Share 1 More Next Blog» Create Blog Sign In

Americans For Innovation
... and against intellectual property theft
Constitutional rights are not negotiable

Attention: Searches this blog, Donna Kline Archive, FB Cover-up, Origins of Facebook's Technology and LeaderDocs.

Google™ Custom Search

Thursday, January 2, 2014

CONGRESS MUST DE-FUND ETHICALLY BANKRUPT FEDERAL COURTS

CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS PLEADS POVERTY AS AN EXCUSE FOR HIS CORRUPT COURTS & "DARK POOL" FACEBOOK IPO INVESTMENTS

CONTRIBUTING WRITERS | OPINION | AMERICANS FOR INNOVATION | UPDATED JAN. 4, 2014 | POST-MAR. 7, 2014 SCRIBD CENSORSHIP ON PDF

(JAN. 2, 2013)—On Dec. 31, 2013, U.S. Supreme Court Chief Justice John G. Roberts issued his 15-page end of year report on the federal judiciary.

Buried on page 9, Justice Roberts blames hypothetical injustices by his courts ("unvindicated rights") on lack of funding. Nowhere does he admit that his courts are rife with cronyism and don't deserve the funds they're presently receiving.

Instead, he praises his federal judges for their "selfless commitment to public services" and affirms the notion of an "independent Judicial Branch." These platitudes ring hollow in the light of his conduct and that of his courts. When corporate CEOs perform incompetently or corruptly, they are fired and, in the case of corruption, prosecuted.

FIG. 1—CHIEF JUSTICE JOHN G. ROBERTS appears to be so cozy with Barack Obama and the White House that they share their Facebook "dark pools" investing tips with each other, congressional buds, White House staff and favored media friends. By 2008, it appears that little, if any, separation of powers existed between the Executive, Judicial and Legislative Branches. The supposed "free press" was also in on the "dark pools" investing scheme. Photo: ABC News.

NEW JAN. 3, 2014—JUDICIAL CORRUPTION PANELS BELOW

However, using the court's own ethical standards, the conduct of Chief Justice Roberts' judges in Leader v. Facebook proves that Roberts' courts are wantonly corrupt.

EVEN ONE SHARE REQUIRES DISQUALIFICATION

According to the court's own Judiciary Policy, ownership of "even one share of stock" requires disqualification (p. 20-2), not to mention the directive to "avoid even the appearance of impropriety." This concept trumps the flimsy excuses currently voiced about being allowed to invest in mutual funds. The point is, if you know or should have known, that the mutual fund held, or intended to hold Facebook stock, you must disqualify yourself.

By 2008, all the Leader v. Facebook judges held the Facebook "dark pools" stock. They were evidently chomping at the bit for Facebook to go public. The Federal Circuit, whose presiding judge Alan D. Lourie held 22 Facebook "dark pools," even timed his rulings to accommodate Facebook IPO media needs—claiming the timing was purely coincidental. The actions of Roberts' courts showed that they were not going to let Leader Technologies' constitutional private property rights spoil their dreams of an IPO windfall.

The Leader v. Facebook case went before the Delaware District Court Judge Leonard P. Stark, then the Federal Circuit Court of Appeals, and then the U.S. Supreme Court.

FIG. 2—We all know we cannot let anyone, much less our government officials, steal from the cookie jar. Photo: Transparency Canada.

JUDGES IN LEADER V. FACEBOOK HELD AT LEAST 213 FACEBOOK "DARK POOL" INVESTMENTS

Judicial financial disclosures show that every judge in Leader v. Facebook had financial holdings in Facebook "dark pools" organized by Goldman Sachs, Morgan Stanley, JPMorgan, Fidelity, Blackrock, Vanguard, T. Rowe Price, TIAA-CREF, Microsoft and IBM.

CHARADE OF IMPARTIALITY

Despite these conflicts, not a single judge recused himself from Leader v. Facebook. Instead, they played a charade of impartiality. The evident motive was to protect their personal "dark pool" holdings prior to the Facebook IPO.

Contradicting Justice Roberts' assertion of an "independent Judicial Branch," we instead see a court system that has subordinated itself to Wall Street, Silicon Valley, as well as their own personal greed.

We further see a court system that has turned a blind eye to the similar "dark pool" holdings of their Executive Branch brethren. We see the heads of the SEC, Commerce, Treasury, Health and Human Services, Education, Commodities, Justice, etc. all swimming in the same Facebook dark pools. See two previous posts.

SUPREME COURT Click to enlarge FACEBOOK "DARK POOL" INVESTMENTS



FIG. 3—U.S. SUPREME COURT JUSTICE INVESTMENTS IN FACEBOOK "DARK POOLS."
View Supreme Court PDF Portfolio (12.1 MB)
View Supreme Court ZIP Portfolio (13.5 MB)
See All Executive Department Summaries

FEDERAL CIRCUIT Click to enlarge FACEBOOK "DARK POOL" INVESTMENTS



FIG. 4—FEDERAL CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE INVESTMENTS IN FACEBOOK "DARK POOLS."
View Federal Circuit ZIP Portfolio (16.2 MB)
See All Executive Department Summaries

JUSTICE ROBERTS IS SWIMMING IN FACEBOOK "DARK POOL" INVESTMENTS

Justice Roberts holds up to $6.5 million in 21 "dark pool" Facebook investments that he concealed when declining to hear Leader Technologies' Petition for Writ of Certiorari. (This doesn't even account for the fact that he failed to tell Leader Technologies that Facebook's attorney, Thomas G. Hungar, Gibson Dunn LLP, is his protégé.) Likewise, Associate Justices Alito has 18, Breyer has 8, Ginsburg has 12, Elena Keegan has 18, Scalia has 30, Sotomayor has 4 and Thomas has 3. See Fig. 2; see also Corruption Panels below.

CLICK HERE TO DOWNLOAD: John G. Roberts, Jr. Financial Disclosure Report for Calendar Year 2011, submitted, May 11, 2012

g+1 +12 Recommend this on Google

CONGRESS CONTACT LOOKUP

Contacting the Congress

FOLLOW BY EMAIL

Email address... Submit

BLOG ARCHIVE (New, 1/20/14)

- ▼ 2014 (5)
  - ► February (2)
  - ▼ January (3)
    - CHINESE INVOLVEMENT IN OBAMACARE HIDDEN BY MISSING...
    - WALL STREET MANIPULATION OF JUDGES, POLITICIANS AN...
    - CONGRESS MUST DE-FUND ETHICALLY BANKRUPT FEDERAL C...
- ► 2013 (28)
- ► 2012 (6)

BARACK OBAMA'S DARK POOLS OF CORRUPTION

Click to enlarge



CLICK HERE FOR WASHINGTON'S ETHICAL DISEASE DISCOVERIES RE. FACEBOOK "DARK POOLS"

STOP FACEBOOK PROPERTY THEFT

FIG. 5—JOHN G. ROBERTS, JR., CHIEF JUSTICE, Supreme Court, financial disclosure, 2011. Highlights prominent Facebook "dark pool" basket funds arranged by Facebook chairman and largest shareholder, James W. Breyer, Accel Partners LLP. Click here to download a PDF directly.

The Leader v. Facebook Federal Circuit judges had similar holdings. Judges Lourie has 22, Moore has 20, Wallach has 12, and so on. The Leader v. Facebook District Court judge Leonard P. Stark has 9. See Fig. 3; see also Corruption Panels below.

Justice Roberts now has the audacity to ask for additional funds, while his judges personally pocket hundreds of millions of dollars, maybe even billions, in Facebook stock sales founded on a lie they helped perpetrate—the confiscation of the social networking patent property of Columbus innovator Leader Technologies.

The People have the power of the purse. If we fund corrupt institutions, then we deserve the results.

AMERICAN GOVERNMENT'S SEPARATION OF POWERS IS CURRENTLY CRUEL HOAX

The People must say **NO** to Justice Roberts' funding request, at least until he proves an "independent Judiciary" is more than another lie, like "If you like your doctor, you can keep your doctor, period." Among his restitutions, **HE MUST RETURN LEADER TECHNOLOGIES' STOLEN PROPERTY**. We must not let these great deceivers off the hook. The victims of their greed and deception must be made whole.

Say no to Justice Roberts until his federal courts come clean on Leader v. Facebook and other evident injustices.

If he doesn't, the only other remedy is impeachment, or he resigns in disgrace.

Justice Roberts' current conduct is wholly unacceptable to freedom loving people.

W LL HUMANKIND EVER LEARN? Facebook's Orwellian doublespeak about property and privacy (theft) merely repeats the eventual dehumanization of the individual under MAO's Red Star, Stalin's SOVIET Hammer & Cycle and Hitler's NAZI Swastika. Respect for the inalienable rights of each individual is a bedrock value of democracy. The members of the Facebook Cabal abuse this principle at every opportunity. They evidently believe that they deserve special privileges and are willing to lie, cheat and steal in order to treat themselves to these privileges.

ASK CONGRESS: PASS THE INVENTOR PROTECTION ACT!

Click image above to download a poster-quality PDF optimized for a 11in. x 17in. (ledger-size) poster. America should not be in the business of cheating its entrepreneurial investors simply because the cheaters buy off judges with the money gained from their theft. Such permissiveness is obscene.

LEADER V. FACEBOOK BACKGROUND

Jul. 23, 2013 NOTICE: DonnaKlineNow! has gone offline. All her posts are available as a PDF collection here (now updated, post-Scribd censorship).

Mar. 20, 2014 READER NOTICE: On Mar. 7, 2014, all of our documents linked to Scribd were deleted by that "cloud" service using the flimsiest of arguments . Some of our documents have been there for two years and some had a most 20,000 reads.

