DOES HILLARY THINK SHE’S SAFE BECAUSE SHE COULD EXPOSE OBAMA’S DICTATORSHIP?

DID YOU KNOW OBAMA RUNS A SECRET INTELLIGENCE PANEL INSIDE THE WHITE HOUSE? MEMBERS OF CONGRESS SAY THEY DO NOT

SIX PEOPLE AT THE WHITE HOUSE ARE DRIVING AMERICA’S DIGITAL FUTURE BY STEALTH EXECUTIVE ORDERS

NO WONDER SILICON VALLEY WEARS OUT THE WHITE HOUSE CARPET

FIG. 1–HILLARY CLINTON PLEDGED IN 2009 TO FOLLOW BILL’S 1995 EXECUTIVE ORDER 12958 ON HANDLING THE NATION’S SECRETS. On Jan. 22, 2009, Hillary signed a nondisclosure agreement (NDA) promising to protect America’s most sensitive secrets. The NDA contained a stealth clause subverting the secrecy process by subjecting her activities to a secret White House panel where she would become a member. Incoming Attorney General Eric H. Holder, Jr. could protect her from any action, no matter how wanton. Why would she harm America’s vital information? Answer: To fill The Clinton Foundation coffers and reward her friends in Silicon Valley and Wall Street with insider deals to take over America’s digital infrastructure.

Photo: The Atlantic.
(Jun. 02, 2016)—On Jan. 22, 2009, Hillary Clinton signed a nondisclosure agreement promising to keep the nation’s secrets safe from foreign nations.

In obvious violation of this promise, Hillary hired Bryan Pagliano, an unqualified political operative, to set up a private www.clintonemail.com server in her Chappaqua, New York home. She proceeded to use this server for sensitive State Department business between 2009 and 2013—her entire tenure as Secretary of State.

On Mar. 20, 2013, Romanian hacker Guccifer was identified by USA Today as having hacked into Hillary Clinton adviser Sidney Blumenthal’s AOL email. Guccifer obtained emails Blumenthal exchanged with Secretary of State Hillary Clinton showed that she used a private, non-State Department email address. Guccifer then hacked Hillary’s server on multiple occasion, describing it as “easy;” understandable since Pagliano had no email security background.

On May 10, 2016, the Kremlin announced that it was debating whether to release the 20,000 emails they have hacked off of Hillary Clinton’s private server.

Despite this evidence, Hillary, the Justice and State Departments all assert vehemently that no laws were broken.

**QUESTION:** Why are Hillary and her retinue so arrogant and cocksure in the face of clear evidence of their duplicity?

**ANSWER:** Because they are unscrupulous lawyers and judges who know they have been gaming the legal system since Bill Clinton took office in 1993. They have used their positions of trust to embed sneaky gotcha laws, regulations, opinions, orders, secrecy, roadblocks, stonewalls, advisories and rulings to protect their hidden agenda throughout America’s legal and administrative systems. That is why they are so smug, we believe.

**HILLARY’S CLAIM OF UNINTENTIONALLY IS MISDIRECTION — THE STANDARD IS “KNOWINGLY, WILLFULLY, OR NEGLIGENTLY.”**

However, Hillary is evidently counting on most non-legal people not understanding that distinction.

Hillary claims she did not intentionally compromise national secrets while using her personal email server. However, this assertion is reckless and deceptive, especially coming from an attorney like her who is experienced in such matters—someone the American public would tend to trust given her honored position in the government.

With national secrets “lack of due care” is the broadest standard for assessing liability. Negligent handling of national secrets is criminal, whether or not one intended to compromise them. By way of example, if your competitor steals your company’s confidential business plan after you left it inadvertently at the library, you are liable for the damages even if you did not mean to leave it.

Hillary agreed to Executive Order 12958, Sec. 5.7 Sanctions (“shall be subject to appropriate sanctions if they knowingly, willfully, or negligently: (1) disclose to unauthorized persons . . .”).
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INFORMATION DICTATORSHIP SMOKING GUN: BILL CLINTON

EXECUTIVE ORDER 12958 (APR. 17, 1995)

The “Washington Cartel” has been patiently laying the groundwork for their takeover of the digital world since Bill Clinton’s inauguration on Jan. 20, 1993. Remember, one of his first hires was Harvard professor turned World Bank economist LAWRENCE H. SUMMERS who was in the process of ruining the collapsing Soviet economy with his reckless voucher privatization recommendations. This program evidently provided the seed funds for their grand digital takeover scheme.

Tied to Summers’ hip were three figures to play prominent roles in the Obama Administration and the Silicon Valley social networking corruption: SHERYL K. SANDBERG (Summers Treasury chief of staff, Gmail, now Facebook COO), RUSSIAN YURI MILNER (DST, Mail.ru, Facebook investor), and MARNE L. LEVINE DEUTCH (Summers Harvard chief of staff, AOL email, Hillary and Obama policy adviser, Facebook VP of public policy, now Instagram COO).

PARTICIPANTS IN LARRY SUMMERS' "CLOUD" (OF CORRUPTION)

Their smokescreen is “national security.” Look how they implemented the Patriot Act so quickly. Isn’t it amazing how these people had a 132-page act ready to go and signed into law 45 days after 9-11? Clearly, someone had drafted the Act and was ready for 9-11.

Every dictatorship in history has used national security as the excuse for their abuses. The last three American Administrations are no exception.

The “public-private partnership” perpetrators are close to accomplishing their goal of permanent control of the world’s digital communications infrastructure.

Case in point: Look at the noise certain of these cartel members are making in this 2016 election cycle with their ill-gotten billions contributed by Mark Zuckerberg, Peter Thiel, Yuri Milner, The Clinton Foundation, Elon Musk, Larry Summers, Sheryl Sandberg, Marc Andreessen, Goldman Sachs and James W. Beyer.

Given that Bill and Hillary Clinton are married, and were married at the time Executive Order 12958 was signed, Hillary had a duty to disclose the conflicts of interest surrounding her signing the NDA when she became Secretary of State.

Section 13 of Hillary’s NDA makes Bill Clinton’s Executive Order 12958 signed 14 years earlier “controlling” over her handling of national secrets.


The order was undoubtedly drafted by Clinton’s top adviser on national security, James P. Chandler, III. Chandler was also drafting Clinton’s Economic Espionage Act, Trade Secrets Act and False Statements Accountability Act (all passed 18 months later on Oct. 11, 1996). Note that Subsection (b) of the False Statements Act made it legal to lie to Congress and the
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DOES HILLARY THINK SHE'S SAFE BECAUSE SHE COULD EXPOSE OBAMA'S DICTATORSHIP?

