coie)
28. Grant E. Kinsel (Perkins Coie)
29. Reeve T. Bull (Gibson Dunn)
30. Heidi Keefe (Cooley)
31. Michael G. Rhodes (Cooley; Tesla Motors)
32. Elizabeth Stameshkin (Cooley)
33. Donald K. Stern (Cooley; Justice Dept. advisor)
34. Mark R. Weinstein (Cooley)
35. Jeffrey Norberg (Cooley)
36. Ronald Lemieux (Cooley)
37. Craig W. Clark (Blank Rome)
38. Tom Amis (Cooley / McBee Strategic)
39. Erich Veitenheimer (Cooley / McBee Strategic)
40. Roel Campos (Cooley; former Commissioner of the U.S. Securities & Exchange Commission at the time of the infamous Facebook 12(g) exemption)
41. Lisa T. Simpson (Orrick)
42. Samuel O’Rourke (Facebook; Cooley-directed)
43. Theodore W. Ullyot (Facebook; Cooley-directed)
44. Amber H. Rover, aka Amber L. Hay aka Amber Hatfield (Weil Gotshal LLP; Judge Kimberly A. Moore's former client)
45. Edward R. Reines (Weil Gotshal)
46. Trish Harris (DC Bar Association)
47. Elizabeth A. Herman (DC Bar Association)
48. Elizabeth J. Branda (DC Bar Association)
49. David J. Kappos (former Patent Office Director; former IBM chief intellectual property counsel; ordered unprecedented 3rd reexam of Leader Technologies' patent; Obama political appointee)
50. Preetinder ("Preet") Bharara (U.S. Attorney Ceglia v. Zuckerberg; formerly of Gibson & Dunn LLP; protects Zuckerberg)
51. Thomas J. Kim (SEC Chief Counsel)
52. Anne Krauskopf (SEC Special Sr. Counsel)
53. John G. Roberts, Jr. (Chief Justice, U.S. Supreme Court)
54. Jan Horbaly (Federal Circuit, Clerk of Court)
55. Kimberly A. Moore (Judge, Federal Circuit)
56. Matthew J. Moore (Latham & Watkins LLP; husband of Judge Kimberly A. Moore)
57. Kathryn "Kathy" Ruehmiller (Latham & Watkins LLP; White House counsel)
58. Evan J. Wallach (Judge, Federal Circuit)
59. Alan D. Lourie (Judge, Federal Circuit)
60. Randall R. Rader (Chief Judge, Federal Circuit)
61. Terence P. Stewart (Federal Circuit Bar Association)
62. Leonard P. Stark (Judge, Delaware U.S. District Court)
63. Richard J. Arcara (Judge, N.Y. Western District, Ceglia v. Holder et al)
64. Allen R. MacDonald (Administrative Judge, U.S. Patent Office)
68. Kathryn Walsh Siehndel (FOIA Counsel, U.S. Patent Office - bio and conflicts log concealed)

C. Facebook puppet masters:

69. President Barack Obama (appointed Leonard P. Stark to the judge’s seat in Delaware Federal District Court eight days after Stark’s court allowed Facebook to get away with jury and court manipulation of an on-sale bar verdict which was attained without a single piece of hard evidence; Barack and Michelle Obama were evidently protecting their 47 million ‘likes’ on Facebook)

70. Lawrence "Larry" Summers (Harvard President who aided Zuckerberg’s light-speed rise to prominence with unprecedented Harvard Crimson coverage; Obama bailout chief; Clinton Treasury Secretary; World Bank Chief Economist; ‘Special Advisor’ to Marc Andreessen in Instagram; co-creator of the current Russian robber baron economy; close 20-year relationships with protégés Sheryl Sandberg & Yuri Milner; aided in recommendations that created the Russian robber baron economy—and Yuri Milner/DST/Asmanov’s money used to purchase Facebook stock)

71. James W. Breyer, Accel Partners LLP; Facebook director; client of Fenwick & West LLP since the 1990’s; apparently received technology from other Fenwick clients that was shuffled to Zuckerberg, incl. Leader Technologies’ inventions)

72. David Plouffe; directed Obama’s 2008 and 2012 campaigns; a self-described "statistics nerd;" likely directed the activities of the Facebook Club; employed Robert F. Bauer, Perkins Coii LLP in 2000 at the Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee

73. McBee Strategic (one of the main “private” arms responsible for doling out the billions in Obama “green energy” stimulus funds; partnered with Cooley Godward LLP)

74. Mike Sheehy (Cooley-McBee Strategic principal; former National Security Adviser to House Speaker Nancy Pelosi)

75. Nancy Pelosi (U.S. Congresswoman; appears to be running political cover in the House for Facebook, McBee Strategic, Cooley Godward, Fenwick & West, Breyers, etc.)
76. Harry Reid (U.S. Senator; Judge Evan J. Wallach patron)

