



CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS ARE NOT NEGOTIABLE!

SEARCH by topic, keyword or phrase. Type in Custom Search box

e.g. "IBM Eclipse Foundation" or "racketeering"

Google Custom Search input field with a search button

Friday, May 9, 2014

PATENT OFFICE DIRECTOR DAVID KAPPOS CONVENE RARE SECRET COURT WITH IBM CRONIES TO KILL LEADER'S PATENT

PATENTS ARE WORTHLESS IN THE HANDS OF "REEXAMINATION COURTS" CONVENE BY PATENT OFFICE JUDGES AND LAWYERS SECRETLY ALLIED WITH BIG INFRINGERS

BREAKING NEWS! CLICK HERE



Fig. 1—Twenty-five year IBMer David J. Kappos was appointed Patent Office Director by Barack Obama in a rare recess appointment in Aug. 2009. Kappos was a long time protege of Clinton national security advisor James P. Chandler, III who was directing the rogue C.I.A.'s operation to weaponize the Internet. This rogue C.I.A. stole the social networking invention of Columbus innovator Leader Technologies, Inc. and distributed it through the IBM Eclipse Foundation, started with a \$40 million "donation" from IBM.

DEEP STATE SHADOW GOVERNMENT POSTER

Harvard | Yale | Stanford | Sycophants

Updated Oct. 11, 2017.

CLICK HERE TO SEE COMBINED TIMELINE OF THE HIJACKING OF THE INTERNET

PAY-to-PLA Y NEW W ORLD ORDER This timeline shows how insiders sell access & manipulate politicians, police, intelligence, judges and media to keep their secrets

Clintons, Obamas, Summers were paid in cash for outlandish speaking fees and Foundation donations. Sycophant judges, politicians, academics, bureaucrats and media were fed tips to mutual funds tied to insider stocks like Facebook. Risk of public exposure, blackmail, pedophilia, "snuff parties" (ritual child sexual abuse and murder) and Satanism have ensured silence among pay-to-play beneficiaries. The U.S. Patent Office is their toy box from which to steal new ideas.

Request for Congressional Intervention



CONTRIBUTING WRITERS | OPINION | AMERICAN S FOR INNOVATION | MAY 10, 2014, UPDATED OCT. 25, 2017 | PDF

ORIGINAL POST

(MAY 10, 2014)—Ohio innovator Leader Technologies released a letter sent this week to the U.S. Patent Office. Leader's CEO Michael McKibben asked the Patent Office to rescind the

latest decision of a secret “patent reexamination” court. This administrative court was convened by former director, David J. Kappos, using a rare statute that pundits say has never been used in their memory.

On Jul. 27, 2010, Facebook was found guilty in federal court of infringing Leader’s patent for social networking on 11 of 11 counts. Even so, this Kappos administrative court decided on May 6th in secret deliberations to kill Leader’s entire patent. Leader filed for the patent in 2002; was awarded the patent in 2006; and, proved Facebook was infringing the patent in 2010.

DIRTY LITTLE SECRET: A CRONY LAWYER UNDERGROUND RUNS THE USPTO

Few inventors realize that being awarded a patent is only the beginning. Patent reexaminations can create as much or more expense and time to protect the patent from predators as it took to get it.

One veteran inventor interviewed—a holder of over a dozen technology patents—is facing nine reexaminations right now, on just one patent. This inventor believes that reexaminations have become the new tool that big infringers use to “kick the can down the road” until the inventor runs out of money. In this way, the “America Invents Act” has been nothing more than a license for big infringers to harass and steal from smaller inventors.

Patent administrative “reexaminations” are the dirty little power secret of the crony lawyer underground in Washington, D.C. If you lose in open court, then you can still kill the patentee in secret using “administrative actions” by cronies inside the Patent Office. After all, the people staffing the Patent Office usually worked at the law firms who file patent applications, and they look for employment at those same firms later. The revolving door of conflicting influences is evident, and it is slowly choking American innovators. The Leader v. Facebook matter, though, has gone well beyond everyday corruption.



FIG. 2—DAVID J. KAPPOS (left) and RANDALL R. RADER (center) speaking at a conference about “How to Bridge the Transatlantic P Divide.”

[Editorial: Evidently, the answer is to grease the skids for their cronies to steal the innovations of others, and exploit them globally... after all, they know what's best for us. While they run roughshod over the U.S. Constitution in private, they praise it publicly with such euphemisms as “The America Invents Act.”] Photo: Flickr.

On Apr. 19, 2013, the secret Kappos court decided that supposed new prior art evidence had compelled them to reverse en masse 12 years of their previous decisions affirming Leader’s patent claims. However, Kappos cited no evidence whatsoever for this claim. Instead, the court merely regurgitated Facebook’s earlier spurious arguments, arguments that were defeated already at trial and in two previous reexaminations. The examiner, Deandra M. Hughes, appears to have bowed to the pressure from the Kappos’ kangaroo court.

In their failed arguments, Facebook repeatedly cited Microsoft and Xerox documents. This is an important fact in this story.

THE NEW EVIDENCE MUST BE “COMPELLING” AND THE USE OF THIS POWER “RARE”

According to patent law (specifically, [37 CFR 1.520](#) of the Code of Federal Regulations regarding patent reexaminations), reexaminations are intended to occur if “compelling” new evidence of prior art comes to light that could bring a patent’s validity into question. The powers are to be used only in a “rare” circumstance where a gross injustice would otherwise occur. Reexams were a big topic in the America Invents Act. The Act was heavily promoted by two key players in this story, Director **DAVID J. KAPPOS**, and PTO Counsel **PINCHUS M. LAUFER**.

Social Networking: The True Story

HealthCare.gov's confiscation of this property cannot stand

Leader: 145,000 man-hours • over \$10 million • 20 people • solid engineering • hackers not welcome • affirms privacy, security, property • no foreign influence • respects U.S. Constitution

Facebook: "one to two weeks" • beer money • all by myself • 28 hidden hard drives • stole Harvard photos • hacks email • PayPal Mafia handlers • scofs at privacy • corrupts markets, judges, politicians & gov't agencies

OpenTrial.org

Leader v. Facebook

Facebook — a force for freedom perhaps, but at odds with the rule of law in the U.S.

John Adams, or ...?

Federal Corruption

CLICK TO LOOK INSIDE

- Congressional Briefings
- Federal Circuit Censored Docket
- Americans For Innovation Archives
- Disclosures: Exec | Judiciary | USPTO
- Patent Office FOIA Stonewalling
- Donna Kline Now! Archives

Faces of Facebook Corruption

Updated Mar. 19, 2014



CONGRESS CONTACT LOOKUP

Contacting the Congress



Universal Toxic Substance Symbol & Warning

FINANCIAL HOLDINGS OF OBAMA POLITICAL APPOINTEES, BY AGENCY

FOLLOW BY EMAIL

Email address...

BLOG ARCHIVE

- ▶ 2017 (21)
- ▶ 2016 (39)
- ▶ 2015 (34)

FORMER IBMer SUSPECTS FOUL PLAY

Kappos initiated this reexam order against Leader on his own initiative. Curiously, three weeks earlier, on Mar. 22, 2010, IBM sold Facebook 750 patents. This sale was puzzling to one former IBMer interviewed for this story. He said, "This sale never made sense to me. IBM takes great pride in its patent portfolio. In fact, as recently as January 14th of this year, [IBM issued a press release](#) announcing its 21st straight year of patent leadership. Selling away patents is against IBM's core mission. License, for sure, but sell outright, never. The moment I heard that news, I suspected foul play."

