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December 7, 2011
1. Summary of August 15th Strategic Planning Session
2. Organizational Architecture
3. Investment in the Core Membership Experience
4. Centralized Functions
5. Update on Digital Strategy
6. Update on Brand Extensions
   • CGI America
   • CGI U
   • CGI International
7. Financial Implications
8. Other Issues
   • Dates of 2012 Annual Meeting
   • Office Space
Summary of August 15th Strategic Planning Session

• Consensus on CGI Operating Principles
  - Inspire action in the form of commitments
  - Generate revenue
  - Attract influential participants
  - Democratize commitment-making
• Agreement on goal of creating a long-term sustainable CGI
• Recognition of need for investment in the core of CGI
  - Enhance the value proposition to Annual Meeting members
  - Ensure credibility of the commitment model
  - Generate revenue for the Foundation
• Digital strategy
• Brand extensions
  - CGI America
  - CGI University
  - CGI International
Organizational Architecture

OBJECTIVES

• Create value for different audiences
• Avoid duplication of effort
• Capitalize on economies of scale
• Increase CGI revenues and impact

TAILORED EXPERIENCE TO EACH SPECIFIC AUDIENCE

• Commitments
• Program

SHARED RESOURCES ACROSS EVENTS

• Membership Recruitment
• Sponsorship
• Marketing and Creative Services
• Communications
• Event Operations
• Finance, IT, Operations
## Investment in the Core Membership Experience

### Measurable Goals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of Paying Members</strong></td>
<td>480</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Member Revenue</strong></td>
<td>$9,648,000</td>
<td>$10,600,000</td>
<td>$12,000,000</td>
<td>$14,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sponsor Revenue</strong></td>
<td>$15,752,100</td>
<td>$18,000,000</td>
<td>$20,000,000</td>
<td>$22,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Revenue</strong></td>
<td>$25,400,100</td>
<td>$28,600,000</td>
<td>$32,000,000</td>
<td>$36,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Incremental Revenue (vs. 2011)</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$3,199,900</td>
<td>$6,599,900</td>
<td>$10,599,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Incremental Costs</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>($2,745,000)</td>
<td>($4,481,400)</td>
<td>($4,571,256)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Incremental Net Income</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$454,900</td>
<td>$2,118,500</td>
<td>$6,028,644</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Commitment Progress Report Rate** | 45% | 55% | 60% | 70% |
| **Number of Partnerships Formed** | 70  | 100 | 150 | 200 |

*Assumes no increase in membership fees
• Responsive to paying member feedback
  - Networking
    ▪ 71% cite importance of networking
  - Featuring
    ▪ 51% cite importance of featuring and promotional opportunities
  - Collaboration
    ▪ 45% cite importance of finding partnerships

• Renewal rates are higher among members who participated in year-round offerings
  - 67% versus 50%

• Fortune 500 companies value year-round offerings
  - 61% attended one or more Action Network

• Proposal is to enhance core membership experience
  - Topical continuity year-to-year
  - Significant expansion of year-round engagement opportunities
Investment in the Core Membership Experience

**Topic Areas**

- Determined by commitment trends and member participation in 2010 and 2011
- Led by Commitments Department

![Diagram of Topic Areas]

- **Built Environment**
- **Disaster & Conflict**
- **Education**
- **Energy & Ecosystems**
- **Financing**
- **Girls & Women**
- **Global Health**
- **Market-based Solutions**
- **Technology**
## Investment in the Core Membership Experience

### Year-Round Opportunities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Opportunity</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>Network</th>
<th>Collaborate</th>
<th>Feature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Convenings</td>
<td>Annual Meeting</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Winter Meeting</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mid-Year Meeting</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-3 topic-specific gatherings</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virtual</td>
<td>Topic-specific web-page</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Topic-specific newsletter, with a focus on action generation</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Topic area email lists and group updates</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>At least 2 VIP-hosted calls involving multiple topics</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WebEx/tele-convenings by topic and sub-topic</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support</td>
<td>Partnership development support</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Additional opportunities to feature progress</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shared resources and materials within topics</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Comprehensive calendar of CGI offerings</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Archive of notes from all meetings for each topic</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Centralized Functions**

