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Introduction

With the Labor Committees' presentation of overwhelming evidence for the existence of a conspiracy on the part of the Rockefeller-Morgan international financier faction of the ruling class and their allies in the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) to impose fascist regimes in the United States, Canada, and Western Europe during 1974, it becomes a matter of historical necessity that an analysis of the roots and evolution of this bourgeois Anglo-American SS and its conspiracy be undertaken at once.

The purpose of this paper is to remove any illusions that the historical basis for this combine and their plot does not in fact exist, while demonstrating the main political and military features of the conspiracy. This is done with the hope of precipitating a confrontation with the reader's fears of facing reality. If it also aids him to conquer those fears enough to join the only organizations fighting for a future for the human race, the Labor Committees, the North American Unemployed and Welfare Rights Organization and the Revolutionary Youth Movement, then we have a chance together by abolishing the conspiracy and its perpetrators, and freeing their potential victims, to relegate the Anglo-American SS to an arcane study in deep social pathology.

Neither the CIA (the agency) nor what Lyn Marcus (see last issue of Campaigner) calls variously the CIA Establishment, the Anglo-American Political Intelligence, or the Real CIA (and what we refer to here as the Anglo-American SS) is now, or ever was, primarily concerned with intelligence in any traditional sense of that notion.

Shortly after its inception at the onset of World War II, as we shall show, the Anglo-American SS began to take up what had become the characteristic aims of the Schutz Staffel (SS) after 1936 with the rise of Himmler to the head of the now unified police forces of the Reich and his confirmation as Reichsfuehrer. These goals have been ably described by an historian as follows:

The aims of the enormous SS apparatus...were concerned not so much with controlling the state as with becoming a state itself. The occupants of the chief positions in the SS developed step by step into the holders of power in an authentic "collateral state," which gradually penetrated existing institutions, undermined them, and finally began to dissolve them. Fundamentally, there was no sphere of life upon which the SS did not make its competing demands: the economic, ideological, military, scientific, and technical spheres, as well as those of agrarian and population policies, legislation and general administration....The goal of the SS was to permeate and dissolve the old order, and it was to be the hard core of an imperial dominion aiming at "organizing Europe economically and politically on a basis that would destroy all pre-existing boundaries, with the Order in the background."[1]

This process took shape with the continual conquest of positions of solid power: the SS mobile troops, the economic and administrative head office of the SS, the concentration camps, the SS security service, the Head Office for Race and Settlement and finally the Waffen SS soon grew from small institutions with limited functions into powerful organizations — a veritable police, military, and economic empire.

This incredible pathological expansion by a self-conceived Elite Guard occurred, of course, as a transition from a National Socialist regime that itself had long since demolished bourgeois democracy, after first having built an irrational mass-based fascist movement. What possible conditions could explain the emergence from above of a similar phenomenon in the bowels of an essentially bourgeois-democratic society? What are the critical features that go into the historical makeup of the elitist Anglo-American SS and their fascist machine? How did an Anglo-American SS slowly but surely penetrate, undermine, and then virtually destroy democratic institutions in the Western capitalist countries while preparing new forms of totalitarian rule?

The answers to these questions will hardly be found by gleaning accounts on the "ruling class" and the "state" from "classical Marxist doctrine."

Before moving on to the heart of the matter, we will pause briefly to clear up a question that may already be troubling the reader schooled in various Brand X "Marxist" or liberal versions of history. There will be no investigation here into various classical American right-wing and fascist movements, organizations, and leaders, for the simple reason that they are only relevant in this period to the extent that the Anglo-American SS decides to use them as auxiliary tools to further its aims.

By the end of World War II, the Anglo-American SS had already learned how to penetrate, control, use, destroy, or generate fascist movements and organizations. The CIA's most refined application of this knowledge found in the examples of the "new left" and black nationalist groupings, and other ethnic fascist formations, brainwashed terrorist fronts like the Symbionese Liberation Army, and the Parkhurst independent truckers gang, are well-covered by Minnicino. At any
rate, the origins of the particular kind of fascism confronting the working class do not come from the Ku Klux Klan, the American Nazi Party, the John Birch Society, the Minutemen et al., nor from the connivance of populist demagogues in the tradition of Huey Long, Father Coughlin, and George Wallace. In fact, even if these organizations and right-wing movements are not already thoroughly penetrated and controlled (as is likely), they are nonetheless impotent to act on their own in this period — the Anglo-American SS’s psychological warfare campaigns (e.g., Rockefeller’s Oil Hoax, CIA-organized “Impeachment Movement”) alone were enough to leave leaders and ranks stunned and confused, paralyzed by the loss of their magic.

The answers to our questions lie elsewhere. The earth-shattering conjuncture of the Great Depression, the rise of fascism, and the onset of the Second World War created a social catastrophe whose immense significance has never been fully appreciated by most Americans, given the relative sheltering of the United States from the world storm. What isolation couldn’t hide. Allied propaganda about the “anti-fascist democratic” war did. With the recovery under U.S. direction and control of the postwar capitalist economies and the opening of the Cold War by the Churchill-Rockefeller faction, the growth during the war of a hidden Anglo-American SS far more sophisticated than its German predecessor, remained a well-kept secret.

1. NAZISM AND COLONIALISM: SPECIAL OPS AND PSYWAR

The convulsions turning the world inside out in the latter half of the 1930s caused a profound crisis of leadership in the British ruling class. This crisis nearly caused the British bourgeoisie to come undone. Much more was involved than Churchill’s “patriotism” and “imperialism” versus Chamberlain’s “appeasement” and “isolationism.” By 1938-39, faced with class conflict at home, threatened loss of the colonial empire abroad, and the danger of imminent direct attack from Germany, an alternative bourgeois leadership would have to grapple with the question “What is the way out of the crisis?”

As is generally acknowledged, Churchill was to move, together with the strong force of bankers and industrialists around him, to conquer political power and to seek immediate political, economic and military support from the U.S. and other non-aligned nations. What is missed by historians that is important to an understanding of the 1936-40 period and an important aspect in the early shaping of the Anglo-American SS is that, long before he established his famous coalition and took the Prime Ministry, Churchill was applying himself to the critical political-military problem of finding a way, in Britain’s desperate defensive situation, to develop some offensive capability, or at least the means to counterattack. This was crucial obviously not only to meet the Nazis’ direct threat to England, but also to develop a new means to hold the seething colonies (themselves faced with Axis invasion) of Britain’s overextended Empire.

Throughout his life Winston Churchill was interested in the problems of irregular warfare. It had been his idea, when First Lord of the Admiralty in the Chamberlain cabinet, to raise the Striking Companies who later proved so daring during the campaign in Norway. In the summer of 1940, when Britain “stood alone and at bay,” Churchill knew the only hope for offensive action against the enemy was by air bombardment, by raiding the coasts of the occupied countries, and by sabotage and subversion inside Europe. The handful of aircraft Britain could muster, insufficient even for the defense of the island, precluded the pursuit of the first objective on a large scale for a long time. So the idea of sending small raiding forces to the coasts of Europe and parachuting secret agents behind the enemy lines to work as saboteurs and to help create guerrilla warfare units appears to have been in his mind quite early in the war.[2]

Actually for some period before the war most of the big bourgeoisie and military men in the political circle surrounding and supporting Churchill were intensely involved in the theory and practice of special warfare. These men were to become the heads of various branches dealing with clandestine political operations or leaders of other government departments during the war itself. Sir Charles Hambro, for example, head of the merchant bankers firm of the same name and the youngest director of the Bank of England in British history, had been Churchill’s leading business and financial advisor throughout the 1930s. In Feb. 1942, he was appointed the second director of the first special warfare agency in British history, the Strategic Operations Executive (SOE).

Major (later Sir) Desmond Morton, the Churchill confidant who was to act as Winston Churchill’s Personal Assistant throughout the whole of the latter’s war-time premiership, had pioneered in the 1930s in the development of what is today common Anglo-American practice — economic warfare integrated with covert operations.

Morton, working from the Industrial Intelligence Center, which he founded as a private enterprise in 1931, won the British Establishment quickly to his new ideas:

...it was Morton and his I.I.C. that coined the term “economic warfare” and led the authorities to reject the
older conception of “blockade” as out of date in the “total war” of the future for which they were planning. In this, said Morton, many civilian elements would have to be taken into account, not only in defensive but also in offensive operations, and the Ministry of Economic Warfare, when it officially came into existence, must be regarded as a fighting department on a level with the three service departments... Thus, the new Ministry should not only concern itself with overt activities like contraband control but also with a whole new range of “special operations” in the shape of subversion and sabotage to be directed against both the enemy country and those neutral countries from which the enemy drew his supplies.[3]

The “legendary” British Secret Service (M15, the Security — and M16, the Secret Intelligence Service), infamous for its notorious infiltration, subversion, and assassination practices against Communist and liberation movements in defense of the Empire since the beginning of the century, was in need of a thorough overhaul by the time Churchill took power. Full of Nazi sympathizers and out-and-out fascist collaborators, this bourgeois-need-only-apply “intelligence” service had watched with pleasure as Sir Oswald Mosely’s British Union of Fascists gained increasing bourgeois support and mass appeal in the early 1930’s, and, of course, had found no reason to view Germany as a target for espionage or subversion. Not only had its recruitment policy degenerated to the tapping of bowler-hatted ex-officers, but by 1940, through a series of incredible blunders, the British Secret Service had had its entire European network wiped out by Germans and possessed not one agent between the Balkans and the English Channel.

This situation had forced Churchill to rely exclusively on the bankers and industrialists in his circle (who were outside the British Secret Service) for the intelligence on the German military build-up he used from 1936 on in his attacks on Chamberlain and company in the British Parliament.

Typical of these super-activist business supporters was Sir William Stephenson, a Canadian emigre capitalist who, as we shall see, was destined for a weighty role in transporting to the United States the radical new political warfare conceptions that were developing in Britain. Something of an amateur boxer, pilot, and big game hunter, whose closest friends in international “society” included Aga Khan and the Nawab of Bhopal (with whom he was involved in various looting projects in the Middle East and India), Stephenson also headed Britain’s biggest film company, Sound City Films, and owned General Aircraft Ltd., an airplane manufacturer, Catalina Ltd., one of the first manufacturers of plastics in the United Kingdom. Earls Court Ltd., a huge construction firm, Alpha Cement, and the Pressed Steel Company, which made 90 per cent of the car bodies for such British firms as Morris, Humber, Hillman, and Austin.

In the course of business trips to Germany to buy steel in 1935-36, he discovered that practically the whole of German steel production had been turned over to the manufacture of armaments and munitions. In April 1936, Stephenson reported to Churchill that the direct and indirect expenditure by Germany upon military preparations including strategic roads was the equivalent of 800 millions sterling. Churchill forced this startling information into the public light in a direct challenge to Neville Chamberlain, then Chancellor of the Exchequer and the future prime minister.

In the fall of 1939, Stephenson joined with Morton and Churchill (by now in the Government at his old office at the Admiralty) to plan what probably would have been the Government’s first economic warfare “special operation” against a neutral capitalist country in modern British history. Their elaborate scheme involving plastic explosives, smuggling, a “sculpture studio,” etc., designed to blow up at port Swedish and German ships leaving with ore for Germany, was called off at the last moment when news of the saboteurs’ plans reached the ears of King Gustav. Immediately thrown into a panic, the hysterical, aged monarch cabled King George that the action would result in a German invasion of Sweden. A frantic King George ordered the operation stopped at once. But the cult of the “special operation,” soon to become a trademark of the Anglo-American SS, was being ineluctably established.