Leader CEO Michael McKibben said, "When our lawyers received the order they were dumbfounded. They had never in their careers seen a Patent Officer Director use this 'rare' privilege. "

AFI investigators, too, have puzzled over Facebook's relationship to IBM, ever since Facebook purchased the 750 IBM patents. That was two months before Facebook went public on May 18, 2012.

MAR. 22, 2012 (IBM PATENTS SOLD) – APR. 17 (FORMER IBMer KAPPOS REEXAM ORDER) – MAY 18 (FACEBOOK IPO)

Sandwiched in between the 750 patent sale and the Facebook IPO, on Apr. 17, 2012, Director Kappos invoked his "rare" statutory privilege against Leader. The fog is finally clearing as to his motive.

AFI investigators have researched the backgrounds of USPTO personnel involved in this kangaroo court. The first glaring fact is that IBMer Kappos staffed the court with former IBM devotees, and in doing so, "stacked the deck" against Leader.

The IBMer we interviewed quipped: "once an IBMer, always an IBMer, it becomes part of our DNA. We took great pride in metaphorically bleeding 'IBM blue.'"

THE PATENT OFFICE INVOKED EXECUTIVE PRIVILEGE TO BLOCK FOIA DISCLOSURE IN LEADER V. FACEBOOK

Here's a summary of the patents these former examiners have issued to IBM, Microsoft and Xerox (a.k.a. Palo Alto Research Center - PARC). We've included check marks (X) for known relationships also. Since the Patent Office FOIA officer, Kathryn W. Siehndel, has stonewalled release of the judicial and employee conflicts logs (except for William J. Stoffel), we have marked the other relationships as "concealed." Note that FOIA officer Siehndel invoked executive privilege to block release of information. We can only presume that President Obama was protecting his political interest in Facebook.

DAVID J. KAPPOS' SECRET KANGAROO COURT

Kappos' Kangaroo Court, Patent Trial and Appeal Board (PTAB)*	Title	IBM Patents Issued	Microsoft Patents Issued	Xerox Patents Issued*	Vanguard Fund Relationship	Fidelity Fund Relationship	White & Case LLP Relationship
U.S. Department of Commerce (Kappos' & PTAB bosses)							
Penny S. Pritzker	Sec'ty	X	X	X	X	X	X

- ▼ 2014 (26)
- ▶ December (1)
- ▶ November (2)
- ▶ October (3)
- ▶ September (1)

- ▶ August (3)
- ▶ July (2)
- ▶ June (3)

- ▼ May (2)

GLOBAL SURVEILLANCE TECHNOCRACY UNCOVERED

PATENT OFFICE DIRECTOR DAVID KAPPOS CONVENE RARE ...

- ▶ April (3)
- ▶ March (1)
- ▶ February (2)
- ▶ January (3)

- ▶ 2013 (28)


- ▶ 2012 (6)

UPDATE MAR. 25, 2014


FIVE CRITICAL AFI POSTS ON JUDICIAL COMPROMISE

Fully updated Mar. 25, 2014 in the wake of the Scribd censorship:


- HOW PATENT JUDGES GROW RICH ON THE BACKS OF AMERICAN INVENTORS**



Patent Office filings are shuffled out the USPTO backdoor to crony lawyers, banks and deep-pocket clients.
- WAS CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS BLACKMAILED** into supporting Obamacare by his ethical compromises in Leader v. Facebook?
- JUSTICE ROBERTS MENTORED**



Facebook Gibson Dunn LLP attorneys.
- JUSTICE ROBERTS HOLDS** substantial Facebook financial interests.
- JUDGE LEONARD STARK FAILED** to disclose his Facebook financial interests and his reliance on Facebook's Cooley Godward LLP attorneys for his appointment.



BARACK OBAMA'S DARK POOLS OF CORRUPTION

Rebecca M. Blank	Sec'ty	X	X	X	X	X	X
Cameron F. Kerry	Counsel	X	X	X	X	X	X
U.S. Patent Office							
David J. Kappos	Director	X	X	X	X	Concealed	Concealed
Stephen C. Siu*	Judge	X	X	Concealed	Concealed	Concealed	Concealed
Allen R. MacDonald*	Judge	127	8	12	Concealed	Concealed	Concealed
Meredith J. Petravick*	Judge	Concealed	Concealed	Concealed	Concealed	Concealed	Concealed
Kimberly Jordan*	Counsel	12	10	Concealed	Concealed	Concealed	Concealed
Daniel Ryman*	Counsel	21	8	Concealed	Concealed	Concealed	Concealed
Pinchus M. Laufer*	Counsel	9	3	2	Concealed	Concealed	Concealed
William J. Stoffel*	Counsel	x	Concealed	Concealed	X	X	Concealed
Kathryn W. Siehdnel	FOIA	X	X	Concealed	Concealed	Concealed	X
SUBTOTALS		169	29	14	X	X	X

TABLE 1: PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD (PTAB) convened by the unilateral initiative of Director David J. Kappos, former IBM General Counsel for intellectual property, to reexamine Leader Technologies, Inc.'s U.S. Patent No. 7,139,761 a third time, even though Facebook had not asked for the action, and Facebook had lost the arguments at trial and in two previous reexaminations.

UPDATED, MAY 11, 2014

MISTRIAL! YOUR HONOR, LEADER IS TRYING TO LET FACTS GET IN THE WAY OF A GOOD STORY

*XEROX also assigned patents to its research arm named PALO ALTO RESEARCH CENTER (PARC). Of particular note: One of the patents Facebook continues to push forward as prior art (and has lost the argument each time), is [SWARTZ ET AL, XEROX CORPORATION, U.S. PATENT NO. 6,236,994](#).

The Tale of Xerox's Mr. Swartz

Here's the hilarious backstory on Facebook's The Tale of Xerox's Mr. Swartz. [According to the trial transcript \(p. 308 teed up, click here\)](#), Leader's attorney Paul Andre, pointed out that the Patent Examiner on Leader's patent application, Diane Mizrahi, had examined Swartz earlier. So yet again, Facebook was speaking out of both sides of their mouths. They wanted the jury to believe that the examiner, who had earlier examined Swartz and got it right, had later gotten it wrong on Leader's application. Now here's the funny part, Facebook filed for a mistrial over this fact!

Following Facebook's outrage, Judge Leonard P. Stark ruled that Mr. Andre could no longer speak of such vileness. Seriously, he prohibited any further exploration of the fact that Facebook was arguing an utter contradiction of logic.

So for non-patent people (most of us), here's the important point. Facebook lost the Swartz argument at trial, despite Judge Stark's help. They then lost the Swartz argument in two previous reexams. The fact that the new examiner, Deandra M. Hughes, would do a complete flip-flop of her much tested opinion, stretches credulity. Bottom line, Facebook, IBM, Microsoft and Xerox are attempting by regulatory corruption and stealth what they could not win in a fair fight.




FIG. 3—Facebook argued that Leader's patent examiner, Diane Mizrahi, later got it wrong with Leader's innovation, but that she earlier got it right with Xerox's Swartz patent application. So, they argued that Mizrahi was both credible and not credible in the same argument. This has come to be expected from Facebook's duplicity. Photo Stepbystep.com

This kangaroo court has collectively issued 212 patents to IBM, Microsoft and Xerox (a.k.a. Palo Alto Research Center - PARC). William J. Stoffel specifically disclosed conflicts with IBM, Vanguard and Fidelity—all beneficiaries of Facebook interests. FOIA Officer Kathryn W. Siehdnel worked for Facebook's attorney in this case, White & Case LLP. Everyone else has stonewalled disclosure of their conflicts logs. White & Case LLP also represents IBM and Microsoft.

