New Zealander claims many early shareholders of Facebook knew Mark Zuckerberg used Leader Technologies’ actual source code to start Facebook

BY DONNA KLINE | June 28, 2012 | PITTSBURGH BUSINESS REPORT (PBR)

A New Zealander named David London has come forward with explosive information. If true, it reveals that Mark Zuckerberg, in collusion with others, copied Leader Technologies’ actual source code in late 2003 to start Facebook. PBR flew London to the United States for an interview on this blog. I asked him to swear out an affidavit and take a lie detector test on key questions conducted by a widely-respected polygraph expert. He passed.

London swore out his affidavit in Ohio, and Leader Technologies’ Chairman & Founder Michael McKibben yesterday filed a motion in Ohio courts making reference to it.[1] Here’s Exhibit D – Affidavit of David London that includes the polygraph results. McKibben said that he did not know Mr. London prior to his trip to the States and he is simultaneously “shocked,” “saddened” and in another way “not surprised.”
"Zuckerberg’s creation story never made sense," said McKibben. "For example, he testified in the Winklevoss twins case that he built the whole system in 'one to two weeks.' We wonder why the mainstream media has never picked up on this preposterous assertion. Even the fastest programmer in the world cannot program that fast, unless he is simply tweeking someone else’s work. We had 145,000 man-hours and $10 million into our platform by then."

McKibben continued, "Mr. London’s specific knowledge of non-public facts like the timing of the debugging of our source code and other business information from 2003 is too detailed to be fabricated."

London’s involvement and his
knowledge of the beginnings of Facebook fill in the gaps in the story. How many knew about Facebook’s copying of Leader’s actual source code? It appears many in Facebook did.

Now we know how Zuckerberg was able to get Facebook up and running in “one to two weeks” while studying for Harvard finals in January of 2004. He could only do that if he had obtained an already-developed platform as his foundation.

McKibben said, “It is a sad day for innovation in America when an inventor and his investors must chase around liars and thieves for more than a decade, like we have had to do with Facebook. It is even sadder when a citizen of New Zealand has more honesty than the whole lot of Americans and Russians involved in Facebook’s dealings.”

I asked London why he waited so long to come forward. He said that since 2004, he has solicited the help of various individuals including prominent members of the media (who had access to his information but never credited it in their stories). He explained that airline tickets from New Zealand to the United States cost thousands of dollars, and even if he flew here, “Who would I have talked to? Until you, no one would listen to my story.” (This comment was in reference to my follow-up email when Mr. London first posted a comment on my blog.)

Did Leader have one or two rogue employees who collaborated with Zuckerberg? McKibben said that it is inconceivable to him that people in his company would be brazen enough to collude with outsiders when one of Leader’s directors was the author of the Federal Trade Secrets Act.

McKibben concluded, “I think I’ll go buy a box of kiwi fruit in honor of David London.”

Stay tuned. This story has only begun.

—Donna

P.S. Please email me at donna@dlkindustries.com if you have information about this story. Alternatively, Leader has established an anonymous Facebook Tip Line at (419) 395-9150.

Footnotes:
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1. **Tex** | June 28, 2012 at 2:17 pm | Permalink
   OOPS !

2. **newbe** | June 28, 2012 at 2:49 pm | Permalink
   “I’m CEO...bitch”
   —Mark Zuckerberg, 2003

3. **lisa** | June 28, 2012 at 2:53 pm | Permalink
   Wow Donna. No wonder we haven’t heard much from you lately. You go girl !!!!
   All, consider immediately DOWNLOADING a copy of the London Affidavit for safekeeping. Facebook has a history of making documents disappear from the web that don’t fit their “Creation Story.” Also, suggest you re-post the file on as many document management and blog sites as you can. We need to make sure Facebook and their cronies are no longer successful in perpetuating their false stories.

4. **mike kennedy** | June 28, 2012 at 3:10 pm | Permalink
   Donna one would only hope that this “HUGE” story would somehow turn a few heads in the major media circles.
   Have to keep knocking and maybe, just maybe one is about to open, thank God. Great job Donna.

5. **Joe Lipsius** | June 28, 2012 at 4:57 pm | Permalink
   I don’t see how a rehearing could be denied.

6. **Joe Lipsius** | June 28, 2012 at 5:00 pm | Permalink
   I urge for a rehearing in this important matter.
   Joe Lipsius

7. **Donna Kline** | June 28, 2012 at 5:29 pm | Permalink
   Dear Readers,
   We are honored to have Joe Lipsius post comments on this site. Joe is the 90+ year-old-and-going-strong webmaster of “The Fighting 69th Infantry Division” website. The 69th Infantry Division is the US Army Division that was the first to link up with the Soviet Army
south of Berlin in the town of Torgau, Germany along the Elbe River on April 25, 1945. This meeting is referred to throughout the world as “The Meeting at the Elbe.” Joe actually participated in the surrender of Leipzig, Germany as a US Army Captain. You can see actual film footage of that event on the 69th’s website at http://www.69th-infantry-division.com. Joe works closely with Leader’s Michael McKibben who assists Joe and the 69th veterans with their website, memorializing their stories, and scanning their archive of bulletins going back to 1946. Mike’s father-in-law served as a rifleman in the 69th.