George Orwell wrote in 1984 that one knows one is in a totalitarian state when telling the truth becomes an act of courage.

All the links below were updated Mar. 20, 2014 (many thanks to our volunteers!)

* * *

FEDERAL JUDICIARY INVESTED IN FACEBOOK "DARK POOLS"—BROKERED BY GOLDMAN SACHS, MORGAN STANLEY AND JPMORGAN? *RE. LEADER V. FACEBOOK + FAST & FURIOUS + REMBRANDT V. FACEBOOK

FIG. 6—WASHINGTON IS IN THE GRIPS OF AMERICAN CRONYISM, NOT DEMOCRACY.



1. Dr. Lakshmi Arunachalam's Censored Federal Circuit Filings (Archive)
2. Brief Summary of Leader v. Facebook
3. Backgrounder
4. Fenwick & West LLP Duplicity
5. Instagram-scam
6. USPTO-reexam Sham
7. Zynga-gate
8. James W. Breyer / Accel Partners LLP Insider Trading
9. Federal Circuit Disciplinary Complaints
10. Federal Circuit Cover-up
11. Congressional Briefings re. Leader v. Facebook judicial corruption
12. Prominent Americans Speak Out
13. Petition for Writ of Certiorari
14. Two Proposed Judicial Reforms
15. S. Crt. for Schemers or Inventors?
16. Attorney Patronage Hijacked DC?

17. Justice Denied | Battle Continues
18. FB Robber Barons Affirmed by S. Crt.
19. Judicial Misconduct WALL OF SHAME
20. Corruption Watch - "Oh what webs we weave, when first we practice to deceive"
21. Facebook | A Portrait of Corruption
22. White House Meddling
23. Georgia! AM 1080 McKibben Interview
24. Constitutional Crisis Exposed
25. Abuse of Judicial Immunity since Stump
26. Obamacare Scandal Principals are intertwined in the Leader v. Facebook scandal
27. S.E.C. duplicity re. Facebook

FEDERAL CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS (WASHINGTON D.C.)

ALAN D. LOURIE 22 FB DARK POOLS	KIMBERLY A. MOORE 20 FB DARK POOLS	EVAN J. WALLACH 12 FB DARK POOLS	TIMOTHY B. DYK 17 FB DARK POOLS
JAN HORBALY 11 FB DARK POOLS	ALVIN A. SCHALL 9 FB DARK POOLS	WILLIAM C. BRYSON 5 FB DARK POOLS	KATHY M. O'MALLEY 5 FB DARK POOLS
RICHARD LINN 3 FB DARK POOLS	RAY C. CLEVENGER 2 FB DARK POOLS	JIMMIE V. REYNA 2 FB DARK POOLS	PAULINE NEWMAN 1 FB DARK POOLS
SHARON PROST 1 FB DARK POOLS	RANDALL R. RADER ?* FB DARK POOLS	HALDANE R. MAYER ?* FB DARK POOLS	

* Judge Randall R. Rader is the Chief Judge of the Federal Circuit. His financial disclosure is dubious given his position and his lifetime of Washington D.C. associations. He failed to disclose his long time associations with Leader Technologies' patent attorney and law professor James P. Chandler, III while he was Chief Counsel for the Senate Judiciary Committee chaired by Senator Orrin Hatch. Both Judge Rader and Mayer failed to disclose, along with the other judges, their prior relationship with Facebook's appeal attorney, Thomas G. Hungar, Gibson Dunn LLP.

GIBSON DUNN LLP exposed as one of the most corrupt law firms in America

Investigative Reporter Julia Davis investigates Facebook's Leader v. Facebook attorney Gibson Dunn LLP. She credits this firm with the reason why not a single Wall Street banker has gone to jail since 2008. Click here to read her article "Everybody hates whistleblowers." Examiner.com, Apr. 10, 2012. Here's an excerpt:

> "Skillful manipulation of the firm's extensive media connections allows Gibson Dunn to promote their causes, while simultaneously smearing their opponents and silencing embarrassing news coverage."

This statement followed right after Davis cited Facebook's chief inside counsel in the Leader v. Facebook case, Theodore Ullyot, who appears to have helped lead the Leader v. Facebook judicial corruption. Interesting word choices associated with Gibson Dunn LLP: manipulation, smear. Attorneys swear a solemn oath to act morally, ethically, and in support of democratic principles. They promise to conduct themselves in a manner than instills confidence among the citizenry in the rule of law and the judicial system. These promises appear to be meaningless. Click here for a PDF version of Julie Davis' article.

POPULAR POSTS

BOYCOTT NCAA MARCH MADNESS? COPYRIGHT-GATE

Constitutional rights advocates demand that NCAA stop its copyright infringement in social media; ask Congress to preserve Zuckerberg's ...

THE REAL FACEBOOK - A PORTRAIT OF CORRUPTION

SEC counsel cleared the way for the Facebook "pump and dump" scheme in 2008? SEC counsel appears to have failed to disclose his confl...

LEADER V. FACEBOOK JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT EXPOSES A CONSTITUTIONAL CRISIS

Leader v. Facebook judicial misconduct exposes a constitutional crisis The theft of Leader Technologies' patent by Facebook, and the effe...

LEADER V. FACEBOOK WALL OF SHAME

Judges go to jail for far less serious misconduct; Facebook users should pay Leader fees voluntarily; its

FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT (DEL.)

LEONARD P. STARK

9

FB DARK POOLS

Judge Leonard P. Stark's appointment by Pres. Barack Obama was sponsored by Vice Pres. Joe Biden. His sudden ascendency into this trial replaced veteran judge Joseph J. Farnan just one month before trial. Up until that time, Farnan had voiced on numerous occassions his interest in presiding over this trial. Stark's first act after taking the case was to allow Facebook to do a 180 degree turn and add the "on-sale bar" claim. Such action is a clear abuse of discretion, especially when considering that he blocked Leader Technologies from conducting discovery on the new claim. Such blocking is a gross violation of due process. Outrageously, he also ruled that Mark Zuckerberg did not have to testify at the trial. This is another shocking abuse of discretion since Zuckerberg is the principal actor in the theft and infringement of Leader Technologies' invention. Stark also affirmed fabricated Cooley Godward LLP attorney evidence, in lieu of hard evidence and well-settled legal tests, namely Pfaff Electronics and Group One, among others. Stark's conduct is clear evidence that he was following orders from the White House to protect Facebook (and Barack Obama's 47 million Facebook "likes."

It should also be noted that just months before trial, Facebook's Leader v. Facebook trial attorney, Michael Rhodes, Cooley Godward LLP, was appointed Chief Legal Counsel for Tesla Motors—the recipient of almost $465 million in energy stimulus funds. Cooley Godward LLP and McBee Strategic LLC (led by Nancy Pelosi's former adviser Mike Sheehy) were key advisers on the stimulus funds given to 22 organizations, largely associated with Obama donors. 20 of those funded companies have now failed. Cooley Godward's man in the White House, Donald K. Stern, also advised Obama on the Stark nomination.



LEONARD P. STARK, Leader v. Facebook trial judge, July 19-27, 2010. Barack Obama nominee. Replaced 25-year veteran judge Joseph J. Farnan one month before trial. Confirmed one week after the trial. Ignored Pfaff Electronics and Group One v. Hallmark Cards precedents for testing on-sale bar evidence. His sole rationale for supporting Facebook was an unrelated 1860's criminal case that had never been used in a patent trial in history. His nomination was advised to Obama by Facebook lawyer Donald K. Stern, Cooley Godward LLP.

the right thing to do since Facebo...

WHITE HOUSE SCANDAL SPREADS TO LEADER V. FACEBOOK

SEC counsel cleared the way for the Facebook "pump and dump" scheme in 2008? SEC counsel appears to have failed to disclose his conflic...

PATENT OFFICE REMOVES CRITICAL LEADER V. FACEBOOK DISCLOSURE ITEMS

USPTO conduct parallels the document obstruction by the IRS Fig. 2 – On Jun. 15, 2013 Congressman Darrell Issa held up a blacked-ou...

A COCKSURE FACEBOOK

Facebook waived their right to respond to Leader Technologies' U.S. Supreme Court petition AFI Insights | Contributing Writers | AMERI...

WAS CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS BLACKMAILED INTO SUPPORTING OBAMACARE BY HIS LEADER V. FACEBOOK MISCONDUCT?

Enlarge banner image New, Jun. 28, 2013: Undermined Checks & Balances Between Executive & Judicial Branches ...

MASSIVE WASHINGTON CORRUPTION EXPOSED BY LEADER V. FACEBOOK

Bi-partisan citizen group appeals to Congress to RESTORE PROPERTY CONFISCATED BY widespread federal corruption incl. interference by Nancy...

WALL STREET MANIPULATION OF JUDGES, POLITICIANS AND REGULATORS EXPOSED BY LEADER V. FACEBOOK JUDICIAL CORRUPTION

"Dark pools" used for currency for bribes, coercion and undue influence Rigged Leader v. Facebook , likely crashed NASDAQ ...

EDITORIALS

1. DC Bar refuses to investigate attorney misconduct in Leader v. Facebook - Unwillingness of DC attorneys to self-police may explain why Washington is broken, Dec. 30, 2012

2. Will the U.S. Supreme court support schemers or real American inventors? Facebook's case dangles on a doctored interrogatory. Eighteen (18) areas of question shout for attention, Dec. 27, 2012

3. Two Policy Changes That Will Make America More Democratic (and less contentious), Dec. 21, 2012

FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT (DC)—FAST & FURIOUS

AMY B. JACKSON
24
FB DARK POOLS

Judge Amy B. Jackson has stonewalled the House Oversight Committee on Government Reform from obtaining subpoenaed evidence regarding the Justice Department's cover-up of Operation Fast & Furious. **U.S. BORDER AGENT BRIAN TERRY** was murdered by an AR-15 assault rifle trafficked by the U.S. Justice Department to Mexican drug cartels. Judge Jackson's Facebook "dark pool" holdings (hidden agendas) are consistent with numerous members of the Obama White House, the Federal Circut and various district courts. Rest in peace Agent Terry, we will not forget. See previous post.