Still being investigated are connections between these IBMers and Leader's first patent attorney, PROFESSOR JAMES P. CHANDLER. At the very least, these individuals should have disclosed their prior associations with Professor Chandler and recused themselves. Professor Chandler's work as one of IBM's chief outside counsels is public knowledge in the Washington, D.C. legal community.

[FORMER FBI DIRECTOR LOUIS FREEH](#) made special mention of Professor Chandler and IBM in his now famous Feb. 28, 1996 Senate testimony on the proposed Economic Espionage Act.

Click to enlarge



CLICK HERE FOR WASHINGTON'S ETHICAL DISEASE DISCOVERIES RE. FACEBOOK "DARK POOLS"

STOP FACEBOOK PROPERTY THEFT

We see. We "like." We steal.
STOP FACEBOOK PROPERTY THEFT.
www.fbcovr.com

W LL HUMANK ND EVER LEARN? Facebook's Orwellian doublespeak about property and privacy (theft) merely repeats the eventual dehumanization of the individual under MAO's Red Star, Stalin's SOV ET Hammer & Cycle and Hitler's NAZI Swastika. Respect for the inalienable rights of each individual is a bedrock value of democracy. The members of the Facebook Cabal abuse this principle at every opportunity. They evidently believe that they deserve special privileges and are willing to lie, cheat and steal in order to treat themselves to these privileges.

ASK CONGRESS: PASS THE INVENTOR PROTECTION ACT!

LEADER TECHNOLOGIES
Inventor Protection Act
 (Proposed)

America needs to practice what it preaches.

We have no business lecturing the world about free enterprise and the rule of law, when we permit the investors in Ohio-based innovator Leader Technologies to go uncompensated for the risks they took to help invent social networking . . .

- a technology upon which the President and U.S. government now rely;
- a technology *stolen* by the "Facebook Cabal" who recruited the federal courts and Patent Office into their club of corruption.

Rescind. Investigate. Sanction. Certify.

Contact your representatives. Ask them to pass it.
 Real American inventors need your support.
<http://www.contactingthecongress.org/>
<http://americans4innovation.blogspot.com>

Click image above to download a poster-quality PDF optimized for a 11in. x 17in. (ledger-size) poster. America should not be in the business of cheating its entrepreneurial investors simply because the cheaters buy off judges with the money gained from their theft. Such permissiveness is obscene.

FACEBOOK – IBM COMMON INTEREST DISCOVERED

Until now, the Facebook-IBM connection has been baffling—since IBM represents the Establishment, and Facebook the Wild West. However, the thing they have in common at the Patent Office is their lack of disclosure of Leader’s patent in their applications.

INEQUITABLE CONDUCT

“Inequitable Conduct” is patent legal-speak for fraud. When you file for a patent, you are obligated by law to tell the patent examiner about other inventions, patents, technical journals, etc. that should be considered in deciding whether or not your invention is novel and unique. Failure to disclose material prior art references will usually get your patent invalidated.

Donna Kline, former Bloomberg TV investigative reporter, first reported this story on Mar. 29, 2012 - “[Proof Fenwick & West LLP did not disclose Leader as prior art to Facebook](#).” Kline proved that Palo Alto-based Fenwick & West LLP, Facebook’s attorney (after being Leader’s attorney), did not disclose Leader’s patent in the prior art references for later Facebook patent applications. This was after they did disclose the Leader patent in earlier work for **MARC ANDREESSEN**, now a Facebook director.

PATENT OFFICE SCANDAL – FEED CRONY VENTURE CAPITALISTS WITH A STREAM OF NEW IDEAS FROM WHICH TO STEAL, THEN STONEWALL THROUGH REGULATORY OBSTRUCTION

FACEBOOK DID NOT disclose Leader’s patent when **FENWICK & WEST LLP** clearly knew about it since: (1) they had been Leader’s attorney, and (2) they had already disclosed their knowledge of it in three Andreessen patents in 2005, well before they started representing Facebook at the Patent Office.

Now we discover that three major firms, **IBM, MICROSOFT** and **XEROX (aka PALO ALTO RESEARCH CENTER)**, also DID NOT disclose Leader’s prior art. Not a single patent already issued to IBM, Microsoft or Xerox by the members of the kangaroo court who were examiners disclosed Leader’s patent in any of their work. See for yourself: [Daniel Ryman](#), [Kimberly Jordan](#), [Allen R. MacDonald](#) and [Pinchus M. Laufer](#).

INEQUITABLE CONDUCT FACTS:

At the center of this scandal now are two attorneys/firms that Leader hired in 2002 regarding Leader’s own patents, intellectual property and securities:

- **PROFESSOR JAMES P. CHANDLER, Washington, D.C.**
- **FENWICK & WEST LLP, Palo Alto, CA**

In later years and hundreds if not thousands of patent filings for Facebook, IBM, Microsoft, and Xerox later, not a single one of these filings disclosed Leader’s U.S. Pat. No. 7,139,761

LEADER V. FACEBOOK BACKGROUND

Jul. 23, 2013 NOTICE: DonnaKlineNow! has gone offline. All her posts are available as a [PDF collection here](#) (now updated, post-Scribd censorship).

Mar. 20, 2014 READER NOTICE: On Mar. 7, 2014, all of our documents linked to Scribd were deleted by that “cloud” service using the flimsiest of arguments. Some of our documents have been there for two years and some had almost 20,000 reads.

George Orwell wrote in 1984 that one knows one is in a totalitarian state when telling the truth becomes an act of courage.

All the links below were updated Mar . 20, 2014 (many thanks to our volunteers!)

1. [Summary of Motions, Appeal, Petition, Evidence, Analysis, Briefings](#) (FULL CITATIONS) in Leader Technologies, Inc. v. Facebook, Inc., 08-cv-862-JJF-LPS (D. Del. 2008), published as Leader Techs, Inc. v. Facebook, Inc., 770 F. Supp. 2d 686 (D. Del. 2001)
2. Dr. Lakshmi Arunachalam’s Censored Federal Circuit Filings (Archive)
3. [Brief Summary](#) of Leader v. Facebook
4. [Backgrounder](#)
5. [Fenwick & West LLP Duplicity](#)
6. [Instagram-scam](#)
7. [USPTO-reexam Sham](#)
8. [Zynga-gate](#)
9. [James W. Breyer / Accel Partners LLP Insider Trading](#)
10. [Federal Circuit Disciplinary Complaints](#)
11. [Federal Circuit Cover-up](#)
12. [Congressional Briefings re. Leader v. Facebook judicial corruption](#)
13. [Prominent Americans Speak Out](#)
14. [Petition for Writ of Certiorari](#)
15. [Two Proposed Judicial Reforms](#)
16. [S. Cr. for Schemers or Inventors?](#)
17. [Attorney Patronage Hijacked DC?](#)



18. [Justice Denied | Battle Continues](#)
19. [FB Robber Barons Affirmed by S. Cr.](#)
20. [Judicial Misconduct WALL OF SHAME](#)
21. [Corruption Watch - "Oh what webs we weave, when first we practice to deceive"](#)
22. [Facebook | A Portrait of Corruption](#)
23. [White House Meddling](#)
24. [Georgia! AM 1080 McKibben Interview](#)
25. [Constitutional Crisis Exposed](#)
26. [Abuse of Judicial Immunity since Stump](#)

as a prior art reference—even though their lawyers worked on developing the Leader innovations and clearly knew about it. The fact is, even if the current invalidation were to stick (which it cannot given the fraud), they had an equitable conduct obligation to have disclosed it anyway.

