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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>Jul 17</td>
<td>Born to father John H. Lindauer, newspaper publisher; Alaska governor Republican nominee; economist; former chancellor, Univ. of Alaska, Anchorage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985</td>
<td></td>
<td>Smith College, political science</td>
<td>Graduate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986</td>
<td></td>
<td>London School of Economics</td>
<td>Graduate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1987-1988</td>
<td></td>
<td>Seattle Post-Intelligencer</td>
<td>Reporter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
<td></td>
<td>The Everett Herald</td>
<td>Editor, Writer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rep. Peter DeFazio (D-OR)</td>
<td>Staffer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1995</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Libyan UN mission US liaison, NY</td>
<td>C.I.A. Covert Asset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1996</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sen. Carol Moseley Braun (D-IL)</td>
<td>C.I.A. Covert Asset, Press Secretary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1996</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Iraq UN mission US liaison, NY</td>
<td>C.I.A. Covert Asset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2002</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rep. Zoe Lofgren (D-CA)</td>
<td>C.I.A. Covert Asset, Press Secretary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Mar 11</td>
<td>ARRESTED, charged as spy for Iraqi intelligence largely on psychiatrist Stuart Kleinman testimony of mental disorder and grandiose delusions; only second non-Arab American prosecuted by the Patriot Act by Southern District of New York, Judge Michael B. Mukasey, later appointed Attorney General, Nov. 9, 2007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td></td>
<td>INCARCERATED without trial at Carswell Air Force Base psychiatric facility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>May</td>
<td>U.S. District (SDNY) Judge Michael B. Mukasey hearing on government request to forcibly drug Lindauer while incarcerated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Sep 08</td>
<td>Judge Mukasey, released Lindauer as unfit to stand trial for mental illness; case reassigned to Judge Loretta Preska</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td></td>
<td>U.S. District (SDNY) Judge Loretta Preska released Lindauer as mentally unfit to stand trial</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td></td>
<td>Blogger publicized Lindauer’s illegal prosecution</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Jan 15</td>
<td>DROPPED: government dropped Patriot Act lawsuit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td></td>
<td>Extreme Prejudice published</td>
<td>Writer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010-current</td>
<td></td>
<td>U.S. government corruption critic</td>
<td>Journalist</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HOST: I want to welcome everybody to this evening's event, which is being brought to you by the 9/11 Truth Alliance, who got word that Susan was coming to town, and needed a place. And so, we arranged the Lucky Lab as a suitable venue for her kind of talk and book selling/signing event. And, I just want to spend a few moments and just talk about the 9/11 Truth Alliance, and what we've been up to, and what's coming up. And, then I'll briefly introduce Susan and get this talk underway. Now, I assume we'll talk for a little while, and then there will be some discussion?

LINDAUER: Oh, lots of questions. Anything you want to ask. We'll stay and talk.

HOST: All right. And, I'm glad you said that, because I think that's a real hallmark of the 9/11 Truth Alliance. The thing that's really special about the 9/11 Truth Alliance is that it insists on free thinking and free speech, and critical thinking. And, it really reacts quickly to gatekeepers. And, when these phrases come up, and a lot of people don't know what they mean offhand, but once you've studied the events of 9/11/2001 - it's been almost ten years now - one realizes that there are all these clichés that you can throw up, like "We're in the Matrix." or "It's 1984."

All sorts of highly controlled spectacles are being thrown at the public in a very coordinated and nefarious manner, in a way to get the populations of the world to be docile and not think, and be afraid, and do what they are told, and not speak out.

And, the 9/11 Truth Alliance is one of the few groups that refuses to stop speaking out, and to stop thinking. And so, by coming here tonight, you're part of this tradition of enlightenment and resistance and truth seeking. That's kind of
been the hallmark of a lot of the higher cultures of this
planet, and it's a real disappointment that we seem to be
entering this period in which truth and enlightenment, far from
being cherished, are being stamped out with the likes of
Guantanamo, and any other torture chamber you care to name.

So anyway, if you want to talk about these things, you can
come to the 9/11 Truth Alliance Meetup meeting every Saturday
morning at 11:00, at the True Brew Coffee House, which is on
Southeast Milwaukee just south of Powell Boulevard, on the east
side of the street - I don't remember the exact address. It's
right there. We meet at 11:00 until, I don't know, as long as
people want to talk, really. And, then we also attempt to put
together some other events and things.

Coming up, of course, is the tenth anniversary of 9/11 -
ten years of war and torture, ripping up the Constitution,
crashing the economy. And, here we are. And, we're about to be
treated to a media bloodbath in which they're going to rev it
all up again, and maybe this time for Iran. And, we'll be doing
our counter events. It looks like we will have Jim Fetzer, who
has talked about 9/11 also for a real long time. And, he'll be
speaking on Friday the 9th. And, I believe it's going to be at
the Sellwood Community Center, down in Southeast Portland this
September.

And, then on Saturday, in the same building, we hope to
have kind of an all day seminar for beginners, and then for more
advanced people, on the ins and outs of 9/11. There have been
all these fabulous documentaries done about 9/11 - all kinds of
documentaries done. There is information out the wazoo about
it. Books, etcetera, etcetera. But, it all doesn't appear to
pierce the hardcore media grip on reality. But, we're going to
talk about it anyway. And, it's down in the Sellwood Community
Center.
And, we're here in the Lucky Lab, because the 9/11 Truth Alliance has experienced the backlash of truth-telling in this town. We've had various different events, and we've been chased out of venues, time and time again. Chased out of venues, one after another. And, this is the reality of where we are right now.

Liberal friends, who we thought were liberal friends, turned out to just be liberals. And, they appear to be just as more in favor of Obama, and bombing Libya, and attacking Yemen, and shredding the Constitution, and instituting rendition here in the United States.

And, I guess employing Guantanamo tactics, that Pelican Bay and other supermax prisons now in the United States - where there's a hunger strike, which you also wouldn't know about unless you like, kept your ear extremely close to the ground. I mean, Pelican Bay, our very own Guantanamo, is actually just over the border in California from Oregon. So, it's not even just a few hundred miles from here. And, they're torturing people right now, right there.

So, anyway, it's a dark time, but I'm really happy that we're still able to practice our free speech rights -

LINDAUER: For now -

HOST: For now - and have a speaker like Susan Lindauer, who has had her own story to tell of being in the fold of the national security state, and then kind of being kicked into the hole for not going along with it.

LINDAUER: Yeah.

HOST: And, I'm really excited to hear her talk about it. I've heard a few of the prices she's had to pay with her family and others. So it's like, whenever you really start telling the truth - I mean I hate to be so doom and gloom - but you've got to be prepared for the consequences.
LINDAUER: Serious consequences.

HOST: And but, at the same time, keep in mind that it's not all about now. It's never always been about now. We're dealing with the future now, and we're fighting for the future. And, you know, that's where we're at. So, here we go.

LINDAUER: Thank you. Thank you. Well, I have to say, I am so pleased to be here.

I have waited ten years, ten years to tell this story. I remember after 9/11 when my CIA handler, Richard Fuisz, told me that "there really wasn't going to be much of a 9/11 investigation." And "We were going to try to keep the people calm." That's what he said. "We're going to keep them calm."

And I said "What do you mean?" And he said "Well, we don't really need them to know everything that we were doing before 9/11." And I said "Well, why? What do you think's going to happen when they find out that you didn't tell them the truth? Why don't you just tell them the truth right now?" And he said "Well, that's not really what they want to do."

And so, I had different ideas. I will tell you straight off the bat that right after 9/11, my CIA handler received a $13 million dollar payoff from the 9/11 investigation, that was supposed to be money used to secure Iraq's cooperation.

And, I ended up getting indicted on the Patriot Act. I was the second non-Arab American ever indicted on the Patriot Act, after Jose Padilla. And, my crime was in opposing terrorism and going to Congress. And, I had spoken to the staff of Senator John McCain, and Senator Trent Lott. And, I pounded them. I called their chiefs of staff, their legislative directors, and their foreign policy people. And I said "I'm an asset who covered Iraq and Libya at the United Nations and I have a story to tell, and you need to hear what I have to say." And, within 30 days - I am not making this up - thanks to the Patriot Act,
all of my phone calls to these offices are taped by the FBI, so I can actually prove that they occurred, and I have the dates, I have the actual phone conversations on tape — and within 30 days of those conversations, I woke up to hear the FBI pounding at my door.