77. Thomas J. Kim (SEC, Chief Counsel & Assoc. Director) approved Facebook's 500-shareholder exemption on Oct. 14, 2007, one day after it was submitted by Fenwick & West LLP; Facebook used this exemption to sell $3 billion insider stock to the Russians Alisher Asmanov, Yuri Milner, DST, Digital Sky, Mail.ru which pumped Facebook's pre-IPO valuation to $100 billion; another Harvard grad, Kim worked at Latham & Watkins LLP which was the chief lobbyist for the National Venture Capital Association in 2002-2004 whose Chairman was . . . James W. Beyer, Accel Partners LLP; in other words Breyer and Kim, both Harvard grads, were associated at the time of the Zuckerberg hacking and theft of Leader Technologies' software code)

78. Ping Li (Accel Partners, Zuckerberg handler)

79. Jim Swartz (Accel Partners; Zuckerberg handler)

80. Sheryl K. Sandberg (Facebook, Summers protégé; Facebook director)

81. Yuri Milner (DST aka Digital Sky, Summers protégé; former Bank Menatep executive; Facebook director)

82. Alisher Asmanov (DST aka Digital Sky; Goldman Sachs Moscow partner; Russian oligarch; Friend of the Kremlin; Became the Richest Man in Russia after the Facebook IPO)

83. Marc L. Andreessen (Zuckerberg coach; client of Fenwick and Christopher P. King; Summers' sponsor during Instagram-scam; Facebook director)

84. Peter Thiel (19-year old Zuckerberg coach; PayPal; Facebook director; CEO, Clarion Capital)

85. Clarion Capital (Peter Thiel)

86. Reid G. Hoffman (19-year old Zuckerberg coach; PayPal; LinkedIn; Facebook director)

87. Richard Wolpert (Accel Partners)

88. Robert Ketterson (Fidelity Ventures; Fidelity Equity Partners; Fidelity Ventures Telecommunications & Technology)

89. David Kilpatrick (Business Insider; "The Facebook Effect"; PR cleanse-meister re. Facebook origins)

90. Zynga/Groupon/LinkedIn/Square/Instagram ("Facebook Money/Credits/Bitcoin" feeder companies)

91. Tesla Motors (received $465 million in Obama stimulus funds and hired Cooley's Michael Rhodes in the seven months before the Leader v. Facebook trial, just
before veteran Judge Joseph Farnan made the surprise announcement of his retirement, just six days after Facebook’s disastrous Markman Hearing)

92. Solyndra (received $535 million in Obama stimulus at the recommendation of the Cooley-McBee Strategic “consulting” alliance)

93. BrightSource (received $1.6 billion in Obama stimulus at the recommendation of the Cooley-McBee Strategic “consulting” alliance)

94. John P. Breyer (father of James W. Breyer; founder of IDG Capital Partners - China; coached his son on exploiting Western markets while he quietly built a venture capital business in China for the last 20 years; the real brain behind the Breyer exploitations)

95. IDG Capital Partners (China) (founded by John P. Breyer, the father of James W. Breyer, Accel Partners; the current launderer of the tens of billions James W has fleeced from the U.S. market from the bailout, stimulus and the “pump & dump” Facebook IPO schemes)

96. Goldman Sachs (received US bailout funds; then invested with DST in Facebook private stock via Moscow; took Facebook public; locked out American investors from investing)

97. Morgan Stanley (received US bailout funds; took Facebook public; probably participated in overseas purchases of Facebook private stock before IPO)

98. State Street Corporation (received U.S. taxpayer bailout monies along with Goldman Sachs and Morgan Stanley; consolidating control of ATM banking networks internationally)

99. JP Morgan Chase (received U.S. taxpayer bailout monies along with Goldman Sachs, Morgan Stanley and State Street Corporation)

100. Lloyd Blankfein (Goldman Sachs, CEO)

101. Jamie Dimon (JP MorganChase, CEO)

102. Steve Cutler (JP MorganChase, General Counsel)

103. Rodgin Cohen (JP MorganChase, Outside Counsel; Sullivan Cromwell, LLP)

104. U.S. Securities & Exchange Commission (granted Fenwick & West’s application on behalf of Facebook for an unprecedented exemption to the 500 shareholder rule; opened the floodgate for Goldman Sachs and Morgan Stanley to make a private market in Facebook pre-IPO insider stock; facilitated the influx of billions of dollars from “dubious” sources associated with Russian oligarchs, Alisher Asmanov and Yuri Milner, and the Kremlin; Goldman Sachs is a partner with
105. Jeff Markey (McBee Strategic LLC; allied with Facebook's Cooley Godward Kronish LLP to arrange Obama's green energy funding; arranged $1.6 billion for failed BrightSource and $535 million for failed Solyndra)