What is appearing more evident each day is that Michael McKibben and Leader Technologies trumped the great and mighty IBM, Microsoft and Xerox patent mills regarding the new Internet. It appears that these organizations are now trying to rewrite history to keep from invalidating thousands of their patents that withheld knowledge of the Leader innovations from dozens of patent examiners, judges (and ultimately their bosses—the American people).

The Patent Office proof of this knowledge came from Fenwick’s filings for Marc Andreessen on Feb. 8, 2010.

INEQUITABLE CONDUCT MADE STRANGE BEDFELLOWS AMONG FACEBOOK, IBM, MICROSOFT AND XEROX

Inequitable conduct regarding Leader’s patent is what these companies have in common. Their misconduct is exposed by the very existence of Leader’s patent.

Their solution appears to be to wipe Leader’s patent off the books in the secret Kappos kangaroo court and hope nobody notices.

AVOID IMPROPRIETY AND THE APPEARANCE OF IMPROPRIETY

Judges and public officials have a well-known ethical rule: avoid impropriety and the appearance of impropriety.

These officials not only abused this rule, they obliterated it.

- 27. [Obamacare Scandal Principals are intertwined in the Leader v. Facebook scandal](#)
- 28. [S.E.C. duplicity re. Facebook](#)

GIBSON DUNN LLP exposed as one of the most corrupt law firms in America



Investigative Reporter Julia Davis investigates Facebook’s Leader v. Facebook attorney Gibson Dunn LLP. She credits this firm with the reason why not

a single Wall Street banker has gone to jail since 2008. [Click here](#) to read her article “Everybody hates whistleblowers.” [Examiner.com, Apr. 10, 2012](#). Here’s an excerpt:

“Skillful manipulation of the firm’s extensive media connections allows Gibson Dunn to promote their causes, while simultaneously smearing their opponents and silencing embarrassing news coverage.”

This statement followed right after Davis cited Facebook’s chief inside counsel in the Leader v. Facebook case, Theodore Ullyot, who appears to have helped lead the Leader v. Facebook judicial corruption. Interesting word choices associated with Gibson Dunn LLP: manipulation, smear. Attorneys swear a solemn oath to act morally, ethically, and in support of democratic principles. They promise to conduct themselves in a manner than instills confidence among the citizenry in the rule of law and the judicial system. These promises appear to be meaningless. [Click here for a PDF version of Julie Davis’ article.](#)

BREAKING NEWS, MAY 24, 2014

FEDERAL CIRCUIT CHIEF JUDGE RESIGNS AMID MORE SCANDAL INVOLVING ACTORS IN LEADER V. FACEBOOK

MAY 24, 2014—The Legal Times and The Wall Street Journal reported yesterday that Federal Circuit Chief Judge Randall R. Rader resigned as Chief Judge after an email surfaced revealing more impropriety. In his resignation letter, Rader said “I never expected that email to emerge as it did.” This is a curious statement from a high court judge who took an oath to recuse himself when personal relationships could bias a case. Is this a Freudian way of saying if I hadn’t gotten caught, my bias would have been OK? [Click here for media coverage of the Rader resignation.](#)



Judge Randall Ray Rader

The lawyer and his law firm at the center of this new controversy are well known to those who follow the Leader v. Facebook corruption scandal: **EDWARD R. REINES** and **WEIL GOTSHAL LLP**.

EDWARD R. REINES & WEIL GOTSHAL APPEARED IN LEADER V. FACEBOOK ON SEP. 11, 2012 IN AN ATTEMPT TO WHITEWASH JUDGE CONFLICTS IN THE CASE, INCLUDING FACEBOOK STOCK HOLDINGS


Reines filed a request in Leader v. Facebook to absolve the judges of conflicts of interests following an amicus curiae (friend of the court) motion to compel the judges to disclose their conflicts of interests. Those conflicts included: (1) judge investments in Facebook and the Facebook Cartel, and (2) relationships among the judges with one of Leader’s potential witnesses and former attorney, **PROFESSOR JAMES P. CHANDLER, III**, Founder and President of The National Intellectual Property Law Institute (NIPLI). Rader was Professor Chandler’s law student at George Washington University. Rader was also a Chandler faculty member at N PLI.

POPULAR POSTS



OHIO STATE’S PRESIDENT MICHAEL V. DRAKE MIREN IN PERSONAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Trustees and Provost promote learning technology that benefits trustee clients and is stolen from OSU alums [Contributing Writers | Opini...](#)



OSU BAND INVESTIGATION UNEARTH’S SURPRISE TRUSTEE COLLUSION IN PATENT THEFT

Breaking News, Sep. 3, 2014 , 10:05am OSU Trustee President, Jeffrey Wadsworth, “counterattacks” the Band Alumni leadership T...



GOVERNOR JOHN KASICH HOLDS MUCH STOCK IN OSU TRUSTEE PRIVATE INTERESTS

Governor’s trustee appointments reveal strong bias toward protecting his investments [Contributing Writers | Opinion | AMERICANS FOR INNOVA...](#)

[Click here to see Reines' Leader v. Facebook appearance on behalf of the Federal Circuit Bar Association.](#) These filings were never properly docketed by the Clerk of Court, [JAN HORBALY](#), who has also resigned. See coverage about Chandler and the USPTO scandal below.

Rader denied Leader's petition for rehearing after his three-judge panel railroaded an opinion in favor of Facebook just as the IPO was starting. That panel consisted of **ALAN D. LOURIE**, **KIMBERLY A. MOORE** and **EVAN J. WALLACH**. Each of the panel held substantial amounts of stock in Facebook interests, including Facebook, Microsoft, IBM, JPMorgan, Goldman Sachs and Morgan Stanley. See Federal Corruption - Disclosures in the sidebar.

JUDGE MOORE ALSO FAILED TO DISCLOSE THAT WEIL GOTSHAL WAS HER FORMER CLIENT

[Click here for Kimberly A. Moore Senate Judiciary Confirmation Hearing Testimony, Jun. 28, 2006, p. 79.](#)

Her husband, **MATTHEW J. MOORE**, went to work for **LATHAM & WATKINS LLP**, the lobbying law firm for **JAMES W. BREYER**, Facebook's largest shareholder and former chairman, and for the **NATIONAL VENTURE CAPITAL ASSOCIATION (NCVA)**. Breyer was chairman of the NCVA, along with the fund managers for Fidelity, Vanguard and T.Rowe Price, among others, in whom these judges were heavily invested, most tellingly, **FIDELITY CONTRAFUND**, **VANGUARD GROUP** and **T.ROWE PRICE GROUP**. T.Rowe Price was a 5.2% holder of Facebook stock at the PO. **T.ROWE PRICE** and **BAILLIE GIFFORD (VANGUARD'S "ADVISOR")**, were the top two funds behind the "Chinese Facebook" **BAIDU (CHINA) ADR**, which, along with the Russian oligarch-(protégé of Larry Summers) owned **VKONTAKTE** site, all started at the same time as Facebook, magically. [See past post.](#)

Latham & Watkins LLP's former partner, **THOMAS J. KIM**, issued the now infamous S.E.C. 500-shareholder exemption to Facebook that open the floodgates for billions of dollars in dubious funds from Moscow oligarchs to purchase insider shares sold by **GOLDMAN SACHS**, **JPMORGAN** and **MORGAN STANLEY**. The Wall Street Journal article described these relationships as "clubby." That was too kind.