AMY B. JACKSON AKA AMY SAUBER BERMAN. She has been stonewalling discovery of information from Attorney General Eric H. Holder about the murder of U.S. Border Agent Brian Terry by the Justice Department's Operation Fast and Furious.

Judge Berman is obviously a member of the Facebook Protection Racket Club.

FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT (EDV)—REMBRANDT V. FACEBOOK

THOMAS S. ELLIS III
19
FB DARK POOLS

Judge Thomas S. Ellis III recently referred this case to the Federal Circuit for a decision on Rembrandt's opposition to Judge Ellis' refusal to allow expert testimony. Given Judge Ellis' Facebook "dark pools" holdings, and the evident conflicts of interest regarding Facebook at the Federal Circuit, Ellis must recuse himself from all matters related to Facebook. See previous post.

Judge Ellis is obviously a member of the Facebook Protection Racket Club.

THOMAS S. ELLIS III, J.D. Harvard. NO PHOTO AVAILALBE. He has failed to disclose his "Facebook "dark pool" conflicts of interest in Rembrandt v. Facebook; interests that were benefitted by the May 18, 2012 IPO, and which continues to accrue to him. His feigned objectivity is more dishonesty from a morally bankrupt federal judiciary.

Posted by K. Craine at 3:01 PM

+1 Recommend this on Google

23 comments:

K. Craine January 2, 2014 at 8:15 PM

OUR MISSION

American citizens must fight abuse of the constitutional right for authors and inventors to enjoy the fruits of their inventions, as a matter of matter of basic property rights and sound public policy. Otherwise, instead of innovation, creativity, genius, ideas, vision, courage, entrepreneurship, respect, property, rejuvenation, morals, ethics, values, renewal, truth, facts, rights, privacy, solutions and judicial faithfu ness,

. . . our society and economy will be dragged down (and eventually destroyed) by copying, infringement, thievery, counterfeiting, hacking, greed, misinformation, exploitation, abuse, waste, disrespect, falsity, corruption, bribery, coercion, intimidation, doublespeak, misconduct, lies, deception, attorney "dark arts," destruction, confusion, dishonesty, judicial chicanery and lawlessness.

If we do not speak up, impeach derelict judges and imprison corrupt attorneys, we cannot possibly hope to start fixing the current ills in our society. Without justice and respect for private property, democracy has no sure foundation.

CURRENT EDITORIAL FOCUS

We are an opinion blog that advocates for strong intellectual property rights. We welcome commenters and contributors. The Leader v. Facebook patent infringement case first came to our attention after learning that the trial judge, Leonard P. Stark, U.S. District Court of Delaware, ignored his jury's admission that they had no evidence to support their on-sale bar verdict, but the judge supported it anyway.

The judicial misconduct has deteriorated from there, replete with two of the three judges on the Federal Circuit appeal panel, Judges Alan D. Lourie and Kimberly A. Moore, holding Facebook stock that they did not disclose to the litigants, and later tried to excuse through a quick motion slipped in at the last minute by the Clerk of Court, Jan Horbaly, and his close friends at The Federal Circuit Bar Association. (The DC Bar subsequently revealed that Mr. Horbaly is not licensed to practice law in Washington D.C.)

The judges ignored shocking new evidence that Mark Zuckerberg withheld 28 hard drives of 2003-2004 evidence from Leader Technologies that could prove actual theft (and therefore claims even more serious than infringement). In addition, Facebook's appeal attorney, Thomas G. Hungar of Gibson Dunn LLP, has close personal ties to just about every judicial player in this story. The misconduct appears to reach into the U.S. Patent Office through abuse of the reexamination process by Facebook. We will stay focused on Leader v. Facebook until justice is served, but we also welcome news and analysis of intellectual property abuse in other cases as well.

We have a commenter posting spam. If this person has facts and wishes to discuss them, that's fine. Otherwise, repeated posts based only on speculation and obviously feigned sincerity will be removed. We have observed that Facebook-friendly posts have yet to present a single hard fact that references something other than fabricated attorney evidence and attempts at baiting. It seems that Facebook's only defenders are attorneys. At last count Facebook employs 8-10 firms.including Gibson Dunn, Fenwick & West, Latham & Watkins, Cooley Godward, White & Case, Orrick Herrington, Weil Gotshal, Perkins Coie and Blank Rome. Facebook is a wholly attorney-fabricated company and appears it needs this much protection to maintain the big lie.

Reply

Rain Onyourparade January 4, 2014 at 12:17 PM

What do the following have in common?

A Havard teenager and pathological liar;
A Havard President and egomaniac economist;
A Havard chick who takes orders from the egomaniac;
A Russian oligarch who takes orders from the egomaniac;
A gaggle of crooked venture capitalists who give orders to the egomaniac;
A gaggle of Havard Law alumni whose mothers raised little monsters;
A gaggle of crooked Supreme Court justices, 80% Havard;
A gaggle of crooked Federal Circuit judges;
A crooked Delaware District Court judge;
A gaggle of Wall Street Havard grads who give orders to the US President;
A gaggle of Executive Branch political appointees, 60% crooked lawyers;
A gaggle of crooked Silicon Valley wonks;
A gaggle of crooked law firms who skipped ethics class; and
A verified Havard liar as President of the United States?

FACEBOOK

The best company Havard grads could steal.

(I misspelled "Harvard" intentionally. They lost their R-esolve to do Right along the way. And don't forget: "If you like your doctor, you can keep your doctor, period." Bwahahahaha.)

Reply

Dale Michaels January 4, 2014 at 2:17 PM

There is a fundamental flaw in the logic now being espoused in this blog. Your realize that the Facebook holdings in virtually all of these so called dark pools are minuscule? 1 percent. A quarter of a percent. And you also realize that if Facebook performs well it harms the stock price of other companies in the same mutual funds. These funds are comprised of hundreds and often thousands of different companies.

It is absolutely preposterous to think that the entire us government, judiciary, and media have been bought off--via unstable mutual funds where the value of the fund is contingent on hundreds of other companies--simply to support Facebook.

Reply

Replies

Rain Onyourparade January 4, 2014 at 5:25 PM

We must be getting somewhere. Dale Michaels, attorney for Facebook, now says the holdings, like T.Rowe Price's who owned 5.2% of Facebook, is "minuscule." Oh wait, perhaps you didn't bother reading the Facebook S-1 disclosure, did you? Some of us did and know you are lying. No, Mr. Michaels whatever your name is, it is your logic that is tragically preposterous and more attempts at misdirection. Like we have told you repeatedly now. The dogs have your scent.

Dale Michaels January 4, 2014 at 6:38 PM

Very predictable. That's one fund. ONE that has even remotely significant holdings. Sorry, try again.

K. Craine January 4, 2014 at 7:04 PM

Dale Michaels attempts to rewrite the Judiciary Policy. The policy says that "even one share" requires disqualification of these judges. The rule does not allow the holder to determine whether or not a holding is relevant. The rule

WELCOME TO DONNA KLINE NOW! READERS!

AFI has been supporting Donna and is now picking up the main Leader v. Facebook coverage (she will continue coverage as well).

Anonymous Posts Are Welcomed! Blogger has more posting constraints than Donna's WordPress, but we will continue to welcome anonymous posts. Simply send us an email at amer4innov@gmail.com with your post. Once the moderator verifies that your email address is real, your comment will be posted using your real name or handle, whatever you wish, like John Smith or Tex.

Click here to view a complete Donna Kline Now! posts archive.

CODE OF CONDUCT FOR U.S. JUDGES

"CANON 2: A JUDGE SHOULD AVOID

says ANY holding requires recusal. Michaels admits that these funds hold Facebook stocks, and therefore, these judges are guilty of breaking their own rules. In trying to defend these Facebook holdings, Michaels condemns those he attempts to excuse. Such is the case with duplicity.

K. Craine January 4, 2014 at 7:11 PM

Glad to see you are paying attention, Mr. Michaels. Suggest you find another profession other than law. Your morals are broken. One fund is enough, and too bad for you, its all public evidence.

steve n amy January 5, 2014 at 11:08 AM

Dale, mutual funds aside, since Facebook WAS found guilty on 11 of 11 counts of literal patent infringement of Leader Technologies' property (and, since we are talking about software platforms, we must also assume we are referring to software code); given the fact that Zuckerberg his self declared Facebook an "Open-Source Platform", I implore you to answer the following:
To whom does this "Open-Source Platform" actually belong to?
I think you know the answer to that question already, but I defer.

Dale Michaels January 5, 2014 at 11:21 AM

Where in the world did he ever claim it was open source?

K. Craine January 5, 2014 at 1:40 PM

We've already thoroughly posted on this subject re. Obama's two chief technology officers. In fact, it was chasing down the public sources on this false claim that led to the "dark pools" exposé.