And, I got up out of bed, and I looked out the window, and there are men in flak jackets in my porch. And, I open the door, and they come into my house, and the FBI agent is shaking. Shaking.

And he said "You are Susan Lindauer. You are hereby notified you are under arrest on the Patriot Act." And I said "What?" And he said "You have the right to remain silent. And, anything you say, etcetera, etcetera." He read me my Miranda rights, and I was just like "What are you talking about? I'm making coffee. I'm not a bank robber, I'm not a drug dealer, I'm not a murderer. I haven't broken any laws that I can think of. And, I have no idea what you say that I've done." He says "Well, your attorney will explain it to you later on."

That began a five-year indictment, five-year nightmare on the Patriot Act. I was never taken to trial. In five years, I was allowed one morning of testimony with two witnesses. The two witnesses were a former chief of staff for a congressional member of Congress, and my old friend Park Godfrey, who verified the 9/11 warnings that I'm going to talk about tonight.

And, I'm going to tell you, and then I'm going to let you guys ask a lot of questions. And, I know you're going to have a lot of questions. I'm going to do my best to answer as honestly as I can. I do not have all the answers.

But, I will tell you upfront that I believe there was both the hijackings — and, that does not mean that I'm right and you're wrong — I believe there were both hijackings and a controlled demolition scenario. And, I'll explain to you how
the whole thing fits together. And, you may disagree. And, that's OK if you disagree with me. But when you hear what I have to say, you'll understand why I've reached this conclusion. So I believe both of them happened. OK?

And, it's also very important for you to know that as the ten-year anniversary of 9/11 comes up - I mean no offense - but you guys have no idea what actually happened. The lies are so much bigger than what you know, and it's so much deeper, and it's so much more tragic once you have the truth.

So, on that note, let me just take you to - I'm actually going to start, I'm going to move you a little bit ahead to - remember when George Bush and - they were counting the votes in Florida? I'm going to take you back to November of 2000. They had not yet declared that George Bush had won the election. I was having meetings, with the full knowledge and permission of the CIA, with Iraq's ambassador to the United Nations, on resuming the weapons inspections.

It is very important for you to understand that this story with 9/11 also ties in deeply to what happened with Iraq. And, contrary to everything you were told, the Iraqis were not resistant to weapons inspections. They had a comprehensive agenda. The CIA had already a comprehensive agenda for resolving the entire conflict without war at all. And, it involved weapons inspections, cooperation with anti-terrorism, and major financial contracts for U.S. corporations. And oil. And, this would be developed over a period of time.

But, we already had - by November of 2000 - we already had an agreement with the Iraqi government. We had a framework agreement that was - at that point it was undefined, or not so well-defined. And, we had to make it defined. But, they had already consented to all of these things. They wanted peace with us.
And, so by February of 2001, the Iraqis had agreed to offer to invite the FBI to send a task force into Baghdad, with authorization to conduct terrorism investigations and to make arrests of terror suspects. This is very important for you to understand. So, this is like the background of what you have to know.

OK. In April of 2001, I was summoned — oh, this is already happening: the comprehensive peace framework, those discussions are already underway, and I am, at this point, the chief asset covering the Iraqi embassy and the Libya House. I do both of them, and Yemen and Syria and Egypt and Malaysia. But, Iraq and Libya are my primary countries.

And, so I'm a back channel. Which means that the U.S. Government gives me messages to give the Iraqis, and then the Iraqis give me messages to give to Washington. So, I know everything. Every single conversation is going through me.

And, I can tell you that in April of 2001, I was summoned to my CIA handler, Dr. Richard Fuisz, and he said he had a message for me to deliver to New York at the earliest possible convenience. And the message was this: "We are looking for information on a conspiracy to hijack airplanes. We expect the target to be the World Trade Center. We think they're going to fly the airplanes into the World Trade Center. And we want the Iraqis to provide any, it's called 'actionable intelligence.'" "Actionable intelligence" is a name, an airport hub, a flight number, something that's going to help us identify who they are, where they're meeting, what their nationalities are, anything like this.

And he says, he gives me a message and he says "We want this information. And, we want you to tell the Iraqis that if they fail to give us this information, and if it is later determined that they knew the information and they did not give
it to us, then the United States is prepared to go to war with Iraq." OK, this is April of 2001.

Well, I went up to New York, and we were in the middle of these great negotiations. From February of 2001, we had an invitation for the FBI to come to Baghdad. So, I go up to New York, and I'm very pleasant. I'm very polite. There's no reason to be nasty with these people. They want peace. I say "Hey, could you please send a message to Baghdad? We'd like this information if you come across anything." Saddam had been one of our best sources on terrorism throughout the 1990s.

Iraq hated terrorism, because they believed that - they hated Islamic jihadists. He hated - I mean, he did. Whether you like Saddam or not, whether you hate Saddam or not, he hated Islamic Conservatives. He was convinced that they would take advantage of the crumbling of authority in Baghdad under the sanctions, and that they would then try to overthrow, overturn his government. And, the poverty of the people from the sanctions would fuel this problem, would help overturn his government. So, he wanted to help us at every turn to keep these people from becoming too powerful. OK. And, so we knew this.

So, when I go to New York in April of 2001, I'm very friendly. And I say "Hey, look, could you send the message to Baghdad, let them know we're looking for this? Thanks." And, the message from the Iraqis in April of 2001 is "Hey, send the FBI. We've already invited you to send the FBI. Come on. Tell them, just bring them on. Sure!" Wow. You didn't know that, did you? OK.

So, I go back to Washington, and I get a phone call from Richard. And he says "Come down, come down to my office. I want to hear what they said." I go down and I say "Oh, I was real polite. Yeah, yeah, I gave them the message. Sure, sure."
He said "I didn't tell you to be nice. I told you to tell those"
- this is going to be on television, right?

OK, I'll soft pedal what he said. He was like "You stupid, goddamn blankety blankety blankety blankety. I told you to
tell those SOB MFers God-G-D blah blah blah" - screaming and
circling me around his conference desk. I'll never forget it.
Circling his conference desk, ranting and raving, waving his
arms around. He didn't do that very often. He does not have
that kind of personality. He's a very calm man. He feels that
if you're really angry at somebody, then the more calm you are,
the more dangerous you are. That's CIA. He's old-school CIA.

OK. So, he's screaming now. And he's like "You go back to
New York, and you deliver the message exactly the way that I
told you to deliver the message." And I said "Well, Richard, I
don't want them to think I'm threatening them, because I believe
in negotiations and conflict resolution." He said "No, no, I
don't want them to think you are threatening them." And he said
"I don't want them to think I am threatening them. I want you
to tell them this threat of war originates at the highest level
of government, above the CIA director, and above the Secretary
of State." It would be three men - President George Bush, Vice-
President Dick Cheney, and Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld.
And, no one else. Those are the three people who are
threatening war.

And, I want to be really clear about the message that I was
ordered to give them - "We demand that you turn over any
actionable - any fragment of intelligence, outlining a
conspiracy involving airplane hijackings and a strike on the
World Trade Center. If you withhold this information, if we
discover that you have withheld this information, and the attack
occurs, then we will bomb you back to the Stone Age. You will
be bombed harder than you have ever been bombed before. You
will be destroyed. You've never been hit the way we're going to hit you now." OK. So, I went up and I delivered that message. This is May of 2001.

In June and July, practically every single week, my CIA handler, Dr. Richard Fuisz and I, talked about 9/11. And, it was very clear that the intelligence community was being prepped for two things. One, to expect airplane hijackings. Now, I have to be honest with you, because I know a lot of you are interested in the controlled demolition, they prepped us to expect the airplane hijackings. They told us about it. Like, my CIA handler demanded that Iraq had to give us this. And, they insisted that if it happened, there would be dire consequences.

Now, what you're going to see in my book - and we have more copies of my book outside, and we've got more here, too. There was something else going on that summer that was very beautiful. This peace framework that we had been working on was magnificent. It was turning out just glorious for a peace dividend. The Iraqis were now offering the weapons inspections, which, of course, the United States had very rigorous standards for the weapons inspections. Iraq was offering cooperation with anti-terrorism, to allow the FBI to go in. And, Iraq started to offer a lot more. A lot more came on the table. By the summer - by June and July of 2001, Iraq was offering the United States preferential contracts.