In an evident circling of the Leader v. Facebook corruption wagons, **JUDGE WALLACH** was assigned to the case from which Rader had recused himself. Out of the frying pan, and into the fire.

These new developments prove that the Leader v. Facebook case was tainted by judicial bias at the highest levels.



FIG. 4—RADER'S EMAIL PROVES COLLUSION WITH FACEBOOK ALSO

Facebook's **THOMAS G. HUNGAR**, **GIBSON DUNN LLP**, and **EDWARD R. REINES**, **WEIL GOTSHAL LLP**, represented the interests of all the Federal Circuit judges, including **RANDALL R. RADER** in 2010. Collusion among Hungar, Reines and Rader is now evident. Click here for the [Assn for Molecular Pathology v. USPTO](#) case. [Click here for analysis](#) of the doctored docket in that case.

NEW, MAY 26, 2014




FIG. 5—EDWARD R. REINE'S F.C.B.A. FILING IN LEADER V. FACEBOOK PROVES COLLUSION WITH THE COURT BY THEIR SILENCE

EDWARD R. REINES, **WEIL GOTSHAL LLP**, represented the interests of all the judges in this Leader v. Facebook filings as well. None of the judges disclosed their conflict with Reines. [Click here to read the full filing.](#)



FIRING OF OSU BAND LEADER EXPOSES CORRUPTION AT BATTELLE LABS, PATENT OFFICE, NSA
Jeffrey Wadsworth, Battelle CEO and OSU Trustee

president, does out OSU contracts to Facebook Cartel thru his McBea Strategic LLC lobbyis...



PROOF: ROBERT MUELLER CANNOT BE IMPARTIAL IN THE RUSSIA INVESTIGATION
Mueller's Deep State relationships will politicize the FBI yet again

Contributing Writers | Opinion | AMERICANS FOR INNOVATION | ...



TOP 12 REASONS ROBERT MUELLER IS A TRUMP HITMAN TO HIDE THE DEEP STATE'S SINS

President Trump and our Republic are in peril from Deep State operatives like Robert S. Mueller
Contributing Writers | Opinion | AMERIC...



HILLARY'S FOUNDATION DIRECTOR TERRY MCAULIFFE PAID \$675,000 BRIBE TO SPOUSE OF FBI LEAD INVESTIGATOR

WikiLeaks: McAuliffe is part of Clinton Foundation inner circle with Cheryl Mills, John Podesta, Doug Band and Justin Cooper—the email se...




LEADER TECHNOLOGIES FILES TRILLION DOLLAR BOND LIEN ON THE U.S. GOVERNMENT

President Trump is asked to compensate Leader for the Deep State shadow government Leader's social net...



MASSIVE WASHINGTON CORRUPTION EXPOSED BY LEADER V. FACEBOOK

Bi-partisan citizen group appeals to Congress to RESTORE PROPERTY
CONFISCATED BY widespread federal corruption incl. interference by Nancy ...



DISASTROUS RISE OF A LAWLESS C.I.A.

Presidents from Washington to Eisenhower feared threats to liberty from abuses of power by the military-industrial complex
Contributing...

EDITORIALS

1. DC Bar refuses to investigate attorney misconduct in Leader v. Facebook - Unwillingness of DC attorneys to self-police may explain why Washington is broken, Dec. 30, 2012
2. Will the U.S. Supreme court support schemers or real American inventors? Facebook's case dangles on a doctored interrogatory. Eighteen (18) areas of question shout for attention, Dec. 27, 2012

UPDATE, MAY 24, 2014: "Are you comfortable, confident and at ease, or uncomfortable and uneasy, when you think of the future of the Nation in 30 years?"

Consider supporting [The Dreyfuss Initiative](http://www.youtube.com/user/dreyfussinitiative). <http://www.youtube.com/user/dreyfussinitiative>

UPDATE, MAY 16, 2014

[EDITORIAL]: F.C.C.'S NET NEUTRALITY ACTION UNITES THE LEFT AND RIGHT



[The F.C.C.'s current proposal](#) to let deep-pocket providers pay for superior Internet access is bad for free speech. Don't be fooled by the morality play allegedly pitting some of the players for and others against the proposed changes. That's nothing but window dressing to fool the masses. They all want it.

As we describe below, the America Invents Act (A.I.A.) was another of their crony achievements. All it has done is give excuses for regulators to secretly rewrite rules that their handlers don't like, especially where prior, well-tested regulations used to toss them out on their ears.



Free Speech Pacman Graphic Mashable

FREE SPEECH & PROPERTY DESTROYERS




FIG. 6—ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION video titled "Stop Watching Us: The Video," Published on Oct 23, 2013. StopWatching.us is a coalition of more than 100 public advocacy organizations and companies from across the political spectrum. Join the movement at <https://rally.stopwatching.us>.

If we allow these predators to create Internet access haves and have nots, it will permit these people to manipulate the underlying gears and pulleys of communications—at the router level. **With that level of control, free speech will be destroyed.** The F.C.C. is going through the motions, just like the S.E.C. did in granting Facebook the unprecedented exemption from the 500-shareholder rule. Facebook's handlers at Goldman Sachs, Morgan Stanley and JPMorgan used that exemption as the excuse to make a multi-billion private market from Russian oligarchs (FACTS: who were partnered with Goldman in Moscow and who were mentored by Obama's bailout director, Lawrence "Larry" Summers, along with Facebook's COO, Sheryl K. Sandberg) and pump Facebook's pre-IPO valuation to \$100 billion. The S.E.C. turned a blind eye ([Commissioner Mary L. Schapiro](#) was in on the pump-and-dump scam; in fact, she was more heavily invested in the Facebook Cartel than any other Obama administration official). And, just like [Commerce Secretary Rebecca M. Blank](#) was in on the A.I.A. scam. Blank has the second most Facebook Cartel holdings. See sidebar, Federal Corruption, [Disclosures](#).

We cannot allow these criminals to create more excuses at the F.C.C. to seize control of our democracy. That's not good for the Left or Right. If this happens, the only question will be whether the resulting totalitarian regime will be labelled communist or fascist. Either way, democracy dies.

* * *

"MISTRIAL!"

See Facebook's Mistrial! humor below — The Tale of Xerox's Mr. Swartz. [CLICK HERE.](#)




FIG. 7—SORTA-MAYBE PATENT COVER. In the hands of patent reexamination courts, a patent is a worthless piece of paper. Inventors can win a patent infringement lawsuit,

3. Two Policy Changes That Will Make America More Democratic (and less contentious), Dec. 21, 2012

OUR MISSION

American citizens must fight abuse of the constitutional right for authors and inventors to enjoy the fruits of their inventions, as a matter of matter of basic property rights and sound public policy. Otherwise, instead of innovation, creativity, genius, ideas, vision, courage, entrepreneurship, respect, property, rejuvenation, morals, ethics, values, renewal, truth, facts, rights, privacy, solutions and judicial faithfulness,

. . . our society and economy will be dragged down (and eventually destroyed) by copying, infringement, thievery, counterfeiting, hacking, greed, misinformation, exploitation, abuse, waste, disrespect, falsity, corruption, bribery, coercion, intimidation, doublespeak, misconduct, lies, deception, attorney "dark arts," destruction, confusion, dishonesty, judicial chicanery and lawlessness.

