Attention: Searches this blog, Donna Kline Archive, FB Cover-up, Origins of Facebook's Technology and LeaderDocs.

Tuesday, December 8, 2015

STATE DEPARTMENT STONEWALLS HILLARY’S FACEBOOK CONNECTIONS

FOIA DIRECTOR FAILED TO DISCLOSE HIS FACEBOOK INTELLIGENCE CONFLICTS WHEN ASKED ABOUT FACEBOOK ADDRESSES UNCOVERED IN HILLARY’S PRIVATE SERVER

BREAKING NEWS, DEC. 11, 2015: PROSECUTION OF ENCRYPTION ENGINEERS URGED. In the run up to Obama's meeting on Thursday with encryption engineers at the White House "in response to an online petition" [pause, coughed a hairball], privacy advocates are calling for prosecution of encryption engineers who have violated the Fourth Amendment (right to privacy) by feeding data to the NSA illegally. Whistleblowers encouraged. See previous post: Complicit encryption geeks enable the American Spy State.

BREAKING NEWS, DEC. 10, 2015: MILITARY FORCES WERE AT THE READY FOR BENGHAZI. HILLARY FAILED TO APPROVE ACTION. (Preferred "Twitterplomacy")

Judicial Watch just released a new Benghazi (Sep. 11, 2012, 7:19pm) email from then-Department of Defense Chief of Staff Jeremy Bash to State Department leadership immediately offering "forces that could move to Benghazi" during the terrorist attack on the U.S. Special Mission Compound in Benghazi, Libya on September 11, 2012. In an email sent to top Department of State officials, at 7:19 p.m. ET, only hours after the attack had begun, Bash says, "we have identified the forces that could move to Benghazi. They are spinning up as we speak." The Obama administration redacted the details of the military forces available, oddly citing a Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) exemption that allows the withholding of "deliberative process" information. Full Story (incl.smoking gun DoD email).

FIG. 1—HILLARY CLINTON’S "BEST FRIEND FOREVER" (BFF) SHERYL K. SANDBERG AND DAVID GOLDBERG, DURING HAPPIER TIMES.

On May 1, 2015, David Goldberg, the husband of Sheryl K. Sandberg of 11 years, died mysteriously in Puerto Vallarta, Mexico, ostensibly while exercising alone on a treadmill in the hotel next to the one where the couple was staying. He supposedly hit his head and later died of head trauma in the hospital. Goldberg was the CEO of Survey Monkey. Sandberg’s relationship with Hillary Clinton and her staff was close enough that some of Sandberg’s words were redacted by the State Department before releasing the emails to Judicial Watch. Disappearance of spouses and loved ones around the Clintons is not a new phenomenon.

(DEC. 08, 2015)—Michael McKibben, Founder of Leader Technologies—the real inventor of social networking—just asked the U.S. Department of State’s Director and main Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) officer, John Hackett, to disqualify himself over his Hillary Clinton conflicts of interest.

Hackett is the State Department officer who has led the FOIA stonewalling of Hillary Clinton’s emails requested by Judicial Watch and other watchdog groups. See Bade, R. (Jul. 29, 2015). Judge explodes over Hillary email delays. Politico.

STATE DEPARTMENT PUBLISHED A FACEBOOK EMAIL ADDRESS BEFORE THE LEADER V. FACEBOOK TRIAL

On Oct. 15, 2015, McKibben had filed a FOIA request asking for documents concerning the State Department’s development, publishing and use of the email address “USgovernment.fb.com” while Hillary Clinton was Secretary of State.

McKibben’s request followed the “rolling releases” of Hillary Clinton’s private emails to Judicial Watch. The emails show a relationship with Facebook’s Sheryl Sandberg which was close enough that Sandberg’s personal information was redacted by the State Department.

SANDBERG FAWNS, HILLARY Responds

For example, on Oct. 17, 2012, Sandberg, Hillary and Hillary’s chief of staff, Cheryl Mills have a cozy, partially-redacted chat about Sandberg’s book manuscript. Sandberg fawns on Hillary to get a book endorsement, even offering to write it for her. (Sandberg: “Anything I can do to make this easy for her is great (happy to get quotes written you all can cobble together if that helps”). Notably, Sandberg goes out of her way not to step on political adviser Anne-Marie Slaughter’s toes.