Reply

dave123 January 4, 2014 at 5:24 PM

Lawsuit charges that Facebook scanned private messages
Computerworld
IDG News Service - Facebook has been accused of intercepting private messages of its users to provide data to marketers, according to a class-action ...
See all stories on this topic »

Facebook facing lawsuit over privacy issue
Fox Business
Facebook facing lawsuit over privacy issue. Advertisement. Details. Description. Lanier Law Firm's Mark Lanier on Facebook's privacy issue and ...
See all stories on this topic »

Lawsuit Claims Facebook Is Reading Your Private Messages
The Wire
Facebook could be reading your private messages to help advertisers figure ... The company was hit this week with a class action lawsuit alleging it is ...
See all stories on this topic »

Facebook faces class action lawsuit for scanning messages
Fox News
Welcome,. You're logged in as. Profile; Logout. You're watching... Facebook faces class action lawsuit for scanning messages. Advertisement. Details.
See all stories on this topic »

Facebook Accused Of Reading Private Messages, Selling Data
Huffington Post
Two Facebook users, Michael Hurley and Matthew Campbell, filed a class action lawsuit against Facebook on Dec. 30, 2013, alleging that the social ...

Reply

dave123 January 4, 2014 at 5:27 PM

OBAMA says with Great Authority that Snowden did damage but the damage was that OBAMA lost the back door keys he got from the NSA to spy and steal ideas from your cell phone and to give market surveillance of ideas to Larry Summers James W. Breyer the

IMPROPRIETY AND THE APPEARANCE OF IMPROPRIETY IN ALL ACTIVITIES"

GALLERY OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT



Judge Leonard P. Stark, U.S. District Court of Delaware, trial judge in Leader Techs, Inc. v. Facebook, Inc., 770 F. Supp. 2d 686 (D.Del. 2011). Judge Stark heard his jury foreman admit that the jury made the on-sale bar decision without any evidence other than speculation, and yet he supported that verdict anyway. Just months before trial, Judge Stark allowed Facebook to add the on-sale bar claim after the close of all fact discovery and blocked Leader from preparing its defenses to this new claim. Judge Stark allowed the claims despite Leader's prophetic argument that the action would confuse the jury and prejudice Leader. (Read Leader's May 20, 2010 motion here.) He also permitted the jury to ignore the Pfaff v. Wells Electronics, Inc. test for on-sale bar, even after instructing the jury to use it. (See that Jury Instruction No. 4.7 here.) He also contradicted his own instruction to Leader to answer Interrogatory No. 9 in the present tense (2009), then permitted the jury to interpret it as a 2002 admission as well. See his Sep. 14, 2009 Order. Facebook's entire on-sale bar case is based upon this interrogatory. (Editorial: Hardly sufficient to meet the "heavy burden" of the clear and convincing evidence standard.)

Judge Alan D. Lourie, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, panel judge in Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc., 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Judge Lourie stood to benefit financially from undisclosed holdings in Facebook.

PayPal boys and THE FACEBOOK CLUB , Snowden says we need balance in spying but its a WALL STREET GOVERNMENT SNOWDEN OBAMA stole info from your cell phone and one of the ideas that he stole was read out word for word to every one YES THAT'S WORD FOR WORD EVERY ONE, this was on JUNE TO THE END 2012 The President of the United States is break the law. Obama has organised such an information drive that Your phone exposes unnecessary information to adversaries and provides an easy conduit for information leakage, information that ended up on Obama desk and Sharing this information with THE FACEBOOK CLUB -- lets hope that Apple has improved its software security : Facebook declined to make executives available for interviews before the IPO offering, The power that Larry Summers wields over the stolen company FACEBOOK makes meaningless the notion of investor democracy. THE FACEBOOK CLUB was organized and controlled by lawrence larry summers soon to be Obama's chief economic advisor THIS WAS THE PAY OFF that lawrence larry summers got from Obama OBAMA AND SUMMERS new that facebook was stolen and new of the THEFT OF Leader Technologies'

Reply

dave123 January 4, 2014 at 5:32 PM

Wayne Chang filed a lawsuit against the Winklevoss brothers. Chang said that the Winklevoss brothers merged their company, called ConnectU, with Chang's web development company to make a new company: The Winklevoss Chang Group (WCG). Chang complained that the Winklevosses "expressly agreed that the litigation between ConnectU and Facebook was an asset of ConnectU and an asset of WCG," according to BusinessInsider. Chang never got any money when the Winklevosses received $65 million as part of the settlement THE FACEBOOK CLUB. Chang claims that this IM conversation took place between him and Cameron in November 2004: but the idea facebook was not the winklevoss idea nor was it mark zuckerberg idea SO WHO IDEA WAS IT BOYS. ------ LOL

Mark Zuckerberg signed a contract with PAUL CEGLIA in April 2003. Later in 2004, a copy of the contract was posted on to the internet buy a Harvard Crimson reporter . ZUCKERBERG hired attorney LISA SIMPSON of ORRICK LLP, who threatened the Crimson reporter, then came to his house with four lawyers who threatened to call the police and called him a thief. ORRICK and GIBSON DUNN are committing perjury, in my opinion, and conspiring with Zuckerberg to protect his stolen empire. They know the truth about ZUCKERBERG. Both LISA SIMPSON, ORRICK and GIBSON DUNN say the ink on the Celgia contract is two years old, but they know full well that the contract is genuine.

THE DAVID KIRKPATRICK BS STORY
LIBOR The strategy wasn't a secret. Each morning at a meeting of UBS's interest-rate-derivatives desk in Tokyo, Mr. Hayes would change his status on his Facebook page to reflect his daily desires for Libor to move up or down, David Kirkpatick did an investigation in England about libor manipulation and set up libor manipulation info on facebook under the disguise of doing an investigation. The ponzi scheme had everything it needed. THE FACEBOOK CLUB that was stolen and the THEFT OF Leader Technologies' and Larry Summers who was running facebook

Reply

Rain Onyourparade January 5, 2014 at 10:24 AM

Here's a refresher on judicial ethics from the Justice Department's own Judiciary Policy: http://www.uscourts.gov/uscourts/RulesAndPolicies/conduct/Vol02B-Ch02.pdf

(((1.))) The policy mentions "impropriety" 99 times, citing The Code of Conduct for U.S. Judges, Canon 2:

"[a] judges should avoid impropriety and the appearance of impropriety in all activities."

(((2.))) "Canon 3C(1) is clear that a judge should disqualify in ***any*** proceeding in which his or her impartiality might reasonably be questioned." (p. 27-2).

(((3.))) Recusal is required where there is "participation in development of the bar association position on the matter." (p. 52-2). The Federal Circuit Bar Association filed a motion in Leader v. Facebook against a Friend of the Court motion. Besides FCBA membership by all the judges, their Clerk of Court and Executive, Jan Horbaly, was Ex Officio at the FCBA. These positions ***demanded*** recusal by the whole court. Instead, they were silent and acted like they were unbiased. Gag.

http://www.scribd.com/doc/106156081/Response-to-Request-of-Federal-Circuit-Bar-Association-s-Request-for-Reissue-Re-Leader-v-Facebook-Case-No-2011-1366-Fed-Cir-by-

See analysis of Judge Lourie's T. Rowe Price holdings re. the Facebook IPO. Judge Lourie also failed to apply his own law-test in Group One v. Hallmark Cards to the evidence. After debunking all of Facebook's evidence on appeal, Judge Lourie created new argument in the secrecy of chambers to support Facebook and prevent the on-sale bar verdict from being overturned—a clear breach of constitutional due process.

Judge Kimberly A. Moore, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, panel judge in Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc., 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Judge Moore stood to benefit financially from undisclosed holdings in Facebook. See disclosure of substantial holdings in Facebook and Facebook-related stocks. Judge Moore failed to follow the long-held precedent for testing on-sale bar evidence in Pfaff v. Wells Electronics, Inc.—an evident and intentional omission coming from a former patent law professor. After debunking all of Facebook's evidence on appeal, Judge Moore created new argument in the secrecy of chambers to support Facebook and prevent the on-sale bar verdict from being overturned—a clear breach of constitutional due process.

Judge Evan J. Wallach, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, member of the three-judge panel in Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc., 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Judge Wallach is not a patent attorney. This begs the question

Lakshmi-Arunach

(((4.))) OPINION 97 -- THIS RULE IS SIX PAGES (longest in the rules) Hmmmmmmmmmmm (Shakespeare: "The lady doth protest too much, methinks") -- Re. Magistrate Judge Leonard P. Stark's surprise appointment to the Leader v. Facebook case one month before trial, while simultaneously being considered by Obama for appointment. Facebook's attorney Donald K. Stark, Cooley Godward LLP, was on the Obama panel advising Stark's appointment.

"If the magistrate judge knows that a lawyer... has a financial or other personal interest that could be substantially affecte by the outcome of a case, then the magistrate judge should recuse." STARK DID NOT RECUSE. (p. 97-5)

(5.) MUTUAL FUNDS - read this policy and weep. One could drive a truck through it. All the sneaky lawyer wiggle language aside, it is subject to the overarching rule of Canon 3C(1)(c) which "requires a judge to disqualify himself or herself when the judge knows that he or she 'has a financial interest... in a party to the proceeding." Re. the Facebook "dark pools."

This rule is like punching into the Pillsbury Dough Boy. While it provides a "safe harbor" rule for mutual funds, it sets apart enough exceptions to condemn all of the 213 Leader v. Facebook court holdings anyway. From the "safe harbor" rule (p. 106-2), it exempts (a) former law firm 401(k) retirement plans managed by the law firm, (b) IRA's, (c) brokerage accounts held in the judge's name (or children/spouse/stock-parkers), (d) judge managed mutual fund holdings.

"[R]ecusal may be required under Canon 3C(1)(c) because the judge has an 'interest that could be affected substantially by the outcome of the proceeding." (p. 106-2).

MAGICALLY, not a single judge or judicial employee (incl. the Patent Office officers with substantial Facebook holdings and relationships) in Leader v. Facebook raised the specter of conflict of interest despite their 213 "dark pool" investments. Their silence is deafening!