UPDATE MAR. 25, 2014

FIVE CRITICAL AFI POSTS ON JUDICIAL COMPROMISE

Fully updated Mar. 25, 2014 in the wake of the Scribd censorship:

1. HOW PATENT JUDGES GROW RICH ON THE BACKS OF AMERICAN INVENTORS

Patent Office filings are shuffled out the USPTO backdoor to cronies lawyers, banks and deep-pocket clients.

2. WAS CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS BLACKMAILED into supporting Obamacare by his ethical compromises in Leader v. Facebook?

3. JUSTICE ROBERTS MENTORED Facebook Gibson Dunn LLP attorneys.

4. JUSTICE ROBERTS HOLDS substantial Facebook financial interests.

5. JUDGE LEONARD STARK FAILED to disclose his Facebook financial interests and his reliance on Facebook’s Cooley Godward LLP attorneys for his appointment.

BARACK OBAMA'S DARK POOLS OF CORRUPTION

Click to enlarge

CLICK HERE FOR WASHINGTON'S ETHICAL
ISCAP INFORMATION DICTATORSHIP

Clinton’s order gave sweeping (de)classification powers to the President and certain agency heads. It also created the “Interagency Security Classification Appeals Panel” (ISCAP) in Section 5.5 comprised of:

1. Secretary of State
2. Secretary of Defense
3. Attorney General
4. NSA Director
5. CIA Director
6. Archivist

ISCAP handled (and still handles) all matters regarding national security classifications.

The order gave ISCAP the ability to reclassify information requested in Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests, even if they were not classified previously.

In short, ISCAP was given dictatorial powers by Bill Clinton to handle the flow of all information within the government. And, within ISCAP, the Attorney General was given dictatorial powers to interpret the order, reporting only to the President.

EMBEDDED FOIA STONEWALLING

This secret ISCAP dictatorship explains why Barack Obama issued a three-paragraph memo on Apr. 15, 2009 to his agencies ordering all FOIA requests and Congressional inquiries and subpoenas to first come to the White House counsel for review.

WHO IN AMERICA KNOWS ABOUT THIS DICTATORSHIP PANEL AT THE WHITE HOUSE?

WE’VE ASKED MANY MEMBERS OF CONGRESS. THEY DON’T KNOW ABOUT IT.

Hillary did not disclose her conflicts of interest with the master legal mind behind Bill’s intelligence and national security plans—James P. Chandler.

Considering the degree to which Chandler has continued to shape and influence national security and intelligence policy through the last three administrations, and considering the degree to which Barack Obama has continued to rely on Chandler in 2009 (and to this day), Hillary had a duty to disclose this intimate insider relationship to the American public, especially when one considers that the entire data flow of the United States hangs in the balance. Putting this much power and influence into the hands of one unelected, unaccountable private person is unconscionable.

ABSOLUTELY NO OVERSIGHT BY CONGRESS OF THE SECRET WHITE HOUSE ISCAP PANEL

This secret, dictatorial White House intelligence panel is absolutely counter to the balance of powers intent of the U.S. Constitutions. Congress has not even been informed of these non-enumerated powers, so it cannot provide oversight.

Hillary also knew that Obama’s coming Attorney General, Eric H. Holder, Jr. was given even greater dictatorial powers in overarching internal ISCAP legal authorities.

She also knew that fellow ISCAP members NSA, CIA and Department of Defense were exploiting Facebook and social networking companies for illegal mass data collection. She failed to disclose her intention to exploit that information for personal enrichment.

She and her fellow ISCAP panel members knew they relied on the social networking inventions of Columbus innovator, Leader Technologies. She

DISEASE DISCOVERIES RE. FACEBOOK “DARK POOLS”

STOP FACEBOOK PROPERTY THEFT

ASK CONGRESS: PASS THE INVENTOR PROTECTION ACT!

LEADER V. FACEBOOK BACKGROUND

Jul. 23, 2013 NOTICE: DonnaKlineNow! has gone offline. All her posts are available as a PDF collection here (now updated, post-Scribd censorship).

Mar. 20, 2014 READER NOTICE: On Mar. 7, 2014, all of our documents linked to Scribd
did not disclose these conflicts.

According to NSA whistleblower Edward Snowden, five months after Hillary signed her NDA, Facebook began feeding customer data to the NSA. Eight months later, on Sep. 26, 2009, Hillary wrote her first “communications services” contract with Facebook for 2010 “election winning templates.” See previous post.

Tampering in American elections is a violation of the Hatch Act. Interfering in the Leader v. Facebook patent infringement lawsuit that was in progress is obstruction of justice. She disclosed none of these conflicts.

The Obama administration wasted no time in stonewalling FOIA requests which is both a violation of general law and even Hillary’s “controlling” Executive Order 12958, Sec. 1.8 (“In no case shall information be classified in order to: . . . prevent or delay the release of information that does not require protection in the interest of national security.”). To the extent that Hillary and the State Department participated in this stonewalling, she failed to disclose these conflicts.

On the one hand, one might argue that Hillary had no duty to disclose her conflicts of interest surrounding Executive Order 12958 in signing her NDA since she was not Secretary of State in 1995. However, she was the wife of the President and was being delegated near cabinet-level status over health care reform. Her influence then was unmistakable.

Hillary’s intimate knowledge of this long-planned digital takeover plan is nothing short of racketeering at the highest levels of government and commerce.

Clearly, we have two branches of government that are out of control—Executive and Judiciary. The Judicial branch via the FISA Court is collaborating with ISCAP insiders to craft the results they want.

Congress needs to assert its authority and reign in these runaway branches of American government while we still have a Republic worth preserving.

* * *

Notice: This post may contain opinion. As with all opinion, it should not be relied upon without independent verification. Think for yourself. Photos used are for educational purposes only and were obtained from public sources. No claims whatsoever are made to any photo.

**COMMENT**

Click “N comments:” on the line just below this instruction to comment on this post. Alternatively, send an email with your comment to americans4innov@gmail.com and we’ll post it for you. We welcome and encourage anonymous comments, especially from whistleblowers.
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It is incredible that people even consider Hillary as a candidate for president! She has a record of a STRING of FAILURES and NO accomplishments. It is incredible that people even consider Hillary as a candidate for president! She has a record of a STRING of FAILURES and NO accomplishments. She is constantly under investigation for doing outrageous almost certainly criminal acts...look at what is now being revealed...UNBELIEVABLE! There is no way this person can be allowed to represent this great country.

Lancelot  June 2, 2016 at 6:33 PM

K. Craine  June 3, 2016 at 6:27 AM

What we are seeing in our country today is symptomatic of several diabolical human conditions festering al at once......envy, greed, dishonesty, unnatural perversion, prejudice, selfishness, and delusion. The body politic has learned to twist the mind of the vulnerable to distort their arguments and win their elections. The "unbelievable" is now probable.