Also notable is the timing of Sandberg’s email. It also occurred on Oct. 17, 2012, the same day that the Patent Office ‘patent reexamination’ kangaroo court reversed four previous rulings in Leader Technologies’ favor and summarily invalidated their patent on social networking. Curiously, the panel had issued over 200 patents previously to IBM, Xerox and Microsoft—three (of the several hundred) Facebook cronies in IBM’s Eclipse Foundation. The chief judge, Stephen C. Siu, formerly worked for IBM and Microsoft, yet failed to recuse. See previous post: “Patent Office Director David Kappos convened rare secret court with IBM cronies to kill Leader’s patent.”

Hillary’s cozy relationship with Facebook was clearly there from before the beginning of Barack Obama’s first term.
On Feb. 10, 2007, Barack Obama announced his candidacy on Facebook.

On Jul. 9, 2009, Hillary’s emails show that her unofficial political adviser, Sidney Blumenthal, had drafted her first speech to the Council on Foreign Relations. Blumenthal wrote (and Hillary presumably repeated) “Twitter and Facebook will become as much a part of the diplomatic lexicon as cables and demarches.”

On Dec. 29, 2009, by Christmas that year, Hillary had developed a dinner guest list that she called her “Technology Connections dinner.” The dinner was slated to include seven of the companies that Edward Snowden revealed were already feeding customer data to the NSA. The Second Circuit U.S. Court has since declared that activity illegal. Those companies were Microsoft, Yahoo!, Google, Facebook, YouTube (owned by Google), Skype (owned by Microsoft) and Apple.

Apparently, Leader Technologies, the proven, real inventor of the technology, was not invited. So much for Hillary’s commitment to the U.S. Constitution and the rule of law.

During the same time period, Facebook and Mark Zuckerberg were stonewalling all discovery of Zuckerberg’s computers from 2003-2004, eventually claiming they were lost. However, in 2012, twenty-eight (28) Zuckerberg hard drives appear two days after the Federal Circuit circle their judicial wagons around Facebook (and their pre-IPO Facebook financial holdings).

Tellingly, the State Department redacted the entire contents of Hillary’s speech to her “Technology Connections” dinner guests.

An earlier Aug. 16, 2009 email shows that Clinton and NSA director James L. Jones were collaborating and likely planning these “Technology Connections.” On Dec. 02, 2011, these connections were called by Hillary’s adviser, Anne-Marie Slaughter, “public-private partnerships” where “private incentives are tied to public goals.”

Fourteen months later, on Feb. 17, 2011, six of the seven Hillary tech dinner guests attended a private dinner with President Obama in Silicon Valley.


To see this activity in the “Rise of the Corrupt Spy State” timeline, click here.

HILLARY’S EMAILS OPEN THE DOORS ON THE “PUBLIC-PRIVATE” SPY STATE COLLUSION

The bottom line is that Hillary’s Judicial Watch emails open the doors on the Spy State Cartel. It appears that Hillary and Bill were prime movers who have just been better able to hide their duplicity. No wonder she is so smug.

However, as is always the case, Truth finds a way.

THE BIG SPY STATE CARTEL LIE—LURE CITIZENS INTO "THE CLOUD," THEN AMBUSH THEM

The evidence grows clearer every day that the entire social networking technology world was built on an elaborate spy state lie.

That lie was designed to lure unsuspecting citizens into “the cloud” where their privacy could be exploited endlessly in infinite swirls of “Big Data” titillation. Saul Alinsky named unsuspecting citizens “useful idiots.”

Bill, George and Barack all have one thing in common: Professor James P. Chandler.

Hillary and Bill helped lay the foundation, which was the intellectual brainchild of Harvard law professor James P. Chandler.

As Bill was leaving office, he turned Chandler over to George W. Bush, who let him keep going. After 9/11 Chandler practically had free reign. This group of sycophants moved in their people, heavily weighted toward Harvard hubris. It was done quietly and below the radar screen.