Now, think about the economy today - preferential contracts for the United States corporations on telecommunications, health care, hospital equipment, pharmaceuticals, and transportation. Iraq offered to buy one million American-manufactured automobiles every year for ten years. Think of what that would have done to the economy. Think about non-dual use factory production. Because the CIA was like "If we are going to give
up these darn sanctions, we're going to take a pound of flesh with it." They had no - and whether you like the CIA or not, and most of you, 99% of you don't like the CIA. I realize that, of course.

But, the CIA was doing what it’s supposed to do, whether you like it or not. They were taking care of what is in the best interests of the United States government, with the best interests of the United States economy. And, they were not going to let Iraq punish the United States. And, I hated the sanctions. I was doing this because I hated the sanctions. I was doing it because they had destroyed education. They wiped out literacy in a single generation. They destroyed the hospitals and the health care system.

Iraq performed the second heart transplant in the world, and we wiped them out. OK? Eleven thousand people died every month. By the end of 1996, 500,000 children had died of sanctions. And, they only counted five-year-olds and younger. They didn't even count the 6-year-olds, because the United Nations was holding back the numbers. And, after that report, in December of 1996, they stopped counting - the United Nations never published another report on the deaths. So, frequently what you will hear is that only 500,000 children died. But in fact, they continued to die. And approximately one million children died. They were babies. They weren't even alive when the first Gulf War happened. This was an offense against - this is genocide. This is a mass genocide. So, that's my motivation. But, the CIA did not have my motivation. They were out to make sure that the United States was not going to be punished for what they had done.

And believe me, by this point, we just wanted to get rid of the sanctions. The Iraqis were like "If they will get rid of the sanctions, you bet, we'll give them anything they want."
So, before 9/11, you could have had every single thing you possibly could dream of. And, if the CIA could have thought of more to ask for, we would have. We would have asked for more. We were shameless.

So, you have peace that's breaking out in the Middle East. You have the 9/11 warnings. And, then in August of 2001, we went into high mode, high activity mode. I can tell you the exact day - on August 2nd. And, after I tell you this, I'll open it up to questions.

On August 2nd was the Senate nomination hearings for Robert Mueller to head the FBI. He was going to be the FBI director. And, I was on the phone with my CIA handler, Richard Fuisz. And I said "There's not one single terrorism investigation this man hasn't thrown. He threw the Oklahoma City bombing investigation, he threw Lockerbie." And I said "This man should not be the FBI director when this next attack occurs." And Richard Fuisz said to me "My God, what if there is no FBI director when this happens?" I said "Do you think it's that soon? Do you think the attack is imminent?" He said "Oh, yeah." He said "It's absolutely just in the next couple of weeks."

And I said "Well, God, Richard, I'll go back to New York right now, and I'll pump the Iraqis and see if they've got anything from Baghdad. I'll see if they have any news for us." And he said "Oh, my God, Susan, don't go back. Do not go back to New York City. It’s too dangerous. We are expecting the use of a miniature thermonuclear device."

And, they were not afraid that I was going to be hurt by like, falling debris in the World Trade Center. I wasn't going to be at the World Trade Center. They were afraid of radiation contamination. Like the winds blowing the radioactive stuff. And he was like "Don't go up there. We're expecting mass casualties." And I said "Well, Richard, I'll go up there - and
I can tell you the exact day, it was a Thursday - and I said "I will go up to New York on Saturday, and I'll report to you on Monday. And, we'll just find out if the Iraqis have anything to give us."

I went up to New York, the Iraqis said "Ain't got nothing. We don't know. We don't know anything about this. You keep telling us about this - the only way we know about it is because you're talking about it. Well, we don't have any information to give you. And, if we did, we understand the consequences. We know that if we don't help you, you're going to go to war with us if you think we did. And, if there was anything we could give you, we would do it."

So, I go back and I report that on August 6th. On August 6th, there is a memo to the President telling him that this is a high security threat, that it is an emergency level, that it's imminent.

At my meeting with Richard Fuisz, Richard Fuisz does something very important. He tells me that because of my direct contacts with Iraq and Libya, I should be the one, I am perfectly positioned - because everyone likes to think that Iraq and Libya are involved in terrorism to begin with - I should be the one to contact U.S. Attorney General John Ashcroft's office. And, I should tell them that we're looking for what's called "An Emergency Broadcast Alert," across all agencies, seeking any fragment of intelligence involving airplane hijackings and a strike on the World Trade Center, identified specifically. And, I make that phone call.

That conversation with Richard Fuisz was on August 6th. Probably August 7th, August 8th, I call them. And, immediately I talk to them. See, you guys couldn't get this number, but I have it. I have the number inside the Attorney General's office. I'm not calling a switchboard, I'm calling his private staff.
OK? I'm calling like, his government liaison office. No, no, no, that's not true. I call his private internal office. There are about 20 members of his private staff. His legislative director is there, his government relations person is there. But, I call inside that office. And, they give me the phone number for the office of counterterrorism. They say "Repeat exactly what you just told us, and tell them."

I am told that John Ashcroft said "Oh, those CIA people keep talking about terrorism, and they keep talking about this darn airplane hijacking, and they are so paranoid. And, why do they keep bugging us about it?" That's what I'm told he said, but I did what I did. And, when I did that, I apparently tripped some wires. Because it denied the White House, it denied the Justice Department and the Attorney General's office, of deniability, plausible deniability. And, that's very important. And, that is why they came after me so hard and tried to destroy me utterly. Because, they could not admit to you that we had absolutely anticipated this thing. We knew it was going to happen exactly as it did go down, with one exception. And then I'm going to finish this, and then I'll open the floor to questions.

What I have learned since then - now, all the things that I've told you are things that I did directly. So, I'm not relating what somebody else did, or a conversation that somebody else had that has been reported to me. This is direct, primary knowledge from my own experience. But, what I'm going to tell you now is from somebody else. OK? And, so I distinguish these two things.

I have been told by somebody who saw the videos, that at the World Trade Center, from approximately August 23rd, and it could have been August 22nd, it could have been August 24th, OK, approximately August 23rd until approximately September 3rd, and
again it could be September 2<sup>nd</sup> - the spooks can be weird about
this stuff, OK? They could say "Well, it wasn't September 4<sup>th</sup>. 
So, 'no'." No, it could have been September 3<sup>rd</sup>, OK? It could 
have been September 2<sup>nd</sup>. 

Right within a couple of days of this, my friend says that
at approximately 3:00 in the morning, strange vans - and, there
were maybe three of them, he said, but not just a couple - the
way he put it was "a couple of vans" - so we're thinking three, 
possibly four, but most likely three - "A couple of vans arrived
at 3:00 in the morning, after the janitorial trucks had left the 
building."

And, it's very important, because they were able to
identify the vans according to make, model, color, and there
were no markings on the vans. But, the janitorial vans did have 
markings. And, so they were able to distinguish that these are 
not the same vans. And, they know how the janitorial trucks 
left the building. They actually tracked the paths that the 
janitorial trucks took to drive home. Like, the janitorial 
workers were driving down certain roads to get over to their 
houses.

And the CIA, or the FBI, or the NSA folks, tracked those 
people home. And, he was quite convinced that these are not the 
same trucks. And, between the hours of 3:00 and 5:00. These 
trucks had never been in this building before. It was an 
anomaly, definitely. It wasn't like it was going on for months 
and months, and it just continued. They showed up for ten days, 
10 or 11 days approximately, then they were never seen again.
And, that's when they believe they wired the building.

And, my friend told me, absolutely, it was a thermite bomb 
with potential sulfur in it. The important thing about a 
thermite bomb is it is an extraordinary heat reducing bomb. OK?
It takes steel and it creates molten steel. So, it takes beams
of steel and it turns it into molten steel. And it just rots -
everything underneath just sinks into the ground, like what you
saw. And, it is a special U.S. military grade weapon. OK? It
is a military grade weapon. It's not something you could make -
ever - in your kitchen, or your garage, or your living room. It
is impossible for you to do this. This is a U.S. military
weapon.

And, so I do believe that that helps to explain some of the
missing pieces. And, I believe this is what happened. They had
known about the terrorist attack for months. There is a long-
term advance knowledge. Assets are being watched. The so-
called terrorists, whether you want to think of them - whether
they are real - Mohamed Atta was an asset, trained by the United
States government, supervised by the United States government,
and, I can assure you that assets - and, I'm speaking directly
from my own personal experience - assets are heavily controlled
individuals.