If we do not speak up, impeach derelict judges and imprison corrupt attorneys, we cannot possibly hope to start fixing the current ills in our society. Without justice and respect for private property, democracy has no sure foundation.

CURRENT EDITORIAL FOCUS

We are an opinion blog that advocates for strong intellectual property rights. We welcome commenters and contributors. The Leader v. Facebook patent infringement case first came to our attention after learning that the trial judge, Leonard P. Stark, U.S. District Court of Delaware, ignored his jury's admission that they had no evidence to support their on-sale bar verdict, but the judge supported it anyway.

The judicial misconduct has deteriorated from there, replete with two of the three judges on the Federal Circuit appeal panel, Judges Alan D. Lourie and Kimberly A. Moore, holding Facebook stock that they did not disclose to the litigants, and later tried to excuse through a quick motion slipped in at the last minute by the Clerk of Court, Jan Horbaly, and his close friends at The Federal Circuit Bar Association. (The DC Bar subsequently revealed that Mr. Horbaly is not licensed to practice law in Washington D.C.)

The judges ignored [shocking new evidence](#) that Mark Zuckerberg withheld 28 hard drives of 2003-2004 evidence from Leader Technologies that could prove actual theft (and therefore claims even more serious than infringement). In addition, Facebook's appeal attorney, Thomas G. Hungar of Gibson Dunn LLP, has close personal ties to just about every judicial player in this story. The misconduct appears to reach into the U.S. Patent Office through abuse of the reexamination process by Facebook. We will stay focused on Leader v. Facebook until justice is served, but we also welcome news

then watch their property rights evaporate in the hands of the lawyers inside the Patent Office who are secretly allied with big infringers. It is time for inventors and those who believe in the U.S. Constitution to unite and stop this corruption.

Notices: This post may contain opinion. As with all opinion, it should not be relied upon without independent verification. Think for yourself. Photos used are for educational purposes only and were obtained from public sources. No claims whatsoever are made to any photo.

COMMENT

Click "N comments:" on the line just below this instruction to comment on this post. Alternatively, send an email with your comment to amer4innov@gmail.com and we'll post it for you. We welcome and encourage anonymous comments, especially from whistleblowers.

Posted by [K. Craine](#) at [9:52 PM](#)

18 comments:



[Rain Onyourpar ade](#) May 13, 2014 at 9:04 AM

Did a little of my own research found yet another "coincidence." Professor Chandler lists the Federal Circuit Chief Judge Randall R. Rader as one of his faculty members. Last time I checked, such relationships should be disclosed by judges when cases come before them where they have a relationship to a witness or potential witness for one of the sides. Rader was in charge of the decision not to hear Leader's "en banc" petition. Do these judges follow any laws anymore, or just they ones they like.

<http://www.nipli.org/1/1-4.html>

P.S. Just in case this document "disappears" from the web, I have made a bunch of copies.

[Reply](#)

Replies



[Rain Onyourpar ade](#) May 13, 2014 at 1:08 PM

Can't believe I missed this "MICHAEL R. NELSON" among Prof. Chandler's faculty: "DIRECTOR OF INTERNET TECHNOLOGY AND STRATEGY ***** IBM ***** One of Kappos' henchmen??? That's proof of Chandler's association with IBM, on his own website no less. Anyone else smelling a foul odor?

<http://www.nipli.org/1/1-4.html>

AFI, I just sent you the PDF file. Please post it so it doesn't disappear from the web like all of Zuckerberg's Harvard documents and emails.

[Reply](#)



[K. Craine](#) May 13, 2014 at 1:23 PM

Dear Rain,

Here's a link to a PDF along with the full citation:

"Present and Former Faculty Members." NIPLI - National Intellectual Property Law Institute, Professor James P. Chandler, III, President, accessed May 13, 2014, <http://www.nipli.org/1/1-4.html>;

See also <https://drive.google.com/file/d/0B2SfG2nEsMfqVUVcbVIZWfo2bGM/edit?usp=sharing>

Great work!

[Reply](#)

and analysis of intellectual property abuse in other cases as well.

WELCOME TO DONNA KLINE NOW! READERS!



AFI has been supporting Donna and is now picking up the main Leader v. Facebook coverage (she will continue coverage as well).

Anonymous Posts Are Welcomed! Blogger has more posting constraints than Donna's WordPress, but we will continue to welcome anonymous posts. Simply send us an email at [NEWLeader@Private Email: afi@leader.com](mailto:NEWLeader@PrivateEmail:afi@leader.com) with your post. Once the moderator verifies that your email address is real, your comment will be posted using your real name or handle, whatever you wish, like John Smith or Tex.

[Click here to view a complete Donna Kline Now! posts archive .](#)



CODE OF CONDUCT FOR U.S. JUDGES



Darren May 15, 2014 at 9:51 AM

We now see with the IR\$ scandal that redacting a document by a federal agency is intended to cover up conflicts of interest! It is not being used for protecting national security! What is the Patent office trying to hide by stonewalling and redacting Freedom of Information (FOIA) requests from Leader shareholders?
8-0

[Reply](#)



K. Craine May 15, 2014 at 3:39 PM

Email Comment by: Just fell off the pumpkin wagon

C'mon Darren, you mean to tell me you didn't get the Memo when this administration took office? I voted for the guy and I didn't either. These Harvard bozos don't have to follow the ethical rules, they only have to pretend to. Ethics are only for us farmers (that's anybody 50 miles west of the Northeast coastline with an outpost in a 50 mile radius of Stanford University, the Ethics Sink Hole of the West).

[Reply](#)



Jill Amblin May 16, 2014 at 11:56 AM

Unfortunately it appears that this post is more doublespeak and another attempt to disseminate misleading information.

The "former IBMer" clearly did not have any type of role with respect to patents. IBM sells thousands of patents on a regular basis. See, for example:

<http://www.bloomberg.com/news/2014-01-31/ibm-s-complaint-to-twitter-leads-to-patent-purchase.html>

<http://www.theverge.com/2012/11/3/2680128/google-buys-217-IBM-patents>

<http://www.techspot.com/news/45490-google-strengthens-android-defense-with-1023-ibm-patents.html>

<http://www.forbes.com/sites/eric savitz/2012/06/28/ibm-sells-some-patents-to-ultratech-for-8-million/>

And the 750 patents that were sold to Facebook had absolutely nothing to do with Leader. They were purchased to defend against a patent infringement suit by Yahoo. It's all very well documented here: <http://techcrunch.com/2012/03/22/facebook-buys-750-ibm-patents-to-defend-against-yahoo/>

The reason that Leader's patent isn't disclosed as prior art in these patents is very simple; the Leader patent has absolutely nothing to do with the patents or the technology claimed. It's not some massive grand conspiracy by a gaggle of law firms, venture capitalists, and government officials. No such conspiracy could ever stay intact with so many players. I know that Mike (aka Rainonyourparade, aka Kathy Craine, aka Sandra Craine, aka Tex, aka Law Blogger, aka Derek, aka Surfer Dude, aka Linda Wilson, aka Steve Wilson, aka Bill Cranbrook, aka every Donna Kline post, aka every Dr. A. brief, aka virtually every "email comment" on this blog) is a huge fan of inventing conspiracies that revolve around him, but this one's really over the top!!