Shortly after assuming the reins of power, Churchill moved quickly (on July 19, 1940) to pull the recently created but dormant propaganda, sabotage and subversion sections out of the Secret Intelligence Service (M1-6) and into the irregular warfare organization, the Strategic Operations Executive (SOE), set up under his new Minister of Economic Warfare Dr. Hugh Dalton. Before the war, Dalton belonged to a small faction of the British Labor Party centering around Richard Crossman and Hugh Gaitskell, which advocated the immediate development of government organs for “covert operations” and psychological warfare. Dalton had communicated the following memo to Churchill through Lord Halifax, the British foreign secretary, just a month before:

We must organize movements in enemy-occupied territory comparable to the Sinn Fein in Ireland, to the Chinese guerrillas now operating against Japan, to Spanish Irregulars...in Wellington’s campaign, or, one might as well admit it, to the organizations the Nazis themselves have developed in almost every country in the world. We must use many different methods, including industrial and military sabotage, labor agitation and strikes, continuous propaganda, terrorist acts against traitors and German leaders, boycotts and riots.[4]
This suggestion from a leading representative of a party which had never led or organized support for British workers in its entire history, was to bear great historical significance in the evolution of the Anglo-American SS. But, before drawing that particular historic importance out, we must address the question of what was psychologically motivating the Stephensons, Mortons, Daltons et al., and the even more pernicious characters like Dr. John Rees and his fascist Tavistock crew that we will be examining on the psychological warfare side of the special warfare “revolution” — lest the reader’s Popular Front “anything-goes-in-the-war-against-Nazism” ideological blinders stand in the way of his understanding.

Despite the superficial political and social differences these British ruling class gentlemen certainly had, their psychological and political outlooks melded together as one around three critical interrelated Gestalts which they all shared in the 1937-1940 period: fascination with the Nazis and a desire to learn from them so as to replicate their methods in a more sophisticated and “progressive” form; a strong identification with the “works” of colonialism and a strong desire to find a means to preserve the Empire; and, finally, a pathological pre-occupation with techniques and gimmicks of sabotage, “black propaganda,” brainwashing, etc. (built up into “theories” of irregular war and psywar), not unlike the Nazi doctors, politicians, and agents themselves.

First, as a critical reading of the quote from Dalton would suggest, these men were all fascinated and awed by the Nazis — one can just see the impression SS terror against the working class and its growing infrastructure must have had on a frightened “Establishment” labor leader like Dalton.

Nonetheless, they firmly hoped that the lessons of their own colonial heritage and their greater “sophistication” and “progressiveness” would enable them to exercise, by far less crude means, the kind of social control the fascists were seeking, once they had mastered the principles upon which gutter fascism rested. The excitement at the thought of freedom from democratic restraints, and the challenge to outdo the Nazis at their own game (and repulse them in the process), were critical motivating factors behind the struggle in these British bourgeois. This produced a quite heady atmosphere.

Richard Crossman, who, according to colleague Sir Robert H. Bruce Lockhart, was responsible during the war “for most, if not all, of what the Americans learned about psychological warfare,” recalled his own thoughts and feelings at the time:

Freed from the limitations imposed by democracy, we were able to experiment with any and every black magic in the use of words which might help to “save lives”...Here was a unique chance for what seemed to be a supremely interesting scientific experiment. We were equipped, so far as technical facilities went, to out-Goebbels Goebbels.[5]

Crossman and Lockhart, by 1943 the Chief of Operations of the Psychological Warfare Division Supreme Headquarters Allied Expeditionary Forces (SHAEF), and the Director of the British Political Warfare Executive respectively (under which the American Office of Strategic Service (OSS) Psychological Warfare Branch received its lessons) were equipped to out-Goebbels with more than “technical facilities.” Lockhart, who in 1939 had made a special study of the radio propaganda techniques of Goebbels, the man he and his EH club friends considered the “undisputed master” of the medium, and Nazi specialist Crossman together devised, for example, the infamous Supreme Commander psywar campaign in 1944 designed to persuade the German population that Eisenhower would make a more motherly Fuehrer even than Hitler.

Crossman’s struggle to out-Goebbels Goebbels was taken quite seriously by his American pupils at the Psychological Warfare Division (PWD), Supreme Headquarters Allied Expeditionary Force (SHAEF). CIA professor, writer, and military psywar specialist Morris Janowitz, reflecting in 1958 on his experience as Wehrmacht Morale Analyst under Crossman at PWD, makes elaborate sympathetic comparisons between Goebbels and Crossman, and Goebbels and British Political Warfare Executive (PWE) head Sir Robert H. Bruce Lockhart in naming all three to his list of the “seven outstanding propagandists” of the war. Goebbels, in reality a pompous buffoon, is cited for his “brilliant intelligence and insight into mass psychology” and his “unsurpassed ability in staging mass meetings and parades.” Readers should note well that William Paley, President of CBS and a leading member of Rockefeller’s Critical Choices Commission, was head radio operator of Crossman’s PWD section; Lt. Colonel Murray I. Gurfein, the federal judge who ruled for the New York Times in the Pentagon Papers case, was Chief of Intelligence; and C.D. Jackson, former publisher of Fortune magazine, was in charge of German Media Control. A young British intelligence officer named Enoch Powell worked closely with the group while serving directly under General Walter Bedell Smith (later head of the CIA) at SHAEF.

An even more heinous British ruling class aggregation which made an extensive “study” of the Nazis’ psychological warfare methods in the 1930’s was the crew under Dr. John Rawlings Rees at the Rockefeller-funded center for the destruction of the human mind, the Tavistock Clinic. In 1939, Tavistock moved lock, stock, and barrel
into the British Army and Political and Military Intelligence to feverishly engage in developing the methods of individual and mass brainwashing necessary in the effort to outdo the Nazis. Rees and his fellow mad psychiatrists focused their brainwash techniques on the problems of officer and rank selection, battle inoculation and tactics, maintenance of morale and discipline, “black propaganda” (special operations psychological warfare), psywar targeting, and civil resettlement. They were to have a profound impact on, a profound role in, the development of the Anglo-American SS for the next 35 years. Toward the end of the war, in his book *The Shaping of Psychiatry By War*, Rees remarks that he and his (mad) doctors found the work of their Nazi counterparts “thorough and effective though lacking in some of the more imaginative and insightful aspects of work in our countries.”

The enormous influence of the Nazis is, of course, only one important side of the political and psychological germination of the Anglo-American SS. Churchill and his fellow masters of “ungentlemanly warfare” (as they themselves euphemistically called it in fine colonial fashion) received their active impulse from a strong identification with and belief in the traditions and power of their own British colonialism. It was the paternalistic elitism and racialism of their own heritage, developed through long experience in administering the British Empire, that caused Churchill, Dalton, Morton, Hambro, Rees, et al., to view the Nazis as mere upstarts off the back streets. One of the standing witticisms in the Asian theater during the war was that the SEAC, Lord Admiral Louis Mountbatten’s Southeast Asia Command, really stood for “Save England’s Asiatic Colonies.”

It would be easy enough to show, of course, that Churchill and his fellow imperialists were all fervently committed to the goal of preserving the British Empire *wherever possible* and developing neo-colonialist alternatives *whenever necessary*. In this the social imperialist “labour” leaders were as strongly if not more strongly committed than the others.

What were the political implications of this world view by the perilous summer of 1940, one year after war with Germany was declared? Faced simultaneously with loss of the colonies to German, Japanese, and Italian occupation forces or native insurgencies, and the loss of Europe to the growing Nazi Juggernaut, these “progressive” British imperialists were to turn, through a semi-conscious process foreshadowed in the Dalton letter, to an almost forgotten practice developed in the good old colonial counterinsurgency tradition — what we call here *counter-insurgency insurgencies!* Meanwhile, on the home front against the British working class, the imperialist forces would content themselves for the time being with the beginning reintroduction of the by now well-tested traditional colonial counterinsurgency methods (most of which had in one primitive form or another been originally practiced on the British workers themselves centuries before).

Inspired by the Nazis, the elitist and racist bourgeois around Churchill were to bring their own colonial traditions down on the backs of the European working class as World War II unfolded. One cannot begin to understand the insidious intervention of a growing Anglo-American SS in the resistance movements building all over the world during the war years, without an appreciation of the methods already developed through the British colonial experience.

The following account is based on Rosa Luxembourg’s
brilliant explication of British imperialist practice in South Africa in the nineteenth century.

British counter-insurgency insurgency practice in the ongoing guerrilla wars between the Boers (Dutch settlers) and the Bantus in South Africa in the earlier part of the nineteenth century had two fundamental interconnected aims. The British imperialists were anxious to smash the Boer republic’s patriarchal peasant economy, in order to pave the way for bringing in modern large-scale capitalist economic exploitation of the South African natives and their lands. To this end they encouraged the “emancipation” of the Bantus from their brutal Boer oppressors in the republics, providing the Negroes with “protection” in the English colonies, flattering their chieftains, and wherever possible encouraging their authority (“self-government”) and their “ownership” of land (which, in fact, had previously been held communally). The British, of course, also “supported” the Negro guerrilla insurgency against the Boers. All the while, the British were preparing the savage expulsion of the natives, land robbery on a grand scale. The dynamics of the British colonial policy forced the Boers to weaken themselves greatly in providing the necessary “pacification” of the Bantu tribes in the bloody ongoing Kaffir wars.

With the discovery of the Kimberly diamond fields in 1869-70 and the gold mines in the Transvaal in 1882-5, and the area flooding with British and other white immigrants, British policy veered sharply. Using the excuse that the natives had “repeatedly implored” them for “protection”, the imperialists moved on Basutoland, Zululand, Matabele, etc. taking over rule in the Negro districts one by one. Having surrounded the Boer republics and enslaved the tribal nations, Cecil Rhodes’ South Africa Company and the special colonial military forces proceeded to organize revolts of the uitlanders (the new white settlers) in the Boer republics, finally provoking the Boer war (1899-1902). “Progressive” British imperialism was now firmly in the saddle to “develop” South Africa and “help” the “poor masses.”

The history of the Anglo-American manipulation of the resistance movements and the later Anglo-American occupation (which we deal with in the next section) of Western Europe can be grasped in its essence by telescoping this hundred-year colonization process into the short history of World War II. We can also see the outline, in this piece of British colonial history, of the “beyond Nazism” gang/counter-gang strategy of Anglo-American SS Commander Frank Kitson (see Minnicino) and the despicable application of that strategy by none other than Nazi-like Dr. John Rawlings Rees in Newark, New Jersey in 1968 (Leroi Jones’ Bantu gang versus Anthony Imperiale’s Boer brigade).

One element of the psychological make-up of the blossoming Anglo-American SS vanguard, seen in the fetishization of “special operations” and “psywar”, has not yet been illustrated — SADISM. The reader can put together for himself the sadistic “fun and games” mentality that lay behind the elaborate “plastic explosives” scheme of Churchill, Morton, and Stephenson cited earlier. If one is to understand that the incredible, monstrous, and inhuman SLA-Patty Hearst script is the product of the Anglo-American SS imagination, one must sensuously appreciate how the conjunctural earthquake of the depression, the rise of fascism, and the outbreak of the war shook loose in the British Establishment a repressed sadism soon to become organized, whose dynamics make a British schoolmaster look like a new-born puppy dog.