I was never dealing with Iraq and Libya without somebody
paying extremely close attention to me at every stage. My
phones were tapped, and, at some point, they had wired my house.
When they had the handover of the two Libyan men, I went down to
my basement the same day that they handed over the men, and my
ceiling of my basement had been torn out. And, there were cable
wires dangling from the ceiling. About a dozen cable wires.
And, I had a contractor come over to my house, and he said "Wow,
somebody put a really kickass stereo system in your house.
That's amazing." He said "You have these wires going to every
single room in your house. Even in your bathroom." And I was
like "Oooh." He said "it's everywhere." He said "You must have
like a stereo system that just rocks in this house."

But so, anyway, but the point is that assets - there's no
way that these assets could have functioned without everyone
knowing every single detail of what they were doing. There is no way they could have hidden. They could not have disguised their actions from their handlers. Even if they tried to disguise it, it wouldn't work. Believe me, it wouldn't work. No. It's impossible. Impossible.

And, so it's more likely that they were using Mohamed Atta to guide the conspiracy, to track the conspiracy. And, then they discovered that they were bozo pilots, they were clowns, they weren't any good at this flying stuff. And, now they had an agenda. And, the agenda was that when this attack happened, they were going to go to war with Iraq. But "Oh, gosh, we’ve got a problem now." Because, the problem is, they're not going to be able to do the job. "Uh oh. Oh. What a bummer." And, I'm speaking now again from experience.

The 1993 World Trade Center attack killed five people. The bombing of the USS Cole killed 12 people. And, once the smoke clears, and the catastrophe - the chaos is over, and the noise is done, there's not a lot of damage - certainly not enough that would allow a government, a pro-war cabal, to throw itself into a new war with Iraq, which they wanted to do. They’d already decided to do it, and so that is the motivation.

The thing is, any police officer will tell you, there is no crime without a motive and opportunity, and we had both. So, it's not like they just spontaneously wired the World Trade Center. They knew it was coming, and they wanted to make sure they had maximum damage when it hit. They knew they were going to use the airplanes as the cover to demolish the building.

A lot of people in the 9/11 Truth community have gotten kind of - when I first broke this news, a lot of people attacked me. And they said "You're saying there were airplane hijackings. No, no, there was a demolition." And I'm like saying "No, no, there's both of it. Both things happened. They knew the
airplanes were going to be hijacked, so they used it as a cover to guarantee maximum destruction, because they already knew the consequence of war."

So, there you have it. OK.

Q: I forget what you said that your job was. You were a go-between?

A: I was what is called an asset. I was an intelligence asset. I was supervised by handlers for the CIA and the Defense Intelligence Agency. I was not covert. I was covert from your end. Like, you guys - the American people had no idea that President Clinton had opened up a back channel, because they didn't want you all to know this. But, in fact, from the very first meetings that I had at the Iraqi embassy, and the Libyan embassy, they were told who I was. They knew that I was a passionate, anti-sanctions activist, and passionately anti-war. I hated the first Gulf War - protested the Gulf War. And, I wanted to do anything that I could to try to create a communications - they couldn't have a formal communications because of the sanctions, they were officially on the pariah list - and yet, they had to have some kind of communications and discussion on terrorism specifically.

Q: So, my question is, you were a back channel between everybody? I find it odd that your boss doesn't talk to the people in the administration.

A: Oh, they did.

Q: And, they sent you over there to yell at people or tell them stuff.

A: No, no, I know that he did. What he did was, I believe - and I could be wrong about this - but, I believe that he contributed to the White House memo, the presidential directive, instruction, request. There's a formal term for that. I'm
afraid I can't remember off the top of my head what it is. He
did contribute to that. And, he had vastly more information
than I did. I was getting all my knowledge from him.

But, the fact was that we needed fast turnaround on this,
because we thought the attack was within a couple of weeks. In
fact, the attack didn't occur for another - this was August 6th,
and it occurred over a month later. But, we thought that the
attack could come as early as the third or fourth week of
August. We thought it was imminent.

Q: [garbled]
A: Well, he probably did also. Everybody was doing it.

See, this is the thing that - no offense - but there was so much
discussion about this attack. Everybody was talking about it.

George Tenet had some meetings. Other analysts had meetings at
the White House that Condoleezza Rice has like, conveniently
pretended didn't happen. But, there was a lot of knowledge.

And, the fact that I would be able to get the Attorney
General's attention, and his staff's attention, by saying "I'm
in direct contact with Iraq" - that's kind of like a bona fide
thing. In the CIA, they call it a bona fide.

Q: [garbled]
A: Yeah, exactly. And, it wasn't like a supervisory thing
at that point. They wanted someone with direct contact.

Because I had direct contact with the events, and I could cite
that and say "You need to listen to me. Because I spoke with
the Iraqis on Saturday, and I need to tell you this." You see
what I mean? So, I know he did other things, too.

Q: In the course of your talk, what I haven't heard is any
real evidence that there was a genuine jihadi plot. Maybe
that's in your book. But, you haven't said anything to show me
that such a plot existed. In fact, the impression I get from
the evidence that you've presented about what your bosses were
talking about what was going on in the U.S. government, is that
the U.S. government was trying to create a predictive situation
with this attack –

A: Yes.

Q: – which in my opinion could well have been the sum and
substance of the attack. And that, as I said, I haven't seen
anything that you've said that shows me that there was a genuine
jihadi plot.

A: You know, this is a very good question. Because, it's
really questionable that there was a jihadi plot. I do believe
there were hijackers. Now, I have to tell you that I do believe
there were hijackers. On the other hand, I know that the people
they did identify were assets. OK? They worked for the United
States government. And, the men who were identified as the
hijackers were not jihadis. They were not devoutly religious
men. They went to strip clubs, they drank alcohol, they smoked
cigarettes, they chased women. Real, deep, authentic jihadis
would do none of that. And, so it's really curious to me as to
what their - and I don't have an answer for you, unfortunately -
but what their final minutes must have been on this earth. What
did they think they were doing? I truly do not know. I don't
know if they thought it was just a training exercise. I don't
know if they thought - I just don't know. But, I do no think -
I do not believe they were jihadis, real jihadis.

Q: One other point. You say that there was a point in
midsummer 2001, when the CIA and elements in the U.S. government
may have become concerned that having planned this event, cared
for it, that the alleged jihadi pilots weren't going to be able
to accomplish the goal.

A: Yes.

Q. Well now, according to the official version of 9/11,
they did accomplish the goal of flying the airplanes into the
buildings. And so, do you think that the CIA made a mistake, and underestimated the talent of these pilots?

A: Well, here's the thing. They did fly into the building. Of course, they did. And, they could have been on automatic pilot - that makes sense. We know that there was a heightened GPS, and a heightened cell phone activity that is very unusual.

Usually, the GPS only works to - it doesn't work at certain altitudes. And, at a scale of like 1-10, the GPS signal was working at a 10, whereas ordinarily it might work at a 4. See? And, so something was helping boost, and it had to be boosted. It couldn't have just spontaneously done this on its own. Something had to be boosting the GPS signal. And, it's just a matter of scientific requirement that it had to be boosted. And it was.

The cell phone was the same thing. Some people have tried to say that the cell phone conversations did not happen. I do believe they did happen. I do believe that people got through to their spouses. But again, you see, there had to be some technological boost for it to be done. And, I think that the hijackers got a lot of help. They got a lot of help.

Q: Have you considered like, Russ Baker's Family Secrets and Annie Jacobsen's Area 51 that just came out recently? And, the last one I just read was Naomi Wolf's The End of America?

A: Yes. Good, yeah.

Q: So, how can you expect us, knowing the corruption of moral character - what I believe is, I think fundamentally where we're at is a spiritual crisis. And, that's the big picture.

A: Yeah.

Q: So, I'm sort of interested in you in part of it. Because, I'm sure that there are people who are dedicated in the CIA that are very trustworthy.

A: Well, yeah -
Q: Well, trustworthy in the sense that their motivations are clear. But, I think overall, considering all the torture and the long history that it's had, you can't believe anything. Basically, you can't. You just don't know. There's so much spin with it.

