Tuesday, January 29, 2013

LEADER V. FACEBOOK WALL OF SHAME

JUDGES GO TO JAIL FOR FAR LESS SERIOUS MISCONDUCT; FACEBOOK USERS SHOULD PAY LEADER FEES VOLUNTARILY; ITS THE RIGHT THING TO DO SINCE FACEBOOK STOLE THE TECHNOLOGY

FACEBOOK GESTAPO CENSORSHIP OF LEADER V. FACEBOOK "PRIVATE" CONVERSATIONS VERIFIED

FEB. 11, 2013 UPDATE—AFI received troubling reports this weekend that Facebook is censoring users who are working to publicize the Leader v. Facebook judicial corruption scandal. In one report Facebook PREVENTED friend-ing between a user and the Chief of Staff of the House of Representatives. In this report, the message from Facebook was essentially that the user was limited to friend-ing friends (and not politicians). This form of censorship, if true (the news source is credible), is against our most fundamental Freedom of Speech rights embodied in the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution. But of course, such conduct is consistent with the massive abuse of rights that we are seeing from the federal courts in Leader v. Facebook. Here’s the comment. We suggest that readers forward this link to decision influencers in their networks.

(FEB. 13, 2013 12:18 PM EST): AFI is receiving more verified reports of Facebook Gestapo censorship of “private” conversations among Facebook users whenever the topic turns to the growing Leader v. Facebook judicial corruption scandal. We will not publish the exact contents to preserve the anonymity of the whistle blowers. Of course, readers should know that the “FB Gestapo” is able to search on this simple key phrase and find EVERY conversation on the planet that has used this phrase, and then start targeting those...
individuals for censorship. This is true for any data in Facebook, including your so-called “private” conversations. Private in Facebook-speak means the exact opposite. Any advertiser can purchase it, and any Facebook insider can search it. Some euphemistically call this activity “data mining.”

And of course, this immoral conduct is perfectly “legal.” Why you ask? Because Facebook’s attorneys wrote a deceptive user license agreement full of enough twists and turns to make magician Harry Houdini blush. You agreed to hand over all your data to Facebook as the price you paid to get “free” use of the platform, which of course, is stolen from Leader Technologies. More immorality, the theft is illegal.

Immorality Illegality. Why do the Facebook users permit such appalling abuse of rights? What does this say about the state of morals in America? By the way, Facebook’s license does say that you “own” your data . . . until you share it, then you give it up to them forever. So, since a social network is about sharing, voile, you can’t take back anything you’ve shared with even one other person. Therefore, you’ve given up everything. Very clever, eh?

(FEB. 11, 2013 16:38 PM EST): We have just received a report that Facebook is censoring links to the page for “Lawless America” which is investigating judicial corruption in America. This blogger has been conversing in private chat mode with Lawless America before being blocked; first sporadically, now permanently. So much for the “private” in private chat. We encourage our readers to follow Lawless America and inform them about the growing Leader v. Facebook judicial and Patent Office corruption scandal.

“A favorite tactic of wrongdoing is misdirection. Misdirection creates questions and doubt continuously. Your target will then spend all their time defending against the shadows you create.” —Disinformation Expert

PATENT OFFICE COVER-UP IN LEADER V. FACEBOOK

(FEB. 8, 2013 UPDATE) – The specter of judicial bribery is spreading to the U.S. Patent Office. AFI has just received new documents clearly showing a cover-up. These documents need no editorial comment. They speak for themselves. Facebook appears to be railroading some sort of “re-examination” proceeding through their paid staff (and paid administrative judges?) at the USPTO? Leader has already won these arguments at trial and in two reexaminations.

Click here to read the USPTO Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Leader v. Facebook cover-up documents:
GoogleDocs

The three administrative judges who approved what is in effect a FOURTH review of the same worn out, losing Facebook prior art arguments are:

1. Judge Allen R. MacDonald
2. Judge Stephen C. Siu
3. Judge Meredith C. Petravick

Should these judges join the Wall of Shame? We invite readers to investigate these judges and their staffs, whose names are identified on the blanked-out FOIA documents.

Judicial Facebook Love-Fest?

We know at least two of the three Federal Circuit judges in Leader v. Facebook hold Facebook stock. How many judges and staff (and their families) at the U.S. Patent Office also hold Facebook stock? Is American jurisprudence a veritable Facebook Love-Fest? Whose driving this corruption of previously venerable American institutions? Proof of cover-up here.

"You may not work on any matter where . . . you or your relatives within the third degree . . . could be substantially affected by the matter's outcome." Code of Conduct 3F(2) (p. 10).

Like Facebook stock appreciation?!

UPDATE MAR. 25, 2014

FOUR CRITICAL AFI POSTS ON JUDICIAL COMPROMISE

Fully updated Mar. 25, 2014 in the wake of the Scribd censorship:

1. WAS CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS BLACKMAILED into supporting Obamacare by his ethical compromises in Leader v. Facebook?
2. JUSTICE ROBERTS MENTORED Facebook Gibson Dunn LLP attorneys.
3. JUSTICE ROBERTS HOLDS substantial Facebook financial interests.
4. JUDGE LEONARD STARK FAILED to disclose his
Judicial bribery and payoffs in Leader v. Facebook seem all but certain considering the breadth and depth of the collective misconduct. It seems that these judges would have had no other motive than money to hand Facebook its dubious victory based on lies and turning blind eyes. They risked life appointments. See Wall of Shame below. If they have risked their jobs for Facebook, there must be an awfully big reward waiting somewhere. Students of Facebook speculate that there must be hundreds if not thousands of these accounts somewhere. They appear to have bought a lot of silence in the Caymans, Dubai, Bermuda, Moscow, Singapore, Hong Kong? The deeper this scandal gets, the more the mind wanders. You'll have to decide for yourself.

WHERE MIGHT THE FUNDS BE PARKED?

It is public knowledge that Moscow-based DST (aka Digital Sky, aka Mail.ru, aka Yuri Milner) has a full time former Goldman Sachs executive named Alexander Tamas living in Dubai. Tamas moved from Goldman Sachs to DST in London just months before Goldman Sachs received approximately $16 billion in taxpayer bailout funds, managed by President Obama’s bailout appointee, Lawrence H. “Larry” Summers. Milner and Facebook COO Sheryl K. Sanders are protégés of Summers from his World Bank days in the early 1990’s. Within about six months of the bailout, some $3 billion flowed in from Milner/DST and others overseas to purchase Facebook insider stock. Zuckerberg’s former speech writer says in Boy Kings that the Facebook employees were strongly encouraged to sell, then they were effectively muzzled (Katherine Losse, 2012: “no one asked if the Russian’s money was clean … no one wanted or was allowed to know”) when they questioned these dubious Russian transactions. American investors were locked out. All coincidences, of course.

Judges who accept bribes and givers of bribes should go to jail. For example, former attorney Paul Minor and former judges Wes Teel and John Whitfield are serving sentences for corruption and racketeering after Minor backed loans to the judges in exchange for favorable court rulings. See “Corrupt Mississippi Judges and Former-Attorney who Bribed them Head Back to Prison. Bad Lawyer, Jun. 14, 2011.

FACEBOOK’S OFFER TO THE LEADER V. FACEBOOK JUDGES: STOCK VALUE APPRECIATION IN EXCHANGE FOR A FAVORABLE RULING?

Given the way in which the Federal Circuit decisions were timed to Facebook-friendly events, like the beginning of their IPO road show, and a national Fox Business interview with Leader’s Michael McKibben, the Court-Facebook love-fest is apparent. Of course, they say its all coincidence. Yeh, right.

Promises of Facebook stock appreciation from the IPO in exchange for a favorable Leader v. Facebook ruling would be a strong motive for corruption, especially if you are arrogant...
enough to think no one can touch you. How is that different from Paul Minor loaning judges money to pay their debts? Did these Leader v. Facebook judges seriously think we would not notice?