THE MANCHURIAN CANDIDATE IS FOCUSED ON THIS SPY
STATE "PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP" AGENDA TO FEED HIS CRONIES WITH CASH AND POWER

Then came the Manchurian candidate, Barak Obama. His presidency has been successful at only one thing: this spy state "public-private" agenda to propel his crony network. Nothing else matters. Rest assured, his actions are not incompetent. They are evil.

Unplug them.

* * *

Bookmark: #stop-the-cartel
The Takings Clause of the Fifth Amendment empowers Congress to legislate a payday for Leader Technologies shareholders. This would provide adequate financing for Leader to offer a rational social networking environment—one that offers the application utility that people have come to enjoy about Leader’s invention without sacrificing security and privacy.

Contact your elected representatives and ask them to use Congress’ power of the purse to pay Leader Technologies and unplug the Cartel.

Bookmark: #spy-state-surveillance

American Spy State

FIG. 3 —The evidence is unmistakable. A Cartel of private companies, in collusion with the NSA, collect ALL relationship data between American citizens and the federal government. Much of that data is stored overseas, e.g., Lulea, Sweden, outside the jurisdiction of the U.S. Constitution (“Inside the Arctic Circle, Where Your Data Lives,” Business Week).

Bookmark: #spy-state-players

LEADER V. FACEBOOK BACKGROUND

Jul. 23, 2013 NOTICE: DonnaKlineNow! has gone offline. All her posts are available as a PDF collection here (now updated, post-Scribd censorship).

Mar. 20, 2014 READER NOTICE: On Mar. 7, 2014, all of our documents linked to Scribd were deleted by that “cloud” service using the flimsiest of arguments. Some of our documents have been there for two years and some had almost 20,000 reads.

George Orwell wrote in 1984 that one knows one is in a totalitarian state when telling the truth becomes an act of courage.

All the links below were updated Mar. 20, 2014 (many thanks to our volunteers!)


2. Dr. Lakshmi Arunachalam’s Censored Federal Circuit Filings (Archive)

3. Brief Summary of Leader v. Facebook

4. Backgrounder

5. Fenwick & West LLP Duplicity

6. Instagram-scam

7. USPTO-reexam Sham

8. Zynga-gate

http://americans4innovation.blogspot.com/2015/12/state-department-stonewalls-hillarys.html
FIG. 4 — Primary Participants in the American NSA - C.I.A. Spy State 'Public-Private' Cartel. See AFI. (Oct. 19, 2015). The social networking patent property case every American needs to fight. Americans For Innovation.

Notice: This post may contain opinion. As with all opinion, it should not be relied upon without independent verification. Think for yourself.

COMMENT

Click "N comments:" on the line just below this instruction to comment on this post. Alternatively, send an email with your comment to amer4innov@gmail.com and we’ll post it for you. We welcome and encourage anonymous comments, especially from whistleblowers.

6 comments:

dave123 December 9, 2015 at 7:02 PM
CAPITALISTS SWALLOW CHARITY
Zuckerberg announcing that he and Priscilla Chan were giving away 99% of their Facebook shares in just over 2200 words long LOL
Whether you call it charity or philanthropy one thing is clear Mark Zuckerberg didn’t surrender anything he set up a limited liability company called the Chan Zuckerberg initiative and transferred US$1 billion in Facebook shares to it. As Jesse Eisinger reported in the New York Times he simply moved money from one pocket to the other, a crack team of management scholars and business journalists TRIED to cheer us with a single message. You’re right they conceded it's not charity, it's a new radical movement called philanthrocapitalism and it's going to make you all RICH by giving more philanthropy to the wealthy.

philanthrocapitalism Facebook dazzling array of new techniques is for making money out of the POOR it’s simply another step on the dogged march to source new supplies of capital it’s business as usual rebranding as philanthropy and announced with a deceptive air of selflessness that leave you near groveling in thanks,

Reply

K. Craine December 10, 2015 at 10:18 AM

GIBSON DUNN LLP exposed as one of the most corrupt law firms in America
Investigative Reporter Julia Davis investigates Facebook's Leader v. Facebook attorney Gibson Dunn LLP. She credits this firm with the reason why not a single Wall Street banker has gone to jail since 2008. Click here to read her article “Everybody hates whistleblowers.” Examiner.com, Apr. 10, 2012. Here’s an excerpt:

“Skillful manipulation of the firm’s extensive media connections allows Gibson Dunn to promote their causes, while simultaneously smearing their opponents and silencing embarrassing news coverage.”
Email comment by MK/GH:
And we want our government (via Google) embedding monitoring chips in our eyes to control diabetes???