My source: http://www.uscourts.gov/uscourts/RulesAndPolicies/conduct/Vol02B-Ch02.pdf

Reply

Replies

Rain Onyourparade January 5, 2014 at 1:46 PM

I almost forgot to include (((EVEN ONE SHARE)))

"Canon 3C(3)(c) provides that a financial interest means ownership of a legal or equitable interest, ***however small***..." ***Ownership of even one share of stock*** by the judge's spouse would require disqualification." (p.20-2).

http://www.uscourts.gov/uscourts/RulesAndPolicies/conduct/Vol02B-Ch02.pdf

Reply

K. Craine January 5, 2014 at 3:49 PM

A reader has tallied the VIEWS of some Leader v. Facebook judicial and government corruption ocuments from multiple websites.

TOTAL VIEWS TO DATE: 333,680

VIEWS: 17,249
Response to Request of Federal Circuit Bar Association's Request for Reissue Re. Leader v. Facebook, Case No. 2011-1366 (Fed. Cir.) by Lakshmi Arunachalam, Ph.D., Sep. 17, 2012
http://www.scribd.com/doc/106156081/Response-to-Request-of-Federal-Circuit-Bar-Association-s-Request-for-Reissue-Re-Leader-v-Facebook-Case-No-2011-1366-Fed-Cir-by-Lakshmi-Arunach

VIEWS: 15,573
Renewed Motion for Leave To File Amicus Curiae Lakshmi Arunachalam, Ph.D. Brief, Jul. 27, 2010 - Leader v. Facebook - CLERK'S COPY WITH EXHIBITS
http://www.scribd.com/doc/101191619/Renewed-Motion-for-Leave-To-File-Amicus-Curiae-Lakshmi-Arunachalam-Ph-D-Brief-Jul-27-2010-Leader-v-Facebook-CLERK-S-COPY-WITH-EXHIBITS

14,275 Views

as to why a judge with no knowledge of patent law was assigned to the case. Would anyone ask a dentist to perform brain surgery? The Federal Circuit was specially formed to appoint patent-knowledgeable judges to patent cases. There is no evidence so far in the judicial disclosures that Judge Wallach holds stock in Facebook, although when he was asked on a motion to disclose potential Facebook holdings and other conflicts of interest, he refused along with the other judges. See Motion to Disclose Conflicts of Interest. Judge Wallach continued in silence even after Clerk of Court Horbaly failed to provide him with Dr. Lakshmi Arunachalam's motions (according to his Federal Circuit staffer Valeri White), and yet the Clerk signed an order regarding that motion on Judge Wallach's behalf. See a full analysis of these events at Donna Kline Now! Judge Wallach also failed to police his court's violation of Leader's Fifth and 14th Amendment constitutional right to due process when he participated in the fabrication of new arguments and evidence for Facebook in the secrecy of judge's chambers after he had just invalidated Facebook's sole remaining item of evidence (using disbelieved testimony as ostensible evidence of an opposite). Judge Wallach also failed to police his court when he failed to apply the Supreme Court's Pfaff v. Wells Electronics, Inc. test for on-sale bar evidence, which included even the Federal Circuit's own Group One v. Hallmark Cards, Inc. test—a test which Judge Lourie should have advised Judge Wallach to follow since Judge Lourie helped write that opinion. Group One test omission analysis.

Clerk of Court Jan Horbaly, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, clerk who signed all the opinions in Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc., 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Clerk Horbaly and his staff obfuscated when the court's

http://www.scribd.com/doc/107866373/Briefing-for-Representative-Jim-Jordan-OH-House-Judiciary-Committee-re-Leader-v-Facebook-Sep-28-2012
http://www.scribd.com/doc/107866373/Briefing-for-Representative-Jim-Jordan-OH-House-Judiciary-Committee-re-Leader-v-Facebook-Sep-28-2012

14,242 Views
http://www.scribd.com/doc/106156081/Response-to-Request-of-Federal-Circuit-Bar-Association-s-Request-for-Reissue-Re-Leader-v-Facebook-Case-No-2011-1366-Fed-Cir-by-Lakshmi-Arunach

VIEWS: 10,935 (total, multiple sites)
Briefing for Representative Jim Jordan (OH) - HOUSE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE - American and Russian Opportunists Undermining U.S. Sovereignty and Corrupting U.S. Financial and Judicial Systems, Oct. 19, 2012
http://www.scribd.com/doc/110575673/Briefing-for-Representative-Jim-Jordan-OH-HOUSE-OVERSIGHT-COMMITTEE-American-and-Russian-Opportunists-Undermining-U-S-Sovereignty-and-Corruptin

VIEWS: 9,260
Working Summary, 'Revitalize and Expand Moral and Ethical Principles Embodied in the Business Judgment Rule;' Prepared for Representative Jim Jordan (OH), HOUSE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE, Oct. 25, 2012
http://www.scribd.com/doc/111167350/Working-Summary-Revitalize-and-Expand-Moral-and-Ethical-Principles-Embodied-in-the-Business-Judgment-Rule-Prepared-for-Representative-Jim-Jordan

VIEWS: 7,749
Patent Office Cover-up in Leader v. Facebook, Feb. 7, 2013
http://www.scribd.com/doc/124428891/patent-office-cover-up-in-leader-v-facebook-feb-7-2013

VIEWS: 6,711
Lakshmi Arunachalam Phd Open Letter to Democratic Candidates, 30 July 2012
http://www.scribd.com/doc/101527448/Lakshmi-Arunachalam-Phd-Open-Letter-to-Democratic-Candidates-30-July-2012

VIEWS: 6,782
http://www.scribd.com/doc/104894533/Motion-to-Compel-Each-Member-Of-The-Federal-Circuit-To-Disclose-Conflicts-Of-Interest-in-Leader-v-Facebook-by-Amicus-Curiae-Lakshmi-Arunachalam-PhD

VIEWS: 7,689
The Real Facebook | A Portrait of Corruption, Mar. 28, 2013
http://www.scribd.com/doc/132840942/The-Real-Facebook-A-Portrait-of-Corruption-Mar-28-2013

VIEWS: 6,921 (total, multiple sites)
"Faces of the Facebook Corruption - We see. We 'like.' We steal." Americans for Innovation, Jul. 6, 2013
http://www.scribd.com/doc/152136827/Faces-of-the-Facebook-Corruption-We-see-We-like-We-steal-Americans-for-Innovation-Jul-6-2013

VIEWS: 6,913
Petition for Writ of Certiorari, Leader Technologies, Inc. v. Facebook, Inc., No. 12-617 (U.S. Supreme Court Nov. 16, 212) (clickable citations)
http://www.scribd.com/doc/113545399/Petition-for-Writ-of-Certiorari-Leader-Technologies-Inc-v-Facebook-Inc-No-12-617-U-S-Supreme-Court-Nov-16-212-clickable-citations

Reply

Jill Amblin January 6, 2014 at 6:47 PM

The new arguments that are being made here do not make mathematical or logical sense. Think about it.

The biggest holding you've identified in Facebook is T.Rowe Price, with roughly a 5% stake. That stake is spread out over more 150 T.Rowe Price funds (See Donna's previous article on the S1). That means that each of these funds has a microscopic ownership share in Facebook, along with hundreds or even thousands of other companies in the same funds. So even a meteoric rise in the price of facebook would have a negligible effect on the price of the fund as a whole. AND, a rise in price by Facebook is likely to have negative effects on other stocks within the same fund, further offsetting the effect.

With these tiny ownership interests in mind, it makes no sense that the entire judiciary, congress, and administration would throw the Constitution away in the hope that one stock out of hundreds or thousands in the fund would go up. There's no windfall to be

ruling was challenged by an amicus curiae brief revealing clear mistakes of law and new evidence. See analysis of the misconduct and misrepresentations within the Federal Circuit Clerk of Court in Leader v. Facebook. Mr. Horbaly failed to disclose his conflicts of interest and close associations with numerous Facebook attorneys and law firms, as well as his close association with one of Facebook's largest shareholders, Microsoft, who is a Director of The Federal Circuit Bar Association where Mr. Horbaly is an ex officio officer. Additionally, the DC Bar revealed in a written statement that Clerk Horbaly is not licensed to practice law in the District of Columbia. [Editorial: What does that make the Federal Circuit with its location within in a stone's throw of the White House? A self-governing state?]

Judge Randall R. Rader, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, chief judge responsible for the (mis)conduct of his judges and Clerk of Court in Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc., 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Judge Rader failed to manage his court resulting in a likely situation where his judges never even received briefs that they allegedly ruled on in favor of Facebook. Judge Rader also failed to disclose his conflicting relationships with a Leader principle with whom he may have had deep professional differences during his time at the Senate Judiciary Committee—his former professor of law at George Washington University Law Center, former Leader director Professor James P. Chandler. See analysis of Judge Rader's undisclosed conflicts of interest in Leader v. Facebook. Judge Rader also did not stop his judges from creating new arguments and evidence for Facebook in the secrecy of chambers—after they had debunked all of Facebook's evidence on appeal, which is a clear breach of constitutional due process.

had.

In fact, you could swap out "Facebook" for any other large tech company in this blog (Yahoo, Oracle, Google, Dell, Apple, etc.) and you would end up with virtually identical "dark pool" holdings. This is the very nature of diversified mutual funds. And this is why there are special rules that govern mutual funds and disclosure requirements. Owning a fund that happens to have among its components a particular company is not a conflict of interest. If it were, the entire system would come screeching to a halt given the prevalance of mutual funds, the vast number of companies that are part of them, and the fact that tens upon tens upon tens of millions of citizens (including government officials own them).

Now you keep citing to the rule of "even one share" requires disqualification. Fair enough, and no argument there. But there has never been a shred of evidence to suggest that any of the judges or officials identified here actually hold Facebook stock.