If one is tempted to doubt how widespread judicial corruption is, read this from the U.S. Department of Justice’s website:

"Bribery is endemic to our courts, because those persons vested with authority to prosecute judicial bribery are indifferent. They fail to do their job."

OpenDOJ

WALL OF SHAME

In addition to public outrage and shaming (which is growing in popularity given the lack of self-policing in the legal community), the Senate and House Judiciary Committees, as well as other committees, like the House Committee on Government Reform, are the primary legislative checks and balances.

David Luban writes “Equality before the law, like universal suffrage, holds a privileged place in our political system, and to deny equality before the law delegitimizes that system. . . . when these rights are denied, the expectation that the afflicted parties should continue to respect the political system . . . that they should continue to treat it as a legitimate political system—has no basis.” Lawyers and Justice: An Ethical Study, 251, 264-66 n.12 (Princeton Univ. Press, 1988).

LEADER V. FACEBOOK—THE MOST EGRGEOUS EXAMPLE OF JUDICIAL CORRUPTION EVER? A VERITABLE FACEBOOK LOVE-FEST.

We have before us in Leader v. Facebook perhaps the most egregious example of judicial corruption in the history of American jurisprudence.

We have a federal district court judge Leonard P. Stark permitting Facebook to add significant new claims (too late; at least by the Rules) while denying Leader time to prepare their defenses to those new claims. We have this same Judge Stark who ignored two of his own key jury instructions, and ignored the jury’s own words to him that they made their on-sale bar decision against Leader without evidence.

This same judge effectively practiced bait-and-switch by first instructing Leader’s attorneys to answer Interrogatory No. 9 only in the 2009 present tense, but then allowed the jury to interpret it in the past tense anyway. In short, he handed Facebook attorneys the exact confusing answer they needed to confuse the jury. Did we mention that he permitted Facebook to show the jury a heavily doctored Interrogatory No. 9 (60% was blanked out) at trial and denied Leader’s request to show the un-doctored version?

We have a Federal Circuit panel of three judges (Alan D. Lourie, Kimberly A. Moore, Evan J. Wallach, along with Randall R. Rader and Clerk Jan Horbaly) who did not disqualify themselves since some of them held stock in Facebook while Facebook went public in the largest tech IPO in US history—during the Leader v. Facebook appeal. We have this same panel ignoring the English definition of “is” and legal applications of verb tense, and utterly ignoring their own tests of the evidence.

Did we mention that the Federal Circuit’s own opinion debunked all of Facebook’s evidence by the time it was all said and done. Even they could not uphold Facebook’s fabricated “evidence.”

Not to be deterred from the Facebook love-fest, did we mention that the Federal Circuit panel then fabricated whole new arguments for Facebook in secret after Facebook’s arguments fell apart?

LEADER V. FACEBOOK BACKGROUND

Jul. 23, 2013 NOTICE: DonnaKlineNow! has gone offline. All her posts are available as a PDF collection here (now updated, post-Scribd censorship).

Mar. 20, 2014 READER NOTICE: On Mar. 7, 2014, all of our documents linked to Scribd were deleted by that “cloud” service using the flimsiest of arguments . Some of our documents have been there for two years and some had a most 20,000 reads.

George Orwell wrote in 1984 that one knows one is in a totalitarian state when telling the truth becomes an act of courage.

All the links below were updated Mar. 20, 2014 (many thanks to our volunteers!)


2. Dr. Lakshmi Arunachalam’s Censored Federal Circuit Filings (Archive)

3. Brief Summary of Leader v. Facebook

4. Backgrounder

5. Fenwick & West LLP Duplicity

6. Instagram-scam

7. USPTO-reexam Sham

8. Zynga-gate
Did we mention that the panel did not give Leader a chance to challenge these new arguments?

Did we mention that the clerk’s staffer Valerie White said the judges could not have seen, much less considered the arguments in a friend of the court motion supporting Leader before it was denied by her boss, Clerk of Court Horbaly? Did we mention this same clerk is tight with Facebook’s chief appeals lawyer Thomas G. Hungar of Gibson Dunn LLP who also represents one of Facebook's largest shareholders, Microsoft?

Did we mention that the courts ignored damning new evidence that Mark Zuckerberg had withheld 28 hard drives from Leader before the trial? New testimony in another case indicates that these hard drives might prove that Zuckerberg had Leader's actual source code—that's potentially criminal and would have raised the stakes in the trial dramatically.

Did we mention that the Federal Circuit Bar Association and the Clerk of Courts collaborated to file a motion absolving the judges of their conflicts of interest, only to withdraw it before it was denied by her boss, Clerk of Court Horbaly? Did we mention this same clerk is tight with Facebook’s chief appeals lawyer Thomas G. Hungar of Gibson Dunn LLP who also represents one of Facebook's largest shareholders, Microsoft?

Did we mention that The Federal Circuit Bar Association and the Clerk of Courts collaborated to file a motion absolving the judges of their conflicts of interest, only to withdraw it secretly once their scheme was exposed? This motion was chock full of easily provable false and misleading statements.

Follow the links on the right sidebar of this article to study the facts for yourself.

Several grassroots movements are developing to press this case until justice is served. They are drafting a set of criteria for what qualities a judge to be on the Wall of Shame:

1. Their corruption is based on publicly available facts.
2. They have ignored well-settled law.
3. They have a conflict of interest that breaches the Code of Conduct for federal judges.
4. They have ignored material new evidence.
5. They have made false statements.
6. They have violated Fifth and 14th Amendment due process.

THE JUDICIAL CORRUPTION WALL OF SHAME

The following Leader v. Facebook judges qualify for the Judicial Corruption Wall of Shame. What would motivate such a diverse group of judges with “for life” appointments from marching lockstep off the ethical cliff, unless bribed or coerced by promises of big money . . . on the side of course . . . wink, wink?

GIBSON DUNN LLP exposed as one of the most corrupt law firms in America

Investigative Reporter Julia Davis investigates Facebook’s Leader v. Facebook attorney Gibson Dunn LLP. She credits this firm with the reason why not a single Wall Street banker has gone to jail since 2008. Click here to read her article “Everybody hates whistleblowers.” Examiner.com, Apr. 10, 2012. Here’s an excerpt:

“Skillful manipulation of the firm’s extensive media connections allows Gibson Dunn to promote their causes, while simultaneously smearing their opponents and silencing embarrassing news coverage.”

This statement followed right after Davis cited Facebook's chief inside counsel in the Leader v. Facebook case, Theodore Ulyot, who appears to have helped lead the Leader v. Facebook judicial corruption. Interesting word choices associated with Gibson Dunn LLP: manipulation, smear. Attorneys swear a solemn oath to act morally, ethically, and in...
Censored docket and avoided public exposure of misconduct  
Breach: Rules of Civil Procedure

Ignored English language use of verb tense  
Breach: The Dictionary Act, Carr v. US

Ignored own court orders re. interrogatories & jury instructions  
Breach: Rules of Civil Procedure


Should Facebook users voluntarily pay user license fees to Leader in a citizens' movement to do the right thing since the courts have forsaken our laws and respect for property rights?

Interesting solution.

OPEN QUESTION TO FACEBOOK USERS: VOLUNTARILY PAY LEADER A LICENSE FEE FOR YOUR USE OF THEIR TECHNOLOGY THAT FACEBOOK HAS STOLEN?

What do you say Facebook users? If someone gave you the keys to a car to use freely, then told you six months later that the car was stolen, would you keep using the car? At the very least, wouldn't you want to pay the car owner for the privilege of continued use of his car?

* * *

Comment by: Facebook user feeling guilty
Tell me where to send my license fee to Leader. If these "boy king" bastards at Facebook aren't going to do the right thing, then us users will just have to do it for them.