First your personal information, now your blood: Google has patented a “Needle-Free Blood Draw,” which holds appeal for diabetes patients who have to test their blood glucose levels on a regular basis, for example.

http://www.foxnews.com/tech/2015/12/07/google-wants-your-blood-patents-needle-free-blood-drawing-device.html

K. Craine  December 11, 2015 at 5:32 AM

Email comment by TEX:


http://www.americanthinker.com/articles/2015/12/why_does_obama_call_isis_isil.html

Many who closely follow the dueling Islamic terror narratives emanating from the White House are mystified by Mr. Obama’s inability (or deliberate unwillingness) to utter the phrase “Islamic terrorists.” Many are curious, too, about why he refuses to call ISIS “ISIL,” steadfastly insisting instead that everybody in his administration call the terror group “ISIL.” What’s the difference, and why is it important? The agendas behind each diverge widely. In fact, the variance between the two is elephantine in scale.

K. Craine  December 11, 2015 at 5:44 AM

Email comment by GH:

Korte, G. (Dec. 09, 2015). In response to petition, White House will meet with encryption advocates. USA Today.


[Editor’s Note: This meeting is a red herring. Obama and his cronies are totally committed to instilling confidence among the citizenry in the rule of law and the judicial system. These promises appear to be meaningless. Click here for a PDF version of Julie Davis’ article.]

POPULAR POSTS

OHIO STATE’S PRESIDENT MICHAEL V. DRAKE MIRED IN PERSONAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Trustees and Provost promote learning technology that benefits trustee clients and is stolen from OSU alums Contributing Writers | Opinion...

OSU BAND INVESTIGATION UNEARTHS SURPRISE TRUSTEE COLLUSION IN PATENT THEFT

Breaking News, Sep. 3, 2014, 10:05am OSU Trustee President, Jeffrey Wadsworth, ‘counterattacks’ the Band Alumni leadership T...

FIRING OF OSU BAND LEADER EXPOSES CORRUPTION AT BATTELLE LABS, PATENT OFFICE, NSA

Jeffrey Wadsworth, Battelle CEO and OSU Trustee president, doles out OSU contracts to Facebook Cartel thru his McBee Strategic LLC lobbys....

GOVERNOR JOHN KASICH HOLDS MUCH STOCK IN OSU TRUSTEE PRIVATE INTERESTS

Governor’s trustee appointments reveal strong bias toward protecting his investments Contributing Writers | Opinion | AMERICANS FOR INNOVA...

MASSIVE WASHINGTON CORRUPTION EXPOSED BY LEADER V. FACEBOOK

Bi-partisan citizen group appeals to Congress to RESTORE PROPERTY CONFISCATED by widespread federal corruption incl. interference by Nancy ...

HEALTHCARE.GOV HAS EXPOSED WASHINGTON’S ETHICAL DISEASE

Undisclosed conflicts of interest–on a massive scale—are choking Washington Contributing Writers | OPINION | AMERICANS FOR INNOVA...

BOYCOPT NCAA MARCH MADNESS? COPYRIGHT-GATE

Constitutional rights advocates demand that NCAA stop its copyright infringement in social media; ask Congress to preserve Zuckerberg’s ...

HOW JUDGES GROW RICH ON THE BACKS OF AMERICAN INVENTORS

Patent Office filings are shuffled out the USPTO
A friend just tweeted this. These people probably thought they could sneak around in the shadows of secrecy and bits and bytes and just pocket their ill-gotten gain without consequence.