As Lyn Marcus has explained:

The basic method of Reesian psychological warfare techniques (including Reesian methods of individual brainwashing) is to induce an isolated individual or isolated group to accept the conditions defined by his isolation in a controlled environment as the only available “realistic” setting for finding a solution to the problems of stress imposed upon him by the controllers of that surrounding environment.[6]

A perusal of the World War II Anglo-American literature on psychological warfare will completely bear this out. What’s more, there is a common sadistic thread seeking the completely controlled environment running throughout the wartime evolution of psywar that reveals much more about the Anglo-American SS psychological warriors than the “enemy” it is allegedly intended to confuse.

The “national ideology map” and the “psychological profile,” both largely developed through the efforts of Rees’ henchman, Dr. Henry Dicks from Tavistock, who was with the Intelligence section of the Psychological Warfare Division (PWD), found the following application, for example, by Dr. Stanley Lovell, head officer of the Research and Development Branch of the British created and trained American special warfare organization, the Office of Strategic Services (OSS):

...An OSS anthropologist reported that the Japanese were uniquely sensitive about the act of defecation, and considered any contact with fecal matter to be a disgrace. Inspired by this rare opportunity to make the Japanese lose face, Lovell directed his chemists to prepare a scatological compound which exactly duplicated the consistency and odor of a loose bowel movement. The noisome chemical named “Who? Me?” was packaged in collapsible tubes and flown across the Himalayas to Chinking, where it was distributed to Chinese children in enemy-occupied cities such as Peiping and Shanghai and Canton. When a ranking Japanese officer strolled down the crowded street, the theory went, an urchin would creep up behind him and...
squirt a shot of “Who? Me?” onto the seat of his trousers, causing him to retire in malodorous confusion. How many Japs lost face could never be determined... [7]

This completely pathological anal-sadistic scheme, while here no doubt ineffectual, is but the cover of the toilet of what the CIA-MI5-MI6 Anglo-American SS outhouse churns out regularly today. As Minnicino demonstrates through his study of the psychology and works of Tavistock’s Henry Dicks, the dangerously sick men who make up the brainwash trust of the Anglo-American SS share with their German SS predecessors the same underlying anal-sadistic fascist character structure. Dubious readers who haven’t gotten the point should ask themselves why, with all the shit lying around Asia, Dr. Lovell found it necessary to make imitation excrement and then have it shipped halfway across the world.

By the summer of 1940 in Britain, all the elements that would go into the composition of a new and more insidious SS were already in an advanced stage of gestation.

But, there still remained the enormous task of organizing the elements into the kind of SS striking force that could penetrate, permeate, and dissolve the old order, and emerge as the undisputed master of a 1984-style Anglo-American SS imperial dominion when the opportunity presented itself. As we shall see, the shaping of the Anglo-American SS by the war itself was to give shape to just that tendency.

In July of 1940, Dalton’s proposal went through. Churchill took the one section of Military Intelligence MI-R (the Research branch at the War Office under Colonel John Holland and Lt. Colonel Colin Gubbins) that was on top of the ‘new’ trends in special warfare and pulled them into the Special Operations Executive (SOE). Then many of the men who had been studying Goebbels’s propaganda techniques under Sir Robert H. Bruce Lockhart (the famous British agent taken prisoner by the Soviets and later exchanged for Litvinov) in 1939 at the Electra House (EH) club set up by Sir Campbell Stuart, director of the London Times, were brought in to SOE to handle psychological warfare, together with the SOI section pulled from the British Security Service, Secret Intelligence Service (SIS) branch. Churchill directed the new body “to coordinate all action by the way of subversion and sabotage against the enemy overseas” or as he later put it, “to set Europe ablaze.”

Churchill then sent his friend Sir William Stephenson to the United States as a representative of all the British special warfare and intelligence agencies to set up a large scale covert operation center in New York and to meet with Roosevelt and the real power circles of the American ruling class. Four years later Stephenson was to become the first non-American to receive the U.S. government’s highest civil decoration, the Medal for Merit. But much was to transpire between Stevenson’s arrival and that award — the shaping of an Anglo-American SS by war.

The bourgeois giants who wielded real power in the United States at this critical moment of Summer, 1940 bore remarkable resemblance to their counterparts in the “progressive” elitist ruling circles around Churchill in England. Yet, the Americans had their own distinctive historical origins, which must be grasped for an understanding of the motor behind the Anglo-American SS today. Our explication of these roots must perforce be brief and schematic.

The so-called Progressive Era in American politics, roughly the first two decades of this century, brought together in one movement the three bourgeois drivewheels Top echelon leadership, Britain’s first special warfare agency the Special Operations Executive (SOE), in 1941. Brigadier Colin Gubbins, Director of Operations (center), with Dr. Hugh Dalton, Minister of Economic Warfare (right), and Churchill confidant Gladwyn Jebb, now Lord Gladwyn (left, background).
that would ultimately create the flesh and blood and world outlook of the American side of the Reesian complot.

Firstly, this Era marked the dynamic reentry through Theodore Roosevelt of the patricians, the old-line American founding families, into the "filthy gutter" of American politics. These aggressive patricians, men like Theodore Roosevelt, later Franklin D. Roosevelt, and Henry Stimson who bridged the gap between the two, saw it as their responsibility to be the "stewards of the public welfare," indeed, the "stewards of the world common-wealth."

At home they would rally "noblese oblige" to reform the "real and grave evils" of a capitalism in the throes of a chaotic monopoly development and in the hands of an ignorant, crude self-interested capitalist leadership. Translated, this meant the utilization of state power to consolidate, rationalize and direct, together with emerging class-conscious bourgeois industrial and banking power circles and their "labor lieutenants," the growth of the explosive American capitalist system. The thinly-veiled patrician contempts this "neo-landed gentry" felt for the working-class masses was echoed in the abundant pseudo-populist rhetoric of concern, to the effect that the state and the bourgeoisie act in a manner that would "help the masses help themselves."

Abroad, the door must be swung wide open to the dynamic, fresh U.S. candidate on the imperialist stage. The stale colonial spheres of influence in Asia and Africa must give political, economic and cultural way to the new force that would ultimately integrate a world capitalist order under its "enlightened stewardship."

Thus, the "best and the brightest" (to use David Halberstam's phrase to describe their descendants) from America's old-line heritage would gently or not so gently conquer the world for the good of all concerned (and the accumulation of capital would be a certain side benefit).

The syndicalism inherent in this world view was propelled even further by Mark Hanna and other rising hard-driving industrialists who allied themselves with the patricians in generating the new "Progressivism." Fearful of the spread of socialist ideas and organization amongst the workers, if the bourgeoisie relied solely on brute force to suppress the working classes' struggles, Hanna and his National Civic Federation of big businessmen (to which young FDR belonged) sought to orchestrate from above the radical development of a "community of interest" of the state, the corporations, and labor unions.

The state would guide the development of a "joint partnership" of independent capital and labor and see that their harmony of interests was continually fine- continued. Hanna saw the organization and absorption of trade unions within this framework as important not only to the preservation of capitalism but also to its rational growth and expansion.

It is with this insight that the advance guard of the American bourgeoisie began the process of integration of the trade union leadership and the trade unions (as institutions) into the burgeoning U.S. capitalist empire. Hanna, who had captured the Republican Party at the turn of the century with this syndicalist outlook, had advice for the farmers not that dissimilar to that he was proffering to the workers — organize trusts of your own!

The American money lords of dominant international finance capital were headed by the House of Morgan but included the Kuhn, Loeb-Harriman alliance, the Seligman brothers, and the Rockefellers, who were making the transition from being mere industrialists. These acted as the other key lynchpin of the Progressive Movement. Finding themselves alternately unable to supply enough capital to maintain the system or to put all of what they had into profitable production, they turned to the government to provide, through taxes on the ordinary citizens, the capital and spending programs necessary for the continued expansion of capitalism. In their international dealings, they were forced to rely on the government to open new areas to financial penetration and to guarantee repayment from the poorer nations.

At the same time, along with the patricians, the international financiers incorporated Hanna's syndicalist ideas and practices into their arrangements with labor in the ongoing class struggle, while retaining the grand hauteur that befitted these financial gentlemen of the world. It is in this peculiar patrician-financier form of syndicalism that we can locate today's "co-determination," "community control," "racial nationalism," and related schemes orchestrated from above as a transition to the 1984-style fascism.

In this the New Deal, as a refined continuation of the Progressive Movement, was a critical testing ground for the development of many of the ideas and methods of today's Anglo-American SS. It is hardly surprising that New Deal spokesmen openly compared their National Industrial Recovery Act to Italian fascism, and continued to frame their programs within a syndicalist outlook.

No New Deal program better presaged the ultimate fusion of American patrician-financier syndicalism with "progressive" elitist British colonialism than the Civilian Conservation Corps (CCC). Unemployed "volunteer" youth around the country were selected by the Labor
Department to report to over 1,300 work camps built and managed by the War Department and supervised and disciplined by regular and reserve officers from the Army, Navy, and Marine Corps. They could “help their country” in this “humanitarian” slave labor program as “civilian volunteers” thinning millions of acres of trees, putting out fires, building wild-life shelters, stocking hundreds of millions of fish, digging ditches, planting trees, etc., while learning the spirit of hard work and service and imbibing the virtues of military discipline. By June 1939, over three million men were enrolled in this slave labor resettlement “conservation” scheme, while the Armed Forces were strained to provide enough proto-“civil affairs” soldiers to handle the oversight of the 1,450 camps.

Just a couple of years later Tavistock’s Dr. John Rees and his fellow psy-war experts were to put this type of program on a much more rigorous basis in the British Army. Through the use of their selection procedures, they classified a large percentage of army rejects as “dullards,” “the constitutionally inferior group that is the psychopathic tenth of the community” and the “social problem group.” Rees’ fascist program forced “the constitutionally inferior group” (which included “coloured men,” “those prone to contacting venereal disease,” and “those with lice in their hair”) into special segregated “labor companies” of a unit known as the Pioneer Corps.

Under the supervision of trained army psychological warfare personnel these slave labor guinea-pigs were systematically conditioned to police themselves in back-breaking work “making roads, humping shells, and erecting huts.” At the same time they were induced to spy on one another’s sexual activities (Rees wanted to cut their reproductive rate and prevent what he called “defective children”) and patrol for crime. Rees summarized the results of his practical experiment in these words in The Shaping of Psychiatry by War: “If you employ the dullards and care for their morale, there will be fewer of them and as a group they will be contributors to the life of the community and not consumers or problem-makers.”