A: Yeah.

Q: I'm not saying there’s not dedicated individuals. I think there are. I don't think everything is black and white. So, what I'd like to get from you in part is, first of all, your picture of the big, overall. What's the primary motive? You said before, but who? I mean, what is the big picture? Who are the big honchos at the top that are directing it?

A: Well, I'd like to answer this on a couple of levels. First of all, the CIA has a long track record of false flag operations on itself.

And, one of the reasons that I may be more trustworthy is that I was an asset, not a CIA director. My CIA handler received $13 million, tax free - didn't even have to pay a dollar in taxes. Is that not the sweetest thing that you have ever heard in your life? From the 9/11 investigation of emergency appropriations that were intended for the Iraqi, to secure Iraq's cooperation. And, he took the money, and you've never heard him speak. And when I was under indictment, my own CIA handler refused to speak to my attorneys for five years. If he had spoken to my attorneys at any time at all, we could have ended my indictment entirely.

But, the other thing is that I was - the reason you should trust me is because I paid for this. I was locked up in prison on a military base for a year, and I was held under indictment for five years. And, if you do a little research on my story, you'll find the government was so threatened by what I was going to say, that they actually argued to - and this is in a record,
there's a history of this, you can confirm this - they wanted to forcibly drug me with Haldol, Ativan and Prozac, which would have chemically lobotomized me. Because, they admitted that I was not hallucinating. I did not - do not suffer depression - for those of you who do suffer depression, sorry, but I don't. I don't have mood disturbances. They said I had good eye contact, I was cooperative, smiling.

They could not identify anything wrong with me except that my defense was that I had worked in anti-terrorism for nine years, and I warned about 9/11, and my team warned about 9/11. And, they tried to detain me up to ten years. They actually petitioned the court for the right, on the Patriot Act, for the right to detain me up to ten years in prison with no trial, and no hearing. Imagine that. The government is arguing that we don't have to give this woman a hearing. We can just lock her up indefinitely. And, I was the test case on this, and it was horrible. And, they wanted to lock me up, and forcibly drug me at the same time, so that I would be so destroyed. They told the judge that they had no idea how long my 'cure' - my 'cure' - was going to take. But, they wanted it.

Q: Who was the judge?
A: The judge in my case was Michael Mukasey. Michael Mukasey later became U.S. Attorney General. And, I fought so hard. And, my beloved companion, sweet, wonderful Jay Fields, who died of cancer, unfortunately, never lived to see me exonerated. He fought in the blogs, and he fought on alternative radio because the corporate media refused to cover my story. They didn't want to tell you what was going on. They implied very strongly that I was a religious maniac.

And, I do believe in God, and I have a spiritual life. Yes, I do. But, I'm not a religious maniac. I guess a religious maniac would be someone like Elizabeth Smart, rapist-
kidnapper, who went into court and was like spouting religious
stuff, and was standing up and singing hymns in court, stuff
like that.

Q: [garbled]

A: There was – actually, I call it my amnesty
international moment. The Justice Department had already
petitioned to forcibly drug me. And, I was waiting for a
decision. And, one morning – I was locked up in prison at this
point. I had been held on Carswell Air Force Base for eight
months, and then I was moved to the Metropolitan Correctional
Center in New York for four months – and one morning at 5:30 in
the morning, the guard wakes me up, he shakes me and says
"You're going to court today." And I'm like, weeping. I'm
thinking that they've got the decision, and they're going to
like, send me back to Carswell to be drugged, and I'm
hysterical. I was absolutely hysterical.

And, I get into the courtroom, and I'm in a holding cage
that's about the size of this table. And, they come in, my
attorney comes in, and he says "Oh my God, someone has started a
blog on your case, and people are writing your judge. They're
writing Judge Mukasey. You got to tell your friend to stop
doing this." I was like "Never. No. No way." And, literally,
I grabbed the bars and was like "We are never going to stop. We
are going to fight to defend this Constitution. You are
breaking the law. And, we are never going to shut up until this
is done. You can tell that crooked prosecutor that he can just
go to hell, because we're going to keep talking until - you're
never going to shut me up now." This was a mistake. This was a
huge mistake that they did this to me.

And we went in, and the judge was like - so, at that point,
Jay had published psych records. After my arrest, I had been
ordered to attend -this actually saved me - I had been ordered
to attend weekly psychology meetings. I had never had any psychological problems. And, I had a year's worth of documentation saying that I suffered no mental illness - no depression, no psychoses, no mania, no nothing, no mood disturbances. And, these are in the back of my book. So, you can actually look at this stuff for yourself, and you can see the papers with your own eyes and you can read them.

And the judge was like "Well, this is extraordinary. You're telling me that this woman is incompetent, and she's suffering from this grave mental illness, and yet she has all these records which are on the bloody Internet. Why are these papers not in my courtroom?" And the judge was like "Uh uh, this is just not going to happen." And, at that point, I was saved. Because the judge was like "This woman is not cooperating."

If I had been cooperative, they would have done it. If I had been passive, they would have done it. But, I'm a fighter. And, they still wouldn't give me a hearing. And, I know how to fight. I'm an activist and an asset. Believe me, I know how to fight. And, it was like the Patriot Act was so hideous, so big, so powerful, that there was nothing they were going to let break through.

But, Judge Mukasey also did the financial case on the 9/11, the insurance claims for 9/11 for Larry Silverstein, who went to his synagogue. They both attend the same synagogue. Yeah, cozy. Very cozy.

Q: Did Mohamed Atta willingly sacrifice himself, or did he know what was going to happen to him?
A: I do not know. See, I wonder about all of these things. Because, I wonder if they thought that it was like a practice, of if they thought this was just - I don't know the
answer to that. It's fascinating to think what they must have thought.

Q: Many of the foreign newspapers have reported that many of the hijackers are still alive.
A: Yeah.

Q: I think six or seven of them were. One of them is a pilot for the Saudi Arabian Airlines. I believe one lives in Los Angeles. So, there was all this. And, then there was a report [garbled]
A: That’s true.

Q: So, it doesn't make sense that there were these hijackers that hijacked planes. I mean, obviously something is wrong with this story, that these people are still alive.
A: Well, I wonder though, it was so - as an asset, this is probably going to frustrate you. I'm trained to stop where I - it's very much compartmentalized. And, there are certain things that I know from my own direct, personal experience that I can tell you. And, then there are other things that I’m taught just to say - to draw a line. And, it may drive you crazy, but there are some things that I cannot answer, because I don't know. And, as an asset I'm told to stop, and to always distinguish what I actually know from what I don't know. And, that's one of the things I don't know. Sorry. But, I do believe there were hijackings.

Q: How do you hold on to the story that there were hijackings? [garbled]
A: But we don't necessarily know who the hijackers were.

Q: We also have other information that some of the serial numbers on the planes were located several years later, and the planes are still in existence. So there's a lot of like, very strange - and, then there was that plane that went down in Pennsylvania with no plane parts.
A: That was shot down.
Q: There were no plane parts anywhere.
A: There was a plane that was shot down, and I know that there was a pilot who shot down one of the airplanes, and he is locked up in prison in Florida right now.
Q: Who is he?
A: I don't know. I could get it for you. I will get it for you. I'm sorry, I've been told his name before, and I'm actually doing a radio interview with Michael Hertzog tomorrow, who is the one who told me about the guy. And, I will get that information, and I will pass it to our friend over here, and we'll get that to you. Because he needs help. The last I heard, he was still in - see, it could be that, I hope he's been released. And, he may have been released by now. But the last I heard he was still in prison. And, if he is still in prison, it would be awfully nice if you guys could help him.
Q: Who's to gain and who's to lose?
A: The tragedy was that before 9/11 ever happened, they already knew about this peace framework. And, they already knew that they could have - the United States would receive no punishments at all - or no punishment. They would not face any problems because of the years of support for the sanctions. The Iraqis wanted the sanctions off so badly, that they were going to give the United States everything that they could have wanted.
So, this is even going on before 9/11 happens. And, they were going to give them one million American-made automobiles every year, telecommunications - that would be satellites, phone, TV - the CIA could have been snooping through all the Middle East phone conversations. It was crazy what they lost - health care, hospital equipment, pharmaceuticals. Just on and on, just amazing things. Oil. Yeah, they could have had
everything, even oil. And, the only group that wasn't going to benefit, was the military-industrial complex. Because this would have been peace. This would have been a very prosperous peace.