Spread the word:

Prosecution of Facebook (and other) Encryption Engineers under the Fourth Amendment (right to privacy) urged by privacy advocates.

http://americans4innovation.blogspot.com/2015/12/state-department-stonewalls-hillarys.html

Our mission

American citizens must fight abuse of the constitutional right for authors and inventors to enjoy the fruits of their inventions, as a matter of matter of basic property rights and sound public policy. Otherwise, instead of innovation, creativity, genius, ideas, vision, courage, entrepreneurship, respect, property, rejuvenation, morals, ethics, values, renewal, truth, facts, rights, privacy, solutions and judicial faithfulness,

. . . our society and economy will be dragged down (and eventually destroyed) by copying, infringement, thievery, counterfeiting, hacking, greed, misinformation, exploitation, abuse, waste, disrespect, falsity, corruption, bribery, coercion, intimidation, doublespeak, misconduct, lies, deception, attorney "dark arts," destruction, confusion, dishonesty, judicial chicanery and lawlessness.

If we do not speak up, impeach derelict judges and imprison corrupt attorneys, we cannot possibly hope to start fixing the current ills in our society. Without justice and respect for private property, democracy has no sure foundation.

Current editorial focus
December 7, 2015

John Hackett, Director  
Office of Information Programs and Services  
Department of State, SA-2  
515 22nd Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20522-8100  
(202) 261-8484 phone  
(202) 261-8579 fax  
FOIAStatus@state.gov

Dear Mr. Hackett

Re:  Case Control Number F-2015-15589  
Re. Department of State Social Media policy, Facebook field guides and use of USgovernment@fb.com.

I am in receipt of your Nov. 24, 2015 letter denying my appeal of the denial of my fee waiver request. Exhibit A. It is evident from your intransigence that you intend to stonewall—an effort for which I have little patience, but much resolve. Therefore, I am forwarding this letter to watchdogs, members of the media and Congress to help ensure fair treatment.

For the reasons presented herein, I request that you disqualify yourself from involvement in this matter due to your evident conflicts of interest shown below.

Your response is puzzling for several reasons. First, the undifferentiated rationale for lack of “adequate” information is not instructive. I provided a substantial amount of information, and therefore need to understand objectively why it was not adequate. Just saying “no” is not an appropriate response from you as a public servant.

Second, no avenue of appeal was provided, as is customary. Please provide a specific instruction since your general citation to the State Department’s voluminous website is equally uninstructive.
I am willing to more than triple by my fee limit from $30 to $100. However, I reserve the right to reassert this fee waiver request as we move forward. I have no way to assess the fairness, risk or the commitment I am making in advance of knowing that to which I am committing.

Finally, I wish to make you aware that you personally have significant conflicts of interest in this matter which you failed to disclose. Your “John Hackett” LinkedIn Profile (Exhibit B) states that you were (see arrows):

**Director**  
Office of Information Programs and Services  
June 2015 – Present (7 months) | U.S. Department of State

**Acting Director, Office of Information Programs and Services**  
U.S. Department of State  
March 2014 – June 2015 (1 year 4 months)

**Deputy Director, Office of Information Programs and Services**  
U.S. Department of State  
April 2013 – March 2014 (1 year)

**Director, Information Management Office**  
Office of the Director of National Intelligence  
April 2006 – April 2013 (7 years 1 month)

**Director, Information Management Office**  
National Counterterrorism Center  
December 2004 – April 2006 (1 year 5 months)

Your Conflicts of Interest in this matter include:

- Facebook
- NSA
- IBM
- U.S. State Department
- Hillary Clinton
- Professor James P. Chandler (my patent attorney)
One can reasonably assume that based on the facts below (many of which were provided by your office to Judicial Watch) that you and your employer had direct relationships to Facebook, the NSA, IBM, Hillary Clinton and my former patent attorney, Professor James P. Chandler, III.

Professor Chandler was my patent attorney for my patent on social networking in which my company, Leader Technologies, and I, have battled Facebook and proved that Facebook is infringing on 11 of 11 claims.¹ During the pendency of my case against Facebook, you were Director at the Office of Director of National Intelligence. Given the NSA’s now notoriously public involvement with Facebook, Microsoft and IBM during our case, your bias against me could not be more evident.

Upon knowledge, information and belief, Professor Chandler was at all relevant times also a senior intelligence and national security adviser to intelligence the NSA, IBM, National Counterterrorism Center (NCC) and the Office of the Director of National Intelligence (ODNI), and/or their predecessor agencies, since the Clinton Administration.