The American SS Political Circle

The man who personified the re-entry of the patricians into politics was 72-year-old Secretary of War Henry Stimson, not Franklin D. Roosevelt. Stimson stood at the real center of power in Washington during the summer of 1940 and throughout the war. Like Theodore Roosevelt, for whom he had served as close confidant, Stimson was a patrician in the T.R. Rough Rider tradition, stressing the ruthless exercise of power above “even” the obligations of “public service,” and placing a premium on both breeding and performance under fire. Stimson and George C. Marshall, the Chief of Staff, held sway, not only over the bourgeois activists who worked directly under them, like John J. McCloy, Robert Lovett, James Forrestal, Secretary of the Navy Frank Knox, General Lucius Clay and Harvey Bundy, but also over a group of rising bourgeois policymakers, most of them leaders from the great banking houses and law firms of New York and Boston. These latter looked especially to Stimson (the aristocracy come to power) for the model of the tough patrician leadership they sought to emulate as well as for policy directives. Amongst these men, over whom Stimson had considerable if not controlling influence, were Dean Acheson, John Foster Dulles, Averill Harriman, and Paul Nitze at the State Department; Nelson Rockefeller, the Coordinator for Inter-American Affairs; and Allen Dulles, General William J. Donovan and David Bruce, who would become key leaders of the Office of Strategic Services (OSS). This inner circle of power around Stimson would prove to be the core out of which the political leadership of the American side of the Anglo-American SS would emerge.

Wild Bill Donovan — Psychological Portrait of the CIA

The U.S. government was debating two possible courses of action in the summer of 1940 when Stephenson arrived in the U.S. One was to attempt to keep Britain in the war by supplying her with the material existence she desperately needed to survive. The other was to give Britain up for lost and to put all energies in massive rearmament to prepare to meet the German threat. In a sense Roosevelt had already reached his decision earlier when he bounced his isolationist Secretary of War and the Navy, and replaced them with the pro-British Stimson and Knox. Still, the Ambassador to Britain, Joseph P. Kennedy, counselled Roosevelt vehemently against “holding the bag in a war in which the allies expect to be beaten,” and most of the cabinet opposed coming to England’s aid. Stephenson instinctively turned to the outspoken interventionist and World War I hero, William J. “Wild Bill” Donovan, who in turn arranged a meeting in Washington with Stimson and Knox at which both he and Stephenson were present.

The story of how this would immediately place Donovan as Roosevelt’s secret emissary to Britain, and would culminate in the “destroyers for bases” deal with Britain and other arrangements leading inexorably to U.S. involvement in the war, has been told
elsewhere. What concerns us here is that Britain had pinpointed the man it wanted to head America's first special warfare and espionage organization. For the next year Stephenson, Morton, Sir Frank Nelson (first head of the SOE), Stewart Menzies (new head of SIS) and other key special warfare-espionage agents met with Donovan constantly. Churchill and his ruling circle lavished incredible attention on securing his confidence and support. The revitalized British Secret Service (SIS) unlocked its safes, reputedly for the first time to a non-national, and initiated Donovan into the elaborate spy-counter-spy infiltration and penetration techniques and the sophisticated methods of assassination they had been employing against the colonial peoples and Communist movements throughout the century. Churchill, in appointing Menzies had demanded a shake-up and purge in the MI6 ranks.

The SOE, which was later to train all of Donovan's OSS agents at a school they would establish in Oshawa, Canada, instructed Donovan on their new theories and techniques of unorthodox warfare, and shared with him the trips leaked out. "Man of Mystery" and "American Secret Envoy" were the Hearst syndicate's biting refrain. They kept up a steady drumbeat of cynical criticisms of OSS, Donovan, Roosevelt, Stimson et al. throughout the war ("OSS — that means Oh, So Social" was one of the kinder cuts), a practice which, of course they continued through the anti-patrician yahoo Joe McCarthy period. It is hardly surprising that the Anglo-American SS as it plots total patrician dictatorship should seek its sadistic revenge today on the Hearst and their descendants.

The elder Hamilton Fish, an open German sympathizer from the old-line Fish family, was driven from politics during the war by a covert operations psy-war campaign conducted by Stephenson which, like the job done by Rockefeller-CIA forces on Nixon, involved the penetration of Fish's personal America First-connected political machine, the planting of damaging collaboration evidence, and the harassment and frame-up of the bullet-headed gaggle around him.

The Anglo-American SS's pathological Symbionese Liberation Army-Patty Hearst melodrama, with the Hearst daughter brainwashed to be "liberated" from the clutches of the old man's obsolete society to help herald in the 1984-style fascism of the future, is the new SS's barbarian, demented version of poetic justice.

The grooming of Donovan, Britain's hand-picked candidate to head America's first special warfare and espionage agency, was an important moment in the generation of the new SS. The U.S. patrician-financiers, virtual neophytes in the field, were to be inculcated on the laps of the British imperialist masters. The United States had only two clerks (sic) engaged in the collection of intelligence at the moment of the outbreak of the First World War; and it is fairly well known that what passed as "intelligence" and espionage in various government agencies and the military between the wars was at best a bad joke (the burlesque surrounding the Japanese attack on Pearl Harbor being only an outstanding example). The practice of irregular warfare was still unborn in the U.S. Even the most hardened patrician of them all, Henry Stimson, is alleged to have said when he abolished his department's cryptographic unit, "Gentlemen don't read each other's mail." The British were to change that anachronistic outlook quite swiftly.

Who was this man "Wild Bill" Donovan that Churchill and company tapped to catalyze American special warfare? Allen Dulles was to call him "the father of the CIA." None of the romantic books about the CIA is complete without mention of the life-size oil painting of Donovan that hangs in the Central Intelligence Agency headquarters in Langley Virginia. A myth, consciously fostered by the CIA, has grown up around the man and the OSS organization he led. In reality, Donovan was par excellence the paradigm of the new SS field officer. He was the patrician-financiers' earliest leading emissary to the battleground, the activist organizer of new "special operations" military units, the man to call upon to help plan and execute covert operations. Violently rejecting his working-class origins, he so internalized the values and methods of his patrician-financier masters that they almost fully welcomed him into the club. "My boys regard you as about the finest example of the American fighting gentleman," Theodore Roosevelt wrote Donovan in 1918 (emphasis added).

Donovan sought desperately to achieve from below
what the American patrician-financiers and their British imperialist counterparts were assiduously shaping and creating from above. The historical genesis of the new SS, especially its military side as realized in the CIA and Special Forces and “SSD” (Law Enforcement Assistance Administration) creatures, is reflected in Donovan’s pathological “ideological map” and “psychological profile.”

The real story on Donovan is worth the telling — it reveals why the Anglo-American SS can and will be defeated.

After graduation in 1907 from Columbia law school, where he was a classmate of young FDR, the twenty-four year-old Donovan returned to his home in Buffalo to organize a vigilante troop, which purchased its own horses and weapons and later applied for admission as a unit to the National Guard. Troop I’s first assignment was to bust a workers’ strike in nearby Depew, New York. Riding into town in full dress uniform with sabers drawn, Donovan and company confronted the strikers outside a saloon which was being used as strike headquarters. Legend has it that when Donovan’s horse stumbled and his saber fell to the ground, a brawny worker grabbed the sabre and ran inside the saloon. CIA legend has Donovan “…striding alone through the swinging doors...he reappeared a couple of moments later, his uniform somewhat rumpled but with the saber clutched firmly in his hand.”

The Rockefellers provided Donovan with the opportunity to add the political weapon of food control to his counterinsurgency repertoire of vigilantism and elite guard strike-breaking heroics. In early 1916, the Rockefeller Foundation created the American War Relief Commission, assigning it the express purpose of the manipulation of the food supply to Central and Eastern Europe, in order to thwart the socialist movements growing in war-torn areas.

Marwick Greene, head of “public works” for the Rockefellers in the Phillipines, administered the program from London with Donovan briefly in charge of the Polish section, and young Herbert Hoover directing operations for Belgium. America’s first major food control program starved out socialist strongholds while beffing up “relief” to contested areas, establishing rationing procedures and refugee control centers in the process. Young Donovan learned his lessons from the Rockefellers well — later from Germany just after the close of World War I, he wrote back to the U.S.:

Germany’s need is very pressing. Kaiserism has vanished, the government is being conservatively and sanely managed, but the danger is economic. German soldiers are being released, given fifty marks, and turned out into the streets...At present Bolshevism has no strength here, but
unless we step in and help on the food question, there is bound to be difficulty later.[8]

The Catholic Church was next to step forward to continue the counterinsurgency education of young Donovan. For years the Church had unofficially run the overwhelmingly Irish-Catholic 69th Regiment of the New York National Guard as, in its beneficence, a means of installing ethnic, religious, and national pride amongst its constituents. Called up for duty in the American imperialist adventure into Mexico in the late summer of 1916, the Fighting 69th discovered Donovan there leading his Troop I through insane 25-50 mile hikes and intensive drills and target practice. (Donovan — "If I can take it, why can't you?") Liking what they saw they invited him to become their leader. When the U.S. declared war "to make the world safe for democracy," the Church swung into action in an all-out recruiting drive for the "Fighting 69th."

Catholic clergy brought in candidates from their parish athletic clubs. Irish county societies sent more. Machine gun trucks roamed the Irish sections of Manhattan and Brooklyn and the Bronx displaying the bold placard: "Don't join the 69th unless you want to be the first to go to France," a challenge bound to appeal to any son of Erin.

Just as FDR's syndicalist C.C.C. youth conservation "movement" was to foreshadow the new SS-generated proto-fascist hippie-youth/ecology movement of the late 1960's, Donovan's "ethnic army" for "democracy" pre-figured such Anglo-American SS terrorist divisions as the Jewish Defense League (JDL), the Italian Civil Rights League, the Irish Republican Army (IRA), Black September, the American De Mau Mau, etc., all programmed to fight for "ethnic and national pride" and "community control."

After winning the Congressional Medal of Honor, for leaving the dead bodies of his men strewn all over Europe, Donovan was invited by the State Department in the summer of 1919 to join America's Siberian expedition in support of Admiral Alexander Kolchak and the White Russian Army. As the Bolsheviks smashed Donovan's friend Kolchak and his pathetic aggregation, Donovan drew the same conclusion as Dr. John Rawlings Rees, who was with a similar British expedition at Baku at the time. Donovan's Siberian diary contain this closing entry: "We can prevent a shooting war only if we take the initiative to win the subversive war."

Over the next twenty years Donovan focussed his attention, as a politician, government official, and Wall Street lawyer, on what was later to become an Anglo-American SS standby in their conspiracy to destroy constitutional government — the corruption investigation. For example, during the Depression the Buffalo branch of Donovan's law firm brought down the Democratic Party city machine and terrorized labor — by launching government investigations into so-called "no-show" corruption on the part of political appointees, alleged goldbricking by city workers, and various graft practices. The new SS today uses the same tactics to undermine and dissolve the city machines and their police departments, to terrorize and soften up labor, and to discredit constitutional government and its traditional institutions. This is done simultaneously while expanding the nucleus of their collateral SS state infrastructure in the form of the LEAA Gestapo, the National Institute for Mental Health-funded community brainwashing centers, the Ford Foundation Community Development Corporation (CDC) area counterinsurgency projects, and so forth.

Donovan's crusade to "clean up" depression-torn Buffalo was the culmination of an effort he began at least a decade before during Prohibition. Then, in an obvious and silly attempt to emulate what he viewed as the "true patricians," he ordered a raid on his own exclusive social club, confiscated illegal liquor, and prosecuted his guilty fellow-members.

Donovan found time off in 1925 from his busy counterinsurgency schedule to put in a stint at the Justice Department's Anti-Trust Division, where he consciously sought to rationalize capitalism in T.R.'s patrician "trust-busting" tradition.