Believe me, the CIA was driving a hard bargain. Anything that we could think to ask. And, I have to say again, I'm anti-sanctions. So, I know that a lot of people would say "Well, that's not fair to do to the Iraqis." And, I agree with you, I agree with you, but it was what the CIA wanted. And, they were going to have every single thing that they could think to get.

And, at the same time, we all knew that it would have to be tremendous to appease George Bush, because he was out for the Daddy Bush fantasy - this delusion. It was a delusion. But, the one group that was not going to benefit was the military-industrial complex. And, they were going to be the big losers in this whole thing. They were not going to be able to have their wars. They were not going to be able to sell their military weapons systems, the $400 billion for this equipment or that equipment. They wouldn't need any of it. And, so they were the losers. And, they were just too powerful.

Q: This isn't actually a question, but more of a comment. We were talking about the Pennsylvania Flight 93 alleged crash, and I just want to say that anyone can claim to have - any pilot can claim to have shot down the airliner. That’s easy to claim something like that. But, did it happen? And, I just want to point out that not only was there no plane debris at the alleged crash site, but neither was there a debris field anywhere else. And, we know from incidents like Lockerbie that when a plane blows up over land, it leaves a debris field. [garbled]

A: Well, it's also the Pentagon. I do not believe that the Pentagon was hit by an airplane. I mean, all that debris suddenly was just magically removed? There was no airplane
there, either. So, there's still a lot of questions about 9/11 that I cannot answer for you. And, I'm sorry.

These are good questions you're asking. And, it's not like I'm trying to blow you off. There are just some things I don't know. But, hopefully, I'm going to give you enough new information that you'll be able to like, put some more pieces of it together.

Q: You mentioned your compartmentalized structure at the agency.

A: Yeah.

Q: And, I think that's pretty well understood how one person can be sitting here working on a project, and the next person's there, and they're not allowed to really look at what the other one is doing. In light of that, I think you're probably aware that - it's been documented - there were somewhere in the neighborhood of 25 military drills running on 9/11.

A: Yes.

Q: Which is absolutely unprecedented. Not only that, there was one drill that was specifically on a hijacking, that was exactly the scenario that we're told transpired. And, you've probably studied Webster Griffin Tarpley's analysis of this, his 9/11 Synthetic Terror Made in USA, how he breaks this down - very convincingly, I believe - that the operation was accomplished through the drills. And, certain people were doing certain things and maybe, probably likely didn't even know that they were involved in an operation.

A: Yes.

Q: And, couldn't share information because of this compartmentalization. And, I'm just wondering if you had an opportunity to talk, after all of your ordeal, with anybody else who was able to confirm any of this -
A: Well, I will tell you that the 9/11 conspiracy is based on something called Project Bojinka. And, are you all familiar with Project Bojinka? OK. Bojinka was designed by Ramzi Yousef, who was the mastermind of the 1993 World Trade Center attack. In his grand scheme, 11 airplanes would be hijacked, and would strike different targets all over the United States. The World Trade Center would be one. But, then they would hit the White House. They'd hit the Justice Department. They'd also hit, like Chicago. They'd hit Los Angeles. And, throughout the whole country there'd be strikes. And, the military discovered this when they found, or the CIA discovered this, when they arrested Ramzi Yousef in the Philippines in 1995, and they found the blueprint for this 9/11, what became the 9/11 attack, on his computer, and he called it Project Bojinka.

And, at that point, the military began to run simulated counter-strategies for what you would do if there was an airplane hijacking scenario that was attacking various targets throughout the United States. And, one of the targets was the Pentagon. And, then the Pentagon said it was too outrageous, that nobody would actually attack the Pentagon this way, so maybe they should drop the Pentagon scenario. So, they did.

But, even though they had rehearsed Project Bojinka, on September 10 through September 12, NORAD was on high alert doing military exercises, because allegedly the former Soviet Union, the Russians, were doing their military exercises. So, we timed our military exercises allegedly to theirs. And, they were supposed to be on heightened alert just in the course of practice. They were supposed to be on heightened alert for any invasion of sovereignty of airspace, sovereign airspace, on those dates. And yet, even though the military was on high
alert, they took no action when the airplanes were hijacked, and
they didn't scramble. They broke all of their own protocols.

Q: But weren't they mostly sent up in directions away from
the -

A: Yeah. There you go. Yes, yes, yes, yes. And, they
sent one jet, they turned one jet around, and sent it after, one
fighter, to go track down -

Q: - and they were already on drills and exercises that
had already taken them out, and basically left the eastern
seaboard unattended?

A: Yes.

Q: This is supposed to be the smartest military in the
world, right?

A: Yeah, yeah. There you go.

Q: [garbled]

A: Yeah, exactly. Well, there was embarrassment.

Q: [garbled]

A: Well, other than me, and the guy who was allegedly
flying the plane that was involved in shooting down 93, who they
don't want you to know about, who was held in prison for a
couple of years, at least, and I don't know if he's out or not.
I mean, they gave him a real hard time.

Q: Susan, can you remind me again what the sanctions were
for in Iraq? And, two questions. And then, I just wanted to
get clear about what you were arrested for.

A: Good. The sanctions were to punish Iraq for allegedly
having weapons of mass destruction. And, they said that they
would keep the sanctions on Iraq until all weapons of mass
destruction were confirmed to have been destroyed. Now, it
appears that they were actually destroyed by the end of 1996 or
1997. But, the United States had an ulterior agenda, which was
they were not going to let go of the sanctions until Saddam was out of power.

But, what had happened was, by the year 2000, while Bill Clinton was still in office, the international loathing for sanctions had become intense. Two million people had died from sanctions. Between 1.7 million and 2.2 million had died. And, the international community was violating the sanctions. The German pilots, and Jordanian pilots were - actually, it was coming from all over Europe - Germany was the first. And, they had pilots fly humanitarian supplies over the air through sovereign airspace and land at Baghdad Airport. And then, a whole bunch of other countries followed suit and they were all like "We're not going to do this. This is wrong, it is immoral, it is a crime against humanity. And, we recognize that."

And, so at that point the CIA - I wanted to do it - but at that point, the CIA knew that they were losing control of the situation, and that they'd better step in and do something. And, I wanted to end the sanctions. So, I was glad the pilots were doing this. But the CIA was very, very expedient. It was politically expedient. They were losing control of the situation. They wanted to take back their power.

Q: And what were you arrested for?
A: I was arrested for - I was accused of several things. One, I was accused of acting as an “unregistered Iraqi agent”, because I had delivered a letter to my cousin, who is the Chief of Staff to George Bush, telling him that the war in Iraq would be catastrophic, and outlining several of the consequences like democracy would throw power to Islamic fundamentalists; and, there would be a rise of terrorist attacks; and, the Iraqi people hated the sanctions, and they would hate America for having done all this, and if they could get their hands on
America, it would just be a very ugly, brutal occupation - and
they'd fight us. That was my letter. I went to jail for that.

And they also accused me of - they called it "unlawful,
financial transactions." I was accused - I'm not making this up
- of allegedly eating three lunches. I'm not making this up -
three lunches with Iraqi diplomats, totaling $92.92. We have
the receipts, and they brought criminal charges. They called
that a felony. There were secret charges against me which we
have deduced. We were never allowed to know what they were.
See, on the Patriot Act - let me just tell you a little bit,
because I think this is a good opportunity to explain.

When I was indicted, under the Patriot Act, they are
allowed to have secret charges, and secret evidence, and secret
grand jury testimony. The Patriot Act is modeled on - pretty
much verbatim in many pages, in page upon page - identical to
the old Communist Criminal, let's see, I guess it's the Soviet,
let's see, there's a long name for it, The Soviet Criminal Act,
which set up the KGB apparatus, and allowed people to make false
accusations against their neighbors without identifying
themselves. And, it set up the whole gulag system. And, the
Patriot Act is modeled on that Soviet law, The Soviet Criminal
Act. And, it's almost verbatim to what that is. So, I mean,
this is a really scary, scary law.

But I was accused of like, possibly - in the secret
charges, one of what we believe, the secret charge was that I
received a book - a book - from the Iraqis, that was a book on
depleted uranium and that was considered classified. Because,
if we were to tell American soldiers about the health risks, and
the rise of cancer rates, and birth defects, then it might
demoralize American soldiers. OK?

