Every federal judge in Leader v. Facebook held stock in Facebook; propose Inventor Protection Act

NEW Aug. 2, 2013:

"In the darkness of secrecy, sinister interest and evil in every shape have full swing. Only in proportion as publicity has place can any of the checks applicable to judicial injustice operate. Where there is no publicity there is no justice. Publicity is the very soul of justice . . . It keeps the judge, while trying, under trial." Jeremy Bentham (1748–1832)

English jurist, philosopher, legal and social reformer
PERKINS COIE LLP: OBAMA’S WHITE HOUSE PLUMBERS?

The IRS & Leader v. Facebook are scandals linked . . . as expected. Pervasive ties have been uncovered that directly link the former and current personal legal counsels to President Obama, Robert F. Bauer, and his wife, Anita B. Dunn, and their long-time law firm, Perkins Coie LLP, with the SES Deep State shadow government, including Facebook and Google.

Bauer was just identified as the man in the White House who helped direct the targeting of Tea Party groups by the IRS. He also counsels Obama’s funding raising arm, Organizing For America, which includes multiple donations by many Leader v. Facebook attorneys, esp. Michael Rhodes, Cooley Godward LLP, who was appointed chief counsel to Obama’s $465 million “green” energy stimulus recipient, Tesla Motors, prior to the Leader v. Facebook trial. Just search any of these key words and you’ll find plenty of news on these actors. AFI researchers are on it. Stay tuned.

Here is a Muckety relationships analysis of Perkins Coie LLP’s treachery. Read WSJ (Aug. 01, 2013): “New [Perkins Coie LLP] Links Emerge in the IRS Scandal.” See also previous Conflicts of Interests Map among SES shadow government and Facebook already identified.

Readers are reminded that the Patent Office recently claimed Executive Privilege in refusing to release FOIA records. We have just discovered that Perkins Coie LLP is another Facebook attorney firm. They are also attorneys for Microsoft. This association directly ties Obama’s legal counsel to undisclosed conflicts of interest on matters regarding Leader v. Facebook. Joseph P. Cutler, Perkins Coie LLP, a Bauer and Dunn partner, represents Facebook in multiple cases, along with Ramsey M. Al-Salam (currently co-defending Facebook in a case with Facebook’s Leader v. Facebook attorneys from Cooley Godward LLP), David P. Chiappetta and James R. McCullagh.

SES PARAMILITARY LEGAL OPERATION

MAKES NIXON’S "PLUMBERS" LOOK LIKE SCHOOLYARD CRIMINALS

Cutler’s bio has an almost paramilitary tone:

https://americans4innovation.blogspot.com/2013/07/fix-leader-v-facebook-to-restore.html
"Joe manages a rapid response enforcement team to vigorously defend clients, such as Facebook, against illegal spamming, phishing, pretexting, and other forms of malicious Internet behavior."

Hackers hiring hackers to stop other hackers from hacking. Priceless. These hackers aiding The President of the United States to break the law. Tragic.

**New, Aug. 6, 2013,** Perkins Coie (advisor to the *PRESIDENT*) appears not to know the meaning of conflicts of interest. They chose to represent alleged infringer EasyLink Services as recently as Dec. 2012, even though one of their attorneys had *counselled the plaintiff patent holder, J2 Global Communications, previously.* Are these people really this morally deficient? Ironically, Robert F. Bauer speaks regularly on the topic of . . . ethics. His title at the Obama White House was "Special Counsel to the President for Ethics and Government Reform." More misdirection and Orwellianism, to be sure.

**FED CHAIRMAN CANDIDATE LAWRENCE "LARRY" SUMMERS TIED TO LEADER V. FACEBOOK CORRUPTION.**

**AMERICA NOW IN A STATE OF ANARCHY & TYRANNY?**

(Jul. 26, 2013)—Based on America’s Founding Father John Adam’s criteria, *Leader v. Facebook* proves that America is now in a state of anarchy and tyranny, says feature article at OpenTrial.org written by AFI contributors. [Click here](#) to read the article. Also available at [Leader HTML](#).

**UPDATE MAR. 25, 2014**

**FIVE CRITICAL AFI POSTS ON JUDICIAL COMPROMISE**

Fully updated Mar. 25, 2014 in the wake of the Scribd censorship:

1. **HOW PATENT JUDGES GROW RICH ON THE BACKS OF AMERICAN INVENTORS**
   Patent Office filings are shuffled out the USPTO backdoor to crony lawyers, banks and deep-pocket clients.

2. **WAS CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS BLACKMAILED into supporting Obamacare by his ethical compromises in Leader v. Facebook?**

3. **JUSTICE ROBERTS MENTORED Facebook Gibson Dunn LLP attorneys.**

4. **JUSTICE ROBERTS HOLDS substantial Facebook financial interests.**

5. **JUDGE LEONARD STARK FAILED to disclose his Facebook financial interests and his reliance on Facebook’s Cooley Godward LLP attorneys for his appointment.**

**BARACK OBAMA’S DARK POOLS OF CORRUPTION**

https://americans4innovation.blogspot.com/2013/07/fix-leader-v-facebook-to-restore.html
Corrupt judges and government officials are in the proverbial cross-hairs of a growing anti-judicial-corruption movement. Calls to arms to combat the systemic corruption exposed by Leader v. Facebook are being made across America. (See the new poster on right. We encourage you to download the poster and display it prominently.) Washington D.C. should be plastered with these posters. The time of judges and attorneys secretly sniggering behind the black robes must end. In a democracy, a judgeship is a sacred trust. That trust has been violated.

(JUL 17, 2013) The U.S. Supreme Court declined to take up the failed district court ruling and judicial misconduct in the Leader v. Facebook patent infringement case. The injustice to Columbus-OH-based inventor Leader Technologies aside, this case also shines a flood light on the 'old boy' backrooms of our federal system. Those rooms are in dire need of renovation. Their ethical underpinnings have sheered and the floor has collapsed.

The ethical lapses cannot get any worse than we are witnessing in Leader v. Facebook. EVERY judge who has touched this case holds Facebook stock. If we still value our freedom and our democracy, Congress must step in now to fix this injustice and the endemic problems that caused it. A democracy cannot survive without just judges.

"Who are we to preach about the rule of law when our own Chief Justice can't get it right?"

An historian told AFI, “American foreign policy advocates John Withrop’s ‘city upon a hill’ vision of American justice. But, the judicial corruption exposed by Leader v. Facebook is an utter embarrassment. I cringe now when I see our foreign service officers lecturing Afghans on democracy. Who are we to preach about the rule of law when our own Chief Justice can’t get it right?"

An entrepreneur close to this investigation said, "The conduct of Facebook’s unscrupulous attorneys and these judges leaves me numb. Why bother building a business if these judges (and their cousin regulators) are just going to take it away based on 'old boy' payola, and not laws? We have become a Banana republic, sad to say."

These sentiments were echoed just today by syndicated columnist Thomas Sowell writing about the fate of the American justice system and referring to the lawlessness of this Administration. “After Zimmerman, is this still America?” by Thomas Sowell, The Patriot-News, Central PA, Jul. 17, 2013.

Trust is hard to build and easy to destroy.

Facebook was contacted for comment, but did not return calls.

WILL HUMAN KIND EVER LEARN? Facebook's Orwellian doublespeak about property and privacy (theft) merely repeats the eventual dehumanization of the individual under MAO's Red Star, Stalin's SOV ET Hammer & Cycle and Hitler's NAZI Swastika. Respect for the inalienable rights of each individual is a bedrock value of democracy. The members of the Facebook Cabal abuse this principle at every opportunity. They evidently believe that they deserve special privileges and are willing to lie, cheat and steal in order to treat themselves to these privileges.

ASK CONGRESS: PASS THE INVENTOR PROTECTION ACT!
LEADER TECHNOLOGIES INVENTOR PROTECTION ACT


A group of bipartisan leaders has proposed the Leader Technologies Inventor Protection Act (see documents below). With the stated purpose to “restore public confidence in the patent system, and the justice system in general,” the Act has three elements:

1. Rescind the corrupted Leader v. Facebook decision,
2. Investigate the wrongdoers among the judges and attorneys, and
3. Enact new judicial conflict of interest certification procedures.

All patent holders, prospective patent holders and freedom-loving Americans are urged to support this initiative by contacting your Senators and Congressperson and asking for their support and advocacy. The investigators at AFI will be more than happy to package any research they require.

Two different narratives have been created for the Act and the backstory. Here they are:

https://americans4innovation.blogspot.com/2013/07/fix-leader-v-facebook-to-restore.html
Americans for Innovation: FIX LEADER V. FACEBOOK TO RESTORE CONFIDENCE, BIPARTISAN GROUP ASKS CONGRESS

Fig. 2 – Legislative summary of the background and key elements of the Leader Technologies Inventor Protection Act. Click here to obtain a direct PDF version.

* * *

COMMENT

Click "N comments:" on the line just below this instruction to comment on this post. Alternatively, send an email with your comment to afi@leader.com and we’ll post it for you. We welcome and encourage anonymous comments, especially from whistleblowers.