We do not think all mergers are wrong," Donovan stated, "nor do we fight big business just because it is big. Our policy is to notify the firms if their plans are illegal, so they can make proper adjustments and avoid litigation...it's better to be directed by a traffic cop than trailed by a detective." [9]

The leaders of the new SS today continue the Progressive Movement practice of periodically staging mock investigations into the activities of its own cartels (e.g., U.S. Senate hearings on the oil cartels conducted by Henry Jackson and Frank Church) not only to help rationalize their functioning, but also to organize syndicalist "public" and "labor" participation in helping to "run" them.

Donovan himself, of course, was actually a great defender of America's international oil cartels. When during the last Depression the government indicted 24 of the leading oil companies, 56 of their principal officers, and three oil market journals, charging a conspiracy to fix wholesale and retail gasoline prices in the biggest anti-trust case in U.S. history, the Madison Oil trial case, none other than Rockefeller flunky William J. Donovan served as the successful chief defense counsel. The Great Oil Hoax, plotted and directed by the
politically and economically dominant Rockefeller financier faction in coordination with the CIA is the Neanderthal culmination of the new SS’s use of the oil weapon in economic and psychological warfare designed to destroy both working class resistance and living standards.

What could have motivated Donovan to strive so hard to compile so notorious a counterinsurgency record against the class from which he came (his father was a railroad worker)? The answer is in large part his mother! In this respect Donovan is only an intense example of the real psychology underlying the madmen who make up the new SS, especially its military component in the Special Operations sections of the CIA, the Special Forces “Waffen SS,” and the LEAA “SSD.”

William J. Donovan was in actuality much more the “Mother of the CIA” and as such a leading characteristic member of that special breed called “CIA agents.” Readers unfamiliar with Marcus’s Beyond Psychoanalysis would have difficulty following simply a general presentation. Therefore, we will painstakingly confirm our judgement largely with testimony presented by Donovan himself! There follows a “psychological profile” of the typical CIA agent.

First, there is overwhelming evidence that Donovan had a romantic love relationship with his highly possessive mother and that this lay at the root of both his incredible macho need to prove himself (to his mother) and his strong suicidal tendencies (to even die to prove himself worthy of her love). Donovan’s brother Timothy Francis, a priest, has stated:

Ours was a happy household. I can tell you that, strict discipline but great affection. The General had almost a romantic love for my mother; he thought she had such a fine mind and was such a lady and had done such a good job raising us all. There was a real closeness between the two, and my mother never let him see her in tears as she packed his clothes, and he left home for Columbia in New York City.[10]

In a letter to his wife, a cool, upper-class woman who interrupted her riding and fox-hunting long enough to arrange a marriage of convenience with the 31-year-old lawyer on the rise, Donovan wrote from a World War I battlefield:

Your soldier man is a sentimental person, and when he is happiest he is singing some lonesome melody of home or mother...I don’t expect to come back, and I believe if I am killed it will be a most wonderful heritage to my family.[11]

Donovan’s extreme patriotism was a projection of his fixation on his mother, and his insatiable need to protect the image of that ideal loved one from father and other bogeymen in the outside world. Toward the end of the war he wrote:

America is now magnificent beyond anything I expected. Her ideals clearer, her purpose higher than all the others. Another thing. Have you considered that before long America will be the strongest nation, with her fleet, her industries, her army all organized? I wonder if, as these increase, envious eyes may be cast upon her. I hope the war won’t end that way.[12]

Sacrifice for mother, for surrogate mother (country), and for Mother Church were melded together in an incredible wish for death. As his brother observed:

My brother never expected to come back or Father Duffy [the chaplain for the “Fighting 69th” during the war and the man who recruited Donovan -- P.C.] either, for that matter. It was his firm conviction that he’d be killed, you see. When he left for overseas, I asked him, “Are you ready to go?” and he replied, “Yes, I’ve been to the Sacraments.” It was always in the background, this matter of death. Maybe that is why he was fearless.

The similarity between Donovan’s anxiety-ridden performance with OSS London chief David Bruce (now U.S. Ambassador to China) after the landing at Normandy and Hitler’s pathetic suicide in a German “bunker” a year later can be more fully appreciated from the standpoint provided by those letters.

Secretary of Navy Forrestal had expressly forbidden Donovan to participate in the landing at Normandy. Donovan appealed to an old friend, the admiral commanding American naval forces in Europe: “You and I
are old and expendable... what better end for us than to die in Normandy with enemy bullets in our bellies?” was summarily and unsympathetically rejected.

Donovan, following the scenario of the American “jock” — the John Wayne image — sneaked into the invasion armada dragging a reluctant Bruce with him. Shortly after the landing Donovan and Bruce found themselves together in the lee of a hedgegrow under sporadic enemy fire. Bruce tells the story:

Flattened out, the general turned to me and said: “David, we mustn’t be captured, we know too much.” “Yes Sir,” I answered mechanically. “Have you your pill?” he demanded. I confessed I was not carrying the instantaneous death pellet concocted by our scientific advisor... “Never mind,” replied the resourceful General, “I have two of them.” Thereupon...he disgorged the contents of all of his pockets...but no pills. “Never mind,” said Donovan, “we can do without them, but if we get out of here you must send a message to Gibbs, the Hall Porter at Claridge in London telling him on no account to allow the servants in the hotel to touch some dangerous medicine in my bathroom.

This humanitarian disposition having been made concludes Bruce] Donovan whispered to me: “I must shoot first.” “Yes Sir, I responded, “but can we do much against machine guns with our pistols?” “Oh, you don’t understand,” he said. “I mean if we are about to be captured I’ll shoot you first. After all I am your commanding officer.”[14]

The dynamics of mother domination and the desperate need to prove their manhood explains the crazed sadomasochism of the new SS’s patricians and would-be patricians (Donovan: “Look at me, I’m not even panting...If I can take it, why can’t you?”)

It identifies their infantile activist fascination with heroics and death: [Donovan]: “Father Duffy, when I’m gone, write as my epitaph: ‘He was a wild son of a bitch, but he was a game one.’”

The Oedipal phenomena also helps account for the High Priest cultism and conspiratorial secrecy of this stratum, notably its CIA division (e.g., Donovan studied for two years at the Niagra University diocesan seminary to become a Dominican priest but gave it up because “he didn’t think he was good enough”).

Finally, it demystifies their perverse interest in “special operations” and “irregular warfare.” Said former American Ambassador to Berlin Hugh Wilson: “Donovan is not happy if there is a war on the face of the earth and he has not had a look at it.”

Now perhaps we are not astonished that “former” CIA agent William F. Buckley repeatedly referred to the CIA as “Mother” during an internal dialogue he conducted with the Agency in the pages of National Review in the Fall of 1973.

We are convinced that the working class can and must defeat them through self-conscious revolutionary struggle for expanded social reproduction. For the 1984-style fascist program of the new SS today is ultimately based on its leaders’ desire to commit suicide, and their patrician-syndicalist demand that the lowly masses go down with them in the zero-growth self-destruct of the human race.

The activist British imperialists who shared the same fundamental psychological problems as their American patrician-financier comrades, lobbied intensively with Roosevelt and Stimson in 1940-41 to make Donovan head of a new American special warfare executive. On June 18, 1941 Roosevelt finally established the Office of Coordinator of Information (COI) with Donovan as chief and assigned it the duties of the collection of all forms of intelligence and the planning of covert operations.

2. THE ANGLO-AMERICAN SS’s WAR AGAINST THE SOVIET UNION

Two days later the Nazis opened the Eastern Front by launching an all-out offensive against the Soviet Union. This decision was to have enormous consequences on both the complexion of the war and the further evolution of the Anglo-American SS.

It is a tribute to both the power and cunning of Rockefeller’s conspirators and the timidity and parochialism of the Soviet Union and allied Communist parties, that the ugly truth about the real Anglo-American policy and strategy during World War II has remained a well-kept secret for so long.

It was during World War II that the new SS conspiracy for world domination under ultimate 1984-style fascism was established. As we shall demonstrate, from the Spring of 1941 on, Churchill-Stimson et al favored a costly Nazi victory over the Soviets on the Eastern Front or at least a stalemated war of attrition where both sides would tear one another to shreds. It was their joint aim from May, 1941 onward to prepare for the propitious moment to either negotiate an advantageous settlement (“separate peace”) with the battered Nazis, or to occupy as much of Europe as possible, utilizing the fascist infrastructure of the Axis powers as a key means of social control!

The evidence for this judgement is overwhelming. It will be necessary merely to develop the main points here:

1. The Anglo-American cabal knew the German plan (Barbarossa) to launch their Russian campaign well in advance, yet took no steps to inform the Soviets;

2. The cabal deliberately delayed the opening of the Second Front until June 6, 1944, a few short months after
the startling Soviet counter-offensive finally carried Russian troops off Soviet soil into pre-war Poland. The Anglo-American push for Berlin began then;

3. The cabal had worked up detailed military occupation plans for Europe by early 1942. Though there were differences between the British and American sections for obvious reasons on the precise imperialist design for the "post-war" world economy, the hegemonic, U.S. economic perspective laid out in 1941 was the version implemented a few years later;

4. With few exceptions, the cabal organized around neo-fascist and Nazi collaborationist forces in Axis occupied countries during the war. They also subverted the resistance movements in Western Europe and the Balkans by organizing counterinsurgency insurgencies; and, arranged deals with Nazi generals in several cases for collaboration in smashing revolutionary resistance organizations. The Soviets were never given so much as a look-in in any of the Anglo-American military governments established during the war (e.g., Italy and Greece), even when they themselves were intimidated into playing a counterinsurgency role. The Anglo-American ruling stratum has been an Occupation Force in Western Europe ever since!

5. The cabal's strategy in the Far East was similarly designed to draw the Soviets into war of attrition with the Japanese military machine, while simultaneously organizing fascist (e.g. Tai Li, the "Chinese Himmler") and nationalistic (e.g. Mao, who received strong OSS overtures) forces to ultimately drive Soviet influence out of South Asia. When the military situation in the West and East became clarified, the cabal demonstratively dropped the atomic bomb on Japan to prevent the Soviet Union from invading Japan (1,000,000 Soviet troops were poised in Manchuria). Japan has also been under virtual American "democratic" occupation ever since.

Through its covert political support of the Nazis from 1941, the cabal by 1945 had the blood of over twenty million Russian dead on its hands; had assumed co-responsibility for history's most barbarian atrocity — the slaughter of millions of European workers in Nazi concentration camps; and, had initiated the process of the enslavement of billions of people worldwide, who are now being prepared for "zero growth" annihilation to maintain the Anglo-American SS's financial holdings.

But by July, 1945 the cabal was already completely dissatisfied with their limited achievements. "Averell [Harriman] was very gloomy about the influx of Russia into Europe," wrote Anglo-American SS leader James Forrestal about his co-conspirator. "He said that the greatest crime of Hitler was that his actions had resulted in opening the gates of Eastern Europe to Asia." The Anglo-American SS detente with the Soviet Union today is the same chimera as its "alliance" with the Soviets during the war. The inhuman creatures of the cabal will not be content until they have subjugated the peoples of the entire world, even if this means, as Marcus has put it, "launching a nuclear war which destroys most of the USA, Canada, and the Soviet Union, and which therefore leaves the Rockefeller family in fascist control of everything else that survives."