Reply

K. Craine January 30, 2013 at 8:28 AM
Comment by: Facebook user feeling guilty

Tell me where to send my license fee to Leader. If these "boy king" bastards at Facebook aren't going to do the right thing, then us users will just have to do it for them.

Reply

K. Craine January 30, 2013 at 12:45 PM
Comment by: Henry

Sounds like these judges were on crack. I have a close relative who is an addict. He is a pathological liar. Sounds like these judges don't know how to be truthful, they only pretend to be honest.

Reply

K. Craine January 30, 2013 at 3:42 PM
Comment by: Platypus

support of democratic principles. They promise to conduct themselves in a manner than instills confidence among the citizenry in the rule of law and the judicial system. These promises appear to be meaningless. Click here for a PDF version of Julie Davis' article.

*BOYCOTT NCAA MARCH MADNESS? COPYRIGHT-GATE
Constitutional rights advocates demand that NCAA stop its copyright infringement in social media; ask Congress to preserve Zuckerberg’s...

*LEADER V. FACEBOOK WALL OF SHAME
Judges go to jail for far less serious misconduct; Facebook users should pay Leader fees voluntarily; Its the right thing to do since Faceb...

*LEADER V. FACEBOOK JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT EXPOSES A CONSTITUTIONAL CRISIS
Leader v. Facebook judicial misconduct exposes a constitutional crisis The theft of Leader Technologies' patent by Facebook, and the eff...

*THE REAL FACEBOOK - A PORTRAIT OF CORRUPTION
Fig. 1—Mary L. Schapiro, Chairman, Securities & Exchange Commission. Schapiro was in charge while her underling chief counsel, Thoma...

*WHITE HOUSE SCANDAL SPREADS TO LEADER V. FACEBOOK
SEC counsel cleared the way for the Facebook "pump and dump" scheme in 2008? SEC counsel appears to have failed to disclose his conflict...

*MASSIVE WASHINGTON CORRUPTION EXPOSED BY LEADER V. FACEBOOK
Bi-partisan citizen group appeals to Congress to RESTORE PROPERTY CONFISCATED BY widespread federal corruption incl. interference by Nancy ...

*WAS CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS BLACKMAILED INTO SUPPORTING OBAMACARE BY HIS LEADER V. FACEBOOK MISCONDUCT?
Enlarge banner Image New, June 28, 2013: Undermined Checks & Balances Federal Circuit Clerk was protecting the judges and...

*A COCKSURE FACEBOOK
Facebook waived their right to respond to Leader Technologies' U.S. Supreme Court petition AFI Insights | Contributing Writers | AMERI...

*PATENT OFFICE REMOVES CRITICAL LEADER V. FACEBOOK DISCLOSURE ITEMS
USPTO conduct parallels the document...
I like this shame idea. I saw a CNN interview with some comedian who says he thinks that shame may be the new way to “encourage” people to do the right thing. People who just keep doing the wrong things and have no moral compass. To bad we have to use this tactic with judges. They are supposed to be smart and above this. These judges jumped in the Facebook minivan on the way to Woodstock. I need to go shower. Yuck.

Reply

K. Craine  January 30, 2013 at 8:56 PM
Comment by: Judicial Corruption

Attorneys pretend to tell the truth, and judges pretend to police them. Then they sue for libel to maintain the charade if exposed. This system of endemic corruption must be fixed. It is totally, completely and utterly out of hand.

Reply

K. Craine  January 30, 2013 at 9:25 PM
Comment by: Law Blogger

These libel lawsuits by judges should be allowed to run their course. It’s a two-edged sword for a crooked judge. He or she may be counting on an early settlement to maintain his or her ruse. However, if the allegations are true, then discovery should unearth the truth. I would love to see the phone records and meeting logs of these judges’ contacts with Facebook attorneys, not to mention their bank accounts and Homeland Security’s reports on their foreign holdings and those of their family members. We can already see that Judges Moore and Lourie (and probably others, not to mention their families) had Facebook stock during the Leader case. That alone is enough to invalidate the En Banc decision and the entire Federal Circuit opinion in this case.

Reply

K. Craine  January 31, 2013 at 6:49 AM
Comment by: AFJ Reader

According to ALLIANCE FOR JUSTICE information about Judge Evan J. Wallach:

‘From 1987-1988 he worked as General Counsel and Public Policy Advisor to Senator Harry Reid.’[1]

Harry Reid seems to have no problems with duplicity and lining one’s family’s pockets, as was reported by AFJ in a "Postscript AFI Editorial."[2]

Harry Reid’s son-in-law Steven G Barringer lobbied for a Henderson, Nev. development project where Homeland Security’s reports on their foreign holdings and those of their family members. We can already see that Judges Moore and Lourie (and probably others, not to mention their families) had Facebook stock during the Leader case. That alone is enough to invalidate the En Banc decision and the entire Federal Circuit opinion in this case.

Reply

K. Craine  February 1, 2013 at 7:31 AM
Comment by: Peanuts

It just struck me while the Federal Circuit is sick. It was the creation in 1982 by, of and for attorneys. It’s purpose seemed like a good idea at the time, namely to populate it with judges who know patents. However, what it lacked was any semblance of accountability other than to itself. That was a prescription for corruption. The founders implemented a system of checks and balances. The attorneys who framed the Federal Circuit’s charter built a self-serving entity that is now rife with corruption, lack of accountability and no checks and balances!!! Worse, many of the new appointees don’t even know patents, like Judge Evan J. Wallach on the Leader v. Facebook panel.

Our Mission

American citizens must fight abuse of the constitutional right for authors and inventors to enjoy the fruits of their inventions, as a matter of matter of basic property rights and sound public policy. Otherwise, instead of innovation, creativity, genius, ideas, vision, courage, entrepreneurship, respect, property, rejuvenation, morals, ethics, values, renewal, truth, facts, rights, privacy, solutions and Judicial faithfulness, our society and economy will be dragged down (and eventually destroyed) by copying, infringement, thievery, counterfeiting, hacking, greed, misinformation, exploitation, abuse, waste, disrespect, falsity, corruption, bribery, coercion, intimidation, doublespeak, misconduct, lies, deception, attorney “dark arts,” destruction, confusion, dishonesty, judicial chicanery and lawlessness.

If we do not speak up, impeach derelict judges and imprison corrupt attorneys, we cannot possibly hope to start fixing the current ills in our society. Without justice and respect for private property, democracy has no sure foundation.

Current Editorial Focus

This is a current Editorial Focus, please read our full series as: Link
We are an opinion blog that advocates for strong intellectual property rights. We welcome commenters and contributors. The Leader v. Facebook patent infringement case first came to our attention after learning that the trial judge, Leonard P. Stark, U.S. District Court of Delaware, ignored his jury’s admission that they had no evidence to support their on-sale bar verdict, but the judge supported it anyway.

The judicial misconduct has deteriorated from there, replete with two of the three judges on the Federal Circuit appeal panel, Judges Alan D. Lourie and Kimberly A. Moore, holding Facebook stock that they did not disclose to the litigants, and later tried to excuse through a quick motion slipped in at the last minute by the Clerk of Court, Jan Horbaly, and his close friends at The Federal Circuit Bar Association. (The DC Bar subsequently revealed that Mr. Horbaly is not licensed to practice law in Washington D.C.)

The judges ignored shocking new evidence that Mark Zuckerberg withheld 28 hard drives of 2003-2004 evidence from Leader Technologies that could prove actual theft (and therefore claims even more serious than infringement). In addition, Facebook’s appeal attorney, Thomas G. Hungar of Gibson Dunn LLP, has close personal ties to just about every judicial player in this story. The misconduct appears to reach into the U.S. Patent Office through abuse of the reexamination process by Facebook. We will stay focused on Leader v. Facebook until justice is served, but we also welcome news and analysis of intellectual property abuse in other cases as well.