42 comments:

John Craven July 17, 2013 at 3:24 PM

I’m sorry but this proposed new law is dead on arrival and would be unconstitutional. Congress does not have the power to override a court decision in this fashion.

It is true that congress has “overruled” the Supreme Court in the past, but only through the passage of a constitutional amendment or by changing a law that was previously interpreted by the Supreme Court. So, for example, the Supreme Court in Ledbetter v. Goodyear specifically interpreted Title VII of the Civil Rights Act and held that because the Supreme Court all held hold stock in Facebook that was notoriously known in the public record. The purpose of this Act is to (a) remove the taint of corruption that hangs over this case by fully validating Leader Technologies’ patent property, (b) investigate and punish the wrongdoers, and (c) restore the confidence of the public in the patent system, and the justice system in general.

Click here for a PDF version of Julie Davis’ article.

27. Obamacare Scandal Principals are intertwined in the Leader v. Facebook scandal

28. S.E.C. duplicity re. Facebook

GIBSON DUNN LLP exposed as one of the most corrupt law firms in America

Investigative Reporter Julia Davis investigates Facebook’s Leader v. Facebook attorney Gibson Dunn LLP. She credits this firm with the reason why not a single Wall Street banker has gone to jail since 2008. Click here to read her article “Everybody hates whistleblowers.” Examiner.com, Apr. 10, 2012. Here’s an excerpt:

“Skillful manipulation of the firm’s extensive media connections allows Gibson Dunn to promote their causes, while simultaneously smearing their opponents and silencing embarrassing news coverage.”

This statement followed right after Davis cited Facebook’s chief inside counsel in the Leader v. Facebook case, Theodore Ullyot, who appears to have helped lead the Leader v. Facebook judicial corruption. Interesting word choices associated with Gibson Dunn LLP: manipulation, smear. Attorneys swear a solemn oath to act morally, ethically, and in support of democratic principles. They promise to conduct themselves in a manner that instills confidence among the citizenry in the rule of law and the judicial system. These promises appear to be meaningless. Click here for a PDF version of Julie Davis’ article.

OBAMA HIRED THEM. TRUMP CANNOT FIRE THEM. SO THEY SAY

Senior Executive Service (SES) is ~10,000 Deep State shadow government employees who are sabotaging the American Republic for the globalite...

PROOF: ROBERT MUELLER CANNOT BE IMPARTIAL IN THE RUSSIA INVESTIGATION

Mueller’s Deep State relationships will politicize the FBI yet again

Contributing Writers | Opinion | AMERICANS FOR INNOVATION | ...
plaintiff did not file her claim within a 180 day period required by the law itself, her claim failed. Congress reacted to the ruling by enacting a NEW law that allowed for a longer period for claims to be filed.

Here, we have a completely different situation. The whole argument here is that the district court and federal circuit misinterpreted law, i.e. the evidentiary standard of clear and convincing evidence. No one is arguing that the clear and convincing evidence standard is itself unenforceable. And forget the fact that this isn’t even a federal statute—the clear and convincing evidence standard is a massive body of court-made law from thousands of cases. There is no federal statute that could be changed by congress.

And this isn’t really the argument that’s being put forth anyway. The real argument appears to be that the courts and everyone involved with Facebook is corrupt, so the lower court’s decision should be overruled. Congress can’t do that. Congress cannot simply say, “we disagree with a court’s ruling, so we’re overruling it.” That violates separation of powers. That is what Leader is asking congress to do, and that’s unconstitutional.

I suppose it’s possible for Congress to enact a new federal statute that says that clear and convincing evidence means that a court decision cannot be based solely on someone lying under oath (or the jury claiming that someone lied under oath) on the witness stand. But realistically, that is never, ever going to happen. Again, evidentiary standards are based on hundreds of years of precedent and thousands of cases. The idea that Congress would wade into this and try to change a single evidentiary standard is ludicrous. And think of the mayhem that would result. If Congress changed this standard in order to change the Leader decision, then it would have to retroactively apply the new standard. Well you can’t retroactively apply a law to only a single case. It would have to be across the board, meaning that thousands of cases where the clear and convincing evidence standard applied would have to be reopened and relitigated. Complete mayhem, and it would never happen.

Now I suppose it is possible that Congress could enact a new law that requires pre-litigation disclosure by judges. But that would be prospective and would only affect future cases. It would have absolutely no effect on the Leader case. Because again, if it were retroactive, it would have to be retroactive for thousands of cases across the country. Completely unworkable.

I am constantly mocked on this board when I say that this dispute is over, but I don’t make that claim lightly. Because the Leader decision was factually based, because it ran its course in the courts, and because of the limitations above, that truly is the end of the road. I’m not trying to be crass—only realistic.

Reply

Replies

K. Craine  July 17, 2013 at 3:33 PM

My my. Is this the same John Craven who just last week said he did not have a dog in this hunt? Your duplicity betrays you. Also, your view of the constitution is wholly lacking. You have yet to do anything except argue over the games lawyers play. You lawyers are ruining this country and we intend to stop you. This is about justice, not your lawyer paddy cakes in front of your cronjy judges. We’re on to you. Blather away.

John Craven  July 24, 2013 at 1:46 PM

You are misinterpreting my comment. I am not taking Facebook’s side by any stretch. I am simply pointing out that mechanially, procedurally, the proposed legislation is unconstitutional and unworkable. Show me a single instance over the past 200 years where congress has vacated the judgment of a court. There is none, because congress does not have that power. Congress may be able to implement new laws that would affect the future operation of the court, but in terms of a judgment that is already in place, such as the Leader case, congress is powerless. The only way that the judgment could have been vacated would have been if the federal circuit or the Supreme Court vacated the judgment and remanded the case to the district court. That did not occur, so there is no remaining avenue for the judgment to be overturned, vacated, or otherwise disturbed. This has nothing to do with the facts or Facebook’s position; this is just mechanics and the way that our constitution is set up.

John Craven  July 24, 2013 at 3:45 PM

Specifically, refer to Plaut v. Spendthrift Farm, Inc., 514 U.S. 211 (1995). There, the Supreme Court held that Congress did not have the power to retroactively order the federal courts to reopen a judgment. Justice Scalia stated that Article III not only gives the federal judiciary the power to rule on cases, but to decide them, subject only to review by superior courts in the Article III hierarchy. “When retroactive legislation requires its own application in a case already finally adjudicated, it does no more and no less than ‘reverse a determination

EDITORS

1. DC Bar refuses to investigate attorney misconduct in Leader v. Facebook - Unwillingness of DC attorneys to self-police may explain why Washington is broken, Dec. 30, 2012

2. Will the U.S. Supreme court support schemers or real American inventors? Facebook’s case dangles on a doctored interrogatory. Eighteen (18) areas of
July 24, 2013 at 5:28 PM

All bets are off when there is judicial corruption, as here. Corrupt judges don’t get the deference of good faith difference of opinion, they get jail. Separation of powers specifically contemplated this situation, and allows the People to override as the final check on such corruption. Obama’s first act was an override. So much for these arguments.

July 24, 2013 at 6:32 PM

Facebook’s attorney defenders operate by a double-standard. They cite the Constitution when it is to their advantage, then violate Leader’s constitutional rights the rest of the time. They want it both ways, whatever titillates their seared consciences. Priceless.

July 17, 2013 at 4:57 PM

Separation of powers, John? Really? Like when Obama didn’t like the outcome f the Zimmerman trial? He sent his lead lap dog to attack the court’s decision, and, with help of his buddies, to gin up racial tensions.

And, as far as Congressional authority goes, the constitution states that Congress shall oversee all goings on in the Judicial and Executive branches. That even though the Judicial branch interprets the law, Congress may, at times, intercede when any law has been usurped, or if a question of constitutionality has occurred. And, clearly, Leader v Facebook is book marked with red flags all over the place, from evidentiary proceedings, judicial conflicts of interests, improper influencing of the courts, by your buddies at Facebook, and foreign nationals. This, to us, John, reeks and begs of Congressional inquiry!

July 18, 2013 at 6:39 AM

Every corruption blog in which I have participated seems to have a John Craven assigned apologist for the bad guys. This one is no different. The pattern is the same. They cannot win on the facts, so they try and change the subject.

Craven refers to “mayhem.” The mayhem created here is created by the Facebook Cabal who are corrupting our business and legal systems. Leader supporters are working to fix the mayhem these people have created. But of course, he (or she) labels the good as mayhem. Typical. The only mayhem it creates is in the halls of corruption. Bring it on.

No one should be fooled by the separation of powers issue. That separation assumes good faith and honest debate, not corruption. When a branch acts corruptly, it is the constitutional duty of the others to investigate and remedy. That is the “checks and balances” established by the Founding Fathers. Also, Craven talks out of his nether parts. The sample letter in this post even cites a recent example where Congress overrode a Supreme Court decision. It was Obama’s first bill signed into law. Did anyone see any “mayhem” in the streets as a result of the Lilly Ledbetter Fair Pay Act? So much for Craven’s silly hand waiving.