In the space allotted here we can present only some of the choice morsels from the clear evidence for the cabal's World War II conspiracy. Those stimulated to probe more deeply are referred to relevant memoirs and books which help illuminate the subject, which are identified in the notes at the end of this article.

Rudolf Hess' flight to England in May, 1941 was an exercise in neither aerial acrobatics nor pure psychosis. It came at a time when Hitler was completely absorbed in finalizing his plan for an all-out offensive against the Soviet Union. Hess' spontaneous "peace mission" was designed to inform Churchill of the "Barbarossa" attack plan and secure Anglo-American support for the looming Nazi drive into Russia. The West German newspaper Der Spiegel, in an editorial in its April 22, 1974 edition, implies this obvious fact. Spiegel cites the galleys of a forthcoming book by Colonel Eugene K. Bird, the former American Commandant of the Spandau Prison, on his conversations with Hess at the prison. According to Der Spiegel, Bird showed Hess a section of a book he has been preparing, a passage in which Bird alleges that Hess told the British of Hitler's detailed plan for the then impending attack on the Soviet Union. Der Spiegel reports that Hess insisted that Bird retain this section for publication. This was, Der Spiegel summarizes, Hess' indirect way of answering in a positive fashion Bird's question about whether he had told the British about the German attack plan.

The cabal's conspiracy to "delay" the opening of the Second Front in Europe is blatant evidence that the conspirators hardly needed Hess' inspiration to formulate its own plans on the project. At least, on five separate occasions from Molotov's visit to Washington in May, 1942 through the Teheran Conference in November, 1943, Churchill-Roosevelt, devised lame excuses for the delay, while promising the Russians immediate action. As the tide in the East began to turn and a German collapse became a real possibility, Churchill's imperialist elite and the Stimson-Roosevelt patrician-financier faction laid their conspiratorial plans for (Operation) RANKIN, later modified to become OVERLOAD. On March 30, 1943, General George C. Marshall sent Roosevelt a memo designated "super secret," which
identified the dangers to American European occupation plans if the Soviets continued to make progress against their Nazi foes. By the end of August Roosevelt was saying to his fellow conspirators that "United Nations troops have to be ready to get to Berlin as soon as the Russians," and by November, 1943, he forecast that "There would definitely be a race for Berlin," and suggested that "We may have to put the United States divisions into Berlin as soon as possible."

RANKIN, worked out and agreed upon by the Anglo-Americans before the Quebec Conference of August 1943, was a political-military plan for the occupation of Europe based on three contingencies: a partial German collapse which would call for an Anglo-American landing at Normandy prior to June, 1944; a substantial collapse with larger Anglo-American landings to follow throughout all of France; or, a total German collapse or something approaching it which would initiate a general invasion of all Western Europe and an Anglo-American armored and airborne Blitzkrieg into the Rhineland and northwest Germany with paratroop occupation of key centers in Berlin.

Special troops were held in readiness long after D-Day, when the plan was again modified in the light of the yeoman work OSS special agent Allen Dulles was performing for his Anglo-American SS brethren in Berne, Switzerland. Dulles, under the cover of Roosevelt's "Unconditional Surrender" policy, was making considerable progress in secret negotiations with Heinrich Himmler, Gen. Karl Wolff and the Nazi SS leadership (see later) to arrange a separate peace with "the West" and to establish the possibility of a post-war role for the Nazi SS (as distinct from Hitler) in occupied Germany. Although this wasn't to come off, the contingency scheme, known as "Operation Talisman" and later "Eclipse," kept special paratrooper task forces in readiness through at least Easter, 1945. The plan to meet the contingency of "a deliberate German collapse in the West only" called for a quick assault on Hamburg, Berlin, and the northern coast of Germany.

George Kennan, a Stimsonian insider at the State Department, craftily put forward, in cover form, the Anglo-American SS's understanding of the psychological impact they were having on the Soviets in 1944: "The second front was a paramount requirement of all Russian policy. The suspicious Russian mind naturally exaggerated the danger of Russia's being left in the lurch by her western Allies. To offset this danger the Kremlin was prepared to go a long way to meet the requirements and the prejudices of the western world."

The Soviet Allies, of course, knew little or nothing at the time about the insidious growth of the Anglo-American SS octopus and its conspiracies, and could only observe the practical consequences of the monster's plans and machinations. When the cabal officially launched the Cold War and the Soviets had time to reflect on what was going on. Either they never grasped the real significance of the developments we have outlined or, in fear, they simply chose to forget and muddle an opportunities road. It would do the Soviets well now to recall — and to also recall that those who don't learn the lessons of history are bound to repeat history's great mistakes. Perhaps for the last time.

Stimson and McCloy (later High Commissioner of the AMG in Germany) at the War Department had fully developed military programs for the occupation of Europe by the beginning of 1942. Military Civil Affairs schools were established first for the Army (at Charlottesville, Virginia in 1942) and later for the Navy (at Columbia University and Princeton) and the Air Force (at Orlando, Florida) to train special divisions of military and especially civilian specialists for future counter-insurgency duty in occupied countries. While OSS officers were receiving training in special warfare from the British SOE, their counterparts in the "civil affairs" field were learning to become "all-round citizen soldiers" in the tradition of the British Colonial Administrations. So, the onset of World War II is the take-off point in the U.S. for what was later to become the vanguard of the Anglo-American "Waffen SS" and "SSD" military and police branches.

At the same time McCloy laid down the law, on behalf of the American patrician-financiers to his British imperialist elite colleagues, as to who in the alliance had the muscle to really run the imperialist show after the occupation conquest: "European deliberations must be made in the light of the concepts of the new continent because that continent has now, for better or worse, become a determining factor in the struggles of the older one. All this and more can be said against the spirit which motivates the London tendency. One cannot control the shift of power (if that is the heart of the matter) by such artificial devices in any event."

This warning was directed as much to Roosevelt as to Churchill — for Stimson and McCloy both considered Roosevelt a scrawny boy in front of the imperial Winston.

Given that the cabal's strategy prescribed ultimately moving in on Axis-controlled countries, the political directives given to the civil affairs sections are telling. These were instructed to retain "local laws, customs, and institutions of government" as well as local officials and police except where this might conflict with the goals of the military government.
Within the cabal, there was an internal struggle for hegemony throughout the war between the top British leadership and its American sympathizers (the "London tendency"), and the inner core of conspirators around Stimson, McCloy and Marshall. It was the latter who better sensed the emerging new realities of economic, political, and military power, represented in the Rough Rider, Civic Federation tradition. The latter two and, especially, young Nelson Rockefeller, chief of war planning for the Latin American sphere, were particularly confident of their own patrician-financier counter-insurgency tradition.

Food control and "civil resettlement" were two critical political weapons of their military occupation plans. As we have seen, the Rockefeller Foundation World War I experience and the CCC resettlement of millions of young workers in the 1930's had developed much of the necessary know-how.

As the United Nations Relief and Rehabilitation Administration (UNRRA) was being established with Soviet participation in the fall of 1943, Stimson was urging and preparing direct American military administration of food control for Western occupied areas. Stimson cited the success of the American World War I effort in "stamping out Communism in this way in central Europe" as the precedent. By August, 1946 the U.S. patricians formally withdrew future U.S. support from the UNRRA organization. Hereafter, the elitist British imperialists would have to ride the coat-tails of the new masters of the cabal.

The issue had already been drawn more sharply in the 1941-44 period when the American SS leadership laid down the law on the shape of the post-war world economy. Lord Keynes and the British imperialists put up a fight but the American section of the new SS was united and unyielding on the goal of making the dollar the underpinning of the coming imperialist world order.

In 1944 at Bretton Woods this was formalized as the new Dollar Empire. The semi-independence of the sterling bloc was shattered, world markets and resources were opened to American penetration and domination, and the way was cleared to dictate economic production and development world-wide according to the financial instruments (pieces of paper) and institutions (e.g. International Monetary Fund [IMF]) firmly in the grip of the Rockefeller-Morgan international financier faction.

The rising new Anglo-American imperialism had a globe to conquer as a means of getting out of the depression. Three decades later, its financial institutions were fracturing and its imperial order was crumbling. The cabal exercised the option some of its more ignorant "leaders," such as U.S. Treasury Secretary Henry Morgenthau, thought necessary (for at least Germany) back at the close of World War II — the de-industrialization of the advanced capitalist sector and the forced imposition of out-and-out totalitarian regimes in Western Europe and North America under Anglo-American SS control.

We will deal soon with the cabal's subversion of the Italian resistance movement as the paradigm example of the phenomena. It is only necessary to present immediately some of the other egregious examples in outline.

In the French situation, the American wing of the cabal supported the Vichy government in France and Nazi collaborator Admiral Jean Darlan in North Africa, while attempting to boost the French nationalist neo-fascist Henri Giraud, who was strictly the cabal's creation, as an alternative to the hated DeGaulle and, of course, the left. The British went along with these

Macho "war correspondent" Ernest Hemingway (center) and his OSS French partisan countergang with OSS London chief David Bruce (left) at the village of Rambouillet on the road to Paris in 1944.
intrigues, while maintaining a lukewarm relationship with DeGaulle and his Free French forces centered in London. They were forced to accept the reality of DeGaulle’s power by 1944 only after all attempts to sabotage his growing strength had failed. At least he would prove to be useful in crushing the revolutionary resistance movement in France. The cabal finally joined with DeGaulle for that task in late 1944 and 1945. As subsequent events through 1974 have shown, they were correct in early perceiving Gaullism as a strong potential obstacle to their conspiratorial schemes.

In Greece the cabal’s military forces would ultimately engage in an all-out war in behalf of a right-wing totalitarian puppet government, to eradicate the mass-based revolutionary resistance movement. As early as 1943, Churchill and his circle had British SOE agents organize counterinsurgency insurgencies, instructing anti-communist resistance groups to cooperate with the newly-formed (Nazi) collaborationist “Security Battalions.” As a Nazi report on the political situation in 1943 noted: “...it is significant to note that the so-called Anglophiles...are the most persistent in demanding that the Germans take action against the Communists.”

The cabal’s role in Yugoslavia during the war is particularly revealing. The OSS penetrated and assumed control over Nazi-collaborator and proto-fascist Draza Mihailović’s Chetnik countergang, which they encouraged in its war against Tito and his Partisans. Meanwhile, the SOE with OSS support attempted to infiltrate Tito’s Partisans, with the intention of splitting them into warring factions and pulling them from the Soviet orbit of influence. All the while, the cabal practiced a conscious carrot-and-stick food and weapon control program in this plot to keep alive the option of decimating the Partisans or absorbing them into a “progressive anti-Soviet coalition” in an independent semi-colonial state. Tito booted them out but the Anglo-American SS has never given up trying — recent internal nationalist rumblings and “humanist” intellectual dissent is their work.

In Latin America Nelson Rockefeller was performing even more abominable feats as Coordinator for Inter-American Affairs (CIAA). Appointed to this psychological and special warfare post by FDR, on the recommendation of James Forrestal and the inner circle at the War Department, the 32-year-old Rocky was assured by FDR that “You’ll have an absolutely free hand — there will be no political interference.”

In addition to watching over daddy’s oil wells and the Chase National Bank investments in Latin America, Rocky found time in 1944 to launch the brainwashing “mental health” clinics in Haiti (run by U.S. military civil affairs personnel). These were later to become, under the guidance of Rockefeller “Nazi doctors” Nathan Kline and John R. Rees, the means by which to spread Papa Doc Duvalier’s witchcraft and voodoo throughout the world. The Seven Principles of Kawaida and its symbolism, the credo of their SLA countergang, is derived directly from the brainwashing experiences conducted at these Haitian “mental health” centers.