The problem is that you are not seeing the forest for the trees. You're so focused on Facebook that you're ignoring the fact that if you plugged in just about any major company, you're going to see exactly the same apparently-nefarious "dark pool" patterns. But the reality is that this is just the nature of widespread mutual funds and their diversified nature.

Now you can attack me for being a Facebook defender (I have no role with the company) and an attorney (I'm not). But this is just simple math.

Reply

Replies

Rain Onyourparade January 7, 2014 at 7:19 AM

Give me a break. Jill wrote: "But there has never been a shred of evidence to suggest that any of the judges or officials identified here actually hold Facebook stock." FALSE. Both Donna Kline and AFI exposed this shell game:

http://americans4innovation.blogspot.com/p/justice-roberts.html

Hmmmm. T.Rowe Price's "microscopic ownership" of Facebook stocks is meaningless and insignificant? So then why did T.Rowe fork out hundreds of millions of dollars for this microscopic privilege? Excuse me while I barf.

Reply

K. Craine January 7, 2014 at 6:50 AM

Jill, your analysis and understanding of the judiciary rules and how these funds work are off the mark.

First, judges are mandated to keep abreast of their holdings in order to avoid just such conflicts. It is not hard to do. All funds must publish the stocks they hold for the clients. One call to the broker gets the list. Judges are MANDATED by the Judicial Conference to keep a conflicts log, keep it up to date, and report on conflicts before they take cases. That's their job. Your logic would excuse a doctor prescribing a new drug he holds stock in in his IRA. Democracy cannot function with these sorts of people as our judges.

Second, Rain's comment above highlights holdings that cannot claim the "safe harbor" privilege and must be disclosed, however small. I won't repeat those citations here. The rule is even clearer: it says "even one share" ... even one share held by a spouse.

Justice demands unbiased judging. The rules are what they are and they are right. ANY investment in a litigant, even one share, is too many. If a judge is holding such a stock in a fund, he or she must divest or recuse. That's the rule. These sneaky games the Facebook Club attempted to get away with are clever but illegal. The impropriety alone is off the charts. Using your logic they might as well add a new clause for "Stealth Investing... How to hide your investments from public scrutiny." Heck, it could be a new course at Harvard and Stanford Law Schools. Sad to say, it probably is.

As to the Facebook holdings in these funds themselves, look them up for yourself. We did our homework and have.

Remember, you as an American citizen (we assume you are) need unbiased judges just as much as us. We suggest you stop loving all things unscrupulous and stand up for your constitutional rights. You may need those rights someday yourself. If you keep giving your rights away to crooks, they won't be. Ask families of relatives who were murdered in Nazi death camps.

The handwriting is on the wall.

Click here to view a Federal Circuit Leader v. Facebook Conflicts of Interest Map.

See "Cover-up In Process At The Federal Circuit?" Donna Kline Now! Sep. 17, 2012.

Leader v. Facebook Legal Research Links

NOTICE: Opinion

This is an opinion blog. Any information contained or linked herein should be independently verified and should be considered the sole opinion of the writer. Free Speech and Freedom of the Press are protected by the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution and other local, state, national and international laws. Therefore, as with all opinion, such opinion should not be relied upon without independent verification.

This site is a not-for-profit effort focused on education, news, investigation of issues in the public interest, and research, and relies on fair use copyright exemptions under 17 U.S.C. 106(a)-117 of the United States Copyright Act, in addition to any and all other related and relevant privileges to which a fair and reasonable person would attribute to this grassroots effort to root out corruption and promote justice. No rights whatsoever to third party content are claimed or implied.

AFI LOGO (with text)

AFI LOGO (no text)

Jill, your "simple math" doesn't add up.

Reply

Replies

steve n amy January 7, 2014 at 8:36 AM

Perhaps Jill is using Al Gore's "fuzzy math"...after all, he did invent the calculator.

K. Craine January 7, 2014 at 9:35 AM

We wrote on the Nazi death camps and property confiscation in September 2013:

http://americans4innovation.blogspot.com/2013/09/obama-administration-confiscates.html

The values expressed by Jill are those that condemn history to repeat its tragic mistakes.

Reply

dcooper January 7, 2014 at 7:15 PM

SECTION 5 SBX211
This bill would provide that no governmental entity, or officer or employee of a governmental entity, shall incur any liability or be subject to prosecution or disciplinary action because of benefits provided to a judge under the official action of a governmental entity prior to the effective date of this bill on the ground that those benefits were not authorized under law.

SBX211 does not restore due process
SBX211 violates Article 1 section 9
SBX211 violates the 14th amendment (no equal protections)
SBX211 violate checks and balances between legislative and Judicial powers.
Judges do not disclose the county payments at the onset of any trial where the county is either a party to the case or has a financial interest. (Judges violate Judicial codes of ethics)
Judges refuse to recuse themselves when requested under CCP170
Judges find themselves unbiased and then file an order striking statement.

Reply

dcooper January 7, 2014 at 7:18 PM

Will these judges run to Congress for AMNESTY just like what the California judges did when they got exposed for taking bribes?

Reply

Enter your comment...

Comment as: Google Accour

Publish Preview

Newer Post Home Older Post

Subscribe to: Post Comments (Atom)

CORRUPTION WATCH LIST

Faces of the Facebook Corruption (PDF) (currently being updated after the Fri. Mar. 7, 2014 Scribd censorship of this document:

Here is the cast of characters in Leader v. Facebook. We encourage you to report their corrupt activities to this site and others, like Lawless America. Feel free to communicate anonymously in any way in which you are most comfortable. The attempt of these people and their organizations to corrupt American justice and commerce cannot be tolerated. Vigilance. We will expose them. See Congressional Briefings (currently being updated after Scribd censored the documents on Fri. Mar. 7, 2014).

A. Facebook's law firms:

1. Fenwick & West LLP (Facebook securities and patent law firm; former Leader Technologies counsel; attempted an appearance in Leader v. Facebook; did not seek conflicts waiver from Leader prior to representing Facebook)
2. Cooley Godward LLP (Facebook law firm in Leader v. Facebook; McBee Strategic energy stimulus partner; Obama Justice Dept. advisor; former employer to patent judges)
3. Blank & Rome LLP (Facebook law firm in Leader v. Facebook; former employer to patent judges)
4. White & Case LLP (Facebook law firm in Leader v. Facebook; undisclosed former employer to Patent Office Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) officer involved in Leader v. Facebook)
5. Gibson Dunn LLP (Facebook law firm in Leader v. Facebook; undisclosed counsel to the Federal Circuit; undisclosed protégé of Chief Justice John Roberts, Jr.; undisclosed former employer to Preetinder ("Preet") Bharara, U.S.

Attorney currently persecuting Paul Ceglia in U.S. v. Ceglia (Ceglia v. Zuckerberg))

6. Orrick Herrington LLP (longtime Facebook law firm and destroyer of evidence for the cabal in Winklevoss v. Zuckerberg and ConnectU v. Facebook)
7. Weil Gotshal LLP (Federal Circuit counsel in Leader v. Facebook; Judge Kimberly A. Moore's undisclosed former client)
8. Latham & Watkins LLP (Facebook Director James W. Breyer's counsel; Judge Kimberly A. Moore's husband, Matthew J. Moore's new law firm)
9. Federal Circuit Bar Association ("FCBA") (Federal Circuit's bar association; second largest in the U.S.; Facebook's law firms extert much influence in its policy and activity, incl. Fenwick & West LLP, Gibson Dunn LLP, Orrick Herrington LLP, Weil Gotschal LLP; Facebook's large shareholder, Microsoft, is a director; Federal Circuit Clerk of Court Jan Horbaly is an officer; FCBA made an appearance in Leader v. Facebook to oppose the amicus curiae (friend of the court) motion of Dr. Lakshmi Arunachalam, former Director of Network Architecture at Sun Microsystems, in favor of Leader Technologies and objecting to the evident conflicts of interest within the court itself, her motion was denied, the judges refused to disclose their conflicts which we now know include Facebook and Microsoft stocks)
10. DC Bar Association
11. Perkins Coie LLP (Facebook's "rapid response enforcement team;" law firm for Obama's chief counsels, the husband and wife team of Robert F. Bauer and Anita B. Dunn; Bauer was identified on Aug. 1, 2013 as having directed the IRS targeting of the Tea Party)
12. Stroz Friedberg (Facebook's "forensic expert" who manipulated the data in Paul Ceglia v. Mark Zuckerberg, and who first revealed the existence of 28 Zuckerberg hard drives and Harvard emails that they told Leader Technologies in 2009 were "lost")

B. Facebook attorneys & cooperating judges:

13. Gordon K. Davidson (Fenwick; Facebook's securities and patent attorney; Leader Technologies' former attorney)
14. Christopher P. King (sometimes Christopher-Charles King, Fenwick)
15. Theodore B. Olson (Gibson Dunn)
16. Thomas G. Hungar (Gibson Dunn)