Did anyone catch how this self-proclaimed non-lawyer waxed suddenly eloquent on things only a corrupt attorney would care about? His bubble is getting popped. The prison system is about to get more crowded. When people are bad for this long, and have hurt so many people, prison is the only remedy to get them out of general society.

July 18, 2013 at 7:32 AM

The judicial misconduct has deteriorated from there, replete with two of the three judges on the Federal Circuit appeal panel, Judges Alan D. Lourie and Kimberly A. Moore, holding Facebook stock that they did not disclose to the litigants, and later tried to excuse through a quick motion slipped in at the last minute by the Clerk of Court, Jan Horbaly, and his close friends at The Federal Circuit Bar Association. (The DC Bar subsequently revealed that Mr. Horbaly is not licensed to practice law in Washington D.C.)

The judges ignored shocking new evidence that Mark Zuckerberg withheld 28 hard drives of 2003-2004 evidence from Leader that Mark Zuckerberg withheld 28 hard drives of 2003-2004 evidence from Leader

K. Craine July 24, 2013 at 5:28 PM

once made, in a particular case. ‘Such power is clearly contrary to what the framers contemplated in the separation of powers.’

K. Craine July 24, 2013 at 6:32 PM

Facebook’s attorney defenders operate by a double-standard. They cite the Constitution when it is to their advantage, then violate Leader’s constitutional rights the rest of the time. They want it both ways, whatever titillates their seared consciences. Priceless.

K. Craine July 24, 2013 at 6:32 PM

Comment by: Judicial Corruption

Facebook’s attorney defenders operate by a double-standard. They cite the Constitution when it is to their advantage, then violate Leader’s constitutional rights the rest of the time. They want it both ways, whatever titillates their seared consciences. Priceless.

K. Craine July 18, 2013 at 7:32 AM

Facebook’s attorney defenders operate by a double-standard. They cite the Constitution when it is to their advantage, then violate Leader’s constitutional rights the rest of the time. They want it both ways, whatever titillates their seared consciences. Priceless.

K. Craine July 18, 2013 at 7:32 AM

Facebook’s attorney defenders operate by a double-standard. They cite the Constitution when it is to their advantage, then violate Leader’s constitutional rights the rest of the time. They want it both ways, whatever titillates their seared consciences. Priceless.
Comment by: Judicial Corruption

I agree that there should be no exemptions to the Conflicts of Interest Certification for judges. Such exemptions assume good faith on the part of the requester and the receiver and are intended for MINOR variants to a general policy. However, the Facebook Cabal has made a mockery of exemptions. Look what they did with the 500-shareholder rule, and the loophole for the insiders to dump over $6 billion on Day 3 of the IPO. In short, they used exemptions as their excuse for wanton sin.

For example, the 500-shareholder rule. It was designed to stop unscrupulous market makers from forming companies, then selling stock willy nilly without any oversight as to the promises they are making and who they are selling to. Good faith exemptions to these rules were allowed only for minor tweaks based on unique circumstances, not for major wholesale revision of the policy.

What Larry Summers, Fenwick & West LLP, Gibson Dunn LLP, Cooley Godward LLP, Sheryl Sandberg, Goldman Sachs, Morgan Stanley, Thomas J. Kim, Donald K. Stern and Facebook did was essentially BLOW UP THE EXEMPTION IN A WILLFUL AND WANTON BREACH OF THE POLICY. They ordered their home inside the SEC, Chief Counsel Thomas J. Kim, to grant them the exemption, then they used it to sell BILLIONS in unregulated Facebook stock. People need to go to jail over this IMHO. This is 100 times worse than Bernie Madoff.

If we give judges and judicial employees exemptions to their required financial disclosure, we just open the door back up to abuses. They have already proven that they cannot police themselves, so any exemptions would just destroy the rule, again.

Reply

K. Craine July 18, 2013 at 7:47 AM

Comment by: Disgusted

That’s exactly what the Facebook Cabal “homie”, US Patent Judge Stephen C. Siu is attempting to do in this sickening 3rd patent reexam!!! He has no factual basis for rejecting Leader’s claims, which are 10 years mature. Instead, he is invoking his power to invalidate as his only reason for doing so. This is another good example of unchecked power corrupting. Where does Siu live? Perhaps we should apply for a license to demonstrate, then picket his neighborhood. Some metaphorical tar and feathers just might do the trick with these “scoundrels”. They need to start feeling the consequences of their misbehavior. They really are morally disgusting.

Reply

Patent Blogger 4 July 18, 2013 at 11:14 AM

I just went to my Congressman’s webmail form and sent this message. Hope this gives readers ideas about what to say. (I took out several personal comments since we have common friends.) I am also mailing a hard copy.

Dear NAME:

I would like to meet with you to get your assistance on a matter important to an American innovation company, Leader Technologies, [describe your relationship to/interest in Leader], and to national security, I believe.

Rather than try to summarize here, I include several websites URLs below that are covering the patent infringement battle between Leader and Facebook. These sites also expose the corrosive business and judicial corruption that it has uncovered. Sadly, the corruption includes the U.S. Supreme Court.

A bipartisan group of leaders has just proposed an Act that I/they believe you may have interest in sponsoring and/or supporting. I hope you will. I believe the national security is threatened by what has been exposed in Washington.

Various Congresspersons and Senators have already been briefed, including members of the House Oversight Committee on Government Reform and the Judiciary Committees. Concerned Americans from around the country are making similar contacts to mine with their representatives to gather momentum for this INVENTOR PROTECTION ACT.

Here is background for our meeting:


WELCOME TO DONNA KLINE NOW! READERS!

AFI has been supporting Donna and is now picking up the main Leader v. Facebook coverage (she will continue coverage as well).

Anonymous Posts Are Welcomed! Blogger has more posting constraints than Donna’s WordPress, but we will continue to welcome anonymous posts. Simply send us an email at NEW Leader® Private Email: afi@leader.com with your post. Once the moderator verifies that your email address is real, your comment will be posted using your real name or handle, whatever you wish, like John Smith or Tex.

Click here to view a complete Donna Kline Now! posts archive.
Americans for Innovation: FIX LEADER V. FACEBOOK TO RESTORE CONFIDENCE, BIPARTISAN GROUP ASKS CONGRESS


I look forward to meeting you.

Yours sincerely,

Name
Contact Info
(phone, email, address, etc.)

Reply

K. Craine  July 22, 2013 at 1:50 PM

Comment by: Super Sleuth

Read this Canadian assessment of American judicial lawlessness:

"America No Longer Has a Functioning Judicial System" by Centre for Research on Globalization, July 22, 2013
http://www.globalresearch.ca/america-no-longer-has-a-functioning-judicial-system/5343478

Reply

K. Craine  July 22, 2013 at 2:28 PM

Researchers have converted this Canadian GLOBAL RESEARCH ON JUDICIAL CORRUPTION article into PDF in various places. This is a must-read. We recommend that you pass it around widely. It validates our concerns in Leader v. Facebook.

GoogleDocs:
https://docs.google.com/file/d/0B2SfG2nEsMfqSER2dWlYRmJKUWc/edit?usp=sharing

Scribd:

DocStoc:

HTML (original):
http://www.globalresearch.ca/america-no-longer-has-a-functioning-judicial-system/5343478

Reply

Rain Onyourparade  July 22, 2013 at 3:04 PM

Looks like corruption fighters everywhere are finding the edges of this CABAL at the same time. Read this:


Could explain why Chief Justice John Roberts wimped out on Obamacare and Leader v. Facebook. He's bought, paid for... and coerced (for good measure).

Reply

Judge Federal Circuit, trial judge in Leader Techs, Inc. v. Facebook, Inc., 770 F. Supp. 2d 686 (D. Del. 2011). Judge Stark heard his jury foreman admit that the jury made the on-sale bar decision without any evidence other than speculation, and yet he supported that verdict anyway. Just months before trial, Judge Stark allowed Facebook to add the on-sale bar claim after the close of all fact discovery and blocked Leader from preparing its defenses to this new claim. Judge Stark allowed the claims despite Leader's prophetic argument that the action would confuse the jury and prejudice Leader. He also permitted the jury to ignore the Pfaff v. Wells Electronics, Inc. test for on-sale bar, even after instructing the jury to use it. (See that Jury Instruction No. 4.7 here.) He also contradicted his own instruction to Leader to answer Interrogatory No. 9 in the present tense (2009), then permitted the jury to interpret it as a 2002 admission as well. Facebook's entire on-sale bar case is based upon this interrogatory. (Editorial: Hardly sufficient to meet the "heavy burden" of the clear and convincing evidence standard.)

Judge Alan D. Lourie, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, panel judge in Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc., 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Judge Lourie stood to
I see that Donna Kline's website has been shut down. More censorship by the cabal?

Reply

Someone will reach out to her. Longtime readers will recall that she was hacked at one point by a German website for hackers, spy-something-or-other. If she stays off for very long, we'll re-post her content.