A man known for doing nothing for 74 unproductive years , Bernie, is looking at a serious possibility of becoming the nominee for the largest political party. The reasons for this phenomena is multidimensional, but it boils down to the absence of Hillary's lack of probity and her long track record or criminal activity and incompetence. This woman is truly a serial liar. If Bernie wins California, and he just took the polling lead, the super duper delegates may switch their support. If Hillary gets indicted, as she should, the super dupers will switch. To say that the Clinton machine is in trouble is an understatement. To say Bernie would be a strong leader is laughable. Not one of you had ever heard of this man until he stumbled to the microphone and announced his program of taking from the productive greedy capitalists and giving to unproductive socialist millennials . Bingo....the crowds began to grow , the pot began to flow, and the utopian Marxist dream was happening again. Bernie has not one accomplishment to show for his inept years in politics. Yet, he is now iconic. The problem is that this is the country ( the USA) that demonstrated to the world how free enterprise, constitutional integrity, and honesty could produce "leave it to Beaver" lives. The world saw America as the perfect way to fulfill human possibilities . The international population has to be astonished at how fast Obama tanked our country. If Hillary had an ounce of honesty , this Bernie phenomena could have never been possible. History will not be nice to Hillary. She last to an insignificant minority community organizer in 2008 and will probably lose to an inept Marxist nursing home candidate this time around.

And then we have the Donald. His mouth , not his brain, is not politically correct. He says what most of us mutter under our breathe . His brain , however, is spot on . He says basically what Bill Clinton said about most social issues, our borders , redistribution of wealth , taxes and the military. The Obama/ Clinton team has tried to trap Trump like they did Romney.....racial comments, homophobic comments, and misogynist comments. They use every trick to encourage divide due the human conditions mentioned above. But Trump is better at this than anyone imagined. The reason is that he is actually very brave. He has learned to think, anticipate counter attacks, and establish strong negotiating positions. Trump is not angry at the polls. He probably could care less about the polls. What makes him is that he is actually strong. He wants to win and change our direction in four short years. He wants our great country to flourish again.

Mike Tyson once said, “ I always had a plan for every fight. But the first time they hit me in the face, I forgot my plan”. That’s Trump. Trump has a plan. Hit him in the face, the plan gets lost. He will fight to win. He’s smart, he’s fearless, and his agenda is to make America great again. Not just for us old white guys but for everyone that cares about being Americans. Hillary calls Trump dangerous. I call him a breath of fresh air. I know Hillary is wrong because she is a serial liar and because I love fresh air.

Have a great day, TEX.

K. Craine  June 3, 2016 at 10:21 AM

EMAIL PROVIDER CENSORSHIP NOTICE: Readers are encouraged to pay close attention to the “Cloud” SPAM filters that block spam from coming to your email inbox. Any Internet service provider with a political bias can easily mark a political candidate’s emails to you as SPAM and you will likely never realize it. That candidate will just go silent.

We receive campaign emails from all candidates, Hillary, Bernie and Trump. However, just yesterday Trump’s email messages stopped coming and we noticed they were REDIRECTED to our online SPAM folder. This change was made unilaterally and without our permission or knowledge by our provider. Had we not checked the SPAM folder, we would just think...
that Trump didn’t like us anymore.

We had been receiving Trump’s messages. This is proof that some engineer in charge of the SPAM filter settings at our Internet Email service provider chose to CENSOR Donald Trump’s campaign emails to us.

If this provider/engineer bias is repeated on a national scale to tens of millions of people, a close election can be swung to the engineer’s preferred candidate. Scientific studies in India have proven that such manipulation in search engine results can swing elections by over 10% toward the candidate preferred by the criminal manipulators.

American citizens must DEMAND transparency from the largely left-leaning Internet service email and search providers. This latest AFI post explains why these providers are so pro-Obama and pro-Clinton.

Despite your political leanings, as an American you should be horrified at the unaccountable power being placed in these people’s private hands to manipulate our political discourse.

SPEAK UP. SPEAK OUT. DEMAND TRANSPARENCY. Don’t take silence and lame excuses.

Cathy Lee June 3, 2016 at 2:03 PM

Do you feel safe? I don’t.

Now another major government agency is now using Facebook extensively.

I encourage you to go to the Social Security Administration home page (ssa.gov) and type in the word Facebook in the search field. You’ll quickly see how extensively that (actually Leader Technology’s) technology is being used.

According to the Social Security Administration’s own 2015 “Fact Sheet,” 59 million Americans (9 out of 10 aged 65 or older) received benefits in the amount of $870 billion thanks to its 65,000 employees. So much for protecting the privacy of Americans....The tentacles of Facebook keep infiltrating our society.

EDITORIALES

1. DC Bar refuses to investigate attorney misconduct in Leader v. Facebook - Unwillingness of DC attorneys to self-police may explain why Washington is broken, Dec. 30, 2012

2. Will the U.S. Supreme court support schemers or real American inventors? Facebook’s case dangles on a doctored interrogatory. Eighteen (18) areas of question shout for attention, Dec. 27, 2012

3. Two Policy Changes That Will Make America More Democratic (and less contentious), Dec. 21, 2012

OUR MISSION
American for Innovation: DOES HILLARY THINK SHE’S SAFE BECAUSE SHE COULD EXPOSE OBAMA’S DICTATORSHIP?

AFI has been supporting Donna and is now picking up the main Leader v. Facebook coverage (she will continue coverage as well).

Anonymous Posts Are Welcomed! Blogger has more posting constraints than Donna’s WordPress, but we will continue to welcome anonymous posts. Simply send us an email at amer4innov@gmail.com with your post. Once the moderator verifies that your email address is real, your comment will be posted using your real name or handle, whatever you wish, like John Smith or Tex.

Click here to view a complete Donna Kline Now! posts archive.

STOP Judicial Misconduct
“CANON 2: A judge should avoid impropriety and the appearance of impropriety in all activities.”
Code of Conduct for United States Judges

STOP Judicial Misconduct
“Canon 3 requires disqualification of a judge in any proceeding in which the judge has a financial interest, however small.”
Code of Conduct for United States Judges

CODE OF CONDUCT FOR U.S. JUDGES

“CANON 2: A JUDGE SHOULD AVOID IMPROPRIETY AND THE APPEARANCE OF IMPROPRIETY IN ALL ACTIVITIES”
GALLERY OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT

Judge Leonard P. Stark, U.S. District Court of Delaware, trial judge in Leader Techs, Inc. v. Facebook, Inc., 770 F. Supp. 2d 686 (D.Del. 2011). Judge Stark heard his jury foreman admit that the jury made the on-sale bar decision without any evidence other than speculation, and yet he supported that verdict anyway. Just months before trial, Judge Stark allowed Facebook to add the on-sale bar claim after the close of all fact discovery and blocked Leader from preparing its defenses to this new claim. Judge Stark allowed the claims despite Leader's prophetic argument that the action would confuse the jury and prejudice Leader. He also permitted the jury to ignore the Pfaff v. Wells Electronics, Inc. test for on-sale bar, even after instructing the jury to use it. (See that Jury Instruction No. 4.7 here.) He also contradicted his own instruction to Leader to answer Interrogatory No. 9 in the present tense (2009), then permitted the jury to interpret it as a 2002 admission as well. Facebook's entire on-sale bar case is based upon this interrogatory. (Editorial: Hardly sufficient to meet the "heavy burden" of the clear and convincing evidence standard.)