**Overview**

**Objectives**

- Improve service levels
- Achieve economies of scale
- Strengthen operational support systems, while eliminating redundancies
  - Improve consistency of processes
  - Improve knowledge sharing within and between departments
  - Facilitate development of best practices
  - Develop more consistent messaging and branding
- Generate revenue
### Current Method of Recruitment and Retention of Members and Sponsors is Inefficient and Inconsistent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Challenge</th>
<th>Implication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Member Experience</td>
<td>Cumbersome and confusing engagement process</td>
<td>• Members have multiple touch points within CGI and no “coordinator”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Membership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Commitments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Communications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• New platforms will serve to exacerbate problem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sponsorship Department</td>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>• 2.5 FTE limits ability to maintain existing relationships and market multiple platforms to new prospects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership Department</td>
<td>Member engagement vs. marketing responsibility</td>
<td>• Member engagement is primarily limited to logistical and administrative aspects of relationship – often impeding marketing effectiveness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Registration: Member on-boarding, NGO comps, credential issuance, billing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Data Management: Statistical analysis and reporting, list generation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Marketing: Communication strategy, timelines, creative execution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commitments Department</td>
<td>Breadth of responsibilities</td>
<td>• Non-recruitment/retention responsibilities are significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Manage Action Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Develop commitments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Craft partnerships</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Support program development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Time-consuming data entry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Leads to inconsistent selling efforts, messaging, and results</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Combined Membership and Sponsorship Department Focused on Recruitment, Retention, Holistic Relationship Management, and Revenue

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Primary Benefits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| “Concierge Service”               | Members/sponsors have one primary point of contact to coordinate full range of CGI engagement across all platforms | • Improves service and value for members  
• Increases knowledge of client/prospect needs  
• Better opportunity to maximize revenue |
| Commitments Department            | Eliminates approximately 50% of current workload by migrating administrative elements of recruitment/retention – commitment-to-action forms, member data entry, member communications, ongoing meeting follow up, progress reporting, etc. – to this new department | Creates capacity to:  
• Develop year-round engagement plan within topic areas  
• Focus on partnership development  
• Provide support for recruitment efforts  
• Play larger external roles in areas of expertise |
| Membership/Sponsorship Department | Centralized function manages all outward-facing recruitment and retention efforts and serves as “general contractor” for relationship with CGI | • Improves coordination of marketing outreach and consistency of message  
• Ensures quality control of all processes for handling members/sponsors  
• Results in significantly higher sales focus  
• Improves CGI knowledge of target/member organizations  
• Creates sustainable career paths |
# Centralized Functions

## Incremental Staffing Needs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Additional Staff</th>
<th>Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Membership &amp; Sponsorship</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Drive all membership/sponsorship recruitment and retention for all platforms. Serve as point of contact for all private sector and NGO members. Remove significant workflow from “commitments team” so they can focus on content/topics/assistance with recruitment. Develop deeper relationships with key target organizations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketing and Creative Services</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Social media development and execution; writer for e-newsletters, collateral materials; web specialist to improve publishing capabilities and content sharing arrangements; content manager to activate CGI content more quickly across multiple channels.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Develop messaging and pitches year-round for commitments and members; expand outreach to generate increased editorial and featuring opportunities through traditional and new media; manage 1400+ media who attend the Annual Meeting plus other CGI events.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commitments Analysis &amp; Management</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Increase the capacity to manage, analyze and communicate commitment trends, progress, success and challenges for multiple platforms and an ever-growing number of commitments; prepare commitment briefings for President Clinton and a more robust external communications plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Event Operations</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Capacity to deliver first-class event experiences for participants in the increasing docket of CGI programs and events.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT, Operations, Finance</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Increase capacity to support needs of expanded organization through strong internal operating structure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals:</strong></td>
<td><strong>25</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Centralized Functions
Database Review