Reply

Comment by: corruption-basher

Does this sound familiar? ::

"You may not believe this yet, but the fact is that the US judges operate like criminal gangs. They get together and pick a target, and they can decide to destroy that target if they feel it is a threat, either to themselves or to their paymasters at the big corporations."

http://www.dr-les-sachs.be/

Reply

It looks like Donna's site has been completely wiped clean. Unreal the lengths that the cabal will go to. Everything. Just gone. I know the Zuckster was a talented programmer but oh my god.

Reply

Derek, we cannot jump to that conclusion... yet. She has had technical problems in the past with her Internet Service Provider (although the source of those "problems" was never disclosed by the provider).

Donna has not responded to phone calls or other messages, which is odd for a journalist. However, it is summer, so she may be on holiday. Let's keep an eye on this.

Reply

I'm sorry but you don't understand what I am saying. Neither Congress nor the president has the power to overrule a court decision, nor to direct the judicial branch to take any particular action with respect to a case that has already been decided.

It is true that Congress has "overruled" certain Supreme Court decisions in the past, but only by changing the underlying law that the Supreme Court had interpreted. For example, you reference the Ledbetter case that was "Obama's first act in office." There, the Supreme Court specifically interpreted the Equal Pay Act and, based on a provision of that statute, determined that the plaintiff's claim was barred because she waited more than 180 days to initiate the suit. Congress responded by CHANGING THE UNDERLYING LAW so that the 180 day period did not exist. In effect, Congress "overruled" the Supreme Court, but only by changing the law itself. Congress did not direct the Supreme Court to take any particular action, because Congress does not have that power. Here is a good primer on the limitations of Congress. Simply stated, it cannot direct the judiciary to do anything with respect to a particular case. http://joshblackman.com/blog/2010/02/01/congress-does-not-overrule-or-reverse-the-supreme-court-they-just-pass-new-laws-which-the-court-should-interpret/.

Here, we have a completely different situation. The argument here is that the courts "got it wrong" when interpreting existing law. There is no argument that the underlying law---i.e. the clear and convincing evidentiary standard---is invalid. The proposed legislation is benefit financially from undisclosed holdings in Facebook. See analysis of Judge Lourie's T. Rowe Price holdings re. the Facebook IPO. Judge Lourie also failed to apply his own law-test in Group One v. Hallmark Cards to the evidence.

After debunking all of Facebook's evidence on appeal, Judge Lourie created new argument in the secrecy of chambers to support Facebook and prevent the on-sale bar verdict from being overturned—a clear breach of constitutional due process.

Judge Kimberly A. Moore, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, panel judge in Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc., 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Judge Moore stood to benefit financially from undisclosed holdings in Facebook. See disclosure of substantial holdings in Facebook and Facebook-related stocks. Judge Moore failed to follow the long-held precedent for testing on-sale bar evidence in Pfaff v. Wells Electronics, Inc.—an evident and intentional omission coming from a former patent law professor. After debunking all of Facebook's evidence on appeal, Judge Moore created new argument in the secrecy of chambers to support Facebook and prevent the on-sale bar verdict from being overturned—a clear breach of constitutional due process.

Judge Evan J. Wallach, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, member of the three-judge panel in Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc., 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Judge Wallach is not a patent attorney. This begs the question as to why a judge with no knowledge of patent law was assigned to the case. Would anyone ask a dentist to perform brain surgery? The Federal Circuit was specially formed to appoint patent-knowledgeable judges to patent cases. There is no evidence so far in the judicial disclosures that Judge Wallach holds stock in Facebook, although
directly asking Congress to vacate or overrule the federal circuit decision and the Supreme Court’s denial of cert. This is patently unconstitutional, and that is why this legislation has zero chance of ever being passed. Again, I’m not taking sides here. I’m simply trying to educate you on how the Constitution is actually set up, and what is and is not permissible.

Reply

**K. Craine**  July 26, 2013 at 6:14 AM
Comment by: Palo Alto Pal

Sorry, you people don’t get to quote the Constitution only when it suits you. You don’t get to bribe judges, then cite the Constitution to protect such criminality. I’ll bet you have a PhD at The Facebook Cabal of Constitutional Law. LOL. Those class reunions must be a real gathering of misfits. Let’s see how far you get outside your homie-lawyer-judge cocoon.

“I’m trying to educate you on how the Constitution is actual set up” -- LOL. You people in the Facebook Cabal are playing with the dials of our democracy. We’re on to your games. THE PEOPLE are going to shut you down and put you away.

You misread us. We want Congress to change the laws to stop your Constitutional criminality.

“We have no government armed with power capable of contending with human passions unbridled by morality and religion . . . Our Constitution was made only for a moral and religious people. It is wholly inadequate to the government of any other.”

—John Adams, 1879 (John Adams is a signer of the Declaration of Independence, the Bill of Rights and our second President.)

You do not appear to be either moral or religious. And if you consider yourself such, you should think about a refresher course because Facebook’s conduct you are supporting is as bad as Adolf Hitler’s invasion of privacy and property. Your first test is whether you think that is a true statement or not.

Reply

**John Craven**  July 25, 2013 at 12:43 PM

I would also note that the July 24 update regarding alleged Facebook “censorship” is false.

Months ago, Lawless America changed its Facebook profile from a “personal” profile to a “business” profile. You can view this on their own website. Thus, you are no longer “friends” with Lawless America, but you “like” their page. Whoever sent that screenshot was trying to access the old “friend” page of Lawless America, which Lawless America itself disabled. If you search on Facebook now, you will find Lawless America’s “business” page fully accessible. There’s no conspiracy here.

Reply

**K. Craine**  July 26, 2013 at 6:19 AM
Comment by: hACker Boy

Who is this Craven? Orwellianism 101 is to hide illegal conduct behind innocuous phrases like “software update,” “security improvement,” “upgrade,” “old vs. new friend page,” “security enhancement,” “anti-terrorism.” Don’t believe a word of it. Anytime Facebook announces a change, count on it being another way to censor unwanted posts, snoop, and invade people’s privacy.

**K. Craine**  July 26, 2013 at 6:28 AM
Comment by: hACker Boy

Almost forgot to mention the granddaddy of all Orwellian excuses for Facebook’s immorality: “Upgrade Privacy Settings” LOL.

Remember this rallying cry of immoral people: “In confusion there is profit.” They will attempt to throw you off the track every time with confusing explanations that unsuspecting people naturally think are true... until they figure out that they are not. But by then, the damage intended by the immoral person will be done.

When he was asked on a motion to disclose potential Facebook holdings and other conflicts of interest, he refused along with the other judges. See Motion to Disclose Conflicts of Interest. Judge Wallach continued in silence even after Clerk of Court Horbaly failed to provide him with Dr. Lakshmi Arunachalam’s motions (according to his Federal Circuit staffer Valeri White), and yet the Clerk signed an order regarding that motion on Judge Wallach’s behalf. See a full analysis of these events at Donna Kline Now! Judge Wallach also failed to police his court’s violation of Leader’s Fifth and 14th Amendment constitutional right to due process when he participated in the fabrication of new arguments and evidence for Facebook in the secrecy of judge’s chambers after he had just invalidated Facebook’s sole remaining item of evidence (using disbelieved testimony as ostensible evidence of an opposite). Judge Wallach also failed to police his court when he failed to apply the Supreme Court’s *Pfaff v. Wells Electronics, Inc.* test for on-sale bar evidence, which included even the Federal Circuit’s own *Group One v. Hallmark Cards, Inc.* test—a test which Judge Laurie should have advised Judge Wallach to follow since Judge Laurie helped write that opinion. *Group One* test omission analysis.

Clerk of Court Jan Horbaly, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, clerk who signed all the opinions in Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc., 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Clerk Horbaly and his staff obstructed when the court’s ruling was challenged by an *amicus curiae* brief revealing clear mistakes of law and new evidence. See analysis of the misconduct and misrepresentations within the Federal Circuit Clerk of Court in *Leader v. Facebook*. Mr. Horbaly failed to disclose his conflicts of interest and close associations with numerous Facebook attorneys and law firms, as well as his close association with one of Facebook’s largest shareholders, Microsoft, who is a Director of The Federal Circuit Bar Association where Mr. Horbaly is an ex officio officer. Additionally, the DC Bar revealed in a written statement...
is usually already done under the fog they created.

we can no longer give these people the benefit of the doubt. we must start assuming they are lying and practicing misdirection. this will speed up our ability to catch them in the act.

---

k. craine july 26, 2013 at 6:44 am

comment by: hacker boy

another form of facebook censorship is to break earlier links, so when reader's try to read supporting information about a post, the links no longer work. of course, those broken links occurred because of 'a feature enhancement to improve the user experience.' these people must be stopped.