And, another thing is that Saddam's government tried - I'm
not making this up - another secret charge was that I, we
believe - because I know what I was doing in the periods when
they'd have a date - and I would know approximately what I was
doing on that date. And, so another one was that the Iraqis
tried to give the Bush administration several hundred thousand
dollars in campaign contributions during the presidential
election, because they wanted to show that they wanted to be
friends with America.

And, I had reported that to my Defense Intelligence
handler, and he was like "Holy shit. You got to tell the Iraqis
not to do this. You got to make them not do this." Because we
reminded them all of the Asian fundraising crisis under Clinton.
And he's like "Don't they watch C-Span? Don't they know they're
not supposed to do this?" And he said "You go back, and you
tell them if we find out they gave money to the Republican Party
in this campaign, we're going to bomb them."

But see, at this point, Iraq had been bombed so many times,
you couldn't threaten them with bombing anymore. Because, they
had already been attacked. How are you going to impress on
people who - "Oh, you're going to bomb us again? OK. Sure."
You know?

Q: I just wanted to know how you knew that Mohamed Atta
was a CIA asset.

A: That's been very well established. They've admitted
that he was a CIA asset.

Q: Could you send me a reference or something so I can -
to verify that?

A: I will find a reference for you and give it to these
guys. And, yeah, sure. I'll get you some references on that.
But, it has been verified that he was. And, he received some
military training, too.

Q: So, when I look in the audience here, we're pretty old.
If we look old to you, I'm sorry. I'm sorry.
Q: Do you go to universities or high schools? Because, young people can stir things up, and do some major changes. Can you do that, or do they say "No, you cannot do that"?

A: Well, actually, I really just started doing this because my book came out just six months ago. And, so I've done a lot of radio, and I've done a lot of blogging - which is more youth, I guess. That’s not really fair to say that it's younger people. But, I do a lot of radio, and a lot of new media stuff.

Q: What about college campuses?

A: I would love to do that, I would love to do that. This is really my first, kind of book tour. But, I would love to go to college campuses. I'm just kind of figuring out how to do it. Because, part of the problem is, the corporate media will not cover my story at all, even when I was locked up in prison.

One of my favorite stories - I know you guys all love Amy Goodman, but Amy Goodman - you got to hear what she did to me. When I was locked up, my boyfriend called her, and talked to her producers and said "Please, Susan is the cousin of the Chief of Staff to the President. She covered the Iraqi embassy. She warned about 9/11. She's locked up on a military base on the Patriot Act. And, they are refusing to give her a hearing.

And, she was the chief asset covering Iraqi pre-war intelligence. The government is saying she’s incompetent. And, they are saying that assets were incompetent. And, yet she's accused -" and he gave her the letter, the Andy Card letter and said "She wrote this letter, and it turned out she was right on all fronts. Couldn't you please interview her? Couldn't you do a story? Please, help us." And, you know what she did? She said "Well, maybe her attorney thinks this is a good strategy. We wouldn't want to upset things for settling the case."

Q: She’d been told to stay away from this story.
A: Yeah, but you know, she did it herself, and she's to blame for it. And, I will tell you, I will never forgive Amy Goodman for that.

My boyfriend was like, in tears. He was weeping. I mean, he was a retired navy guy. And you guys, you know Navy guys don’t – you know men. Some men don’t cry. He cried. He broke down in tears. And, it’s hard sometimes. Some men – and it’s hard sometimes – not all men – but some men cry, and I know that, but he did. He was really upset. And, he was just like "She won't do this." He was like, just heartbroken. He was heartbroken for me.

And, I was locked up in prison at the time. And, I'm on the prison phone saying "What the f*** do you mean Amy Goodman won't do this? How could she not think this is a right story? What did you say to her to make her not do this story?" And, he was like "I don't know, I don't know. I told her." And I said "Did you say this and this and this?" And he said "Yeah."

Q: I heard you on the Jeff [garbled] program last week –
A: On Libya.

Q: - on Libya, so that's very similar to what's happening right now.

A: There's no reason for the United States to be invading Libya at all. There is no justification for this. It's ironic because we're fighting Al-Qaeda in Afghanistan and Iraq, and Libya is actually, the Libyan rebels are radical Islamists who are trying to institute Sharia. And, whether you like Sharia or not, whether you like the Islam, whether you like Gaddafi or not – see, this is where it gets, you're an asset.

Whether you like Gaddafi – you may hate him, you may think he's bad – but the facts are that the rebels are Islamic radicals. They do want to institute Sharia. And, at least be honest and say it, because that's what you’re going to get.
And, don't pretend you're somewhere else. Don't pretend these are people who are doing something different than what you say. That is what their goal is. And, they don't want democracy.

Gaddafi has been in power for 41 years, and I know it's time for change, but he has also had a tremendous track record on women's rights. They do not have to wear the abaya. They are free not to get married if they don't want to. Women are free to get divorces if they want. And, when we talk about Sharia, what I mean is that the Libyan rebels want the women to wear the burka. An abaya is the burka where they cover their hair and stuff. They don't have to do that now. They are going to have to do it in the future. They do not want the women to have the right to reject marriage proposals. Under Gaddafi's government, they have the right.

An Imam actually visits the women before marriage, and sits with them privately - which is really unheard of - and makes sure that the women are not being pressured into a marriage. And, if the young woman says that she is being pressured, under the law of Libya, the Imam has to protect the woman from the abuse of the relatives. And, so he has to give her a chance to reject the marriage when nobody is there to pressure her to do it. Because, this is what happens in Islamic families.

Q: [garbled]
A: Right now, there is a counter-coup going on in Libya, in Benghazi. There's all kinds of dramatic stuff that's happening.

Q: Hasn't Gaddafi been a pretty good ally to the West in recent years?
A: Absolutely.

Q: Then why is NATO supporting the rebels if they are in favor of Sharia? I don't understand why NATO's supporting them.
A: It is very interesting. Gaddafi has oil - Libyan oil costs $1 per barrel to produce. And, the United States had preferential contracts already. The United States and France and Britain - they could take as much oil as they wanted. And, most of the oil does not come to the United States. It does, however, go to Italy and France and Britain. So, it's a major source of European oil. And yet, what Gaddafi was doing was, Gaddafi also has gold. And, I think it's very interesting that we have this major financial crisis, and now we're attacking a country that has both oil and a huge private reserve of gold on its own land. And like 500 - I would have to double check this fact - but it's like 500 tons of gold in its bank vaults.

Q: 143.
A: 143. I stand corrected. 143 tons of gold, correct?
Q: Something like that.
A: Something like that. And, we're trying to take their gold and their oil, and it's so dirty. It's a dirty fight.
Q: Why do these radical fundamentalists want to let us have the oil and the gold once they win?
A: Well, you know, you should be in Washington. That's the kind of thing that makes sense. But, the Americans are choosing to believe they're going to have this sweetheart deal. And, I am agreeing with you. I think that as soon as they get in, they are going to say "you know, too bad." Privately, I get emails from some of these - because they know me, they know that I'm out there. I'm against the rebels and they do send me - I do get emails that are very nasty. They said "Do you really think we'll sell out and give you our gold for free? Do you really think we're going to do that?" I'm like "Well, I think that Hillary Clinton thinks you're going to do it, and I think that David Cameron in Britain thinks you're going to do it."
And also, I do have documentation that Israel has promised - I actually have an Israeli military document, OK, in Hebrew, that I've had translated. OK, this is just what it says. This is a primary document. It says that Israel will provide financial support to the rebels in exchange for a military base in Libya, in the Green Mountains. And, the military base will be called One by One. And, the Green Mountains is where - close to where the gold is, which I find very interesting.

Q: Susan, I'm really interested in your constitution, and how you're dealing with all of this. I'm really impressed with all the interviews I've heard, and how you seem like a really grounded, confident, yet buoyant person, and hearing that you have a sense of humor and a sense of irony. I like to think I have that. But, how do people go through what you've gone through? And, people we know being called into grand jury, and put being put into detention - what was it called?

A: The Communications Center Detention. That is a very scary -

Q: When it gets that bad, how can we be sure that people can still stand up and talk like you are talking today?