I know personally that Professor Chandler has had a close business and personal relationship with Bill & Hillary Clinton since at least the early 1990s. He worked closely with the Clinton White House in the drafting and passage of the Economic Espionage Act of 1996, including subsequent legislation, regulations, Executive Orders and prosecutions. On information and belief, this consulting continued through both the Bush and Obama Administrations, and therefore, during your tenures at the NCC, ODNI and the State Dept.

Given Professor Chandler’s central role and ongoing influence in national security, trade secrets, intellectual property and economic espionage, it is inconceivable that you did not work with him and/or follow his advice.

Therefore, the relationships of your present and former employers with Professor Chandler profoundly bias this FOIA request.

Since this request involves Facebook, the conflict with Professor Chandler is compounded by the following additional conflicts.

NSA Whistleblower, Edward Snowden, revealed that Facebook and key Facebook stakeholders (in concert with Microsoft, Skype, Yahoo, Google, AT&T and others) began feeding user data illegally to the NSA on Jun. 6, 2009—during your tenure at ODNI. A reasonable person will conclude that your former associations with the NSA and Facebook would mean you are not impartial in this request.

The State Department's various FOIA productions in Judicial Watch Case Nos. F-2012-29278 and 2014-20439 provide substantial judicially recognizable proof that you have at least the following conflicts of interest as a member of both the Bush and Obama Executive Branches:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date:</th>
<th>Subject:</th>
<th>Reference/Citation:</th>
<th>Conflict Description:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

2 Skype is now wholly-owned by Microsoft.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Document Reference</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jul 9, 2009</td>
<td>State Department began promoting <strong>Facebook</strong> when <strong>Secretary Clinton</strong>'s private consultant, Sidney Blumenthal, drafted her first speech to the Council of Foreign Relations.</td>
<td>Judicial Watch v. U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc. No. C05762671</td>
<td>“Twitter and <strong>Facebook</strong> will become as much a part of the diplomatic lexicon as cables and demarches” (Emphasis added.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug 16, 2009</td>
<td>Director of the <strong>NSA</strong>, General James L. Jones, was shown to be collaborating directly with <strong>Secretary Clinton</strong>.</td>
<td>Judicial Watch v. U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc. No. C05763753</td>
<td>“Subject: <strong>NSA</strong> Jones has requested to speak with the Secretary . . . Gen. Jones is [sic] wishes to speak with the Secretary at her earliest convenience.” (Emphasis added.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Dec 29, 2009 | Hillary Clinton sent invitations to key **Facebook** funders and directors, including Square (Larry Summers & Marc Andreessen) and Invitations to **NSA** mass data collection partners, as revealed by Edward Snowden. | Judicial Watch v. U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc. No. C05763235   | “FW: Revised Concept paper on tech dinner”  
“Potential Invitees:  
1) P. Warrior, **Cisco**  
2) Carol Bartz, **Yahoo**  
3) . . .  
8) Steve Jobs, **Apple**  
9) Jack Dorsey, **Twitter**/**Square**  
10) Eric Schmidt, **Google**  
(Emphasis added.)  
Also at Feb. 17, 2011 Pres. Obama Silicon Valley dinner 14 months later. |

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>On</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Attendees:</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 17, 2011</td>
<td>President Obama met in “closed-door session” with organizations cooperating with the NSA, including Facebook.</td>
<td>UPI. (Feb. 17, 2011). Obama meets with Jobs, Zuckerberg [Syndicated news]; UPI Photo.</td>
<td>1. Hillary Clinton tech dinner invitee 14 months earlier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct. 16, 2012</td>
<td>Sheryl K. Sandberg, Facebook chief operating officer, sent Hillary a draft of her book; solicits a quote. Parts of Sandberg’s email content is B6 (personal privacy interests exemption) redacted.</td>
<td>Judicial Watch v. U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2012-20439 Doc. No. C05792688</td>
<td>Shows relationship between Sandberg, Facebook and Hillary is close enough to redact for privacy interests. This exchange between Clinton and Sandberg occurred during the pendency of Leader</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6 Greenwald.
Technologies petition for writ of certiorari to the U.S. Supreme Court in *Leader v. Facebook* (to which Facebook did not even bother responding).