---

rain onyourparade july 26, 2013 at 7:30 am

craven is missing the new reality. the old rules that he and the facebook club have manipulated are going to come crashing down on them. the people are now demanding that the courts choose on their own to right the wrongs that they perpetrated in leader v. facebook, otherwise, we are going to demand that congress enact new laws to fix those desplicable decisions. then, we will demand that congress investigate, sanction and jail the wrongdoers. since we can no longer assume good faith and morality from our attorneys and judges (mr./ms./other craven and his crowd of powermongers), then we must remake the entire judicial system to weed them out, and make them accountable to the people, and not to themselves and their boys club.

btw. any court, any time, can reopen a case when material new evidence emerges. this idea that a judge must wait for a motion to do it is wrong. a judge can do it on his or her own initiative. let's see if these judges have any moral backbone left, or whether they will just make more excuses and cite more procedural claptrap. yada, yada, yada.

quiz: how do you know when an attorney is lying?

---

steve n amy july 26, 2013 at 8:19 am

john,

you tail is wagging the dog again....no hunt my ass!!

as some anonymous sprite tiptoeing through the underbrush, you really do seem to stick your little magic wand into other people's business....quit sniffin' your own fairy dust and get out of our woods!!

---

darren july 26, 2013 at 3:10 pm

john, again you try and baffle the general public with your bs!!! you have cried wolf to many times. we the "muppets" are not the uniformed voters that the facebook cabal and this administration hope we are and what you seem to be with your two face and misleading comments. you are the one who said you didn't have a dog in this fight! care to come clean? it will set you free?

8-0

---

k. craine july 28, 2013 at 10:28 am

comment by: surfer dude

that opentrial.org article blew my mind. john adam's predicted that a facebook cabal would come along and try to take down america from the inside. no wonder this government wants our firearms. the british wanted the colonialist's firearms too. as usual, the bad guys dress up their secret agenda's in the sheep's clothing of stopping gun violence (who could disagree?) but the real agenda is a judicial-executive takeover... for the lofo good, of course. comrade stalin knew better too. so did chairman mao. they always dressed everything they did in flowery peaches and cream wording. old harvey smooth mouth in the white house was just what they needed. that's why the recruited him!!!
steve n amy July 28, 2013 at 10:51 AM

Hey Craven,
I am here to speak about your above statement that you shared. (Thank you sooo much for your inside knowledge of the does & don'ts with 'Facebook Law'). The Laws that FB is making up as they go!
Quote:
"Months ago, Lawless America changed its Facebook profile from a ‘personal’ profile to a ‘business’ profile. You can view this on their own website. Thus, you are no longer ‘friends’ with Lawless America, but you ‘Like’ their page. Whoever sent that screenshot was trying to access the old ‘friend’ page of Lawless America, which Lawless America itself disabled. If you search on Facebook now, you will find Lawless America’s ‘business’ page fully accessible. There’s no conspiracy here."

I am here to correct you on your assertion of the censorship that was shared. I was the one communicating with others regarding the corrupt Leader v Facebook case... Some were my friends from Lawless and actually a lot were with American Patriot sites... Example, Rise UP America, Act of Courage, The Patriot, Judges of Unjust Law, Justice Joe, and Mothers of Lost Children. So, again here is another example of your twisting of the events and truths!
You better keep sharpening your crayon. We are not stopping. BTW... I was well aware of the issues that Lawless America was having with Facebook months ago! And I am friends with Lawless America Business page, as I have been months ago!

Thanks again for showing concern regarding my personal Facebook activities. God only knows how vigilant you could be if you truly had a dog in this hunt!

Reply

Rain Onyourparade July 28, 2013 at 10:58 AM

Reminds me of the way so many Judges Doofus blindly accept the words of lying attorneys (I know, redundant) in their courts, and just skip merrily down the road accepting those lies as truths, forcing the victims to have to prove endless falsehoods just because the Judges Doofus are too lazy or too bribe to sanction the liars.

Reply

Rain Onyourparade July 28, 2013 at 11:01 AM

According to our second President, America is in a state of anarchy and tyranny fomented by the Facebook Cabal, with Larry Summers at the helm. Looks like they have been at it for a decade. Isn't it curious that this is about the time we started hearing all the comments about Washington being BROKEN. Hmmm. Fix Leader v. Facebook and we fix Washington too? A twofer?

Reply

Rain Onyourparade July 28, 2013 at 11:02 AM

Isn't it also curious that Lawrence "Larry" Summers is Obama's pick to take over as Chairman of the Federal Reserve? Double hmmm.

Reply

K. Craine July 28, 2013 at 12:29 PM

Comment by: Superman

Matt Cohler, the "founder" of Instagram, co-founded LinkedIn with Reid Hoffman back in 2004 while Summers oversaw things for the Cabal at Haavaard re. Zuckerberg. Then, Summers just "popped up" out in Silicon Valley in 2012 before the Facebook IPO just long enough to oversee the purchase of the 13-man Instagram for $1 billion... How much of that did he put in his pocket? What a bunch of cockroaches. And we want Summers to be the next Chairman of the Federal Reserve?

Reply

Derek Johannas July 29, 2013 at 8:55 AM

I cannot believe that Donna Kline abandoned this cause. Why would she shut down her website?
Americans for Innovation: FIX LEADER V. FACEBOOK TO RESTORE CONFIDENCE, BIPARTISAN GROUP ASKS CONGRESS

July 30, 2013 at 6:41 AM
August 2, 2013 at 7:34 AM

Ingredients of eventual failure and ruin. It appears to many to have lost its way in collusion and its own lust for power. Always the greed and lust for power are age-old vices. Freedom-loving people cannot let you win. History should teach you that you never do. But, it appears unlikely that any of you ever touched a history or ethics book. Your kind never have, that's why you repeat history's mistakes. Greed and lust for power are age-old vices.

Your hypocrisy is legion. You anonymously criticize others for being anonymous. You people are too much.

As to your comments about the media. Again, pure speculation. Haven't you ever heard the expression, "you don't know what you don't know?" A mainstream media muzzled by bribes, graft and corruption is not a media to which we seek coverage. The mainstream media appears to many to have lost its way in collusion and its own lust for power. Always the ingredients of eventual failure and ruin.

A. Facebook's law firms:

1. Fenwick & West LLP (Facebook securities and patent law firm; former Leader Technologies counsel; attempted an appearance in Leader v. Facebook; did not seek conflicts from Leader prior to representing Facebook)

2. Cooley Godward LLP (Facebook law firm in Leader v. Facebook; McBees Strategic energy stimulus partner; Obama Justice Dept. Advisor; former employer to patent judges)

3. Blank & Rome LLP (Facebook law firm in Leader v. Facebook; former employer to patent judges)

4. White & Case LLP (Facebook law firm in Leader v. Facebook; undisclosed former employer to Patent Office Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) officer involved in Leader v. Facebook)

5. Gibson Dunn LLP (Facebook law firm in Leader v. Facebook; undisclosed counsel to the Federal Circuit; undisclosed protégé of Chief Justice John Roberts, Jr.; undisclosed former employer to Prentindler (“Freet”) Bharara, U.S. Attorney currently persecuting Paul Ceglia in U.S. v. Ceglia (Ceglia v. Zuckerberg))

6. Orrick Herrington LLP (longtime Facebook law firm and destroyer of evidence for the cabal in Winklevoss v. Zuckerberg and ConnectU v. Facebook)

7. Weil Gotshal LLP (Federal Circuit counsel in Leader v. Facebook; Judge Kimberly A. Moore’s undisclosed former client)

8. Latham & Watkins LLP (Facebook Director James W. Breyer’s counsel; Judge Kimberly A. Moore’s husband, Matthew J. Moore’s new law firm)

9. Federal Circuit Bar Association (“FCBA”) (Federal Circuit’s bar association; second largest in the U.S.; Facebook’s law firms exert much influence in its policy and activity, incl. Fenwick & West LLP, Gibson Dunn LLP, Orrick Herrington LLP, Weil Gotshal LLP; Facebook’s large shareholder, Microsoft, is a director; Federal Circuit Clerk of Court Jan Horbaly is an officer; FCBA made an appearance in Leader v. Facebook to oppose the amicus curiae (friend of the court) motion of Dr. Lakshmi Arunachalam, former Director of Network Architecture at Sun Microsystems, in favor of Leader Technologies and objecting to the evident conflicts of interest within the court itself, her motion was denied, the judges refused to disclose their conflicts which we now know include Facebook and Microsoft stocks)

10. DC Bar Association

11. Perkins Coie LLP (Facebook’s "rapid response enforcement team"; law firm for Obama’s chief counsel, Larry Summers, who is now Facebook’s Co-Managing Partner at Perkins Coie LLP; former law firm for Obama’s chief counsel, Preetinder (“Preet”) Bharara, U.S. Attorney currently persecuting Paul Ceglia in U.S. v. Ceglia (Ceglia v. Zuckerberg))
The only mainstream media that Derek aka Craven aka Tile Cutter read is the NATIONAL INQUIRER. It is mildly interesting how these pathologically ego-centric people view "success."