**ISSUES REGARDING RAISER’S EDGE**
- Designed for traditional fundraising
- Cumbersome user interface
- Lacks automated method of collecting external information
- Requires hundreds of staff hours for manual entry
- Unable to interface with web platforms
- Lacks reporting functionality
- Lacks work-flow tracking
- Insufficient to meet future needs of organization

**PROCESS INVOLVING ACCENTURE**
- Developed detailed list of over 130 functional requirements for both existing needs and future strategy focused on year-round engagement
- Evaluated 26 potential vendors as well as numerous add-ons and complementary systems
- Isolated 4 top solution candidates and distributed detailed requests for proposals
- Met with final candidates for day long demonstrations at CGI office (in process)
**Centralized Functions: Database Review**

**Shortlisted Solutions fall into Two Categories: Products and Platforms**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product (Avectra netFORUM, Aptify)</th>
<th>Platform (Microsoft Dynamics CRM, Salesforce.com)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Designed for “membership associations” like CGI</td>
<td>Designed for general use at any organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limited customization required: Member management, event management, and fundraising modules included</td>
<td>Extensive customization required to meet our business needs: systems are basic scaffolding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendor understands our needs and future improvements to the product are likely to benefit CGI</td>
<td>Vendor caters to more general business needs, and upgrades are likely to be of lesser benefit to CGI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less flexible: non-core functionality must be implemented as a custom component</td>
<td>More adaptable: non-core functionality can be an add-on from a third-party vendor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendor achieves profitability by fully meeting needs of a niche market and premium pricing</td>
<td>Vendor achieves profitability by having a large ecosystem of users and volume pricing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$150k implementation [Awaiting RFP response]</td>
<td>$300k implementation [Awaiting RFP response]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$120-150k annual licensing cost for 100 users</td>
<td>$25k annual licensing cost for 100 users</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Centralized Functions

Database Review

**TIMELINE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>January</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Clean Up (CGI internal activity)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOLUTION CHOSEN</td>
<td>CONTRACT SIGNED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMPLEMENTATION BEGINS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design, Implementation, and Testing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User Testing in dedicated environment</td>
<td>PHASE I GO-LIVE (CORE FUNCTIONALITY ONLY)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iteration of requirements and design based on input from users</td>
<td>User Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User Testing</td>
<td>PHASE II GO-LIVE (FULL FUNCTIONALITY)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onsite Support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CLINTON GLOBAL INITIATIVE
Digital Strategy Update

Review of Conclusions from August Meeting

• Mycommitment.org has not met its objectives
• Current CGI web properties (Annual Meeting, America, U) are largely brochure-ware, with limited broad-based impact
• CGI should explore the opportunities presented by a more robust digital strategy that could
  - Democratize the message
  - Generate commitments and/or allow people to join current commitments
  - Enhance reputation and impact
• Stay true to core CGI brand/value propositions
  - Inspiration
  - Education
  - Building community
  - Driving action
Digital Strategy Update

Current Status & Projected Timeline

• Requests for proposals issued to 10 digital business strategy companies

• Proposals will include
  - Suggestions regarding content, including sources, development, execution
  - Overview of the competitive environment and a perspective on what CGI can “own”
  - Marketing plan to drive audience and action
  - Social media plan to enhance CGI’s digital impact
  - Outline of potential financial support (e.g., partners, grantors, sponsors, technology donors, and other revenue streams)
  - Estimate of “cost to build”
  - Estimate of on-going operating costs (staff, servers, etc.)
  - Timeline

• Received 3 responses, expect balance in next 7 days

• Vendor to be selected by mid-January, at expected cost of up to $100,000

• Estimated timeframe to build business strategy/plan is 3-4 months

• Will present findings to the board in Spring 2012
LEADERSHIP: Katrina Ngo

- 2-day meeting at the Sheraton Chicago Hotel & Towers on June 7-8, 2012
- 1,000 participants (increase from 750 in 2011)
- **JB and MK Pritzker have agreed to provide $1 million to sponsor the 2012 meeting**
- Staffing
  - 9 full-time positions
  - 7 temporary consultants (2012 hires)
- Meeting objectives approved by President Clinton
  - Jobs: To address the U.S. unemployment rate
  - **Education and Skills Development:** To prepare Americans as competitive global citizens
  - **Innovation:** To rethink current models that shape U.S. economy and society
- Fee Structure
  - **$3,000:** CGI America Attendee (same as 2011)
    - “Proof of concept” phase, comparable to other conferences
  - **$1,000:** Annual Meeting Sponsor/Member (no additional cost in 2011)
    - Discounted fee for year-round member, marginal cost per participant