Facebook’s arrogance was evident then, and now, thanks to Judicial Watch and Hillary’s emails, the reason is known—the Washington “Cartel” was protecting Facebook to the prejudice of Leader Technologies’ shareholder patent property rights.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
<th>Document Reference</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Dec. 26, 2012 | State Department published “FACEBOOK Part 1 Getting Started with Facebook” | Judicial Watch v. U.S. Department of State F-2012-29278 Doc. No. C05371180 | ![Facebook field guide for missions, posts and IRCs](image2)
| Mar. 2, 2015   | President Obama and Valerie Jarrett met with “Technology CEO Council” comprised of IBM, Xerox, Micron Technology, Qualcomm, EMC and Dell | The White House (Mar. 02, 2015). President Obama’s Meeting with Members of the Technology CEO Council [Press release]. Barack Obama. | ![IBM and Facebook have been tied at the hip since Facebook’s inception in February 2004. IBM supplied Facebook MQTT key messaging software on Nov. 3, 2011. IBM sold 750 patents to Facebook on March 22, 2012.](image3)


On **Oct. 10, 2015**

President Obama made an unexplained four-day trip for **private** meetings with **Qualcomm** in San Diego


**Qualcomm** participates with **IBM** and **Micron Technology** in President Obama's Technology CEO Council that are collaborating with **Facebook**, and therefore the **NSA**.

The correlation of associations among Facebook, NSA, IBM, Hillary Clinton, the State Department and my former patent attorney, James P. Chandler, proves a set of conflicting relationships among your former and current employers.

As a result, your bias in favor of Facebook disqualifies you from involvement in this request. My request requires arm’s length objectivity, according to ethics law and common sense.

5 C.F.R. Part 2635: Standards of ethical conduct for employees of the executive branch, Subpart E – Impartiality in Performing Official Duties, states:

“[E]mployees should not perform official duties in order to avoid an appearance of loss of impartiality.”

That employee should disqualify when:

“[M]atters involving recent employers, employers of spouses or minor children, or anyone with whom the employees have or seek a business or financial relationship.”

The involvement of both your present and former employers with Facebook, NSA, IBM, ODNI and NCC very evidently means that you must disqualify yourself in order to avoid the appearance of impropriety.

---


10 Plus Micron Technology, Qualcomm.
I look forward to the documents requested. Electronic versions are preferable.

Sincerely,

Michael T. McKibben

Notice: While this document cites numerous judicially recognizable sources, it may also contain opinion that should not be relied upon without independent verification.

Attached:


cc:

- Thomas J. Fitton, President, *Judicial Watch*.
- Sharyl Attkisson, *Full Measure*
- Peter Bale, CEO, *Center for Public Integrity*
- Representative Jim Jordan (4th OH), *House Oversight Committee*
- Presidential Candidates: Rand Paul, Ted Cruz, Donald Trump, Ben Carson, Mike Huckabee Bernie Sanders
- Elizabeth Warren, Others
Exhibit A

Michael T. McKibben  
Columbus, Ohio  

Dear Mr. McKibben:

This is in response to your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request, dated October 15, 2015, concerning the development, publishing and use of the email address "USgovernment@fb.com.

Specifically this letter addresses your appeal of our denial of a fee waiver dated November 16, 2015.

The FOIA requires agencies to assess fees to recover the direct costs of processing requests, unless a fee waiver has been granted.

We have considered your request for a fee waiver, along with your appeal. A waiver or reduction of fees may be appropriate when the disclosure of records is in the public interest because the disclosure is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of the operations or activities of the Government and is not primarily in the interest of the requester. See 22 C.F.R. § 171.17.

Your request and appeal do not meet any of the established criteria.

Regrettably, I must advise that you have not provided adequate justification for a waiver of fees and I must uphold the decision to deny a waiver of fees.
For further communications, please note our contact information at the bottom of this page. You may also refer to our website for general information and guidelines. We can provide faster service if you include your request case number **F-2015-15589** in your communications with us.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

John Hackett, Director
Office of Information Programs and Services
Exhibit B

https://www.linkedin.com/in/john-hackett-06833537