WELCOME TO DONNA KLINE NOW! READERS!

AFI has been supporting Donna and is now picking up the main Leader v. Facebook coverage (she will continue coverage as well).

Anonymous Posts Are Welcomed! Blogger has more posting constraints than Donna’s WordPress, but we will continue to welcome anonymous posts. Simply send us an email at ame4innov@gmail.com with your post. Once the moderator verifies that your email address is real, your comment will be posted using your real name or handle, whatever you wish, like John Smith or Tex.

Click here to view a complete Donna Kline Now! posts archive.
They had an open phone line, that night, for callers to call in and give their stories of any legal problems or corruption that they had either encountered, or to complain about lawyers, judges, and the like. Anyhow, I started to repost my thoughts about our case. I wanted to tell them about Facebook and how they corrupted the judicial process (apparently Facebook doesn’t like truths being spread about them and their true origins). I was promptly greeted with a spam warning, threatening to block me or shut my Facebook account down if I didn’t acknowledge or agree to stop. Fearing a snooping Trojan, I quickly logged out without acknowledging anything.

As I am sure many on this site are aware, Facebook is obviously trolling their users’ pages and other sites for info or key words. These are nefarious, dirty people and are always looking over their shoulders!

Stay the course everyone!

K. Craine February 5, 2013 at 11:13 AM

Comment by: Platypus

This reminds me of the censors in China? How is it different?

K. Craine February 7, 2013 at 5:47 AM

Comment by: Bryn T

Me too! I was talking with Lawless America on Facebook too, then all of a sudden a screen popped up that I have NEVER seen before and I have been using it since high school telling me it was going to kick me off if I did not stop SPAMMING! I have never spammed in my life. THIS SOUNDS LIKE BIG BROTHER. My parents tell me this is the kind of censorship you see in a dictatorship. Very creepy. Who are these people running Facebook, a bunch of friggin NAZIS???

K. Craine February 7, 2013 at 7:24 AM

Comment by: Conflicts Checker

THEODORE W. ULLIYOT, Facebook’s General Legal Counsel, who oversaw the Leader v. Facebook judicial scandal, sorry, patent infringement case, appears to be dumping his stock:

Here’s the SEC Insider Trading Report for Theodore Warren Ullyot:


Let’s see, if this judicial misconduct was motivated by Facebook’s law firms, directed by Mr. Ullyot, do you think the fingers might point to him? However, we all know he is only a puppet for the board of directors led by James W. Breyer, Yuri Milner (DST Moscow) and Sheryl Sandberg... the last two being bailout director Larry Summers’ understudies. Hmrmrmrmrm.
COVER-UP AT THE US PATENT OFFICE: AFI has just received a copy of a recent Freedom of Information response just issued by the Patent Office in the growing judicial corruption scandal. As you can see for yourself, the USPTO literally covered up all disclosure of information regarding their order of a 3rd reexamination of Leader Technologies' U.S. Patent No. 7,139,761.

As a refresher, Facebook lost these arguments at trial. Then, they have lost them again, twice, during two earlier re-examinations. Not even the Patent Examiner Deandra M. Hughes approves of this 3rd reexam order.

The scent of foul play is strong. This document can be obtained in two places:

GOOGLE-DRIVE:
https://docs.google.com/file/d/0B2SfG2nEsMfqSkJNR1RycUtWZk/edit?usp=sharing

SCRIBD:
http://www.scribd.com/doc/124428891/Patent-Office-Cover-up-in-Leader-v-Facebook-Feb-7-2013

We'll write a post about this after we've had time to consider the implications. Clearly, the scandal hole gets deeper. As we have suspected all along it would. One cannot be this bad, for this long, without eventually getting caught.

This kind of cover-up is precisely what occurs when a government agency believes itself to be no longer accountable to the citizens who fund their very existence. Congratulations to whoever dug this up. Now we're getting somewhere. Everyone on this blog should use this material and request their own Congressional Inquiries from their Congresspersons and Freedom of Information Act requests. Suggest you ask to see all communications among every person identified on those USPTO messages and anyone on the list of Facebook cronies.

Each of you should ask them each to disclose whether they hold Facebook stock either directly or through an investment vehicle like a mutual fund, IRA, Keogh, etc. Be sure to personalize your request with unique requests so that the USPTO cannot send a form letter response, like they did the last time.

Make noise folks. It works. Bad guys don’t like the light of day.

Just so everyone is clear, USPTO matters are overseen by federal judges too, they’re called “Administrative Patent Judges.”

In the Leader v. Facebook 3rd examination, they are:

Judge Allen R. MacDonald
Judge Stephen C. Siu
Judge Meredith C. Petravick

These judges are also bound by the Code of Conduct for United States Judges.

They should be asked to disclose whether they hold Facebook stock, or whether any of their family members own stock. If they do, even a small amount, they are bound by the Code of Conduct to disqualify themselves.

UPDATE ON USPTO COVER-UP IN LEADER V. FACEBOOK:

discovery and blocked Leader from preparing its defenses to this new claim. Judge Stark allowed the claims despite Leader’s prophetic argument that the action would confuse the jury and prejudice Leader. (Read Leader's May 20, 2010 motion here.) He also permitted the jury to ignore the Pfaff v. Wells Electronics, Inc. test for on-sale bar, even after instructing the jury to use it. (See that Jury Instruction No. 4.7 here.) He also contradicted his own instruction to Leader to answer Interrogatory No. 9 in the present tense (2009), then permitted the jury to interpret it as a 2002 admission as well. See his Sep. 14, 2009 Order. Facebook’s entire on-sale bar case is based upon this interrogatory. (Editorial: Hardly sufficient to meet the “heavy burden” of the clear and convincing evidence standard.)

Judge Alan D. Lourie, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, panel judge in Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc., 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Judge Lourie stood to benefit financially from undisclosed holdings in Facebook.

See analysis of Judge Lourie’s T. Rowe Price holdings re. the Facebook IPO. Judge Lourie also failed to apply his own law-test in Group One v. Hallmark Cards to the evidence. After debunking all of Facebook’s evidence on appeal, Judge Lourie created new argument in the secrecy of chambers to support Facebook and prevent the on-sale bar verdict from being overturned—a clear breach of constitutional due process.

Judge Kimberly A. Moore, U.S.
We updated the USPTO Cover-up Documents with an update that includes a new request for a new inquiry as a result of the covered-up contents. The Scribd link is automatically updated, here is the updated Google link:

https://docs.google.com/file/d/0B2SfG2nEsMfqUFVNZDjxQjpyZH/edit?usp=sharing

Reply

K. Craine   February 8, 2013 at 3:19 PM
Comment by: Sleuth Dog

We need people who know these individuals and their families to tell us which people have experienced "SUDDEN UNEXPLAINED WEALTH" or "SUDDEN UNEXPLAINED OPPORTUNITY."

Such symptoms ALWAYS tag along, side by side, with corruption.

Reply

K. Craine   February 9, 2013 at 7:03 AM
Comment by: Light Reader

I was just reading the COMMUNIST MANIFESTO today written by Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels in 1848. Ran across these Communist objectives:

1. Abolition of property
2. Heavy progressive graduated income tax
   ...
4. Centralization of credit... by means of a national bank
5. Centralization of the means of communication
   ...
6. Free education for all children

Wow, do these planks sound contemporary? Read for yourself a summary in Wikipedia at:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Communist_Manifesto

Reply

K. Craine   February 9, 2013 at 7:15 AM
Comment by: Well Duhhhh

Leader v. Facebook is exposing:

1. Abolition of property - denying property rights to the holder of a valid U.S. Patent - rights guaranteed in Article I Section 8 of the US Constitution.

4. Centralization of credit - Facebook Credits (oh wait, they claim the aren't doing this anymore, yeh right).

5. Centralization of communications - Facebook and their scandal-ridden theft of Leader Technologies inventions; ripping off user privacy and shipping it to China, Russia and India.