[Reply](#)

▼ [Replies](#)



K. Craine May 16, 2014 at 5:44 PM

Lol. And you are the one who just stumbled across this site several months ago? Talk about conspiracy theories. It's always the way with abusers. They personalize their attacks to try and deflect from the truth of their wrongdoings. No one is fooled, "Jill." As to the IBMer, you'll have to pound sand because that person is in the know. Any patents being sold are considered "trash" to IBM. Looks like the purchasers you cite intend them only for trolling, since they invented none of them. That isn't the point anyway. That conflict of interest demanded recusal by everyone on the Patent Office kangaroo court. They're probably your drinking buddies in the American Inns of Court. It's now our turn to out the good old boy network. The nest is about to get toxic.



Rain Onyourparade May 17, 2014 at 2:14 AM

Jill denies my existence. I'm shocked and hurt. NOT. Actually, I'm honored, the way Senator John McCain was when Vladimir Putin denied his holiday visa to Siberia. ROTFL (Jill, this means ROLLING ON THE FLOOR LAUGHING)

"CANON 2: A JUDGE SHOULD AVOID IMPROPRIETY AND THE APPEARANCE OF IMPROPRIETY IN ALL ACTIVITIES "

GALLERY OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT



Judge Leonard P. Stark, U.S. District Court of Delaware, trial judge in *Leader Techs, Inc. v. Facebook, Inc.*, 770 F. Supp. 2d 686 (D.Del. 2011). Judge Stark heard his jury foreman admit that the jury made the on-sale bar decision without any evidence other than speculation, and yet he supported that verdict anyway. Just months before trial, Judge Stark allowed Facebook to add the on-sale bar claim after the close of all fact discovery and blocked Leader



from preparing its defenses to this new claim. Judge Stark allowed the claims despite Leader's prophetic argument that the action would confuse the jury and prejudice Leader. He also permitted the jury to ignore the *Pfaff v. Wells Electronics, Inc.* test for on-sale bar, even after instructing the jury to use it. (See that

[Jury Instruction No. 4.7 here.](#)) He



also contradicted his own instruction to Leader to answer Interrogatory No. 9 in the present tense (2009), then permitted the jury to interpret it as a 2002 admission as well. Facebook's entire on-sale bar case is based upon this interrogatory. (Editorial: Hardly sufficient to meet the "heavy burden" of the clear and convincing evidence standard.)



Judge Alan D. Lourie, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, panel judge in *Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc.*, 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Judge Lourie stood to benefit financially from undisclosed holdings in Facebook. See [analysis of Judge Lourie's T. Rowe Price holdings re. the Facebook IPO](#). Judge Lourie also failed to apply

Reply



K. Craine May 18, 2014 at 5:04 AM

Email comment by JJ

Get rid of the career bureaucrats when we have a change of administration.

Ban the career Washington lawyers from arguing more than X times in front of a tribunal.

The Founders separated New York from Washington to keep money and political interests apart. We need to reconfigure that principle for our age of instant communication. Same principle, different circumstances. They did not envision this professional class of career bureaucrats and their crony lawyers.

Therein is the old boy network.

The people we elect don't stand a chance in Washington when walking into this den of thieves.

A leading Minnesota Dem suggests moving the Commerce Department to North Platte, Nebraska, as an example. Has merit IMHO.

Reply



K. Craine May 19, 2014 at 12:36 PM

This CNN analysis of "Privacy" statements should be a wake up call. The level of deception is off the charts. Some compare it to the worst abuses in pre-War Germany. It describes the deceptive practices that "Jill" supports.

CNN MONEY - FORTUNE & MONEY

"What you really agree to when you click 'accept'"

By Jose Pagliery @Jose_Pagliery May 19, 2014: 9:15 AM ET

http://money.cnn.com/2014/05/19/technology/security/privacy-policy/index.html?hpt=hp_t2

Reply



Rain Onyourpar ade May 20, 2014 at 5:08 AM

Saw Actor Richard Dreyfus interview last night about his "The Dreyfus Initiative." His site says:

"Our Fundamental Vision:

To teach our kids how to run our country with common sense and realism, before it's time for them to run the country. If we don't, someone else will run this country nation and the experiment of government by, for, and of the people will have failed."

The initiative is promoting civics and ethics. This sounds like a worthy project to help counter the lawless lawyers of the Facebook cartel who have wormed their way into our national life. Here's the link:

<http://thedreyfussinitiative.org/>

"Starting this Fall: the National Conversation will be linked sites that represent all political opinion and all aspects of society, who meet on the Internet on a semi- regular basis to discuss the state of the country."

-Richard Dreyfuss

Reply



dave123 May 22, 2014 at 7:36 PM

The timeline Campbell Live have established is damning.

March 8th 2011 - Jerry Mateparae is stepped down as head of the GCSB.

March 15th 2011 - Top NSA spook, James Clapper, flies to NZ to meet with Key to discuss 'synchronicity' between the NSA and GCSB.

his own law-test in Group One v. Hallmark Cards to the evidence. After debunking all of Facebook's



evidence on appeal, Judge Lourie created new argument in the secrecy of chambers to support Facebook and prevent the on-sale bar verdict from being overturned—a clear breach of constitutional due process.



Judge Kimberly A. Moore, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, panel judge in *Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc.*, 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Judge Moore stood to benefit financially from undisclosed holdings in Facebook. [See disclosure of substantial holdings in Facebook and Facebook-related stocks.](#)

Judge Moore failed to follow the long-held precedent for testing on-sale bar evidence in *Pfaff v. Wells Electronics, Inc.*—an



evident and intentional omission coming from a former patent law professor. After debunking all of Facebook's evidence on appeal, Judge Moore created new argument in the secrecy of chambers to support Facebook and prevent the on-sale bar verdict from being overturned—a clear breach of constitutional due process.



Judge Evan J. Wallach, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, member of the three-judge panel in *Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc.*, 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Judge Wallach is not a patent attorney. This begs the question as to why a judge with no knowledge of patent law was assigned to the case. Would anyone ask a dentist to perform brain surgery? The Federal Circuit was specially formed to appoint patent-knowledgeable judges to patent cases. There is no evidence so far in the judicial disclosures that Judge Wallach holds stock in Facebook, although when he was asked on a motion to disclose potential Facebook holdings and other conflicts of interest, he refused along with the other judges. [See Motion to](#)

March 22nd 2011 - High level intelligence meetings

May 2011 - McCully visits Washington

June 17th 2011 - Key meets with Ian Fletcher for breakfast at Stamford Plaza.

July 22nd 2011 - Key is invited to Washington as pay back for this new 'synchronicity'.

July 26th 2011 - Key side steps normal protocols and appoints his old school friend Ian Fletcher to take over at the GCSB.

October 2011 - John Key, the head of the SIS and NZDF join Ian Fletcher, the MFAT Head, and the DPMC boss for a secret dinner at the British High Commissioner's home

December 8th 2011 - A letter states that Key is going to meet Ian Fletcher on 12th December

December 12th 2011 - Key meets with Ian Fletcher.

December 14th 2011 - The Police boss responsible for spying on Dotcom meets John Key with other intelligence agencies present.

December 16th 2011 - Kim Dotcom starts to be illegally spied upon.

January 2012 - Raid on Kim Dotcom.