NEXT STEPS:

- Working session memo to be sent for review in December
- Plenary memo to be sent for review in January
LEADERSHIP: Bill Wetzel

- 3-day meeting at George Washington University (GW) in DC on March 30 – April 1, 2012
- Chelsea Clinton participated in launch at GW on October 18th
- 1,100 students
  - Application deadline is January 17th
  - 540 applications received to date
- Service Project location is only outstanding venue
- CGI U is exploring social media partnerships with Facebook, Twitter, Google, as well as exploring more traditional media partnerships with MTV/mtvU, Livestream, SiriusXM Radio, and the Washington Post

NEXT STEPS:
- Working session memo to be sent for review in December
- Service project memo to be sent for review in January
LEADERSHIP: Lisa Rickert
- Proposal is 2-day meeting in Rio in early December 2013
- 400 participants

GUIDANCE SOUGHT
- Given 2008 Memorandum between Clinton Foundation and Obama transition team, any concerns over activity in Brazil?
  - Contacting hotels
  - Visiting Brazil
  - Soliciting sponsors/members
  - Engaging local officials
# Financial Implications

## Core & Centralized Functions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revenue</th>
<th>Annual Meeting</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Member Revenue</td>
<td></td>
<td>$9,648,000</td>
<td>$10,600,000</td>
<td>$12,000,000</td>
<td>$14,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sponsor Revenue</td>
<td></td>
<td>$15,752,100</td>
<td>$18,000,000</td>
<td>$20,000,000</td>
<td>$22,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Revenue</strong></td>
<td><strong>$25,400,100</strong></td>
<td><strong>$28,600,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$32,000,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$36,000,000</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expenses</th>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Additional Staff</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Membership &amp; Sponsorship</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
<td>$832,000</td>
<td>$865,280</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Marketing and Creative Services</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$240,000</td>
<td>$332,800</td>
<td>$346,112</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$180,000</td>
<td>$249,600</td>
<td>$259,584</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Commitments Analysis &amp; Mgmt.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$120,000</td>
<td>$166,400</td>
<td>$173,056</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Event Operations</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$180,000</td>
<td>$249,600</td>
<td>$259,584</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IT, Operations, Finance</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$180,000</td>
<td>$249,600</td>
<td>$259,584</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Program</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$120,000</td>
<td>$166,400</td>
<td>$173,056</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>$1,620,000</td>
<td>$2,246,400</td>
<td>$2,336,256</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Database</td>
<td></td>
<td>$325,000</td>
<td>$135,000</td>
<td>$135,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Office Space</td>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IT</td>
<td></td>
<td>$800,000</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Incremental Expenses</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,745,000</td>
<td>$4,481,400</td>
<td>$4,571,256</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Incremental Net Income</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$454,900</td>
<td>$2,118,500</td>
<td>$6,028,644</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Assumes ability to remain in existing space through 2012*
Financial Implication

Brand Extensions

• CGI America netted $550,000 in 2011
  - Expected to increase net income to $750,000 in 2012
    ▪ $950,000 member revenues
    ▪ $3 million sponsor revenues
    ▪ $3.2 million direct expenses (event is 1/3 larger than 2011)
• CGI U narrowed its loss by 51% to $740,000 in 2011
  - Expect comparable loss in 2012
    ▪ Maintaining event size
    ▪ Higher DC costs offset by less expensive travel
    ▪ Additional cost reductions not feasible without negatively impacting the “traditional” CGI U experience
• CGI International
  - CGI Asia was profitable in 2008, primarily as a result of sponsorship
  - Expect Brazil to be comparably attractive to sponsors in 2013
Other Issues

- 2012 Annual Meeting Dates
- Office Space