Judge Alan D. Lourie, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, panel judge in Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc., 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Judge Lourie stood to benefit financially from undisclosed holdings in Facebook. See analysis of Judge Lourie's T. Rowe Price holdings re. the Facebook IPO. Judge Lourie also failed to apply his own law-test in Group One v. Hallmark Cards to
the evidence. After debunking all of Facebook’s evidence on appeal, Judge Lourie created new argument in the secrecy of chambers to support Facebook and prevent the on-sale bar verdict from being overturned—a clear breach of constitutional due process.

Judge Kimberly A. Moore, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, panel judge in Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc., 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Judge Moore stood to benefit financially from undisclosed holdings in Facebook. See disclosure of substantial holdings in Facebook and Facebook-related stocks. Judge Moore failed to follow the long-held precedent for testing on-sale bar evidence in Pfaff v. Wells Electronics, Inc.—an evident and intentional omission coming from a former patent law professor. After debunking all of Facebook’s evidence on appeal, Judge Moore created new argument in the secrecy of chambers to support Facebook and prevent the on-sale bar verdict from being overturned—a clear breach of constitutional due process.

Judge Evan J. Wallach, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, member of the three-judge panel in Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc., 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Judge Wallach is not a patent attorney. This begs the question as to why a judge with no knowledge of patent law was assigned to the case. Would anyone ask a dentist to perform brain surgery? The Federal Circuit was specially formed to appoint patent-knowledgeable judges to patent cases. There is no evidence so far in the judicial disclosures that Judge Wallach holds stock in Facebook, although when he was asked on a motion to disclose potential Facebook holdings and
other conflicts of interest, he refused along with the other judges. See Motion to Disclose Conflicts of Interest. Judge Wallach continued in silence even after Clerk of Court Horbaly failed to provide him with Dr. Lakshmi Arunachalam’s motions (according to his Federal Circuit staffer Valeri White), and yet the Clerk signed an order regarding that motion on Judge Wallach’s behalf. See a full analysis of these events at Donna Kline Now! Judge Wallach also failed to police his court’s violation of Leader’s Fifth and 14th Amendment constitutional right to due process when he participated in the fabrication of new arguments and evidence for Facebook in the secrecy of Judge’s chambers after he had just invalidated Facebook’s sole remaining item of evidence (using disbelieved testimony as ostensible evidence of an opposite). Judge Wallach also failed to police his court when he failed to apply the Supreme Court’s Pfaff v. Wells Electronics, Inc. test for on-sale bar evidence, which included even the Federal Circuit’s own Group One v. Hallmark Cards, Inc. test—a test which Judge Lourie should have advised Judge Wallach to follow since Judge Lourie helped write that opinion. Group One test omission analysis.

Clerk of Court Jan Horbaly, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, clerk who signed all the opinions in Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc., 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Clerk Horbaly and his staff obfuscated when the court’s ruling was challenged by an amicus curiae brief revealing clear mistakes of law and new evidence. See analysis of the misconduct and misrepresentations within the Federal Circuit Clerk of Court in Leader v. Facebook. Mr. Horbaly failed to disclose his conflicts of interest and close associations with numerous Facebook attorneys and law firms, as well as his close association with one of Facebook’s largest shareholders, Microsoft, who is a Director of The Federal Circuit Bar Association where Mr. Horbaly is an ex officio officer. Additionally, the DC Bar
revealed in a written statement that Clerk Horbaly is not licensed to practice law in the District of Columbia. [Editorial: What does that make the Federal Circuit with its location within in a stone’s throw of the White House? A self-governing state?]

Judge Randall R. Rader, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, chief judge responsible for the (mis)conduct of his judges and Clerk of Court in Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc., 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Judge Rader failed to manage his court resulting in a likely situation where his judges never even received briefs that they allegedly ruled on in favor of Facebook. Judge Rader also failed to disclose his conflicting relationships with a Leader principle with whom he may have had deep professional differences during his time at the Senate Judiciary Committee—his former professor of law at George Washington University Law Center, former Leader director Professor James P. Chandler. See analysis of Judge Rader’s undisclosed conflicts of interest in Leader v. Facebook. Judge Rader also did not stop his judges from creating new arguments and evidence for Facebook in the secrecy of chambers—after they had debunked all of Facebook’s evidence on appeal, which is a clear breach of constitutional due process.

Updated May 22, 2015

Click here to view a Federal Circuit Leader v. Facebook Conflicts of Interest Map.

NOTICE: Opinion

This is an opinion blog. Any information contained or linked herein should be independently verified and should be considered the sole opinion of the writer. Free Speech and Freedom of the Press are protected by the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution and other local, state, national and international laws. Therefore, as with all opinion, such opinion should not be relied upon without independent verification.

This site is a not-for-profit effort focused on education, news, investigation of issues in the public interest, and research, and relies on fair use copyright exemptions under 17 U.S.C. 106(a)-117 of the United States Copyright Act, in addition to any and all other related and relevant privileges to which a fair and reasonable person would attribute to this grassroots effort to root out corruption and promote justice. No rights whatsoever to third party content are claimed or implied.
Here is the cast of characters in Leader v. Facebook. We encourage you to report their 
corrupt activities to this site and others, like 
Lawless America. Feel free to communicate 
anonymously in any way in which you are 
most comfortable. The attempt of these 
people and their organizations to corrupt 
American justice and commerce cannot be 
tolerated. Vigilance. We will expose them. 
See Congressional Briefings (currently 
being updated after Scribd censored the 

A. Facebook’s law firms:

1. Fenwick & West LLP (Facebook 
   securities and patent law firm; 
   former Leader Technologies counsel; 
   attempted an appearance in Leader 
   v. Facebook; did not seek conflicts 
   waiver from Leader prior to 
   representing Facebook)

2. Cooley Godward LLP (Facebook 
   law firm in Leader v. Facebook; 
   Mibe Strategic energy stimulus 
   partner; Obama Justice Dept. 
   advisor; former employer to patent 
   judges)

3. Blank & Rome LLP (Facebook law 
   firm in Leader v. Facebook; former 
   employer to patent judges)

4. White & Case LLP (Facebook law 
   firm in Leader v. Facebook; 
   undisclosed former employer to 
   Patent Office Freedom of 
   Information Act (FOIA) officer 
   involved in Leader v. Facebook)