At the dedication ceremonies of the very first Haitian clinic in 1944, Rocky was the main speaker. An octogenarian patient in the crowd suddenly stood up and shouted to Rocky: “I’m cured!” Specifically recalling this “miracle” later, Rockefeller movingly remarked: “This was a different kind of foreign relations than had been practiced by our old-school diplomats. It had a sense of reality and it gave meaning to the word democracy.”
But, Rocky did not consider this type of counter-insurgency to be his main achievement during the war. He modestly reserved his yeoman service in behalf of Juan Peron's "progressive" fascist dictatorship in Argentina for that honor! In the process he developed his skills for later use in conceptualizing the creation of worldwide food shortages. Back in 1944 he already saw this tactic as a means of not only threatening bourgeois governments, but also creating social chaos and confusion by imposing vicious austerity on the working class.

Rockefeller's original suggestion in the cause of protecting Anglo-American SS investments in Argentina was to offer to buy up Argentina's large meat and wheat SURPLUS. When Colonel Juan Peron came to power in a coup against the Buenos Aires government and joined the Axis countries, Rockefeller saw little need to change his "hemisphere unity" policy, an updated version of the Monroe Doctrine.

Noting that Peron was now the "strong man" in Argentina, Rockefeller argued that "he would be chosen President in a free election anyway because he has already exploited the resentments of the people, particularly of the workers, against the old oligarchy of landowners and their political allies." Rockefeller recommended, with War Department support, that an all-Latin American-North American Conference of U.S. puppet governments be set up under Rockefeller direction "to leave the situation up to them and be guided by them." "The important thing is to get the Argentine government reoriented in its policies and to join in cooperation with the republics," Rockefeller told the State Department's Adolf Berle, in rejecting the latter's advice to avoid secret negotiations with the Peron regime. Rockefeller had been personally approaching Peron informally throughout this period.

To "appease" a hostile State Department, which opposed Rockefeller's "clandestine" contacts with Peron, Rocky suggested a boycott of Argentine meat, as well as 400,000 tons of Argentina's fats and oils destined for Britain. This Rocky maneuver came at a time when fats and oils were already in critical world-wide shortage. Secretary of State Cordell Hull, the chief opponent of the Stimsonian inner circle, was astute enough to see that this ploy was not only a shot at the "London tendency" but was also an all-out assault on the British and American working class, which his department felt was already under severe, enough rationing controls. Hull summarily rejected the recommendation.

Rockefeller's cynical response was: "But, our position is inconsistent — I believe the people of this country would be willing to sacrifice by eating less meat in order to combat Nazi penetration of the hemisphere."

Rockefeller personally drove Hull from office in 1944 and persuaded FDR to replace him with Edward Stettinius, son of a Morgan partner and chairman of U.S. Steel, a man more sympathetic with the Stimson cabal's conspiratorial schemes.

At the Inter-American Conference on War and Peace, held in Mexico City in Feb.-Mar. 1945, Rockefeller pushed through a resolution (the Chapultepec Act) calling for the inclusion of Peron's Argentina in the soon-to-be-formalized United Nations.

Acting on his own without Presidential approval, on April 18, 1945 Rocky sent flunky Avra M. Warren, director of the Office of American Republic Affairs, on a special mission to Argentina to report on Peron's "progress" in bringing "democracy" to Argentina. Warren naturally returned with glowing reports. Rockefeller foies in the liberal bourgeois press, oblivious to or hostile to the conspiracy that was World War II, openly attacked Rockefeller as "pro-fascist and anti-Russian." They, of course, have learned their lessons since then and are now aboard.

The Washington Post in April, 1945 blasted the Rockefeller-Warren report extolling the "progress" of the Peron regime as the document of "Nazi sympathizers" and ran an article from Buenos Aires by New York Times correspondent Arnaldo Cortesi which said in part:

...this regime has done things recently that exceed anything that this correspondent can remember in his 17 years of experience in Fascist Italy...Rockefeller extolls the "progress" report from Buenos Aires by Avra Warren...all the news reported on the day after the report was issued was the re-establishment of censorship and from then on a steady stream to the concentration camps of the best friends this country even had in Argentina.[15]

A Congressional investigation which of course never came to anything, was launched into U.S. relations with Argentina in the U.S. Congress. But Rocky was hardly finished.

With strong backing from Stimson and McCloy against the State Department "fuddie-duddies," he demanded that the hemisphere "collective self-defense" Chapultepec Agreement (with its explicit support for the Peron regime) be incorporated verbatim in the Charter of the U.N.! Needless to say, this threatened the very survival of the U.N. Only an incredible accomodation by Stalin on regional agreements a week later kept that organization from aborting during birth.

More than 25 years later the Rockefellers and their Anglo-American SS cronies would again find use for the "anti-American-anti-imperialist-progressvie" Juan Peron. It was the cabal which re-installed him, to sucker the infantile Argentine left (and even the CP!) into
support of re-imposition of a fascist dictatorship over their own dead bodies.

In the Far East, meanwhile, the approaches that OSS agent professors John Fairbank, John Service, Owen Lattimore et al. were making to Mao, Chou En Lai, and the guerrilla army, and the psychological profiles and national ideology studies they were filing, would also prove useful a quarter-century later. Recognizing the essentially nationalist nature of Mao’s peasant-based insurgency, and its counter-insurgency potential, many OSS officers pushed for a “progressive anti-Soviet coalition” with them even at that time. The Anglo-American SS, of course, reaps big rewards (including the looting of China) from those pioneer insights today.

The OSS — A Major Tavistock Tentacle

While the Churchill-Stimson cabal worked out the grand war strategy, developments also critical to the generation of the new SS were taking place in the pit. The British imperialists around SOE and Tavistock were busy creating America’s first special warfare organization, the Office of Strategic Services (OSS).

Both Donovan and Bruce are on record with the opinion that the OSS forerunner organization, the Coordinator of Information (COI), would not have survived its birth pangs if the organization had, in fact, not been virtually run by William Stephenson and his covert British Security Coordinator (BSC).

It was Stephenson’s BSC, the representative in the U.S. of both SOE and SIS before Pearl Harbor and for months afterward, that supplied the bulk of COI’s secret intelligence.

Stephenson’s BSC put experts in every branch of secret activities, intelligence, counter-espionage, subversive propaganda, communication, and “special operations” of all kinds, at Donovan’s disposal. These brought the COI into the field of “black” or subversive propaganda short-wave radio broadcasting. Stephenson’s BSC by 1941 had already commandeered (by secret arrangement) both the IBM-controlled short-wave sending Station WRUL in Boston and General Electric’s Station KGEI in San Francisco, from which BSC transmitted covert subversive propaganda in 22 languages.

The BSC also took charge of the training of all COI officers, irrespective of division, at a special BSC school set up near Toronto, Canada. Many of the future OSS and Office of War Information (OWI) leaders learned the full gamut of their new subversive trade from British specialists during this period. The list includes Capt. James Roosevelt, eldest son of the President, David Bruce the multi-millionaire businessman who had married into the Mellon fortune, Dr. William L. Langer, the Harvard historian who was to head the key Research and Analysis division of OSS, Colonel Edward Buxton, the Rhode Island businessman and OSS Deputy Director during the war, and Robert E. Sherwood, the playwright who later ran the Overseas Branch of OWI.

During this pre-OSS period an important and bitter struggle erupted between Donovan and Sherwood on the critical questions of the relationship of COI to the military and on the nature of political propaganda. Donovan wished his special warfare organization directly under the Joint Chiefs of Staff and the War Department. Following his British imperialist mentors he argued:

Foreign propaganda must be employed as an instrument of war — a judicious mixture of rumor and deception, with truth as a bait, to foster disunity and confusion in support of military operations . . . In point of fact, propaganda is the arrow of initial penetration in preparing the people of a territory where invasion may be contemplated. It is the first step; then fifth column work; then militarized raiders or “commandos”; then finally the invading divisions.[16]

Sherwood insisted that the propagandist should stick scrupulously close to the facts, and accused Donovan of advocating Hitler’s “Big Lie” techniques. With some foresight he warned that if the agency should become a supporting arm of the military it would become at some likely future point in time an American Gestapo.

While Donovan was in London during June of 1942, conferring with Chief of Combined Operations, Vice-Admiral Lord Louis Mountbatten, and arranging collaboration with SOE Director Charles Hambro (the virtual owner of Greece) and SIS Chief Colonel Menzies, Roosevelt moved to settle the dispute that was ripping COI into two warring factions. On June 13, 1942 Roosevelt appointed CBS news analyst Elmer Davis to head a new agency, the Office of War Information (OWI) which would handle overt or “white propaganda.” Donovan was to be chief of the Office of Strategic Services, whose mission was phrased in language intentionally broad enough to encompass “black propaganda,” unorthodox warfare, guerrilla activities behind enemy lines, contact with resistance groups, subversion, and sabotage.

Tavistock Selects OSS Agents

From the flood of bankers, industrialists, lawyers, professors, journalists, etc., who streamed toward the elite special warfare organization came many of the future leaders of the Anglo-American SS.

John W. “Common Crook” Gardner spent the war period studying and practicing the brainwashing and selection techniques of Dr. John R. Rees and Eric Trist
of Tavistock, as OSS psychologist in charge of "personnel assessment." The Rockefellers quickly recognized his Reesian talents and tapped him to direct four of the leading "real CIA" organizations over the next three decades: the Rockefeller Brothers' Fund, the Carnegie Corporation, the Health, Education and Welfare (HEW) Department, and Common Cause. The latter Rockefeller front is in the forefront of the cabal to impeach Nixon and destroy Constitutional government in the U.S. in behalf of "progressive fascism."

Arthur Goldberg, one of the Rockefellers' leading saboteurs in the labor movement, headed the OSS Labor Desk. He would continue that counterinsurgency tradition as a Rockefeller emissary, at a variety of new SS posts throughout the post-war period.

Allen Dulles, the Rockefellers' international legal representative and a director of the Standard Oil Corporation, was OSS chief of Secret Intelligence for Europe and later head of the CIA.

Richard Helms, also a future CIA director, was Dulles' liaison man to Wehrmacht intelligence chief General Reinhard Gehlen. Dulles and Helms had fully integrated the Nazi "Gehlen organization" into OSS months before the German surrender!

William Colby, the current leading mass murderer in residence at Langley, Virginia, received his training as an OSS Special Operations officer from the SOE in London. The techniques of assassination, sabotage, and countergang organizing he learned at SOE's special training school were the very methods he later used in directing the CIA's Project Phoenix in South Vietnam. The CIA's Phoenix, under the guise of "subverting the NLF infrastructure," initiated the mass assassination atrocities including the murders at My Lai, which were blamed on the U.S. Army.

J.P. Morgan's two sons, Junius and Henry S., powerful bankers in their own right, were in charge of the "laundering" of all OSS funds and the counterfeiting of all OSS identity papers respectively.