   ... three out of your six Light Reader.

The other three are being pushed actively by the current administration, it appears to me. Of course, there is nothing inherently wrong with free education, but as the Soviet Union learned, it works for a while as a means of political indoctrination, until it falls apart from within due to its moral bankruptcy.

Looks like those die-hard communists are back in the saddle, now in business suits, allied with the Thieving Gangs in Silicon Valley - who sold their immortal souls to the highest borsch-breath bidders.

Reply

K. Craine   February 9, 2013 at 7:35 AM
Comment by: snoop dog

All roads lead back to Lawrence Summers, Sheryl Sandberg and Yuri Milner when Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, panel judge in Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc., 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Judge Moore stood to benefit financially from undisclosed holdings in Facebook. See disclosure of substantial holdings in Facebook and Facebook-related stocks. Judge Moore failed to follow the long-held precedent for testing on-sale bar evidence in Pfaff v. Wells Electronics, Inc.—an evident and intentional omission coming from a former patent law professor. After debunking all of Facebook's evidence on appeal, Judge Moore created new argument in the secrecy of chambers to support Facebook and prevent the on-sale bar verdict from being overturned — a clear breach of constitutional due process.

Judge Evan J. Wallach, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, member of the three-judge panel in Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc., 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Judge Wallach is not a patent attorney. This begs the question as to why a judge with no knowledge of patent law was assigned to the case. Would anyone ask a dentist to perform brain surgery? The Federal Circuit was specially formed to appoint patent-knowledgeable judges to patent cases. There is no evidence so far in the judicial disclosures that Judge Wallach holds stock in Facebook, although when he was asked on a motion to disclose potential Facebook holdings and other conflicts of interest, he refused along with the other judges. See Motion to Disclose Conflicts of Interest. Judge Wallach continued in silence even after Clerk of Court Horbaly failed to provide him with Dr. Lakshmi Arunachalam's motions (according to his Federal Circuit staffer Valeri White), and yet the Clerk signed an order regarding that motion on Judge Wallach's behalf. See a full analysis of these events at Donna Kline Now! Judge Wallach also failed to police his court's violation of Leader's Fifth and 14th Amendment constitutional right to due process when he participated in...
Summers was Chief Economist for the World Bank in the early 1990’s.

Curiously,

Summers was President of Harvard when Zuckerberg got his start in 2003-2004. Explains how the 19-yr. old received more press coverage than all other world figures except Clinton and Bush in THE HARVARD CRIMSON. They’re layin’ down the spin, dude.

Summers proposed the failed economic transition policy in the USSR that gave birth to the current oligarch robber barons in Russia.

Summers is teamed with one of the Russian oligarchs through his protege Yuri Milner, second largest investor in Facebook.

Summers is teamed with Facebook through his protege Sheryl Sandberg, Chief Operating Officer at Facebook and Zuckerberg’s puppetmaster.

Summers friends at Goldman Sachs put an executive in London before the 2008 bailout with a big basket to catch theirs and Morgan Stanley’s $32 billion windfall from the bailout. That executive now works for Yuri Milner in Dubai.

Summers arranged to have himself appointed as ‘special adviser’ to Marc Andreessen just weeks before the scandalous $1 billion purchase of Instagram by Facebook right before the IPO. Milner’s and Sandberg’s payoff! Their “thank you” for the years of tutelage in how to organize global corruption?

Milner was associated in the early 2000’s with Bank Menatep that was caught laundering over $10 billion in mob money and diverting over $4 billion in IMF funds (probably World Bank funds too, according to some reports).

The list of kooky-dinks is much longer, these are only highlights

Reply

K. Craine February 11, 2013 at 7:57 AM

Dear AFI Readers:

AFI has received multiple reports this weekend of Facebook censorship of posts in Facebook private chats and even friend-ing of people who are attempting to publicize and follow the growing Leader v. Facebook judicial corruption scandal.

Facebook censorship has stopped PRIVATE conversations that were critical of Facebook, in several reports. In another, Facebook blocked friend requests between Facebook users and their U.S. Congressman, Senators and judicial reform advocacy groups like Lawless America.

It has been suggested that those experiencing First Amendment Free Speech violations should report them to federal and state (1) Departments of Commerce, (2) Inspectors General, and (3) your members of Congress.

U.S. Dept. of Commerce: http://www.commerce.gov/


Go to your State’s Department of Commerce and Inspectors General Websites to get the contact information in your State.

U.S. Congress: http://www.contactingthecongress.org/

Reply

kissablekaren February 11, 2013 at 10:57 AM

LET’S ALL SUE FACEBOOK FOR VIOLATION OF OUR CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS AND FREEDOM OF SPEECH!

Reply

K. Craine February 14, 2013 at 6:54 AM

Comment by: Kissablechas

Hey Kissablekaren,
Facebook has the federal courts on their payroll. The better way is to boycott their advertisers and hit them where it hurts: their pocketbooks. Without advertisers giving them money, their revenue will dry up. 8-O

Reply

FACEBOOK INSIDERS ARE DUMPING STOCK at a feverish pace. Check out the latest Form 4 required disclosures at the SEC:


What's the hurry boys and girls?

Reply

for the misconduct of his judges and Clerk of Court in Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc., 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Judge Rader failed to manage his court resulting in a likely situation where his judges never even received briefs that they allegedly ruled on in favor of Facebook. Judge Rader also failed to disclose his conflicting relationships with a Leader principle with whom he may have had deep professional differences during his time at the Senate Judiciary Committee—his former professor of law at George Washington University Law Center, former Leader director Professor James P. Chandler. See analysis of Judge Rader's undisclosed conflicts of interest in Leader v. Facebook. Judge Rader also did not stop his judges from creating new arguments and evidence for Facebook in the secrecy of chambers—after they had debunked all of Facebook's evidence on appeal, which is a clear breach of constitutional due process.

Click here to view a Federal Circuit Leader v. Facebook Conflicts of Interest Map.


Leader v. Facebook Legal Research Links

NOTICE: Opinion

This is an opinion blog. Any information contained or linked herein should be independently verified and should be considered the sole opinion of the writer. Free Speech and Freedom of the Press are protected by the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution and other local, state, national and international laws. Therefore, as with all opinion, such opinion should not be relied upon without independent verification.

This site is a not-for-profit effort focused on education, news, investigation of issues in the public interest, and research, and relies on fair use copyright exemptions under 17 U.S.C. 106(a)-117 of the United States Copyright Act, in addition to any and all other related and relevant privileges to which a fair and reasonable person would attribute to this grassroots effort to root out corruption and promote justice. No rights whatsoever to third party content are claimed or implied.
Here is the cast of characters in Leader v. Facebook. We encourage you to report their corrupt activities to this site and others, like Lawless America. Feel free to communicate anonymously in any way in which you are most comfortable. The attempt of these people and their organizations to corrupt American justice and commerce cannot be tolerated. Vigilance. We will expose them. See Congressional Briefings (currently being updated after Scribd censored the documents on Fri. Mar. 7, 2014).