5. Gibson Dunn LLP (Facebook law 
   firm in Leader v. Facebook; 
   undisclosed counsel to the Federal 
   Circuit; undisclosed protégé of Chief 
   Justice John Roberts, Jr.; 
   undisclosed former employer to 
   Preetinder (“Preet”) Bharara, U.S. 
   Attorney currently persecuting Paul 
   Ceglia in U.S. v. Ceglia (Ceglia v. 
   Zuckerberg))

6. Orrick Herrington LLP (longtime 
   Facebook law firm and destroyer of 
   evidence for the cabal in Winklevoss 
   v. Zuckerberg and ConnectU v. 
   Facebook)

7. Weil Gotshal LLP (Federal Circuit 
   counsel in Leader v. Facebook; 
   Judge Kimberly A. Moore’s 
   undisclosed former client)

8. Latham & Watkins LLP 
   (Facebook Director James W. 
   Breyer’s counsel; Judge Kimberly A. 
   Moore’s husband, Matthew J. 
   Moore’s new law firm)

   (“FCBA”) (Federal Circuit’s bar 
   association; second largest in the 
   U.S.; Facebook’s law firms exert 
   much influence in its policy and 
   activity, incl. Fenwick & West LLP, 
   Gibson Dunn LLP, Orrick 
   Herrington LLP, Weil Gotshal LLP; 
   Facebook’s large shareholder, 
   Microsoft, is a director; Federal 
   Circuit Clerk of Court Jan Horbaly 
   is an officer; FCBA made an 
   appearance in Leader v. Facebook 
   to oppose the amicus curiae (friend 
   of the court) motion of Dr. Lakshmi 
   Arunachalam, former Director of 
   Network Architecture at Sun 
   Microsystems, in favor of Leader
Technologies and objecting to the evident conflicts of interest within the court itself, her motion was denied, the judges refused to disclose their conflicts which we now know include Facebook and Microsoft stocks

10. DC Bar Association

11. Perkins Coie LLP (Facebook’s "rapid response enforcement team;" law firm for Obama’s chief counsels, the husband and wife team of Robert F. Bauer and Anita B. Dunn; Bauer was identified on Aug. 1, 2013 as having directed the IRS targeting of the Tea Party)

12. Stroz Friedberg (Facebook’s "forensic expert" who manipulated the data in Paul Ceglia v. Mark Zuckerberg, and who first revealed the existence of 28 Zuckerberg hard drives and Harvard emails that they told Leader Technologies in 2009 were "lost")

13. Chandler Law Firm Chartered (Professor James P. Chandler, III, principal; Leader Technologies patent counsel; adviser to IBM and David J. Kappos; adviser to Eric H. Holder, Jr. and the U.S. Department of Justice; author of the Economic Espionage Act of 1996 and the Federal Trade Secrets Act)

B. Facebook attorneys & cooperating judges:

14. Gordon K. Davidson (Fenwick; Facebook’s securities and patent attorney; Leader Technologies’ former attorney)

15. Christopher P. King (aka Christopher-Charles King aka Christopher King aka Christopher-Charles P. King, Fenwick & West LLP)

16. Theodore B. Olson (Gibson Dunn)

17. Thomas G. Hungar (Gibson Dunn)


19. James Cole (Deputy Attorney General, U.S. Dept. of Justice)

20. Tony West (Associate Attorney General, U.S. Dept. of Justice; 2008 Obama California Campaign Manager)

21. Robert F. Bauer (Obama Attorney; White House Chief Counsel; directed IRS targeting of the Tea Party; formerly and currently employed by Perkins Coie LLP; Facebook’s "rapid response enforcement team;" spouse is Anita B. Dunn)

22. Anita B. Dunn (Obama Attorney; White House Chief Counsel; husband Robert F. Bauer directed IRS targeting of the Tea Party; formerly employed by Perkins Coie LLP; Facebook’s "rapid response enforcement team")

23. Mary L. Schapiro (former Chairman, Securities & Exchange Commission (S.E.C.); holds investments in 51 Facebook Club basket funds)

24. James "Jamie" Brigagliano (former Deputy Director of the Division of Trading and Markets at

---
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the Securities and Exchange Commission; Mary L. Schapiro's chief lieutenant on "dark pool" rule making)
25. Joseph P. Cutler (Perkins Coie)
26. David P. Chiappetta (Perkins Coie)
27. James R. McCullagh (Perkins Coie)
28. Ramsey M. Al-Salam (Perkins Coie)
29. Grant E. Kinsel (Perkins Coie)
30. Reeve T. Bull (Gibson Dunn)
31. Heidi Keefe (Cooley)
32. Michael G. Rhodes (Cooley; Tesla Motors)
33. Elizabeth Stameshkin (Cooley)
34. Donald K. Stern (Cooley; Justice Dept. advisor)
35. Mark R. Weinstein (Cooley)
36. Jeffrey Norberg (Cooley)
37. Ronald Lemieux (Cooley)
38. Craig W. Clark (Blank Rome)
39. Tom Amis (Cooley / McBee Strategic)
40. Erich Veitenheimer (Cooley / McBee Strategic)
41. Roel Campos (Cooley; former Commissioner of the U.S. Securities & Exchange Commission at the time of the infamous Facebook 12(g) exemption)
42. Lisa T. Simpson (Orrick)
43. Indra Neel Chatterjee (Orrick)
44. Samuel O'Rourke (Facebook; Cooley-directed)
45. Theodore W. Ullyot (Facebook; Cooley-directed)
46. Amber H. Rover, aka Amber L. Hagy aka Amber Hatfield (Weil Gotshal LLP; Judge Kimberly A. Moore's former client)
47. Edward R. Reines (Weil Gotshal)
48. Trish Harris (DC Bar Association)
49. Elizabeth A. Herman (DC Bar Association)
50. Elizabeth J. Branda (DC Bar Association)
51. David J. Kappos (former Patent Office Director; former IBM chief intellectual property counsel; ordered unprecedented 3rd reexam of Leader Technologies' patent; Obama political appointee)
52. Preetinder ("Preet") Bharara (U.S. Attorney Ceglia v. Zuckerberg; formerly of Gibson & Dunn LLP; protects Zuckerberg)
53. Thomas J. Kim (SEC Chief Counsel)
54. Anne Krauskopf (SEC Special Sr. Counsel)
55. John G. Roberts, Jr. (Chief Justice, U.S. Supreme Court)
56. Jan Horbaly (Federal Circuit, Clerk of Court)
57. Kimberly A. Moore (Judge, Federal Circuit)
58. Matthew J. Moore (Latham & Watkins LLP; husband of Judge Kimberly A. Moore)
59. Kathryn "Kathy" Ruemmler (Latham & Watkins LLP; White House counsel)
60. Evan J. Wallach (Judge, Federal Circuit)
61. Alan D. Lourie (Judge, Federal Circuit)
62. Randall R. Rader (Chief Judge, Federal Circuit)
63. Terence P. Stewart (Federal Circuit Bar Association)
64. Leonard P. Stark (Judge, Delaware U.S. District Court)
65. Richard J. Arcara (Judge, N.Y. Western District, Ceglia v. Holder et al)
68. Meredith C. Petravick (Administrative Judge, U.S. Patent Office)
70. Pinchus M. Laufer (Sr. Counsel, Patent Trial and Appeal Board, PTAB)
71. Kimberly Jordan (Counsel, Patent Trial and Appeal Board, PTAB)
72. Daniel J. Ryman (Counsel, Patent Trial and Appeal Board, PTAB)
73. William J. Stoffel (Counsel, Patent Trial and Appeal Board, PTAB)
74. James C. Payne (Counsel, Patent Trial and Appeal Board, PTAB)
75. Deandra M. Hughes (Examiner, Leader v. Facebook reexamination)
76. Kathryn Walsh Siehndel (FOIA Counsel, U.S. Patent Office - bio and conflicts log concealed)
77. Dennis C. Blair (Director, U.S. National Intelligence)
78. Dennis F. Saylor, IV (Judge, Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court, FISA)
79. James E. Boasberg (Judge, Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court, FISA)