George Washington (1732-1799), “Few men have virtue to withstand the highest bidder.”
Americans for Innovation: FIX LEADER V. FACEBOOK TO RESTORE CONFIDENCE, BIPARTISAN GROUP ASKS CONGRESS

https://americans4innovation.blogspot.com/2013/07/fix-leader-v-facebook-to-restore.html
66. **Allen R. MacDonald**  
(Administrative Judge, U.S. Patent Office)

67. **Stephen C. Siu**  
(Administrative Judge, U.S. Patent Office)

68. **Meredith C. Petravick**  
(Administrative Judge, U.S. Patent Office)

69. **James T. Moore**  
(Administrative Judge, U.S. Patent Office)

70. **Pinchus M. Lauffer**  
(Sr. Counsel, Patent Trial and Appeal Board, PTAB)

71. **Kimberly Jordan**  
(Counsel, Patent Trial and Appeal Board, PTAB)

72. **Daniel J. Ryman**  
(Counsel, Patent Trial and Appeal Board, PTAB)

73. **William J. Stoffel**  
(Counsel, Patent Trial and Appeal Board, PTAB)

74. **James C. Payne**  
(Counsel, Patent Trial and Appeal Board, PTAB)

75. **Deandra M. Hughes**  
(Examiner, Leader v. Facebook reexamination)

76. **Kathryn Walsh Siehndel**  
(FOIA Counsel, U.S. Patent Office - bio and conflicts log concealed)

77. **Dennis C. Blair**  
(FOIA Counsel, U.S. National Intelligence)

78. **Dennis F. Saylor, IV**  
(Judge, Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court, FISA)

79. **James E. Boasberg**  
(Judge, Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court, FISA)

80. **James P. Chandler, III**  
(President, National Intellectual Property Law Institute, NIPLI; The Chandler Law Firm Chartered; advisor to Asst. Att'y Gen. Eric H. Holder, Jr., Dept. of Justice; Member, National Infrastructure Assurance Commission, NIAC; advisor to Federal Circuit Chief Judge Randall R. Rader; advisor to Sen. Orrin Hatch; author, The Economic Espionage Act of 1996; Leader Technologies’ legal counsel, along with Fenwick & West LLP)

C. Facebook puppet masters:

81. **President Barack Obama**  
(appointed Leonard P. Stark to the judge’s seat in Delaware Federal District Court eight days after Stark’s court allowed Facebook to get away with jury and court manipulation of an on-sale bar verdict which was attained without a single piece of hard evidence; Barack and Michelle Obama were evidently protecting their 47 million “likes” on Facebook)

82. **Lawrence “Larry” Summers**  
(Harvard President who aided Zuckerberg’s light-speed rise to prominence with unprecedented Harvard Crimson coverage; Obama bailout chief; Clinton Treasury Secretary; World Bank Chief Economist; “Special Advisor” to Marc Andreessen in Instagram; co-creator of the current Russian robber baron economy; close 20-year relationships with protégés Sheryl Sandberg & Yuri Milner; aided in recommendations that created the Russian robber baron economy—and Yuri)
83. James W. Breyer, Accel Partners LLP; Facebook director; client of Fenwick & West LLP since the 1990's; apparently received technology from other Fenwick clients that was shuffled to Zuckerberg, incl. Leader Technologies' inventions

84. David Plouffe; directed Obama's 2008 and 2012 campaigns; a self-described "statistics nerd;" likely directed the activities of the Facebook Club; employed Robert F. Bauer, Perkins Coi LLP in 2000 at the Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee

85. McBee Strategic (one of the main "private" arms responsible for dollying out the billions in Obama "green energy" stimulus funds; partnered with Cooley Godward LLP)

86. Mike Sheehy (Cooley-McBee Strategic principal; former National Security Adviser to House Speaker Nancy Pelosi)

87. Nancy Pelosi (U.S. Congresswoman; appears to be running political cover in the House for Facebook, McBee Strategic, Cooley Godward, Fenwick & West, Breyers, etc.)

88. Harry Reid (U.S. Senator; Judge Evan J. Wallach patron)

89. Thomas J. Kim (SEC, Chief Counsel & Assoc. Director) approved Facebook's 500-shareholder exemption on Oct. 14, 2007, one day after it was submitted by Fenwick & West LLP; Facebook used this exemption to sell $3 billion insider stock to the Russians Alisher Asmanov, Yuri Milner, DST, Digital Sky, Mail.ru which pumped Facebook's pre-IPO valuation to $100 billion; another Harvard grad, Kim worked at Latham & Watkins LLP which was the chief lobbyist for the National Venture Capital Association in 2002-2004 whose Chairman was . . . James W. Beyer, Accel Partners LLP; in other words Breyer and Kim, both Harvard grads, were associated at the time of the Zuckerberg hacking and theft of Leader Technologies' software code)

90. Ping Li (Accel Partners, Zuckerberg handler)

91. Jim Swartz (Accel Partners; Zuckerberg handler)

92. Sheryl K. Sandberg (Facebook, Summers protégé; Facebook director)

93. Yuri Milner (DST aka Digital Sky, Summers protégé; former Bank Menatep executive; Facebook director)

94. Alisher Asmanov (DST aka Digital Sky; Goldman Sachs Moscow partner; Russian oligarch; Russian oligarch; Friend of the Kremlin; Became the Richest Man in Russia after the Facebook IPO)

95. Marc L. Andreessen (Zuckerberg coach; client of Fenwick & West LLP and Christopher P. King aka Christopher-Charles King aka Christopher-King aka Christopher-Charles P. King; Summers' sponsor during Instagram-scam; Facebook director)

96. Peter Thiel (19-year old Zuckerberg coach; PayPal; Facebook
| 97. | Clarion Capital (Peter Thiel) |
| 98. | Reid G. Hoffman (19-year old Zuckerberg coach; PayPal; LinkedIn; Facebook director) |
| 99. | Richard Wolpert (Accel Partners) |
| 100. | Robert Ketterson (Fidelity Ventures; Fidelity Equity Partners; Fidelity Ventures Telecommunications & Technology) |
| 101. | David Kilpatrick (Business Insider; "The Facebook Effect"; PR cleanse-meister re. Facebook origins) |
| 102. | Zynga/Groupon/LinkedIn/Square/Instagram ("Facebook Money/Credits/Bitcoin" feeder companies) |
| 103. | Tesla Motors (received $465 million in Obama stimulus funds and hired Cooley's Michael Rhodes in the seven months before the Leader v. Facebook trial, just before veteran Judge Joseph Farnan made the surprise announcement of his retirement, just six days after Facebook's disastrous Markman Hearing) |
| 104. | Solvendra (received $535 million in Obama stimulus at the recommendation of the Cooley-McBee Strategic "consulting" alliance) |
| 105. | BrightSource (received $1.6 billion in Obama stimulus at the recommendation of the Cooley-McBee Strategic "consulting" alliance) |
| 106. | John P. Breyer (father of James W. Breyer; founder of IDG Capital Partners - China; coached his son on exploiting Western markets while he quietly built a venture capital business in China for the last 20 years; the real brain behind the Breyer exploitations) |
| 107. | IDG Capital Partners (China) (founded by John P. Breyer, the father of James W. Breyer, Accel Partners; the current launderer of the tens of billions James W has fleeced from the U.S. market from the bailout, stimulus and the "pump & dump" Facebook IPO schemes) |
| 108. | Goldman Sachs (received US bailout funds; then invested with DST in Facebook private stock via Moscow; took Facebook public; locked out American investors from investing) |
| 109. | Morgan Stanley (received US bailout funds; took Facebook public; probably participated in overseas purchases of Facebook private stock before IPO) |
| 110. | State Street Corporation (received U.S. taxpayer bailout monies along with Goldman Sachs and Morgan Stanley; consolidating control of ATM banking networks internationally) |
| 111. | JP Morgan Chase (received U.S. taxpayer bailout monies along with Goldman Sachs, Morgan Stanley and State Street Corporation) |
| 112. | Lloyd Blankfein (Goldman Sachs, CEO) |
| 113. | Jamie Dimon (JP Morgan Chase, CEO) |
| 114. | Steve Cutler (JP Morgan Chase, General Counsel) |
| 115. | Rodgin Cohen (JP Morgan Chase, Outside Counsel; Sullivan Cromwell, ... |
116. **U.S. Securities & Exchange Commission** (granted Fenwick & West’s application on behalf of Facebook for an unprecedented exemption to the 500 shareholder rule; opened the floodgates for Goldman Sachs and Morgan Stanley to make a private market in Facebook pre-IPO insider stock; facilitated the influx of billions of dollars from “dubious” sources associated with Russian oligarchs, Alisher Asmanov and Yuri Milner, and the Kremlin; Goldman Sachs is a partner with this Moscow company, Digital Sky Technologies, aka DST, aka Mail.ru)

117. **Jeff Markey** (McBee Strategic LLC; allied with Facebook’s Cooley Godward Kronish LLP to arrange Obama’s green energy funding; arranged $1.6 billion for failed BrightSource and $535 million for failed Solyndra)

118. **Steve McBee** (McBee Strategic LLC; allied with Facebook’s Cooley Godward Kronish LLP to arrange Obama’s green energy funding; arranged $1.6 billion for failed BrightSource and $535 million for failed Solyndra)