A. Facebook’s law firms:

1. Fenwick & West LLP (Facebook securities and patent law firm; former Leader Technologies counsel; attempted an appearance in Leader v. Facebook; did not seek conflicts waiver from Leader prior to...
2. Cooley Godward LLP (Facebook law firm in Leader v. Facebook; McBe energy stimulus partner; Obama Justice Dept. advisor; former employer to patent judges)

3. Blank & Rome LLP (Facebook law firm in Leader v. Facebook; former employer to patent judges)

4. White & Case LLP (Facebook law firm in Leader v. Facebook; undisclosed former employer to Patent Office Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) officer involved in Leader v. Facebook)

5. Gibson Dunn LLP (Facebook law firm in Leader v. Facebook; undisclosed counsel to the Federal Circuit; undisclosed protegé of Chief Justice John Roberts, Jr.; undisclosed former employer to Preetinder (“Preet”) Bharara, U.S. Attorney currently persecuting Paul Ceglia in U.S. v. Ceglia (Ceglia v. Zuckerberg))

6. Orrick Herrington LLP (longtime Facebook law firm and destroyer of evidence for the cabal in Winklevoss v. Zuckerberg and ConnectU v. Facebook)

7. Weil Gotshal LLP (Federal Circuit counsel in Leader v. Facebook; Judge Kimberly A. Moore’s undisclosed former client)

8. Latham & Watkins LLP (Facebook Director James W. Breyer’s counsel; Judge Kimberly A. Moore’s husband, Matthew J. Moore’s new law firm)

9. Federal Circuit Bar Association (“FCBA”) (Federal Circuit’s bar association; second largest in the U.S.; Facebook’s law firms exert much influence in its policy and activity, incl. Fenwick & West LLP, Gibson Dunn LLP, Orrick Herrington LLP, Weil Gotshal LLP; Facebook’s large shareholder, Microsoft, is a director; Federal Circuit Clerk of Court Jan Horbaly is an officer; FCBA made an appearance in Leader v. Facebook to oppose the amicus curiae (friend of the court) motion of Dr. Lakshmi Arunachalam, former Director of Network Architecture at Sun Microsystems, in favor of Leader Technologies and objecting to the evident conflicts of interest within the court itself, her motion was denied, the judges refused to disclose their conflicts which we now know include Facebook and Microsoft stocks)

10. DC Bar Association

11. Perkins Coie LLP (Facebook’s “rapid response enforcement team;” law firm for Obama’s chief counsels, the husband and wife team of Robert F. Bauer and Anita B. Dunn; Bauer was identified on Aug. 1, 2013 as having directed the IRS targeting of the Tea Party)

12. Stroz Friedberg (Facebook’s “forensic expert” who manipulated the data in Paul
Ceglia v. Mark Zuckerberg, and who first revealed the existence of 28 Zuckerberg hard drives and Harvard emails that they told Leader Technologies in 2009 were "lost")

**B. Facebook attorneys & cooperating judges:**

13. Gordon K. Davidson (Fenwick; Facebook’s securities and patent attorney; Leader Technologies’ former attorney)

14. Christopher P. King (aka Christopher-Charles King aka Christopher King aka Christopher-Charles P. King, Fenwick & West LLP)

15. Theodore B. Olson (Gibson Dunn)

16. Thomas G. Hungar (Gibson Dunn)

17. Eric H. Holder, Jr. (Attorney General, U.S. Dept. of Justice)

18. James Cole (Deputy Attorney General, U.S. Dept. of Justice)

19. Tony West (Associate Attorney General, U.S. Dept. of Justice; 2008 Obama California Campaign Manager)

20. Robert F. Bauer (Obama Attorney; White House Chief Counsel; directed IRS targeting of the Tea Party; formerly and currently employed by Perkins Coie LLP, Facebook’s “rapid response enforcement team”)

21. Anita B. Dunn (Obama Attorney; White House Chief Counsel; husband Robert F. Bauer directed IRS targeting of the Tea Party, formerly employed by Perkins Coie LLP, Facebook’s “rapid response enforcement team”)

22. Mary L. Schapiro (former Chairman, Securities & Exchange Commission (S.E.C.); holds investments in 51 Facebook Club basket funds)

23. James "Jamie" Brigagliano (former Deputy Director of the Division of Trading and Markets at the Securities and Exchange Commission; Mary L. Schapiro’s chief lieutenant on “dark pool” rule making)

24. Joseph P. Cutler (Perkins Coie)

25. David P. Chiappetta (Perkins Coie)

26. James R. McCullagh (Perkins Coie)

27. Ramsey M. Al-Salam (Perkins Coie)

28. Grant E. Kinsel (Perkins Coie)

29. Reeve T. Bull (Gibson Dunn)

30. Heidi Keefe (Cooley)

31. Michael G. Rhodes (Cooley; Tesla Motors)

32. Elizabeth Stameshkin (Cooley)

33. Donald K. Stern (Cooley)
34. Mark R. Weinstein (Cooley)
35. Jeffrey Norberg (Cooley)
36. Ronald Lemieux (Cooley)
37. Craig W. Clark (Blank Rome)
38. Tom Amis (Cooley / McBee Strategic)
39. Erich Veitenheimer (Cooley / McBee Strategic)
40. Roel Campos (Cooley; former Commissioner of the U.S. Securities & Exchange Commission at the time of the infamous Facebook 12(g) exemption)
41. Lisa T. Simpson (Orrick)
42. Indra Neel Chatterjee (Orrick)
43. Samuel O'Rourke (Facebook; Cooley-directed)
44. Theodore W. Ullyot (Facebook; Cooley-directed)
45. Amber H. Rover, aka Amber L. Hagy aka Amber Hatfield (Weil Gotshal LLP; Judge Kimberly A. Moore's former client)
46. Edward R. Reines (Weil Gotshal)
47. Trish Harris (DC Bar Association)
48. Elizabeth A. Herman (DC Bar Association)
49. Elizabeth J. Branda (DC Bar Association)
50. David J. Kappos (former Patent Office Director; former IBM chief intellectual property counsel; ordered unprecedented 3rd reexam of Leader Technologies' patent; Obama political appointee)
51. Preetinder ("Preet") Bharara (U.S. Attorney Ceglia v. Zuckerberg; formerly of Gibson & Dunn LLP; protects Zuckerberg)
52. Thomas J. Kim (SEC Chief Counsel)
53. Anne Krauskopf (SEC Special Sr. Counsel)
54. John G. Roberts, Jr. (Chief Justice, U.S. Supreme Court)
55. Jan Horbaly (Federal Circuit, Clerk of Court)
56. Kimberly A. Moore (Judge, Federal Circuit)
57. Matthew J. Moore (Latham & Watkins LLP; husband of Judge Kimberly A. Moore)
58. Kathryn "Kathy" Ruemmler (Latham & Watkins LLP; White House counsel)
59. Evan J. Wallach (Judge, Federal Circuit)
60. Alan D. Lourie (Judge, Federal Circuit)
61. Randall R. Rader (Chief Judge, Federal Circuit)
62. Terence P. Stewart (Federal Circuit Bar Association)
63. Leonard P. Stark (Judge, Delaware U.S. District Court)
64. Richard J. Arcara (Judge, N.Y.)
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65. Allen R. MacDonald
(Administrative Judge, U.S. Patent Office)


67. Meredith C. Petrack
(Administrative Judge, U.S. Patent Office)

68. James T. Moore (Administrative Judge, U.S. Patent Office)

69. Pinchus M. Laufer (Sr. Counsel, Patent Trial and Appeal Board, PTAB)

70. Kimberley Jordan (Counsel, Patent Trial and Appeal Board, PTAB)

71. Daniel J. Ryman (Counsel, Patent Trial and Appeal Board, PTAB)

72. William J. Stoffel (Counsel, Patent Trial and Appeal Board, PTAB)

73. James C. Payne (Counsel, Patent Trial and Appeal Board, PTAB)

74. Deandra M. Hughes
(Examiner, Leader v. Facebook reexamination)

75. Kathryn Walsh Siehndel
(FOIA Counsel, U.S. Patent Office - bio and conflicts log concealed)

76. Dennis C. Blair (Director, U.S. National Intelligence)

77. Dennis F. Saylor, IV (Judge, Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court, FISA)

78. James E. Boasberg (Judge, Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court, FISA)

79. James P. Chandler, III

C. Facebook puppet masters:

80. President Barack Obama
(appointed Leonard P. Stark to the judge's seat in Delaware Federal District Court eight days after Stark's court allowed Facebook to get away with jury and court manipulation of an on-sale bar verdict which was attained without a single piece of hard evidence; Barack and Michelle Obama were evidently protecting their 47 million "likes" on Facebook)