C. Facebook puppet masters:

81. President Barack Obama

(appointed Leonard P. Stark to the judge’s seat in Delaware Federal District Court eight days after Stark’s court allowed Facebook to get away with jury and court manipulation of an on-sale bar verdict which was attained without a single piece of hard evidence; Barack and Michelle Obama were evidently protecting their 47 million “likes” on Facebook)
82. Lawrence "Larry" Summers (Harvard President who aided Zuckerberg's light-speed rise to prominence with unprecedented Harvard Crimson coverage; Obama bailout chief; Clinton Treasury Secretary; World Bank Chief Economist; "Special Advisor" to Marc Andreessen in Instagram; co-creator of the current Russian robber baron economy; close 20-year relationships with protégés Sheryl Sandberg & Yuri Milner; aided in recommendations that created the Russian robber baron economy—and Yuri Milner/DST/Asmanov's money used to purchase Facebook stock)

83. James W. Breyer, Accel Partners LLP; Facebook director; client of Fenwick & West LLP since the 1990's; apparently received technology from other Fenwick clients that was shuffed to Zuckerberg, incl. Leader Technologies' inventions

84. David Plouffe; directed Obama's 2008 and 2012 campaigns; a self-described "statistics nerd," likely directed the activities of the Facebook Club; employed Robert F. Bauer, Perkins Coi LLP in 2000 at the Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee

85. McBee Strategic (one of the main "private" arms responsible for doling out the billions in Obama "green energy" stimulus funds; partnered with Cooley Godward LLP)

86. Mike Sheehy (Cooley-McBee Strategic principal; former National Security Adviser to House Speaker Nancy Pelosi)

87. Nancy Pelosi (U.S. Congresswoman; appears to be running political cover in the House for Facebook, McBee Strategic, Cooley Godward, Fenwick & West, Breyers, etc.)

88. Harry Reid (U.S. Senator; Judge Evan J. Wallach patron)

89. Thomas J. Kim (SEC, Chief Counsel & Assoc. Director) approved Facebook's $50/shareholder exemption on Oct. 14, 2007, one day after it was submitted by Fenwick & West LLP; Facebook used this exemption to sell $3 billion insider stock to the Russians Alisher Asmanov, Yuri Milner, DST, Digital Sky, Mail.ru which pumped Facebook's pre-IPO valuation to $100 billion; another Harvard grad, Kim worked at Latham & Watkins LLP which was the chief lobbyist for the National Venture Capital Association in 2002-2004 whose Chairman was . . . James W. Beyer, Accel Partners LLP; in other words Breyer and Kim, both Harvard grads, were associated at the time of the Zuckerberg hacking and theft of Leader Technologies' software code)

90. Ping Li (Accel Partners, Zuckerberg handler)

91. Jim Swartz (Accel Partners; Zuckerberg handler)

92. Sheryl K. Sandberg (Facebook, Summers protégé; Facebook director)

93. Yuri Milner (DST aka Digital Sky, Summers protégé; former Bank Menatep executive; Facebook director)
94. Alisher Asmanov (DST aka Digital Sky; Goldman Sachs Moscow partner; Russian oligarch; Friend of the Kremlin; Became the Richest Man in Russia after the Facebook IPO)

95. Marc L. Andreessen (Zuckerberg coach; client of Fenwick & West LLP and Christopher P. King aka Christopher-Charles King aka Christopher King aka Christopher-Charles P. King; Summers' sponsor during Instagram-scam; Facebook director)

96. Peter Thiel (19-year old Zuckerberg coach; PayPal; Facebook director; CEO, Clarion Capital)

97. Clarion Capital (Peter Thiel)

98. Reid G. Hoffman (19-year old Zuckerberg coach; PayPal; LinkedIn; Facebook director)

99. Richard W. L. (Accel Partners)

100. Robert Ketterson (Fidelity Ventures; Fidelity Equity Partners; Fidelity Ventures Telecommunications & Technology)

101. David Kilpatrick (Business Insider; "The Facebook Effect"; PR cleanse-meister re. Facebook origins)

102. Zynga/Groupon/LinkedIn/Square/Instagram ("Facebook Money/Credits/Bitcoin" feeder companies)

103. Tesla Motors (received $465 million in Obama stimulus funds and hired Cooley's Michael Rhodes in the seven months before the Leader v. Facebook trial, just before veteran Judge Joseph Farman made the surprise announcement of his retirement, just six days after Facebook's disastrous Markman Hearing)

104. Solyndra (received $535 million in Obama stimulus at the recommendation of the Cooley-McBee Strategic "consulting" alliance)

105. BrightSource (received $1.6 billion in Obama stimulus at the recommendation of the Cooley-McBee Strategic "consulting" alliance)

106. John P. Breyer (father of James W. Breyer; founder of IDG Capital Partners - China; coached his son on exploiting Western markets while he quietly built a venture capital business in China for the last 20 years; the real brain behind the Breyer exploitations)

107. IDG Capital Partners (China) (founded by John P. Breyer, the father of James W. Breyer, Accel Partners; the current launderer of the tens of billions James W has fleeced from the U.S. market from the bailout, stimulus and the "pump & dump" Facebook IPO schemes)

108. Goldman Sachs (received US bailout funds; then invested with DST in Facebook private stock via Moscow; took Facebook public; locked out American investors from investing)

109. Morgan Stanley (received US bailout funds; took Facebook public; probably participated in overseas purchases of Facebook private stock before IPO)