17. Eric H. Holder, Jr. (Attorney General, U.S. Dept. of Justice)
18. James Cole (Deputy Attorney General, U.S. Dept. of Justice)
19. Tony West (Associate Attorney General, U.S. Dept. of Justice; 2008 Obama California Campaign Manager)
20. Robert F. Bauer (Obama Attorney; White House Chief Counsel; directed IRS targeting of the Tea Party; formerly and currently employed by Perkins Coie LLP, Facebook's "rapid response enforcement team;" spouse is Anita B. Dunn)
21. Anita B. Dunn (Obama Attorney; White House Chief Counsel; husband Robert F. Bauer directed IRS targeting of the Tea Party, formerly employed by Perkins Coie LLP, Facebook's "rapid response enforcement team")
22. Mary L. Schapiro (former Chairman, Securities & Exchange Commission (S.E.C.); holds investments in 51 Facebook Club basket funds)
23. James "Jamie" Brigagliano (former Deputy Director of the Division of Trading and Markets at the Securities and Exchange Commission; Mary L. Schapiro's chief lieutenant on "dark pool" rule making)
24. Joseph P. Cutler (Perkins Coie)
25. David P. Chiappetta (Perkins Coie)
26. James R. McCullagh (Perkins Coie)
27. Ramsey M. Al-Salam (Perkins Coie)
28. Grant E. Kinsel (Perkins Coie)
29. Reeve T. Bull (Gibson Dunn)
30. Heidi Keefe (Cooley)
31. Michael G. Rhodes (Cooley; Tesla Motors)
32. Elizabeth Stameshkin (Cooley)
33. Donald K. Stern (Cooley; Justice Dept. advisor)
34. Mark R. Weinstein (Cooley)
35. Jeffrey Norberg (Cooley)
36. Ronald Lemieux (Cooley)
37. Craig W. Clark (Blank Rome)
38. Tom Amis (Cooley / McBee Strategic)
39. Erich Veitenheimer (Cooley / McBee Strategic)
40. Roel Campos (Cooley; former Commissioner of the U.S. Securities & Exchange Commission at the time of the infamous Facebook 12(g) exemption)
41. Lisa T. Simpson (Orrick)
42. Samuel O'Rourke (Facebook; Cooley-directed)
43. Theodore W. Ullyot (Facebook; Cooley-directed)
44. Amber H. Rover, aka Amber L. Hagy aka Amber Hatfield

(Weil Gotshal LLP; Judge Kimberly A. Moore's former client)

45. Edward R. Reines (Weil Gotschal)
46. Trish Harris (DC Bar Association)
47. Elizabeth A. Herman (DC Bar Association)
48. Elizabeth J. Branda (DC Bar Association)
49. David J. Kappos (former Patent Office Director; former IBM chief intellectual property counsel; ordered unprecedented 3rd reexam of Leader Technologies' patent; Obama political appointee)
50. Preetinder ("Preet") Bharara (U.S. Attorney Ceglia v. Zuckerberg; formerly of Gibson & Dunn LLP; protects Zuckerberg)
51. Thomas J. Kim (SEC Chief Counsel)
52. Anne Krauskopf (SEC Special Sr. Counsel)
53. John G. Roberts, Jr. (Chief Justice, U.S. Supreme Court)
54. Jan Horbaly (Federal Circuit, Clerk of Court)
55. Kimberly A. Moore (Judge, Federal Circuit)
56. Matthew J. Moore (Latham & Watkins LLP; husband of Judge Kimberly A. Moore)
57. Kathryn "Kathy" Ruemmler (Latham & Watkins LLP; White House counsel)
58. Evan J. Wallach (Judge, Federal Circuit)
59. Alan D. Lourie (Judge, Federal Circuit)
60. Randall R. Rader (Chief Judge, Federal Circuit)
61. Terence P. Stewart (Federal Circuit Bar Association)
62. Leonard P. Stark (Judge, Delaware U.S. District Court)
63. Richard J. Arcara (Judge, N.Y. Western District, Ceglia v. Holder et al)
64. Allen R. MacDonald (Administrative Judge, U.S. Patent Office)
65. Stephen C. Siu (Administrative Judge, U.S. Patent Office)
66. Meredith C. Petravick (Administrative Judge, U.S. Patent Office)
67. James C. Payne (U.S. Patent Office)
68. Kathryn Walsh Siehndel (FOIA Counsel, U.S. Patent Office - bio and conflicts log concealed)

C. Facebook puppet masters:

69. President Barack Obama (appointed Leonard P. Stark to the judge's seat in Delaware Federal District Court eight days after Stark's court allowed

Facebook to get away with jury and court manipulation of an on-sale bar verdict which was attained without a single piece of hard evidence; Barack and Michelle Obama were evidently protecting their 47 million "likes" on Facebook)

70. Lawrence "Larry" Summers (Harvard President who aided Zuckerberg's light-speed rise to prominence with unprecedented Harvard Crimson coverage; Obama bailout chief; Clinton Treasury Secretary; World Bank Chief Economist; "Special Advisor" to Marc Andreessen in Instagram; co-creator of the current Russian robber baron economy; close 20-year relationships with protégés Sheryl Sandberg & Yuri Milner; aided in recommendations that created the Russian robber baron economy—and Yuri Milner/DST/Asmanov's money used to purchase Facebook stock)
71. James W. Breyer, Accel Partners LLP; Facebook director; client of Fenwick & West LLP since the 1990's; apparently received technology from other Fenwick clients that was shuffled to Zuckerberg, incl. Leader Technologies' inventions)
72. David Plouffe; directed Obama's 2008 and 2012 campaigns; a self-described "statistics nerd;" likely directed the activities of the Facebook Club; employed Robert F. Bauer, Perkins Coii LLP in 2000 at the Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee
73. McBee Strategic (one of the main "private" arms responsible for dolling out the billions in Obama "green energy" stimulus funds; partnered with Cooley Godward LLP)
74. Mike Sheehy (Cooley-McBee Strategic principal; former National Security Adviser to House Speaker Nancy Pelosi)
75. Nancy Pelosi (U.S. Congresswoman; appears to be running political cover in the House for Facebook, McBee Strategic, Cooley Godward, Fenwick & West, Breyers, etc.)
76. Harry Reid (U.S. Senator; Judge Evan J. Wallach patron)
77. Thomas J. Kim (SEC, Chief Counsel & Assoc. Director) approved Facebook's 500-shareholder exemption on Oct. 14, 2007, one day after it was submitted by Fenwick & West LLP; Facebook used this exemption to sell $3 billion insider stock to the Russians Alisher Asmanov, Yuri Milner, DST, Digital Sky, Mail.ru which pumped Facebook's pre-IPO valuation to $100 billion; another Harvard grad, Kim worked at Latham & Watkins LLP which was the chief lobbyist for the National Venture Capital Association in 2002-2004 whose Chairman was . . . James W.

Beyer, Accel Partners LLP; in other words Breyer and Kim, both Harvard grads, were associated at the time of the Zuckerberg hacking and theft of Leader Technologies' software code)

78. Ping Li (Accel Partners, Zuckerberg handler)
79. Jim Swartz (Accel Partners; Zuckerberg handler)
80. Sheryl K. Sandberg (Facebook, Summers protégé; Facebook director)
81. Yuri Milner (DST aka Digital Sky, Summers protégé; former Bank Menatep executive; Facebook director)
82. Alisher Asmanov (DST aka Digital Sky; Goldman Sachs Moscow partner; Russian oligarch; Friend of the Kremlin; Became the Richest Man in Russia after the Facebook IPO)
83. Marc L. Andreessen (Zuckerberg coach; client of Fenwick and Christopher P. King; Summers' sponsor during Instagram-scam; Facebook director)
84. Peter Thiel (19-year old Zuckerberg coach; PayPal; Facebook director; CEO, Clarion Capital)
85. Clarion Capital (Peter Thiel)
86. Reid G. Hoffman (19-year old Zuckerberg coach; PayPal; LinkedIn; Facebook director)
87. Richard Wolpert (Accel Partners)
88. Robert Ketterson (Fidelity Ventures; Fidelity Equity Partners; Fidelity Ventures Telecommunications & Technology)
89. David Kilpatrick (Business Insider; "The Facebook Effect"; PR cleanse-meister re. Facebook origins)
90. Zynga/Groupon/LinkedIn/Square/Instagram ("Facebook Money/Credits/Bitcoin" feeder companies)
91. Tesla Motors (received $465 million in Obama stimulus funds and hired Cooley's Michael Rhodes in the seven months before the Leader v. Facebook trial, just before veteran Judge Joseph Farnan made the surprise announcement of his retirement, just six days after Facebook's disasterous Markman Hearing)
92. Solyndra (received $535 million in Obama stimulus at the recommendation of the Cooley-McBee Strategic "consulting" alliance)
93. BrightSource (received $1.6 billion in Obama stimulus at the recommendation of the Cooley-McBee Strategic "consulting" alliance)
94. John P. Breyer (father of James W. Breyer; founder of IDG Capital Partners - China; coached his son on exploiting Western markets while he quietly built a venture

capital business in China for the last 20 years; the real brain behind the Breyer exploitations

95. IDG Capital Partners (China) (founded by John P. Breyer, the father of James W. Breyer, Accel Partners; the current launderer of the tens of billions James W has fleeced from the U.S. market from the bailout, stimulus and the "pump & dump" Facebook IPO schemes)
96. Goldman Sachs (received US bailout funds; then invested with DST in Facebook private stock via Moscow; took Facebook public; locked out American investors from investing)
97. Morgan Stanley (received US bailout funds; took Facebook public; probably participated in oversees purchases of Facebook private stock before IPO)
98. State Street Corporation (received U.S. taxpayer bailout monies along with Goldman Sachs and Morgan Stanley; consolodating control of ATM banking networks internationally
99. JP Morgan Chase (received U.S. taxpayer bailout monies along with Goldman Sachs, Morgan Stanley and State Street Corporation)
100. Lloyd Blankfein (Goldman Sachs, CEO)
101. Jamie Dimon (JP MorganChase, CEO)
102. Steve Cutler (JP MorganChase, General Counsel)
103. Rodgin Cohen (JP MorganChase, Outside Counsel; Sullivan Cromwell, LLP)
104. U.S. Securities & Exchange Commission (granted Fenwick & West's application on behalf of Facebook for an unpredented exemption to the 500 shareholder rule; opened the floodgated for Goldman Sachs and Morgan Stanley to make a private market in Facebook pre-IPO insider stock; facilitated the influx of billions of dollars from "dubious" sources associated with Russian oligarchs, Alisher Asmanov and Yuri Milner, and the Kremlin; Goldman Sachs is a partner with this Moscow company, Digital Sky Technologies, aka DST, aka Mail.ru)
105. Jeff Markey (McBee Strategic LLC; allied with Facebook's Cooley Godward Kronish LLP to arrange Obama's green energy funding; arranged $1.6 billion for failed BrightSource and $535 million for failed Solyndra)
106. Steve McBee (McBee Strategic LLC; allied with Facebook's Cooley Godward Kronish LLP to arrange Obama's green energy funding; arranged $1.6 billion for failed BrightSource and $535 million for failed Solyndra)
107. Michael F. McGowan (Stroz Friedberg; Facebook forensic expert who lied about his

knowledge of the contents of the 28 Zuckerberg hard drives and Harvard Email accounts)