81. Lawrence "Larry" Summers
(Harvard President who aided...
Zuckerberg's light-speed rise to prominence with unprecedented Harvard Crimson coverage; Obama bailout chief; Clinton Treasury Secretary; World Bank Chief Economist; "Special Advisor" to Marc Andreessen in Instagram; co-creator of the current Russian robber baron economy; close 20-year relationships with protégés Sheryl Sandberg & Yuri Milner; aided in recommendations that created the Russian robber baron economy—and Yuri Milner/DST/Asmanov's money used to purchase Facebook stock)

82. James W. Breyer, Accel Partners LLP; Facebook director; client of Fenwick & West LLP since the 1990's; apparently received technology from other Fenwick clients that was shuffled to Zuckerberg, incl. Leader Technologies' inventions)

83. David Plouffe; directed Obama's 2008 and 2012 campaigns; a self-described "statistics nerd;" likely directed the activities of the Facebook Club; employed Robert F. Bauer, Perkins Coii LLP in 2000 at the Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee

84. McBee Strategic (one of the main "private" arms responsible for doling out the billions in Obama "green energy" stimulus funds; partnered with Cooley Godward LLP)

85. Mike Sheehy (Cooley-McBee Strategic principal; former National Security Adviser to House Speaker Nancy Pelosi)

86. Nancy Pelosi (U.S. Congresswoman; appears to be running political cover in the House for Facebook, McBee Strategic, Cooley Godward, Fenwick & West, Breyers, etc.)

87. Harry Reid (U.S. Senator; Judge Evan J. Wallach patron)

88. Thomas J. Kim (SEC, Chief Counsel & Assoc. Director) approved Facebook's 500-shareholder exemption on Oct. 14, 2007, one day after it was submitted by Fenwick & West LLP; Facebook used this exemption to sell $3 billion insider stock to the Russians Alisher Asmanov, Yuri Milner, DST, Digital Sky, Mail.ru which pumped Facebook's pre-IPO valuation to $100 billion; another Harvard grad, Kim worked at Latham & Watkins LLP which was the chief lobbyist for the National Venture Capital Association in 2002-2004 whose Chairman was... James W. Breyer, Accel Partners LLP; in other words Breyer and Kim, both Harvard grads, were associated at the time of the Zuckerberg hacking and theft of Leader Technologies' software code)

89. Ping Li (Accel Partners, Zuckerberg handler)

90. Jim Swartz (Accel Partners; Zuckerberg handler)
91. Sheryl K. Sandberg (Facebook, Summers protégé; Facebook director)
92. Yuri Milner (DST aka Digital Sky, Summers protégé; former Bank Menatep executive; Facebook director)
93. Alisher Asmanov (DST aka Digital Sky; Goldman Sachs Moscow partner; Russian oligarch; Friend of the Kremlin; Became the Richest Man in Russia after the Facebook IPO)
94. Marc L. Andreessen (Zuckerberg coach; client of Fenwick & West LLP and Christopher P. King aka Christopher-Charles King aka Christopher King aka Christopher-Charles P. King; Summers' sponsor during Instagram-scam; Facebook director)
95. Peter Thiel (19-year old Zuckerberg coach; Pay Pal; Facebook director; CEO, Clarion Capital)
96. Clarion Capital (Peter Thiel)
97. Reid G. Hoffman (19-year old Zuckerberg coach; Pay Pal; LinkedIn; Facebook director)
98. Richard Wolpert (Accel Partners)
99. Robert Ketterson (Fidelity Ventures; Fidelity Equity Partners; Fidelity Ventures Telecommunications & Technology)
100. David Kilpatrick (Business Insider, "The Facebook Effect"; PR cleanse-meister re. Facebook origins)
101. Zynga/Groupon/LinkedIn/Square/Instagram ("Facebook Money/Credits/Bitcoin" feeder companies)
102. Tesla Motors (received $455 million in Obama stimulus funds and hired Cooley’s Michael Rhodes in the seven months before the Leader v. Facebook trial, just before veteran Judge Joseph Farnan made the surprise announcement of his retirement, just six days after Facebook’s disastrous Markman Hearing)
103. Solyndra (received $535 million in Obama stimulus at the recommendation of the Cooley-McBee Strategic “consulting” alliance)
104. BrightSource (received $1.6 billion in Obama stimulus at the recommendation of the Cooley-McBee Strategic “consulting” alliance)
105. John P. Breyer (father of James W. Breyer; founder of IDG Capital Partners - China; coached his son on exploiting Western markets while he quietly built a venture capital business in China for the last 20 years; the real brain behind the Breyer exploitations)
106. IDG Capital Partners (China) (founded by John P. Breyer, the father of James W. Breyer, Accel Partners; the current launderer
of the tens of billions James W has fleeced from the U.S. market from the bailout, stimulus and the "pump & dump" Facebook IPO schemes)

107. Goldman Sachs (received US bailout funds; then invested with DST in Facebook private stock via Moscow; took Facebook public; locked out American investors from investing)

108. Morgan Stanley (received US bailout funds; took Facebook public; probably participated in oversees purchases of Facebook private stock before IPO)

109. State Street Corporation (received U.S. taxpayer bailout monies along with Goldman Sachs and Morgan Stanley; consolidating control of ATM banking networks internationally)

110. JP Morgan Chase (received U.S. taxpayer bailout monies along with Goldman Sachs, Morgan Stanley and State Street Corporation)

111. Lloyd Blankfein (Goldman Sachs, CEO)

112. Jamie Dimon (JP Morgan Chase, CEO)

113. Steve Cutler (JP Morgan Chase, General Counsel)

114. Rodgin Cohen (JP Morgan Chase, Outside Counsel; Sullivan Cromwell, LLP)

115. U.S. Securities & Exchange Commission (granted Fenwick & West's application on behalf of Facebook for an unprecedented exemption to the 500 shareholder rule; opened the floodgates for Goldman Sachs and Morgan Stanley to make a private market in Facebook pre-IPO insider stock; facilitated the influx of billions of dollars from "dubious" sources associated with Russian oligarchs, Alisher Asmanov and Yuri Milner, and the Kremlin; Goldman Sachs is a partner with this Moscow company, Digital Sky Technologies, aka DST, aka Mail.ru)

116. Jeff Markey (McBee Strategic LLC; allied with Facebook's Cooley Godward Kronish LLP to arrange Obama's green energy funding; arranged $1.6 billion for failed BrightSource and $535 million for failed Solyndra)

117. Steve McBee (McBee Strategic LLC; allied with Facebook's Cooley Godward Kronish LLP to arrange Obama's green energy funding; arranged $1.6 billion for failed BrightSource and $535 million for failed Solyndra)

118. Michael F. McGowan (Stroz Friedberg; Facebook forensic expert who lied about his knowledge of the contents of the 28 Zuckerberg hard drives and Harvard Email accounts)

119. Bryan J. Rose (Stroz Friedberg; Facebook forensic expert who lied about his knowledge of the contents of the 28 Zuckerberg
hard drives and Harvard Email accounts)

120. Dr. Saul Greenberg (Facebook's expert witness from the University of Calgary; disingenuously waived his hands and said he would be "wild guessing" about the purpose of a Java "sessionstate" import statement (even Java newbies know it is used for tracking a user while in a web session); in short, Dr. Greeberg lied to the jury, thus discrediting his testimony)

121. Toni Townes-Whitely (CGI Federal; Michelle Obama's 1985 Princeton classmate; CGI "donated" $47 million to the Obama campaign; CGI won the no-bid contract to build the www.healthcare.gov Obamacare website; CGI shut off the security features on Obama's reelection donation sites to increase donations)

122. CGI Federal (US division of a Canadian company; Donated $47 million to Obama's reelection, then received the no-bid contract to build the ill-fated Obamacare website; Michelle Obama's Princeton classmate, Toni Townes-Whitely, is a Senior Vice President of CGI; the website is replete with social features and links to Facebook)

123. Kathleen Sebelius (Obama's Secretary of Health & Human Services since 2009 responsible for $678 million Obamacare implementation; made the decision to hire CGI Federal on a no-bid contract despite the evident conflict of interest with Michelle Obama and $47 million in Obama campaign donations by CGI; the website is replete with social features and links to Facebook)

124. Todd Y. Park (White House Chief Technology Officer (CTO); former CTO for Health & Human Services; chief architect of HealthCare.gov; founder, director, CEO, Athenahealth, Inc.; founder, director, CEO, Castlight Health, Inc.)