Many of Joe’s band of brothers are buried in Europe, so Joe and our 69th veterans know the true meaning of fighting for our freedoms and property rights.

Thank you Joe and the 69th veterans for your service to all of us.

Donna

8. Tex | June 28, 2012 at 7:36 pm | Permalink
Thank you Joe. We need more men like you in this country right about now.

9. Gary | June 28, 2012 at 10:45 pm | Permalink
Hmmm, no Jill/Derek/John C on this big news? The “Pucker Factor” must be off the charts in Zucker-land for him and his minions! Thanks David London for stepping up and doing the right thing and Thanks Donna for your relentless pursuit of the truth!!!!

10. Linda K | June 28, 2012 at 10:52 pm | Permalink
Ironic, isn’t it? Many of Joe’s “Fighting 69th” were just 19-years old when they went off to Europe to rid the world of Fascism and secure democracy. Compare that heroism and bravery to Zuckerberg, who at 19-years old was sneaking around and stealing Leader’s invention, and then cheated investors out of billions. Are we really going to allow this to continue?

11. poppy | June 29, 2012 at 12:19 am | Permalink
Thanks so much for your service, Joe. And thank you, Donna, for a superb job of putting the David London segment together. Let’s keep shining the light on the FB cockroaches.

12. poppy | June 29, 2012 at 12:45 am | Permalink
Extortion turns a wise person into a fool, and a bribe corrupts the heart. (Ecclesiastes 7:7 NIV) – Just sayin’!
Zuck, what a PHONY!!

What’s even more SAD & amazing is how the US Legal System at the highest level can be manipulated & compromised even when the facts are so clear & hit you square in the face.

Seems like the next steps for Leader is to find a strong criminal law firm and file charges, maybe even under US Espionage clause.

Too bad Leaders patent attorneys didn’t have this information to present at the original jury trial. WHAT? Didn’t Leader win 10- out of their 11 claims patent claims?

Thanks Donna & Leader for not laying down on this case— Right will eventually WIN OUT.

Great job Donna! Looking forward to seeing the story unfold! Go Leader! And to Mike Strall – Leader actually won on 11 of 11 claims at the original trial.

Someone just pointed out to me that Chief Justice Roberts just did what the Federal Circuit panel did in Leader v. Facebook — he ruled on an argument NOT put before him. That is, he ruled the health care case as a tax case when the appeal was based on the commerce clause.

When were our judges given the power to argue law not put before them?

As a reminder, the Federal Circuit panel (Judges Lourie, Moore and Wallach) treated Leader’s appeal as a “substantial evidence” issue, even after both sides argued it as a “clear and convincing evidence” issue. Then the panel marched off into the weeds, picking and choosing evidence in the cold trial record to support their predetermined conclusion. In any event, they failed to apply ANY of their own on sale bar precedent cases for evaluating whether an alleged offer for sale “rises to the level of a commercial offer for sale” according to the Uniform Commercial Code — THEIR OWN RULE. Did we read even one test of the evidence against the UCC in their opinion? Nope.

When were our judges given the power to pick and choose from the “cold record” and argue law not put before them? This is not “judicial discretion” in my book, this is abuse of power.

If we let these courts take away Leader’s property, whose property is next? I am going to go out and buy a “Don’t Tread On Me” flag. The fight is on.
What’s even more sad and amazing is how the U.S. legal system, at the highest level, can be manipulated, even when the facts smack you in the face.

A federal judge is currently threatening to impose more monetary sanctions against a Buffalo, NY man who claims he owns half of Facebook (Ceglia).

Billc, no big deal but Obama`s lawyers DID argue that it was, in fact, a tax on all Americans despite Obama`s denial....

Thanks Tex. My bad. I forgot. Just like Facebook was allowed to argue both “false marking” (no invention) and “on sale bar” (invention sold too soon) simultaneously, the administration argues the same: it is and it isn’t a tax. I’d hate to see what these L-A-W-Y-E-R-S would construct if they actually produced something for a living. We gotta get them out of management, governing and administration.

What are we learning about the mentality of bad people? Facebook has settled with everyone except the people who actually invented the technology they benefit from. They paid $1 billion for an Instagram firm with 13 employees! Are they so jealous of creative people that their knee-jerk response is to try and make them unpersons? Sad values.

I woke up with a possible answer to my question. I re-read David London’s affidavit last night. According to the affidavit ALL the insiders in Facebook KNOW Zuckerberg stole Leader’s code. So, they don’t see any future in settling with Leader since their gravy train will END COMPLETELY once the theft is discovered. So, why settle and license from Leader since Leader will shut them down once the theft is EXPOSED? This kind of fraud is criminal and will overshadow civil settlements and licensing deals. To these bad guys, they haven’t settled with Leader since that would be just wasting cash that they can otherwise give themselves to transfer offshore in the meantime?? Can anyone hear a WOOSH of funds headed overseas right now?? This means the IPO was one big confidence trick???