106. Steve McBe (McBee Strategic LLC; allied with Facebook's Cooley Godward Kronish LLP to arrange Obama's green energy funding; arranged $1.6 billion for failed BrightSource and $535 million for failed Solyndra)

107. Michael F. McGowan (Straz Friedberg; Facebook forensic expert who lied about his knowledge of the contents of the 28 Zuckerberg hard drives and Harvard Email accounts)

108. Bryan J. Rose (Straz Friedberg; Facebook forensic expert who lied about his knowledge of the contents of the 28 Zuckerberg hard drives and Harvard Email accounts)

109. Dr. Saul Greenberg (Facebook's expert witness from the University of Calgary; disingenuously waived his hands and said he would be "wild guessing" about the purpose of a Java "sessionstate" import statement (even Java newbies know it is used for tracking a user while in a web session); in short, Dr. Greeberg lied to the jury, thus discrediting his testimony)

110. Toni Townes-Whitely (CGI Federal; Michelle Obama's 1985 Princeton classmate; CGI "donated" $47 million to the Obama campaign; CGI won the no-bid contract to build the www.healthcare.gov Obamacare website; CGI shut off the security features on Obama's reelection donation sites to increase donations)

111. CGI Federal (US division of a Canadian company; Donated $47 million to Obama's reelection, then received the no-bid contract to build the ill-fated Obamacare website; Michelle Obama's Princeton classmate, Toni Townes-Whitely, is a Senior Vice President of CGI, the website is replete with social features and links to Facebook)

112. Kathleen Sebelius (Obama's Secretary of Health & Human Services since 2009 responsible for $678 million Obamacare implementation; made the decision to hire CGI Federal on a no-bid contract despite the evident conflict of interest with Michelle Obama and $47 million in Obama campaign donations by CGI; the website is replete with social features and links to Facebook)

113. Todd Y. Park (White House Chief Technology Officer (CTO); former CTO for Health & Human Services; chief architect of HealthCare.gov; founder,
director, CEO, Athenahealth, Inc.; founder, director, CEO, Castlight Health, Inc.)

114. Frank M. Sands, Sr. / Frank M. Sands, Jr. (Founder and CEO, respectively, of Sands Capital Management LLC; failed to file S.E.C. Form SC 13G acquisition reports for Athenahealth, Inc., Baidu, Inc. (ADR) and Facebook stock during 2012; masked the association of Todd Y. Park with Athenahealth, Inc. and Baidu, Inc., and the association of both of those companies with the Facebook IPO fraud)

115. Robin "Handsome Reward" Yangong Li (CEO, Baidu, Inc. (ADR); appointed Jan. 2004, the same month that Mark Zuckerberg obtained Leader Technologies’ social networking source code to start Facebook; Robin Y. Li is very likely associated with John P. and James W. Breyer through their Chinese entities, including IDG Capital Partners, IDG-Accel and other variants; Li appointed a junior attorney from Fenwick & West LLP, Palo Alto/Mountain View, namely Parker Zhang, to be his "Head of Patents;" Fenwick & West LLP represented both Leader Technologies, Inc. and Accel Partners LLC in 2002-2003 and had Leader's source code in their files.)

116. Parker Zhang ("Head of Patents" at Baidu, Inc. (ADR), appointed in approx. May 2012; formerly a junior Associate attorney at Fenwick & West LLP; graduate from Michigan Law in 2005)

117. Penny S. Pritzker (Secretary, Department of Commerce; replaced Rebecca M. Blank; holds over $24 million in Facebook "dark pools" stock, most notably in Goldman Sachs, Morgan Stanley and JPMorgan)

118. Rebecca M. Blank (Secretary, Department of Commerce; oversaw the dubious Leader v. Facebook activities of the Patent Office Director, David J. Kappos, who held over one million dollars in Facebook "dark pools" during the Leader v. Facebook proceedings; Kappos purchased this stock within weeks of his surprise recess appointment by President Obama; Kappos also was formerly employed by IBM, who sold Facebook 750 patents during the Leader v. Facebook proceedings; right before leaving the Patent Office, Kappos also ordered an unprecedented 3rd reexamination of Leader's patent without even identifying claims)