110. State Street Corporation (received U.S. taxpayer bailout
monies along with Goldman Sachs and Morgan Stanley; consolidating control of ATM banking networks internationally

111. JP Morgan Chase (received U.S. taxpayer bailout monies along with Goldman Sachs, Morgan Stanley and State Street Corporation)

112. Lloyd Blankfein (Goldman Sachs, CEO)

113. Jamie Dimon (JP MorganChase, CEO)

114. Steve Cutler (JP MorganChase, General Counsel)

115. Rodgin Cohen (JP MorganChase, Outside Counsel; Sullivan Cromwell, LLP)

116. U.S. Securities & Exchange Commission (granted Fenwick & West’s application on behalf of Facebook for an unprecedented exemption to the 500 shareholder rule; opened the floodgates for Goldman Sachs and Morgan Stanley to make a private market in Facebook pre-IPO insider stock; facilitated the influx of billions of dollars from “dubious” sources associated with Russian oligarchs, Alisher Asmanov and Yuri Milner, and the Kremlin; Goldman Sachs is a partner with this Moscow company, Digital Sky Technologies, aka DST, aka Mail.ru)

117. Jeff Markey (McBee Strategic LLC; allied with Facebook’s Cooley Godward Kronish LLP to arrange Obama’s green energy funding; arranged $1.6 billion for failed BrightSource and $535 million for failed Solyndra)

118. Steve McBee (McBee Strategic LLC; allied with Facebook’s Cooley Godward Kronish LLP to arrange Obama’s green energy funding; arranged $1.6 billion for failed BrightSource and $535 million for failed Solyndra)

119. Michael F. McGowan (Struz Friedberg; Facebook forensic expert who lied about his knowledge of the contents of the 28 Zuckerberg hard drives and Harvard Email accounts)

120. Bryan J. Rose (Struz Friedberg; Facebook forensic expert who lied about his knowledge of the contents of the 28 Zuckerberg hard drives and Harvard Email accounts)

121. Dr. Saul Greenberg (Facebook’s expert witness from the University of Calgary; disingenuously waived his hands and said he would be “wild guessing” about the purpose of a Java “sessionstate” import statement (even Java newbies know it is used for tracking a user while in a web session); in short, Dr. Greenberg lied to the jury, thus discrediting his testimony)

122. Toni Townes-Whitley (CGI Federal; Michelle Obama’s 1985 Princeton classmate; CGI “donated” $47 million to the Obama campaign; CGI won the no-bid contract to build the www.healthcare.gov Obamacare website; CGI shut off the security features on Obama’s reelection donation sites to increase donations)

123. CGI Federal (US division of a Canadian company; Donated $47 million to Obama’s reelection, then received the no-bid contract to build the ill-fated Obamacare website; Michelle Obama’s Princeton
classmate, Toni Townes-Whitely, is a Senior Vice President of CGI; the website is replete with social features and links to Facebook.

124. Kathleen Sebelius (Obama’s Secretary of Health & Human Services since 2009) responsible for $678 million Obamacare implementation; made the decision to hire CGI Federal on a no-bid contract despite the evident conflict of interest with Michelle Obama and $47 million in Obama campaign donations by CGI; the website is replete with social features and links to Facebook.

125. Todd Y. Park (White House Chief Technology Officer (CTO); former CTO for Health & Human Services; chief architect of HealthCare.gov; founder, director, CEO, Athenahealth, Inc.; founder, director, CEO, Castlight Health, Inc.)

126. Frank M. Sands, Sr. / Frank M. Sands, Jr. (Founder and CEO, respectively, of Sands Capital Management LLC; failed to file S.E.C. Form SC 13G acquisition reports for Athenahealth, Inc., Baidu, Inc. (ADR) and Facebook stock during 2012; masked the association of Todd Y. Park with Athenahealth, Inc. and Baidu, Inc., and the association of both of those companies with the Facebook IPO fraud.

127. Robin “Handsome Reward” Yangong Li (CEO, Baidu, Inc. (ADR); appointed Jan. 2004, the same month that Mark Zuckerberg obtained Leader Technologies’ social networking source code to start Facebook; Robin Y. Li is very likely associated with John P. and James W. Breyer through their Chinese entities, including IDG Capital Partners, IDG-Accel and other variants; Li appointed a junior attorney from Fenwick & West LLP, Palo Alto/Mountain View, namely Parker Zhang, to be his “Head of Patents;” Fenwick & West LLP represented both Leader Technologies, Inc. and Accel Partners LLC in 2002-2005 and had Leader’s source code in their files.)

128. Parker Zhang (”Head of Patents” at Baidu, Inc. (ADR), appointed in approx. May 2012; formerly a junior Associate attorney at Fenwick & West LLP; graduate from Michigan Law in 2005)

129. Penny S. Pritzker (Secretary, Department of Commerce; replaced Rebecca M. Blank; holds over $24 million in Facebook “dark pools” stock, most notably in Goldman Sachs, Morgan Stanley and JPMorgan)

130. Rebecca M. Blank (Secretary, Department of Commerce; oversaw the dubious Leader v. Facebook activities of the Patent Office Director, David J. Kappos, who held over one million dollars in Facebook “dark pools” during the Leader v. Facebook proceedings; Kappos purchased this stock within weeks of his surprise recess appointment by President Obama; Kappos also was formerly employed by IBM, who sold Facebook 750 patents during the Leader v. Facebook proceedings; right before leaving the Patent Office, Kappos also ordered an unprecedented 3rd reexamination of Leader’s patent without even
identifying claims)

131. Mary L. Schapiro (Chairman, Securities & Exchange Commission; holds 51 Facebook “dark pools” stocks which held stock in Facebook, Baidu and more than a dozen Facebook crony companies; failed to regulate the “dark pools;” failed to disclose her substantial conflict of interest in regulating the run up to the Facebook IPO)

132. Robert C. Hancock (Chief Compliance Officer, Sands Capital Management, LLC; failed to file S.E.C. Form SC 12G notice of acquisition reports for Athenahealth, Baidu and Facebook during the period of the Facebook IPO in 2012; this conduct masked the conflicts of interest of Todd Y. Park, who was appointed by President Obama to be the U.S. Chief Technology Officer during this same period; Todd Y. Park is has been founder, director and CEO of both Athenahealth and Castlight Health; Todd Y. Park deeply embedded the software from Athenahealth and Castlight Health into HealthCare.gov when he was CTO at Health & Human Services; none of these conflicts of interest were disclosed; Todd Y. Park’s ethics pledges and reports are missing from the Office of Government Ethics)