108. Bryan J. Rose (Stroz Friedberg; Facebook forensic expert who lied about his knowledge of the contents of the 28 Zuckerberg hard drives and Harvard Email accounts)

109. Dr. Saul Greenberg (Facebook's expert witness from the University of Calgary; disingenuously waived his hands and said he would be "wild guessing" about the purpose of a Java "sessionstate" import statement (even Java newbies know it is used for tracking a user while in a web session); in short, Dr. Greeberg lied to the jury, thus discrediting his testimony)

110. Toni Townes-Whitley (CGI Federal; Michelle Obama's 1985 Princeton classmate; CGI "donated" $47 million to the Obama campaign; CGI won the no-bid contract to build the www.healthcare.gov Obamacare website; CGI shut off the security features on Obama's reelection donation sites to increase donations)

111. CGI Federal (US division of a Canadian company; Donated $47 million to Obama's reelection, then received the no-bid contract to build the ill-fated Obamacare website; Michelle Obama's Princeton classmate, Toni Townes-Whitely, is a Senior Vice President of CGI; the website is replete with social features and links to Facebook)

112. Kathleen Sebelius (Obama's Secretary of Health & Human Services since 2009 responsible for $678 million Obamacare implementation; made the decision to hire CGI Federal on a no-bid contract despite the evident conflict of interest with Michelle Obama and $47 million in Obama campaign donations by CGI; the website is replete with social features and links to Facebook)

113. Todd Y. Park (White House Chief Technology Officer (CTO); former CTO for Health & Human Services; chief architect of HealthCare.gov; founder, director, CEO, Athenahealth, Inc.; founder, director, CEO, Castlight Health, Inc.)

114. Frank M. Sands, Sr. / Frank M. Sands, Jr. (Founder and CEO, respectively, of Sands Capital Management LLC; failed to file S.E.C. Form SC 13G acquisition reports for Athenahealth, Inc., Baidu, Inc. (ADR) and Facebook stock during 2012; masked the association of Todd Y. Park with Athenahealth, Inc. and Baidu, Inc., and the association of both of those companies with the Facebook IPO fraud)

115. Robin "Handsome Reward" Yangong Li (CEO, Baidu, Inc. (ADR); appointed Jan. 2004, the same month that Mark

Zuckerberg obtained Leader Technologies' social networking source code to start Facebook; Robin Y. Li is very likely associated with John P. and James W. Breyer through their Chinese entities, including IDG Capital Partners, IDG-Accel and other variants; Li appointed a junior attorney from Fenwick & West LLP, Palo Alto/Mountain View, namely Parker Zhang, to be his "Head of Patents;" Fenwick & West LLP represented both Leader Technologies, Inc. and Accel Partners LLC in 2002-2003 and had Leader's source code in their files.)

116. Parker Zhang ("Head of Patents" at Baidu, Inc. (ADR), appointed in approx. May 2012; formerly a junior Associate attorney at Fenwick & West LLP; graduate from Michigan Law in 2005)

117. Penny S. Pritzker (Secretary, Department of Commerce; replaced Rebecca M. Blank; holds over $24 million in Facebook "dark pools" stock, most notably in Goldman Sachs, Morgan Stanley and JPMorgan)

118. Rebecca M. Blank (Secretary, Department of Commerce; oversaw the dubious Leader v. Facebook activities of the Patent Office Director, David J. Kappos, who held over one million dollars in Facebook "dark pools" during the Leader v. Facebook proceedings; Kappos purchased this stock within weeks of his surprise recess appointment by President Obama; Kappos also was formerly employed by IBM, who sold Facebook 750 patents during the Leader v. Facebook proceedings; right before leaving the Patent OFfice, Kappos also ordered an unprecedented 3rd reexamination of Leader's patent without even identifying claims)

119. Mary L. Schapiro (Chairman, Securities & Exchange Commission; holds 51 Facebook "dark pools" stocks which held stock in Facebook, Baidu and more than a dozen Facebook crony companies; failed to regulate the "dark pools;" failed to disclose her substantial conflict of interest in regulating the run up to the Facebook IPO)

120. Robert C. Hancock (Chief Compliance Officer, Sands Capital Management, LLC; failed to file S.E.C. Form SC 12G notice of acquisition reports for Athenahealth, Baidu and Facebook during the period of the Facebook IPO in 2012; this conduct masked the conflicts of interest of Todd Y. Park, who was appointed by President Obama to be the U.S. Chief Technology Officer during this same period; Todd Y. Park is/has been founder, director and CEO of both Athenahealth and Castlight Health; Todd Y. Park deeply embedded the software from Athenahealth and Castlight Health into HealthCare.gov when

he was CTO at Health & Human Services; none of these conflicts of interest were disclosed; Todd Y. Park's ethics pledges and reports are missing from the Office of Government Ethics)

121. Jonathan Goodman (Chief Counsel, Sands Capital Management, LLC; failed to file S.E.C. Form SC 12G notice of acquisition reports for Athenahealth, Baidu and Facebook during the period of the Facebook IPO in 2012; this conduct masked the conflicts of interest of Todd Y. Park, who was appointed by President Obama to be the U.S. Chief Technology Officer during this same period; Todd Y. Park is/has been founder, director and CEO of both Athenahealth and Castlight Health; Todd Y. Park deeply embedded the software from Athenahealth and Castlight Health into HealthCare.gov when he was CTO at Health & Human Services; none of these conflicts of interest were disclosed; Todd Y. Park's ethics pledges and reports are missing from the Office of Government Ethics; Goodman was formerly employed by Gibson Dunn LLP, Facebook appeals counsel in Leader v. Facebook)
122. Trip Adler ("Co-Founder" of Scribd; Harvard contemporaries of Mark Zuckerberg with a dubious orgins story, like Zuckerberg's; Scribd held AFI documents for two years, then summarily deleted the entire library without warning on Fri. Mar. 7, 2014; AFI's library contained only public documents and much evidence proving the Leader v. Facebook judicial corruption)
123. Jared Friedman ("Co-Founder" of Scribd; Harvard contemporaries of Mark Zuckerberg with a dubious orgins story, like Zuckerberg's; Scribd held AFI documents for two years, then summarily deleted the entire library without warning on Fri. Mar. 7, 2014; AFI's library contained only public documents and much evidence proving the Leader v. Facebook judicial corruption)

D. Facebook boy-puppets:

124. Mark E. Zuckerberg
125. Chris Hughes
126. Dustin Moskowitz
127. Eduardo Saverin
128. Matthew R. Cohler
129. Elon Musk

E. Corruption Watch—Patent Office Judges:

130. Anderson, Gregg
131. Best, George

132. Bonilla, Jackie W.
133. Boucher, Patrick
134. Braden, Georgianna W.
135. Branch, Gene
136. Bisk, Jennifer Bresson
137. Bui, Hung H.
138. Busch, Justin
139. Clements, Matt
140. Crumbley, Kit
141. Droesch, Kristen
142. Elluru, Rama
143. Fitzpatrick, Michael
144. Gerstenblith, Bart A.
145. Giannetti, Thomas L.
146. Guest, Rae Lynn
147. Hastings, Karen M.
148. Hoff, Marc
149. Horner, Linda
150. Hughes, James R.
151. Hume, Larry
152. James, Housel
153. Jung, Hung J.
154. Kamholz, Scott
155. Katz, Deborah
156. Lucas, Jay
157. MacDonald, Allen R. (bio unavailable) – Leader 3rd reexam judge (bio and conflicts log concealed by FOIA)
158. Mahaney, Alexandra
159. Martin, Brett
160. McKone, Dave
161. McNamara, Brian
162. Medley, Sally
163. Moore, Bryan
164. Moore, James T – Leader 3rd reexam judge (bio and conflicts log concealed by FOIA)
165. Morgan, Jason V.
166. Morrison, John
167. Pak, Chung K.
168. Perry, Glenn J.
169. Petravick, Meredith C. (bio and conflicts log concealed by FOIA) – Leader 3rd reexam judge
170. Pettigrew, Lynne
171. Praiss, Donna
172. Quinn, Miriam
173. Reimers, Annette
174. Saindon, William
175. Scanlon, Patrick
176. Siu, Stephen C. – Leader 3rd reexam judge (bio and conflicts log concealed by FOIA)
177. Smith, James Donald
178. Smith, Neil
179. Snedden, Sheridan
180. Song, Daniel
181. Spahn, Gay Ann
182. Strauss, Mike
183. Timm, Catherine
184. White, Stacey
185. Zecher, Michael

Research Tip:
Type any name or subject in the Google search at the top of this webpage. That will show you any relevant links within the sites that we have been following and investigating in the Leader v. Facebook case. Vigilance everyone! American democracy is at risk.

Author and Site attribution is sufficient. Simple template. Powered by Blogger.