119. **Michael F. McGowan** (Stroz Friedberg; Facebook forensic expert who lied about his knowledge of the contents of the 28 Zuckerberg hard drives and Harvard Email accounts)

120. **Bryan J. Rose** (Stroz Friedberg; Facebook forensic expert who lied about his knowledge of the contents of the 28 Zuckerberg hard drives and Harvard Email accounts)

121. **Dr. Saul Greenberg** (Facebook’s expert witness from the University of Calgary; disingenuously waived his hands and **said he would be “wild guessing” about the purpose of a Java “sessionstate” import statement** (even Java newbies know it is used for tracking a user while in a web session); in short, Dr. Greeberg lied to the jury, thus discrediting his testimony)

122. **Toni Townes-Whitley** (CGI Federal; Michelle Obama’s 1985 Princeton classmate; CGI “donated” $47 million to the Obama campaign; CGI won the no-bid contract to build the www.healthcare.gov Obamacare website; CGI shut off the security features on Obama’s reelection donation sites to increase donations)

123. **CGI Federal** (US division of a Canadian company; Donated $47 million to Obama’s reelection, then received the no-bid contract to build the ill-fated Obamacare website; Michelle Obama’s Princeton classmate, Toni Townes-Whitely, is a Senior Vice President of CGI; the website is replete with social features and links to Facebook)

124. **Kathleen Sebelius** (Obama’s Secretary of Health & Human Services since 2009 responsible for $678 million Obamacare implementation; made the decision to hire CGI Federal on a no-bid contract despite the evident conflict of interest with Michelle Obama and $47 million in Obama campaign donations by CGI; the website is replete with social features and links to Facebook)

125. **Todd Y. Park** (White House Chief Technology Officer (CTO); former CTO for Health & Human Services;
chief architect of HealthCare.gov; founder, director, CEO, Athenahealth, Inc.; founder, director, CEO, Castlight Health, Inc.)

126. Frank M. Sands, Sr. / Frank M. Sands, Jr. (Founder and CEO, respectively, of Sands Capital Management LLC; failed to file S.E.C. Form SC 13G acquisition reports for Athenahealth, Inc., Baidu, Inc. (ADR) and Facebook stock during 2012; masked the association of Todd Y. Park with Athenahealth, Inc. and Baidu, Inc., and the association of both of those companies with the Facebook IPO fraud)

127. Robin "Handsome Reward" Yangong Li (CEO, Baidu, Inc. (ADR); appointed Jan. 2004, the same month that Mark Zuckerberg obtained Leader Technologies' social networking source code to start Facebook; Robin Y. Li is very likely associated with John P. and James W. Breyer through their Chinese entities, including IDG Capital Partners, IDG-Accel and other variants; Li appointed a junior attorney from Fenwick & West LLP, Palo Alto/Mountain View, namely Parker Zhang, to be his "Head of Patents;" Fenwick & West LLP represented both Leader Technologies, Inc. and Accel Partners LLC in 2002-2003 and had Leader's source code in their files.)

128. Parker Zhang ("Head of Patents" at Baidu, Inc. (ADR), appointed in approx. May 2012; formerly a junior Associate attorney at Fenwick & West LLP; graduate from Michigan Law in 2005)

129. Penny S. Pritzker (Secretary, Department of Commerce; replaced Rebecca M. Blank; holds over $24 million in Facebook "dark pools" stock, most notably in Goldman Sachs, Morgan Stanley and JPMorgan)

130. Rebecca M. Blank (Secretary, Department of Commerce; oversaw the dubious Leader v. Facebook activities of the Patent Office Director, David J. Kappos, who held over one million dollars in Facebook "dark pools" during the Leader v. Facebook proceedings; Kappos purchased this stock within weeks of his surprise recess appointment by President Obama; Kappos also was formerly employed by IBM, who sold Facebook 750 patents during the Leader v. Facebook proceedings; right before leaving the Patent Office, Kappos also ordered an unprecedented 3rd reexamination of Leader’s patent without even identifying claims)

131. Mary L. Schapiro (Chairman, Securities & Exchange Commission; holds 5 Facebook "dark pools" stocks which held stock in Facebook, Baidu and more than a dozen Facebook crony companies; failed to regulate the "dark pools;" failed to disclose her substantial conflict of interest in regulating the run up to the Facebook IPO)

132. Robert C. Hancock (Chief Compliance Officer, Sands Capital Management, LLC; failed to file S.E.C. Form SC 12G notice of acquisition reports for Athenahealth, Baidu and Facebook during the period of the Facebook IPO in 2012; this conduct masked the conflicts of
interest of Todd Y. Park, who was appointed by President Obama to be the U.S. Chief Technology Officer during this same period; Todd Y. Park is/has been founder, director and CEO of both Athenahealth and Castlight Health; Todd Y. Park deeply embedded the software from Athenahealth and Castlight Health into HealthCare.gov when he was CTO at Health & Human Services; none of these conflicts of interest were disclosed; Todd Y. Park’s ethics pledges and reports are missing from the Office of Government Ethics).

133. Jonathan Goodman (Chief Counsel, Sands Capital Management, LLC; failed to file S.E.C. Form SC 12G notice of acquisition reports for Athenahealth, Baidu and Facebook during the period of the Facebook IPO in 2012; this conduct masked the conflicts of interest of Todd Y. Park, who was appointed by President Obama to be the U.S. Chief Technology Officer during this same period; Todd Y. Park is/has been founder, director and CEO of both Athenahealth and Castlight Health; Todd Y. Park deeply embedded the software from Athenahealth and Castlight Health into HealthCare.gov when he was CTO at Health & Human Services; none of these conflicts of interest were disclosed; Todd Y. Park’s ethics pledges and reports are missing from the Office of Government Ethics; Goodman was formerly employed by Gibson Dunn LLP, Facebook appeals counsel in Leader v. Facebook)

134. Trip Adler (“Co-Founder” of Scribd; Harvard contemporaries of Mark Zuckerberg with a dubious origins story, like Zuckerberg’s; Scribd held AFI documents for two years, then summarily deleted the entire library without warning on Fri. Mar. 7, 2014; AFI’s library contained only public documents and much evidence proving the Leader v. Facebook judicial corruption)

135. Jared Friedman (“Co-Founder” of Scribd; Harvard contemporaries of Mark Zuckerberg with a dubious origins story, like Zuckerberg’s; Scribd held AFI documents for two years, then summarily deleted the entire library without warning on Fri. Mar. 7, 2014; AFI’s library contained only public documents and much evidence proving the Leader v. Facebook judicial corruption)

136. Jeffrey Wadsworth (CEO, Battelle Memorial Institute; President, Ohio State University Board of Trustees; former Deputy Director of Science & Technologies, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, University of California Board of Trustees)

137. Michael V. Drake (President, The Ohio State University; former Chancellor, University of California, Irvine)

138. Woodrow A. Myers (Chief Medical Officer, Wellpoint, Inc.; formerly Corporate Operations Officer, Anthem Blue Cross Blue Shield of Indiana)

139. Alex R. Fischer (aka Alexander Ross Fischer; Trustee, The Ohio State University; former Sr. Vice President, Battelle Memorial
Chris Glaros (author of the discredited Waters Report re. The Ohio State University Marching Band; protege of Eric H. Holder, Jr., Professor James P. Chandler, III, and Algernon L. Marbley)

Lori Barreras (Commissioner, Ohio Civil Rights Commission; former Vice President of Human Resources, The Ohio State University; former Vice President, Battelle Memorial Institute; married to Alex R. Fischer)

David Vaughn (Criminal Attorney, David Vaughn Consulting Group; former Assistant U.S. Attorney; appointed to the discredited Waters Commission at Ohio State)

Betty Montgomery (former Ohio Attorney General; appointed to the discredited Waters Commission at Ohio State; accepted campaign contributions from Woodrow A. Myers, Wellpoint, Inc. and friend of Michael V. Drake)

Joseph A. Steinmetz (Provost, The Ohio State University; author of Psychological Science article on MOOC (Massive Open Online Course) that triggered the discovery of massive double-dealing and fraud within the Ohio State trustees)

D. Facebook boy-puppets:

Mark E. Zuckerberg
Chris Hughes
Dustin Moskowitz
Eduardo Saverin
Matthew R. Cohler
Elon Musk

E. Corruption Watch — Patent Office Judges:

Anderson, Gregg
Best, George
Bonilla, Jackie W.
Boucher, Patrick
Braden, Georgianna W.
Branch, Gene
Bisk, Jennifer Bresson
Bui, Hung H.
Busch, Justin
Clements, Matt
Crumbley, Kit
Droesch, Kristen
Elluru, Rama
Fitzpatrick, Michael
Gerstenblith, Bart A.
Giannetti, Thomas L.
Guest, Rae Lynn
Hastings, Karen M.
Hoff, Marc
Horner, Linda
Hughes, James R.
Hume, Larry
James, Housel
Jung, Hung J.
Kamholz, Scott
Research Tip:
Type any name or subject in the Google search at the top of this webpage. That will show you any relevant links within the sites that we have been following and investigating in the Leader v. Facebook case. Vigilance everyone! Our American Republic is at risk.