133. Jonathan Goodman (Chief Counsel, Sands Capital Management, LLC; failed to file S.E.C. Form SC 12G notice of acquisition reports for Athenahealth, Baidu and Facebook during the period of the Facebook IPO in 2012; this conduct masked the conflicts of interest of Todd Y. Park, who was appointed by President Obama to be the U.S. Chief Technology Officer during this same period; Todd Y. Park is has been founder, director and CEO of both Athenahealth and Castlight Health; Todd Y. Park deeply embedded the software from Athenahealth and Castlight Health into HealthCare.gov when he was CTO at Health & Human Services; none of these conflicts of interest were disclosed; Todd Y. Park’s ethics pledges and reports are missing from the Office of Government Ethics; Goodman was formerly employed by Gibson Dunn LLP, Facebook appeals counsel in Leader v. Facebook)

134. Trip Adler ("Co-Founder" of Scribd; Harvard contemporaries of Mark Zuckerberg with a dubious orgins story, like Zuckerberg’s; Scribd held API documents for two years, then summarily deleted the entire library without warning on Fri. Mar. 7, 2014; AFI’s library contained only public documents and much evidence proving the Leader v. Facebook judicial corruption)

135. Jared Friedman ("Co-Founder" of Scribd; Harvard contemporaries of Mark Zuckerberg with a dubious orgins story, like Zuckerberg’s; Scribd held API documents for two years, then summarily deleted the entire library without warning on Fri. Mar. 7, 2014; AFI’s library contained only public documents and much evidence proving the Leader v. Facebook judicial corruption)

136. Jeffrey Wadsworth (CEO,
Battelle Memorial Institute; President, Ohio State University Board of Trustees; former Deputy Director of Science & Technologies, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, University of California Board of Trustees

137. Michael V. Drake (President, The Ohio State University; former Chancellor, University of California, Irvine)

138. Woodrow A. Myers (Chief Medical Officer, Wellpoint, Inc.; formerly Corporate Operations Officer, Anthem Blue Cross Blue Shield of Indiana)

139. Alex R. Fischer (aka Alexander Ross Fischer; Trustee, The Ohio State University; former Sr. Vice President, Battelle Memorial Institute; Chairman, OmniViz; married to Lori Barreras)

140. Chris Glaros (author of the discredited Waters Report re. The Ohio State University Marching Band; protege of Eric H. Holder, Jr., Professor James P. Chandler, III, and Algernon L. Marbley)

141. Lori Barreras (Commissioner, Ohio Civil Rights Commission; former Vice President of Human Resources, The Ohio State University; former Vice President, Battelle Memorial Institute; married to Alex R. Fischer)

142. David Vaughn (Criminal Attorney, David Vaughn Consulting Group; former Assistant U.S. Attorney; appointed to the discredited Waters Commission at Ohio State)

143. Betty Montgomery (former Ohio Attorney General; appointed to the discredited Waters Commission at Ohio State; accepted campaign contributions from Woodrow A. Myers, Wellpoint, Inc. and friend of Michael V. Drake)

144. Joseph A. Steinmetz (Provost, The Ohio State University; author of Psychological Science article on MOOC (Massive Open Online Course) that triggered the discovery of massive double-dealing and fraud within the Ohio State trustees)

D. Facebook boy-puppets:

145. Mark E. Zuckerberg
146. Chris Hughes
147. Dustin Moskowitz
148. Eduardo Saverin
149. Matthew R. Cohler
150. Elon Musk

E. Corruption Watch — Patent Office Judges:

151. Anderson, Gregg
152. Best, George
153. Bonilla, Jackie W.
154. Boucher, Patrick
155. Braden, Georgianna W.
156. Branch, Gene
157. Bisk, Jennifer Bresson
158. Bui, Hung H.
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The following universities were announced as participants in Ohio State Provost Joseph A. Steinmetz's corrupt MOOC education initiative named "University Innovation Alliance" (UIA). We have identified the instructions and online forms you need to file a complaint with the participants. MOOC stands for "Massive Open Online Course."

You should complain about:

(1) the intellectual property theft of social networking source code from Leader Technologies, Columbus, Ohio that is the software engine running the UIA;

(2) the corruption at Ohio State University and OSU's collusion with Battelle Memorial Institute which helped steal the software being used by UIA; and

(3) the mistreatment of OSU Marching Band Director Jon Waters regarding fabricated Title IX charges that were used to pave the way for Steinmetz to announce UIA.

Universities pride themselves on protection of intellectual property. Therefore, these universities cannot participate in this abuse of inventor copyrights, patents and trade secrets by The Ohio State Trustees and Administration. If these universities participate knowingly with Ohio State in its theft of intellectual property, then they are aiding and abetting the theft of intellectual property on a "massive" scale... Massive Open Online Course (MOOC) also known as The Eclipse Foundation.

1. ARIZONA
   Arizona State University
   https://www.azag.gov/consumer/procedure
   https://www.azag.gov/complaints/consumer

2. CALIFORNIA
   University of California Riverside
   California State System (observer)
   http://www.oig.ca.gov/

3. FLORIDA
   University of Central Florida
   http://www.floridaoig.com/
   http://www.fldoe.org/ig/complaint.asp
   http://app1.fldoe.org/IGComplaint/ComplaintForm.aspx

4. GEORGIA
   Oregon State University
   http://oig.georgia.gov/
   http://oig.georgia.gov/file-complaint

5. INDIANA
   Purdue University
   http://www.in.gov/ig/2330.htm

6. IOWA
   Iowa State University
   http://www.state.ia.us/government/ag/file_complaint/online_2.html
7. MICHIGAN
Michigan State University

8. OHIO
The Ohio State University
http://watchdog.ohio.gov/FileAComplaint.aspx

9. ORGEON
Oregon State University
https://justice.oregon.gov/forms/consumer_complaint.asp
https://justice.oregon.gov/consumercomplaints/

10. KANSAS
The University of Kansas
https://ag.ks.gov/about-the-office/contact-us/email-us

11. TEXAS
The University of Texas
http://www.tdcj.state.tx.us/divisions/oig/oig_fraud.html
https://sao.fraud.state.tx.us/Hotline.aspx

Let's make sure that the "University Innovation Alliance (UIA)" and "Massive Open Online Course" MOOC never get off the ground due to their corrupt foundations.

RESOURCE:

RECIPROCAL LINKS

- Center for Public Integrity
- Center for Self Governance
- Georgia KSCO
- International Consortium of Investigative Journalists (ICIJ)
- Judicial Watch
- Lawless America
- West New Jersey Tea Party
- Innovation Alliance
- Sharyl Attkisson
- Bob Dylan’s Plagarism of James Damiano
- Full Measure with Sharyl Attkisson
- Save The American Inventor
- PragerU
- Government Gone Wild
- American Thinker
- Alex Jones, Infowars
- ROOT for America (Wayne Allyn Root)
- ZeroHedge
- Western Journalism
- The Intercept
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