125. Frank M. Sands, Sr. / Frank M. Sands, Jr. (Founder and CEO, respectively, of Sands Capital Management LLC; failed to file S.E.C. Form SC 13G acquisition reports for Athenahealth, Inc., Baidu, Inc. (ADR) and Facebook stock during 2012; masked the association of Todd Y. Park with Athenahealth, Inc. and Baidu, Inc., and the association of both of those companies with the Facebook IPO fraud)

126. Robin "Handsome Reward" Yangong Li (CEO, Baidu, Inc. (ADR); appointed Jan. 2004, the same month that Mark Zuckerberg obtained Leader Technologies' social networking source code to start Facebook; Robin Y. Li is very likely associated with John P. and James W. Breyer through their Chinese entities, including IDG
Capital Partners, IDG-Accel and other variants; Li appointed a junior attorney from Fenwick & West LLP, Palo Alto/Mountain View, namely Parker Zhang, to be his "Head of Patents;" Fenwick & West LLP represented both Leader Technologies, Inc. and Accel Partners LLC in 2002-2003 and had Leader's source code in their files.)

127. Parker Zhang ("Head of Patents" at Baidu, Inc. (ADR), appointed in approx. May 2012; formerly a junior Associate attorney at Fenwick & West LLP; graduate from Michigan Law in 2005)

128. Penny S. Pritzker (Secretary, Department of Commerce; replaced Rebecca M. Blank; holds over $24 million in Facebook "dark pools" stock, most notably in Goldman Sachs, Morgan Stanley and JPMorgan)

129. Rebecca M. Blank (Secretary, Department of Commerce; oversaw the dubious Leader v. Facebook activities of the Patent Office Director, David J. Kappos, who held over one million dollars in Facebook "dark pools" during the Leader v. Facebook proceedings; Kappos purchased this stock within weeks of his surprise recess appointment by President Obama; Kappos also was formerly employed by IBM, who sold Facebook 750 patents during the Leader v. Facebook proceedings; right before leaving the Patent Office, Kappos also ordered an unprecedented 3rd reexamination of Leader's patent without even identifying claims)

130. Mary L. Schapiro (Chairman, Securities & Exchange Commission; holds 51 Facebook "dark pools" stocks which held stock in Facebook, Baidu and more than a dozen Facebook crony companies; failed to regulate the "dark pools;" failed to disclose her substantial conflict of interest in regulating the run up to the Facebook IPO)

131. Robert C. Hancock (Chief Compliance Officer, Sands Capital Management, LLC; failed to file S.E.C. Form SC 12G notice of acquisition reports for Athenahealth, Baidu and Facebook during the period of the Facebook IPO in 2012; this conduct masked the conflicts of interest of Todd Y. Park, who was appointed by President Obama to be the U.S. Chief Technology Officer during this same period; Todd Y. Park is/has been founder, director and CEO of both Athenahealth and Castlight Health; Todd Y. Park deeply embedded the software from Athenahealth and Castlight Health into HealthCare.gov when he was CTO at Health & Human Services; none of these conflicts of interest were disclosed; Todd Y. Park's ethics pledges and reports are missing from the Office of Government Ethics)

132. Jonathan Goodman (Chief
Counsel, Sands Capital Management, LLC; failed to file S.E.C. Form SC 13G notice of acquisition reports for Athenahealth, Baidu and Facebook during the period of the Facebook IPO in 2012; this conduct masked the conflicts of interest of Todd Y. Park, who was appointed by President Obama to be the U.S. Chief Technology Officer during this same period; Todd Y. Park is/has been founder, director and CEO of both Athenahealth and Castlight Health; Todd Y. Park deeply embedded the software from Athenahealth and Castlight Health into HealthCare.gov when he was CTO at Health & Human Services; none of these conflicts of interest were disclosed; Todd Y. Park's ethics pledges and reports are missing from the Office of Government Ethics; Goodman was formerly employed by Gibson Dunn LLP, Facebook appeals counsel in Leader v. Facebook)

133. Trip Adler ("Co-Founder" of Scribd; Harvard contemporaries of Mark Zuckerberg with a dubious origins story, like Zuckerberg's; Scribd held AFI documents for two years, then summarily deleted the entire library without warning on Fri. Mar. 7, 2014; AFI's library contained only public documents and much evidence proving the Leader v. Facebook judicial corruption)

134. Jared Friedman ("Co-Founder" of Scribd; Harvard contemporaries of Mark Zuckerberg with a dubious origins story, like Zuckerberg's; Scribd held AFI documents for two years, then summarily deleted the entire library without warning on Fri. Mar. 7, 2014; AFI's library contained only public documents and much evidence proving the Leader v. Facebook judicial corruption)

D. Facebook boy-puppets:

135. Mark E. Zuckerberg
136. Chris Hughes
137. Dustin Moskovitz
138. Eduardo Saverin
139. Matthew R. Cohler
140. Elon Musk

E. Corruption Watch—Patent Office Judges:

141. Anderson, Gregg
142. Best, George
143. Bonilla, Jackie W.
144. Boucher, Patrick
145. Braden, Georgianna W.
146. Branch, Gene
147. Bisk, Jennifer Bresson
148. Bu, Hung H.
149. Busch, Justin
150. Clements, Matt
151. Crumbley, Kit
152. Droesch, Kristen
153. Elluru, Rama
154. Fitzpatrick, Michael
155. Gerstenblith, Bart A.
156. Giannetti, Thomas L.
157. Guest, Rae Lynn
158. Hastings, Karen M.
159. Hoff, Marc
160. Horner, Linda
161. Hughes, James R.
162. Hume, Larry
163. James, Housel
164. Jung, Hung J.
165. Kamholz, Scott
166. Katz, Deborah
167. Lucas, Jay
168. MacDonald, Allen R. (bio unavailable) – Leader 3rd reexam judge (bio and conflicts log concealed by FOIA)
169. Mahaney, Alexandra
170. Martin, Brett
171. McKone, Dave
172. McNamara, Brian
173. Medley, Sally
174. Moore, Bryan
175. Moore, James T – Leader 3rd reexam judge (bio and conflicts log concealed by FOIA)
176. Morgan, Jason V.
177. Morrison, John
178. Pak, Chung K.
179. Perry, Glenn J.
180. Petravick, Meredith C. (bio and conflicts log concealed by FOIA) – Leader 3rd reexam judge
181. Pettigrew, Lynne
182. Prais, Donna
183. Quinn, Miriam
184. Reimers, Annette
185. Saindon, William
186. Scanlon, Patrick
187. Siu, Stephen C. – Leader 3rd reexam judge (bio and conflicts log concealed by FOIA)
188. Smith, James Donald
189. Smith, Neil
190. Snedden, Sheridan
191. Song, Daniel
192. Spahn, Gay Ann
193. Strauss, Mike
194. Timm, Catherine
195. White, Stacey
196. Zecher, Michael

Research Tip:
Type any name or subject in the Google search at the top of this webpage. That will show you any relevant links within the sites that we have been following.
and investigating in the Leader v. Facebook case. Vigilance everyone! American democracy is at risk.