The following universities were announced as participants in Ohio State Provost Joseph A. Steinmetz's corrupt MOOC education initiative named "University Innovation Alliance" (UIA). We have identified the instructions and online forms you need to file a complaint with the participants. MOOC stands for "Massive Open Online Course."

You should complain about:
(1) the intellectual property theft of social networking source code from Leader Technologies, Columbus, Ohio that is the software engine running the UIA;

HOW TO FILE A FRAUD COMPLAINT AGAINST A UNIVERSITY

The following universities were announced as participants in Ohio State Provost Joseph A. Steinmetz's corrupt MOOC education initiative named "University Innovation Alliance" (UIA). We have identified the instructions and online forms you need to file a complaint with the participants. MOOC stands for "Massive Open Online Course."

You should complain about:
(1) the intellectual property theft of social networking source code from Leader Technologies, Columbus, Ohio that is the software engine running the UIA;
(2) the corruption at Ohio State University and OSU's collusion with Battelle Memorial Institute which helped steal the software being used by UIA; and

(3) the mistreatment of OSU Marching Band Director Jon Waters regarding fabricated Title IX charges that were used to pave the way for Steinmetz to announce UIA.

Universities pride themselves on protection of intellectual property. Therefore, these universities cannot participate in this abuse of inventor copyrights, patents and trade secrets by The Ohio State Trustees and Administration. If these universities participate knowingly with Ohio State in its theft of intellectual property, then they are aiding and abetting the theft of intellectual property on a “massive” scale. Massive Open Online Course (MOOC) also known as The Eclipse Foundation.

1. ARIZONA
Arizona State University
https://www.azag.gov/consumer/procedure
https://www.azag.gov/complaints/consumer

2. CALIFORNIA
University of California Riverside
California State System (observer)
http://www.oig.ca.gov/

3. FLORIDA
University of Central Florida
http://www.floridaoig.com/
http://www.fldoe.org/ig/complaint.asp
http://app1.fldoe.org/IGComplaint/ComplaintForm.aspx

4. GEORGIA
Oregon State University
http://oig.oregon.gov/
http://oig.oregon.gov/forms/consumer-complaint.asp

5. INDIANA
Purdue University
http://www.in.gov/ig/2330.htm

6. IOWA
Iowa State University
http://www.state.ia.us/government/ag/file_complaint/online_2.html

7. MICHIGAN
Michigan State University

8. OHIO
The Ohio State University
http://watchdog.ohio.gov/FileaComplaint.aspx

9. ORGEON
Oregon State University
https://justice.oregon.gov/forms/consumer_complaint.asp
https://justice.oregon.gov/consumercomplaints/

10. KANSAS
The University of Kansas
https://ag.ks.gov/about-the-office/contact-us/email-us
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https://americans4innovation.blogspot.com/2013/07/fix-leader-v-facebook-to-restore.html

11. TEXAS
The University of Texas
http://www.tdcj.state.tx.us/divisions/oig/oig_fraud.html
https://sao.fraud.state.tx.us/Hotline.aspx

Let's make sure that the "University Innovation Alliance (UIA)" and 'Massive Open Online Course' MOOC never get off the ground due to their corrupt foundations.

RESOURCE:

REAL NEWS LINKS

Bookmark: #real-news

1. 1160.info - Resisting the New World Order
2. 1791L
3. Abby Martin (The Empire Files)
4. Abel Danger
5. Aim4Truth.org
6. Alex Jones, InfoWars
7. America Talks (David Zublick)
8. American Intelligence Media (AIM)
9. Americans for Innovation (AFI)
10. American Thinker
11. Ann Coulter
12. Anthony Gucciardi
13. Before It’s News
14. Bill Still
15. Bob Dylan's Plagarism of James Damiano
16. Breitbart
17. Catherine Austin Fitts (Solaris.com)
18. Center for Public Integrity
19. Cernovich, Mike (Danger & Play)
20. Center for Self Governance
21. Charles Benninghoff / Pray For Us
22. Conservative Daily Post
23. Conservative Patriot Blog
24. Conservative Tribune
25. Counterpunch
26. Culture Shock News
27. Daily Caller
28. Daily Wire
29. Danger & Play (Mike Cernovich)
30. David Horowitz Freedom Center
31. Dark Journalist
32. David Knight (Libertarian)
33. David Seaman
34. David Vose
35. David Zublick (America Talks)
36. Deeper Than Drudge
37. Diplompundit
38. Discover The Networks / David Horowitz
| 39. | Doomsday Doug |
| 40. | Drudge Report |
| 41. | Ed Magedson |
| 42. | Empire Files (Abby Martin) |
| 43. | En-Volve Conservative News |
| 44. | ExposeFacts.org (William Binney) |
| 45. | Faith Happens |
| 46. | FEDERICO InspoNews (Frederico Cardella) |
| 47. | Free Our Internet |
| 48. | Free Thought Project (The) |
| 49. | FreedomWatch / Larry Klayman |
| 50. | Full Measure with Sharyl Attkisson |
| 51. | Gateway Pundit (The) |
| 52. | GeoEngineering Watch |
| 53. | Georgia KSCO |
| 54. | Gerald Celente / Trends Research |
| 55. | Global Freedom Movement |
| 56. | Gorilla Mindset by Mike Cernovich |
| 57. | Government Gone Wild |
| 58. | Glomar Disclosure |
| 59. | H.A. Goodman |
| 60. | Hagmann Report |
| 61. | HANG THE BANKERS |
| 62. | HORN NEWS |
| 63. | Horowitz (David) Freedom Center |
| 64. | Howard Nema (Truth Talk News) |
| 65. | InfoWars, Alex Jones |
| 66. | Intrepid Report |
| 67. | Intercept (The) |
| 68. | International Consortium of Investigative Journalists (ICIJ) |
| 69. | Innovation Alliance |
| 70. | Jack Posobiec |
| 71. | James Wesley Rawles (SurvivalBlog) |
| 72. | Joel M. Skousen / World Affairs Brief |
| 73. | Judicial Watch |
| 74. | Julian Assange (WikiLeaks) |
| 75. | Kaya Jones |
| 76. | Larry Elder |
| 77. | Larry C. Johnson (No Quarter) |
| 78. | Laura Ingraham |
| 79. | Lawless America |
| 80. | LawNewz |
| 81. | Lee Stranahan |
| 82. | Lew Rockwell |
| 83. | Liberty Headlines |
| 84. | Liberty Writers News |
| 85. | Libertarian (David Knight) |
| 86. | LifeZette (Laura Ingraham) |
| 87. | Lionel Nation / Media |
| 88. | Lisa Haven News |
| 89. | Mark Dice |
| 90. | Marshall Report (The) |
| 91. | Matt Drudge / Drudge Report |
| 92. | Middle East Eye |
| 93. | Mike Cernovich (Danger & Play) |
| 94. | Millennium Report (The) |
| 95. | Milo Yiannopoulos |
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[Website Link]

96. Muckrock
97. Newsbud (Sibel Edmonds)
98. Newswars.com
99. No More Games (Morgan Reynolds)
100. No Quarter (Larry C. Johnson)
101. Occupy Peace
102. Open Mind
103. Pat Dollard - The War Starts Here!
104. Paul Joseph Watson
105. Peter Schiff
106. PJ Media
107. PolitZette
108. PragerU
109. Charles Benninghoff / Pray For Us
110. Prison Planet Live
111. Public Intelligence Blog (Robert David Steele)
112. Real News with David Knight
113. Rebel Media
114. Right Side Broadcasting
115. Right Wing News (John Hawkins)
116. Ripoff Report
117. Robert David Steele
118. Roger Stone, Stone Cold Truth
119. ROOT for America (Wayne Allyn Root)
120. Sargent of Akkad
121. Save The American Inventor
122. SGTReport
123. Sharyl Attkisson
124. Sibel Edmonds (Newsbud)
125. Solari.com (Catherine Austin Fitts)
126. State of The Nation (SOTN)
127. Stefan Molyneux
128. Steven Crowder
129. Steve Pieczenik
130. Stone Cold Truth, Roger Stone
131. SurvivalBlog (James Wesley Rawles)
132. The Daily Caller
133. The Free Thought Project
134. The Gateway Pundit
135. The HORN NEWS
136. The Intercept (Note: Most writers are fair; but some are unalloyed fake news leftists)
137. The Larry Elder Show
139. The Millennium Report
140. The Stone Zone
141. The Watchman's Report
142. Trends Research / Gerald Celente
143. Val Stillwell
144. Veterans Today (VT)
145. Vets For Child Rescue
146. Vidme
147. Washington Examiner
148. Wayne Madsen Report
149. WND (WorldNetDaily)
150. Whatever Happened to Common Sense
151. WikiLeaks (Julian Assange)