It is almost as if there are two laws in America

<http://thedailyblog.co.nz/2014/05/20/campbell-live-review-extraordinary-new-gcsb-revelations/#sthash.PSxjpc5M.dpuf>

What Campbell Live exposes is a covert effort to expand the GCSB into corporate spying for America, yes our country N.Z, is Cyber spying for America. One N.S.A. document tells New Zealand and its other Five Eyes intelligence partners its ambition is to Know it all, collect it all, Exploit it all, and Partner it all, YES STEAL IT ALL AND BLAME THE CHINESE ?. America spies on your email addresses, phone numbers, online chat, web-based email and attachment including text messages and phone calls. this corporate spying uncovers the fraud of the so called War on Terror, And What was the real purpose of Clapper's visit to New Zealand?

[Reply](#)



Mark Goeings May 23, 2014 at 12:14 PM

This is proof that when there really is a conflict, the federal circuit judges do the right thing.

http://www.abajournal.com/mobile/article/federal_circuits_chief_judge_resigns_post_after_email_controversy/

[Reply](#)



K. Craine May 23, 2014 at 2:52 PM

First, Judge Rader is resigning, not recusing. You don't have to quit your job when you have a conflict, you just back off the case. We suspect he is leaving to try and put distance between his skeletons in the closet. He is probably doing so to try and avoid the other shoe dropping in Leader v. Facebook over the way his conflicts prejudiced the case.

Lest you forget, Mark, that very attorney that triggered Rader's action, EDWARD R. REINES of WEIL, GOTSHAL LLP is at the center of the Federal Circuit scandal in Leader v. Facebook.

Reines entered an appearance on behalf of the Federal Circuit Bar Association (where all the judges and clerk are members) in Leader v. Facebook, yet Chief Judge Rader failed to disclose his conflict of interest and ruled against Leader anyway in the en banc petition. This is illegal, and clearly he knows it, as your link PROVES!!!

<http://www.fbcoverup.com/docs/aranachalum/2012-09-17-Response-to-Request-of-Federal-Circuit-Bar-Association-s-Request-for-Reissue-Re-Leader-v-Facebook-Case-No-2011-1366-Fed-Cir-by-Lakshmi-Arunach.pdf#page=30>

Also at:

<https://drive.google.com/folderview?id=0B25fG2nEsMfqWkpRjZmV3g0ZU0&usp=sharing>

Never mind that Judge Kimberly A. Moore did not disclose that WEIL, GOTSHAL was her former client, which she also failed to disclose.

Disclose Conflicts of Interest. Judge Wallach continued in silence even after Clerk of Court Horbaly failed to provide him with Dr.



Lakshmi Arunachalam's motions (according to his Federal Circuit staffer Valeri White), and yet the Clerk signed an order regarding that motion on Judge Wallach's behalf. [See a full analysis of these events at Donna Kline Now!](#) Judge Wallach also failed to police his court's violation of Leader's Fifth and 14th Amendment constitutional right to due process when he participated in the fabrication of new arguments and evidence for Facebook in the secrecy of judge's chambers after he had just invalidated Facebook's sole remaining item of evidence (using disbelieved testimony as ostensible evidence of an opposite). Judge Wallach also failed to police his court when he failed to apply the Supreme Court's Pfaff v. Wells Electronics, Inc. test for on-sale bar evidence, which included even the Federal Circuit's own Group One v. Hallmark Cards, Inc. test—a test which Judge Lourie should have advised Judge Wallach to follow since Judge Lourie helped write that opinion. [Group One test omission analysis.](#)



Clerk of Court Jan Horbaly, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, clerk who signed all the opinions in Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc., 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Clerk Horbaly and his staff obfuscated when the court's ruling was challenged by an amicus curiae brief revealing clear mistakes of law and new evidence. [See analysis of the misconduct and misrepresentations within the Federal Circuit Clerk of Court in Leader v. Facebook.](#) Mr. Horbaly failed to disclose his conflicts of interest and close associations with numerous Facebook attorneys and law firms, as well as his close association with one of Facebook's largest shareholders,



Microsoft, who is a Director of The Federal Circuit Bar Association where Mr. Horbaly is an ex officio officer. Additionally, the DC Bar revealed in a written statement that Clerk Horbaly is not licensed to practice law in the District of Columbia. [Editorial: What does that make the Federal Circuit with its location within in a stone's

We don't think these judges know the meaning of impropriety.

[Reply](#)



K. Craine May 26, 2014 at 3:50 AM

British researchers just provided AFI with this analysis of "The Chinese Facebook" -- BAIDU, Inc.

Email comment by The Crown Affair:

It reveals that LAWRENCE SUMMERS founded Baidu, Inc. on July 12, 2005 while he was Managing Director of D.E. Shaw & Co. More analysis later, but this is a bombshell, yet no longer surprising.

<https://drive.google.com/file/d/0B2SfG2nEsMfqYtZib3VRa2xHVkk/edit?usp=sharing>

[Reply](#)

▼ Replies

dave123 May 28, 2014 at 7:15 PM
AND THE SADE THING ABOUT THIS IS THE F.B.I. NEW THIS AND THE N.S.A. NEW THIS. AND NEW F.B. WAS STOLEN?

K. Craine May 29, 2014 at 9:53 AM
Indeed.

[Reply](#)



K. Craine June 13, 2014 at 7:10 PM

BREAKING NEWS!

"Judge Rader, Author of Controversial Email to Lawyer [Edward R. Reines, Weil Gotshal LLP], to Resign from Bench" by Ashby Jones, THE WALL STREET JOURNAL LAW BLOG, Jun. 13, 2014

<http://blogs.wsj.com/law/2014/06/13/judge-rader-author-of-controversial-email-to-lawyer-to-resign-from-bench/>

This is great news for advocates of justice and the rule of law over crony capitalism and the Wall Street-Washington Cartel, for which Rader was a hired gun. Now we need to call for declarations of MIS-TRIALS on cases that have come before the Federal Circuit where Radar, Reines and Weil Gotshal LLP were involved and thus tainted the proceedings.

[Reply](#)

Enter your comment...

Comment as: Select profile...

Publish Preview

NOTICE TO COMMENTERS: When the MSM diatribe on "fake news" began, our regular commenters were blocked from posting comments here. Therefore, email your comments to a new secure email address afi@leader.com and we will post them.

[Newer Post](#) • • • • • [Home](#) • • • • • [Older Post](#)

Subscribe to: [Post Comments \(Atom\)](#)

throw of the White House? A self-governing state?]



Judge Randall R. Rader, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, chief judge responsible for the (mis)conduct of his judges and Clerk of Court in *Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc.*, 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Judge Rader failed to manage his court resulting in a likely situation where his judges never even received briefs that they allegedly ruled on in favor of Facebook. Judge Rader also failed to disclose his conflicting relationships with a Leader principle with whom he may have had deep professional differences during his time at the Senate Judiciary Committee—his former professor of law at George Washington University Law Center, former Leader director Professor James P. Chandler. [See analysis of Judge Rader's undisclosed conflicts of interest in Leader v. Facebook.](#) Judge Rader also did not stop his judges from creating new arguments and evidence for Facebook in the secrecy of chambers—after they had debunked all of Facebook's evidence on appeal, which is a clear breach of constitutional due process.



Updated May 22, 2015

[Click here to view a Federal Circuit Leader v. Facebook Conflicts of Interest Map.](#)



[See "Cover-up In Process At The Federal Circuit?" Donna Kline Now! Sep. 17, 2012.](#)

[Leader v. Facebook Legal Research Links](#)

NOTICE: Opinion