A: Well, I'll tell you. When I was locked up in Carswell, I cried every day. Not when I first went in. When I first went in, I was told it was going to be four months, and then I'd be released. And, the first four months I was OK, because I was like "I'm going to get out of this. And, boy, am I going to have a story to tell. And, they're not going to shut me up."

But, when they refused to release me, and I realized that I was not going home, and at that point they dropped the bomb on me that they were trying to hold me indefinitely, and only when I thought I was going to be held indefinitely - and then that continued for another eight months - I became absolutely
terrified out of my mind. And, I began to have very serious post-traumatic stress.

Q: Well, you said you hadn't gone through depression before, and I was just wondering how –

A: I went through deep anxiety. And it was like, my blood pressure - the stress level - it was like a war, a constant war. I was so frightened. I actually color my hair, OK? My hair actually went white. And it did. It went white.

Q: So you had contact with people you needed to have contact with?

A: No, no. And, I'll tell you something. I was locked in prison, and at that point I had a public attorney, who made no effort to get me out. And, my uncle who, God bless his heart, lived in Illinois, was driving 700 miles. He was an attorney, though. He had 40 years of experience in corporate law. So he was not a criminal attorney, but he was an outstanding attorney. He read up on my type of law. He studied what I needed to do to move out of this situation, and he made three efforts to see me.

But, because I was locked up in what now they are calling a communications center - yeah, scary, scary people - and all the political prisoners, all the women political prisoners are being held in Carswell. So, if any of you guys are ever arrested on the Patriot Act, you'll be seeing the inside of Carswell, too. But, they don't have to let the attorneys onto the base.

And, so even though he was both family and an attorney, and he was coming on visiting hours - he was showing up when other family members were allowed on the base. Like, if you were in prison, you would be able to have your family members show up. He wasn't asking for any special privileges.

We filled all the forms. It was all reported correctly. He would show up at the base, and they would say "You're going
to see that Iraqi agent. You are not coming into our base. No, there is no prison here."

And, then they said there were no visiting hours on the weekends. And, other people were going right around him, and he was saying, "You can go in. You can go in. Here, you are not going in." And, he drove 700 miles in each direction. And, believe it or not, there's an affidavit from him in the back of my book. And so, what I'm telling you is confirmed. It's like it sounds extraordinary, but it is confirmed.

But, how did I keep my spirits up? I was terrorized. I was absolutely frightened out of my wits. And, I'm just jubilant that it's over. I did have a wonderful, loving companion who died. He died two days after the court granted my request for a hearing. For four years, we lived together, and he fought for me to have that hearing. And, then as soon as we got it - he had cancer at the end - and as soon as he found out that we had the hearing, he died. Two days - he didn't even live 48 hours after that. And, so then I had to have the hearing without him, and that was like, very traumatic. But, he always had this attitude that was really cool, which was, you know, he hated self-pity. And he wasn't going to tolerate it and he was like "You chose your values." And, he had this attitude, he was like "You choose your values, and when you believe in something, you have to be willing to pay the consequences, pay the price for your values. And, you have to take your consequences." And, he said "If you really believe in what you did, then you should be proud of yourself. And, don't let these people take this away from you." So, that was his attitude.

Q: Just one last question. I'm just not familiar with the word "asset." And, Robin asked that question, too. Can you
just help me clarify what that really is? Is that like a
secretary?

A: No, no. An asset is like an operative. It's like a
field operative. A human asset is the eyes and ears that goes
into the situation, into the room, and has direct contact with
events. So, that's why assets are trained, we are trained to be
very observant of the full situation, and to be very descriptive
of what we are doing. And, we're trained not to change what
we've seen for any reason. And, that was a real threat to the
Bush administration, because it’s just like, you don't ever
change your story.

Because the detail that you think is small, that you think
you might compromise, could turn out to be very important,
because you are compartmentalized. And, you're like, seeing a
picture of this, and they need to know what this is. They need
to see what you see right here. Even if you don't know what's
over there, or what's over there. They have to know that this
here that you're reporting is as accurate and precise as
possible.

Q: [garbled]

A: Yeah, basically, with the exception in this situation
that the Libyans and the Iraqis both knew who I was from the
first day that we went in. And, the reason that the Libyans
knew immediately - once I told the Libyans it was over, you
can't ever take it back - and that was that my CIA handler, Dr.
Richard Fuisz, was involved in the Lockerbie case, and he wanted
to be a witness testifying in the Lockerbie case. And, so
before I ever went to the Iraqis, we started talks for the
Lockerbie trial with Libya. And, we wanted the Libyans to know
that if they would accept the trial that his testimony would
help exonerate their people. So, then I had to tell them who I
was, and, so they knew.
But, I remember the first conversation I had with Mr. Amara at the Libyan embassy. He was like "We want to know why you are here. This is a very important question. It requires a very important answer." And, so he was like "We want to know what the heck are you doing?"

Because in 1995, when I established contact with the Libya House, people just didn’t wander into the Libya House, believe me. And, if I had not been an asset, the FBI would have arrested me the minute I came out of there. So, they were told not to touch me.

Q: After you were locked up for a year, and then it was five years total that you weren't allowed to say anything?
A: Well, five years I was under indictment. So, when you're under indictment, anything you say can and will be used against you in a court of law. However, what they had done was very clever. Because, when I was released from prison, the corporate media told everybody I was crazy. I had been declared "incompetent to stand trial". And, so there must be something really wrong with me, so most people wouldn't listen to what I had to say anyway.

Q: So what happened in the next four years? And, then did anybody say anything when you were starting to come out with this? And, have you ever heard from anybody, and your Mr. Whatever his name is at the CIA again?
A: Well, very good questions. I was actually under indictment for 18 months before I got sent to prison. And, then I was held for a year. So, when I am released from prison, that's actually the halfway mark of my case. Then I found another attorney, and it took him about another 18 months.

Try to understand what I'm saying to you. Two and a half years I'm under indictment, and I'm released from prison. For another 18 months, the court refuses to grant me a hearing after
I've been released from prison. Because I get another attorney, he says "I can take her case. I can work with this woman. As far as I'm concerned, she is competent to help me assist in this defense. She is capable of assisting in her own defense, if I'm taking it." He went into court, he stood in front of the judge, he assured the judge that he could work with me. This was not going to be a problem. Because, the reason anyone is declared incompetent is if they're not capable of helping their attorney in the case.

Q: What kind of court was it?
A: U.S. Federal Court, Southern District of New York. The first judge was Judge Michael Mukasey. He then retired, and a new judge took over - Loretta Preska. Her husband worked for Daddy Bush. There's a surprise.

Q: So after that, what happened until now?
A: So, we did have the hearing in June of 2008, right before the presidential election in November. And, people like Michael Hertzog, at Oracle Broadcasting, and Republic Broadcasting, the Internet radio was giving me a lot of attention. And, they were like, helping to defend me.

And, when my witness testified in court about the 9/11 warnings, then it exploded out more. But again, people who pay attention to the Internet do know this story. And, then they began to talk about it a lot more. But, then my case continued another year. And, my CIA handler has said, I'm told he thinks it's really a shame what they did to me. He feels sorry for me. He does not feel sorry for what he did. But he says that "I'm very sorry that they screwed Susan." But, he didn't give me any money for my legal defense.

Q: Did you hear anything from anybody about the book?
A: Oh, when I do radio interviews, there are funny things that happen. Like, my phone will cut out, and it’ll just go
dead. Like, I make sure that I have my batteries charged, and all this stuff, and the phone will just drop dead, drop out, and the battery will just disappear. Or, if they can't kill the phone calls, sometimes there will be like a loud beeping noise: "Beep! Beep! Beep!" And, all the way through like an hour interview without stop. It'll just continue all the way through.

Q: Has anyone directly come up and threatened your publisher?

A: Well, I have had to self-publish it. Because, the corporate press, they're like "No one wants to hear about 9/11. No one wants to hear this." And, then we were afraid. We did have some smaller publishers who were willing to take it, but we were very much afraid at that point that a smaller publisher would be threatened, and then they would close the book, and they'd stop it.

And, so I decided that the safest way to go forward would be to self-publish it, and hopefully, by the time you realize I have 700 footnotes, I've got the documents in here, I've got the affidavits in there, and now I'm safe because no one has sued me, no one has threatened to sue me, and so, hopefully, in the future, if I write a second book, then, hopefully, they'll pick up this one and both of them will be distributed.

So, thank you.
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