119. Mary L. Schapiro (Chairman, Securities & Exchange Commission; holds 51 Facebook "dark pools" stocks which held stock in Facebook, Baidu and more than a dozen Facebook crony companies; failed to regulate the "dark pools;" failed to disclose her substantial conflict of interest in regulating the run up to the Facebook IPO)
120. Robert C. Hancock (Chief Compliance Officer, Sands Capital Management, LLC; failed to file S.E.C. Form SC 12G notice of acquisition reports for Athenahealth, Baidu and Facebook during the period of the Facebook IPO in 2012; this conduct masked the conflicts of interest of Todd Y. Park, who was appointed by President Obama to be the U.S. Chief Technology Officer during this same period; Todd Y. Park is/has been founder, director and CEO of both Athenahealth and Castlight Health; Todd Y. Park deeply embedded the software from Athenahealth and Castlight Health into HealthCare.gov when he was CTO at Health & Human Services; none of these conflicts of interest were disclosed; Todd Y. Park’s ethics pledges and reports are missing from the Office of Government Ethics)

121. Jonathan Goodman (Chief Counsel, Sands Capital Management, LLC; failed to file S.E.C. Form SC 12G notice of acquisition reports for Athenahealth, Baidu and Facebook during the period of the Facebook IPO in 2012; this conduct masked the conflicts of interest of Todd Y. Park, who was appointed by President Obama to be the U.S. Chief Technology Officer during this same period; Todd Y. Park is/has been founder, director and CEO of both Athenahealth and Castlight Health; Todd Y. Park deeply embedded the software from Athenahealth and Castlight Health into HealthCare.gov when he was CTO at Health & Human Services; none of these conflicts of interest were disclosed; Todd Y. Park’s ethics pledges and reports are missing from the Office of Government Ethics; Goodman was formerly employed by Gibson Dunn LLP, Facebook appeals counsel in Leader v. Facebook)

122. Trip Adler (“Co-Founder” of Scribd; Harvard contemporaries of Mark Zuckerberg with a dubious origins story, like Zuckerberg’s; Scribd held AFI documents for two years, then summarily deleted the entire library without warning on Fri. Mar. 7, 2014; AFI’s library contained only public documents and much evidence proving the Leader v. Facebook judicial corruption)

123. Jared Friedman (“Co-Founder” of Scribd; Harvard contemporaries of Mark Zuckerberg with a dubious origins story, like Zuckerberg’s; Scribd held AFI documents for two years, then summarily deleted the entire library without warning on Fri. Mar. 7, 2014; AFI’s library contained only public documents and much evidence proving the Leader v. Facebook judicial corruption)

D. Facebook boy-
### puppets:

| 124. | Mark E. Zuckerberg |
| 125. | Chris Hughes |
| 126. | Dustin Moskowitz |
| 127. | Eduardo Saverin |
| 128. | Matthew R. Cohler |
| 129. | Elon Musk |

### E. Corruption Watch—Patent Office Judges:

| 130. | Anderson, Gregg |
| 131. | Best, George |
| 132. | Bonilla, Jackie W. |
| 133. | Boucher, Patrick |
| 134. | Braden, Georgianna W. |
| 135. | Branch, Gene |
| 136. | Bisk, Jennifer Bresson |
| 137. | Bui, Hung H. |
| 138. | Busch, Justin |
| 139. | Clements, Matt |
| 140. | Crumbley, Kit |
| 141. | Droesch, Kristen |
| 142. | Elluru, Rama |
| 143. | Fitzpatrick, Michael |
| 144. | Gerstenblith, Bart A. |
| 145. | Giannetti, Thomas L. |
| 146. | Guest, Rae Lynn |
| 147. | Hastings, Karen M. |
| 148. | Hoff, Marc |
| 149. | Horner, Linda |
| 150. | Hughes, James R. |
| 151. | Hume, Larry |
| 152. | James, Housel |
| 153. | Jung, Hung J. |
| 154. | Kamholz, Scott |
| 155. | Katz, Deborah |
| 156. | Lucas, Jay |
| 157. | MacDonald, Allen R. (bio unavailable) – Leader 3rd reexam judge (bio and conflicts log concealed by FOIA) |
| 158. | Mahaney, Alexandra |
| 159. | Martin, Brett |
| 160. | McKone, Dave |
| 161. | McNamara, Brian |
| 162. | Medley, Sally |
| 163. | Moore, Bryan |
| 164. | Moore, James T – Leader 3rd reexam judge (bio and conflicts log concealed by FOIA) |
| 165. | Morgan, Jason V. |
| 166. | Morrison, John |
| 167. | Pak, Chung K. |
| 168. | Perry, Glenn J. |
| 169. | Petrvick, Meredith C. (bio and conflicts log concealed by FOIA) – Leader 3rd reexam judge |
| 170. | Pettigrew, Lynne |
| 171. | Praiss, Donna |
| 172. | Quinn, Miriam |
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