Many other leaders of the Anglo-America SS conspiracy were groomed in OSS during the war. Arthur Schlesinger published the Research & Analysis journal; Roger Hilsman was a counter-guerrilla fighter in Burma; Walt Rostow and C. Douglas Dillon R&A economists; Stewart Alsop, the journalist, joined the British Army and later was assigned by OSS to penetrate the CP-controlled wing of the French Resistance. Also, Thomas Braden, who worked closely with Alsop in this venture and later became Deputy Director of the CIA and Cord Meyer's mentor. Braden continues his CIA activities today under cover assignment with the Los Angeles Times. Meyer, since 1954 head of CIA's SO branch, has been directing the Anglo-American SS' plot to impose a totalitarian regime in Great Britain, which jumped off with the brainwashing of ILC member Christopher White and the Heathrow Airport Red Scare.

Most of the leading patrician, financier, and industrialist ruling families were represented by at least one member. The OSS roster read like a list lifted from the Social Register: Ryan, DuPont, Vanderbilt, Bruce, Armour, Mellon, Archbold, etc. Significantly, Theodore Roosevelt's grandson Quentin Roosevelt was an OSS Special Operations officer stationed in China (where he was killed); and, Raymond Guest, Winston Churchill's cousin and a ten-goal polo player, was also aboard the OSS special warfare ship.

According to the OSS Assessment Staff's own account in their voluminous post-war report Assessment of Men, it was Dr. John Rawlings Rees and his Tavistock crew at the British War Office Selection Board (see Minnicino)

---

General William "Wild Bill" Donovan, the Mother of the CIA.
who contacted the London office of OSS to suggest that the special warfare organization adopt Tavistock's selection and training methods. At the time (Oct. 1943), OSS was operating without any selection procedures at all and, as a result, experiencing serious difficulties retaining OSS officers and maintaining their morale.

The OSS Assessment Staff under Lt. Colonel Henry Murray, John W. Gardner, and "Nazi doctor" Kurt Lewin (see Freeman) frankly recalled its heritage and revealed the Anglo-American SS future plans in one of the opening passages of their work:

"The plan described in this book was devised to fit the special needs of the Office of Strategic Services, but it would not take much ingenuity to modify some of the techniques and to invent others of the same type to meet the requirements of other institutions."

These methods were first used on a large scale by Simonite, as described in *Wehrpsychologie*, and after them be the British. Our particular debt is to the band of imaginative and progressive psychiatrists and psychologists who devised and conducted the War Office Selection Board (WOSB) program for testing officer candidates for the British Army. From them we gained the valuable idea of having staff and candidates live together in the country during the testing period, and the conception of leaderless group situations.[17]

Those familiar with the adage that nobody ever leaves the CIA except six-feet under the ground may have wondered how such a control could come to be exerted. The answer, in part, lies in the insidious methods of Dr. John Rawlings Rees and his Nazi predecessors. Before a potential agent has even been accepted for training by the CIA he has already been effectively brainwashed during the selection.

Rees' "leaderless groups" were, in reality, groups of candidates artfully manipulated by outside programmers in completely contrived and controlled situations.

For example, "Nazi doctor" Nathan Kline, then with the War Shipping Administration, describes an officer "selection" procedure Rees personally set up for the U.S. Marines in 1946.

Twenty candidates were gathered together in a group and told their future as a squad in the Marines was dependent upon beating the record of all other marine units who had tried to solve the problem they were about to be presented. Then, they were told to imagine they were on a deserted island and the unassembled life raft before them had just drifted ashore. With suitable appeals to their "team spirit" they were instructed to break the record in getting the raft assembled and off the island.

Psychological warfare specialists standing nonchalantly on the sidelines observed carefully the approaches of each individual in the group to the problem. Did he immediately rush into a trial-and-error mode of operation or stand back and size up an over-all solution? Did he exhibit gung-ho enthusiasm and motivation or withdraw in alienation from the situation? Which man would move for leadership and manage to enforce group discipline and draw out "team spirit"?

When they had tabbed their "leader" a ruse was used (e.g. an alleged invasion on the other side of the island) to pull him out together with three or four bunglers. So as not to arouse suspicion, and the psychological warriors would observe the rise of a new "team leader".

In one OSS version of this Reesian "test" stooges hired from outside OSS were inserted with instructions to bait and slander the candidates and to consciously attempt to thwart and sabotage their building of a tent. Psychological response to the stooges' affronts and provocations were carefully recorded.

One purpose of these insidious and artificial schemes
was, of course, to encourage mindless “team spirit” and to select the most rabid and competent “team leaders”. Another aim, when combined with the candidate’s Personal History questionnaire and other written tests, was as Personnel Assessment bluntly states to compile a “psychological profile” of each man for later use.

It was still necessary, however to destroy whatever real ego strength the candidate still possessed. This was the intention behind Rees’ stress tests. In one such test Tavistock employed at the WOSB, candidates were reduced to animal bestiality. In Rees’ “chest-expander stress test” copied from the Nazis, “increasing electric current came through as the candidate made his maximum pull on strong springs.”

A still more insidious Reesian stress test was borrowed by John “Common Crook” Gardner for use by OSS. In this brainwashing scheme the candidate was given twelve minutes to construct a “cover story” to be presented to interrogators who caught him stealing government documents marked “SECRET” from a Government office in Washington. He was told this was a “make-or-break” examination encouraged to internalize a plausible new identity, and warned that his answers to his interrogators must not compromise OSS organizational security or blow his cover.

When the candidate was finished thinking-up his cover story, he was brought into a dark room, a spotlight blinding him and three “Gestapo agents” facing him. For the next several minutes these brainwashing specialists would almost always tear to shreds the victims’ hastily constructed cover story by various “tough cop-soft cop” (“Mutt and Jeff”) methods, well-prepared staccato catch questions, physical brutality, etc. Almost without exception, the candidate was left dazed and confused. Then the “Gestapo agents” would abruptly break off the grilling with a dissatisfied air, saying: “We now have abundant evidence that you have not been telling the truth. That is all.” After the interrogation board had ostentiously engaged in some whispering back and forth would come: “Your name is Jones, isn’t it?… It is our decision, Jones, that you have failed this test.”

The crest fallen candidate was instructed to go upstairs. There a staff member would pretend to commiserate with him and suck him into revealing his deep inner emotions and fears in this pleasant atmosphere following the earlier great tension. Most of the broken candidates would readily open up to talk about their childhood in response to questions like “As a psychologist I’ve been wondering whether there weren’t times in your childhood somewhat similar to this — when you concealed petty things from your mother when she questioned you.” Usually the candidate would naively pathetically ramble on about his mother, his early sexual experiences, etc. By this time OSS not only had its desired psychological profile — it also had crushed the last vestiges of genuine ego strength in its victimized potential agent and was now in a position to manipulate and program him almost at will to play ball with “the team.”

The most up-to-date utilization of these Anglo-American SS brainwashing methods combining the two Rees “stress tests” in one super-fascist package, was unwittingly revealed by the Sunday Times of London on January 27. In an article about the U.S. Army Special Forces entitled the “New Secret Service” the Times describes the “counter-interrogation” techniques now used in what is unbeknowned to the Times, really a new Killer Waffen SS.

The four-stage brainwashing program starts with testing for sensitivity of the nervous system through flashing words or symbols on a screen for fractions of a
second — in order to find if physical torture or isolation in solitary would better “break” him; phase 2 is the breakdown of troops’ identity by teaching him how to develop a “plausible alter ego” (alibi); the third phase involves brutal group attack or self-criticism sessions (further destroying ego strength) to “simulate” enemy interrogation; and the final phase instruction in “beating a lie detector” involves among other things pairing strong electric shocks with ordinary everyday words. This technique which would have obvious value for the CIA in preparing Waffen SS or brainwashed vets for zombie murderer hit squads is described under its counter-interrogation cover as follows:

“These ordinary words therefore acquire an emotional meaning for the man trained for a certain mission. Thus in an interrogation, with a mixture of control and conditioning, the man’s responses will appear chaotic and misleading.”

“Strategic Bombing” — More Lessons from the Fascists

The Italian fascists and the Nazis were also the inspiration of another weapon of mass mental and physical destruction for British imperialist mad “scientists.” This is what the British euphemistically entitled “strategic bombing.” Soon enough the British passed this new “ultimate weapon” on to their American patrician counterparts.

During the 1920’s, Fascist Italy’s Commissioner of Aviation, General Giulio Douhet, introduced the doctrine that “command of the air” would guarantee victory in the wars of the future.

He argued that such preponderance would give a country the ability to launch massive strategic bombing attacks on enemy population and industrial centers. Not only would this cause enormous physical suffering and great economic dislocation, but Douhet claimed more importantly that it would have such enormous psychological impact that it would destroy the enemy nation’s will to resist. Douhet had been impressed to this effect by the psychological and military effects of German World War I air raids and attacks on Italy, Britain and other nations.

As a result of Douhet’s tremendous influence Italy was the first power to emphasize the air arm. However, it was the British who were the first to create an independent air service, the Royal Air Force (RAF). The RAF was established in 1917, as a response to two German daylight air raids on London.

Under the leadership of Chief of Staff Lord Hugh Trenchard (1918-29) by the late 1920’s, the RAF had adopted Douhet’s position in behalf of the massive bombing of enemy urban and industrial centers as part of their official doctrine although the RAF barely understood the psychological side of Douhet’s thesis.

In fact, Trenchard’s close friend, P.R.C. Groves, Director of Flying Operations (1918), had written several articles in behalf of a reductionist military notion of “strategic bombing” even before Douhet’s _The Command of the Air_ appeared in 1921. Meanwhile, U.S. General Billy Mitchell thumped for the “strategic bombing” in United States Army Air Corps.

The Nazis were even more blunt than their Italian Fascist allies about the true nature of the saturation bombing missions on which they sent the Luftwaffe. They labeled this kind of bombing simply “Terrorangriff.”

The British leadership scarcely needed the inspiration of Luftwaffe attacks on London and the earlier Italian air terror campaigns in the Spanish Civil War to prepare their own _terror bombing_ in “terror bombing” under the rubric of their “strategic bombing” doctrine.

The military and technical end of the British preparations for “strategic bombing” was handled by a small group of technicians gathered around physicist P.M.S. Blackett (of “Blackett’s Circus”) who headed the development of a practice dubbed “Operation Research” (OR). OR had originally developed in the RAF during the 1930’s but it was Blackett’s work for the Navy in 1940 which catalyzed its takeoff. By 1941 Blackett had established “mixed team” OR groups in all three British military services composed of physical scientists, mathematicians, statisticians, biologists, etc. These living examples of (Darwinian) evolution proceeded throughout the war to serve as computers before the computer was even invented — feeding bomb weight, damage statistics, population density, body count, etc. into their OR formulas to select the site of the next Anglo-American air terror operation.

The British OR crew spread the germ to Dr. James B. Conant, chairman of the U.S. National Defense Research Council. Soon OR grouplets were proliferating in the U.S. Armed Forces and American universities under war contracts. The Americans, addicted to “technological and quantitative ‘solutions,’” became the real carriers of the OR disease.

Soon after the war, in 1946, seventy-three American scientists who were OR specialists during World War II founded, with Army Air Force funding, Project RAND, the forerunner of the RAND Corporation, to carry on the genocidal tradition they had recently initiated.

It was as the official war drew toward a close in 1944 that the _psychological warfare side_ of “strategic bombing,” underlined by Douhet and intuitively
understood by the Nazis, began to be incorporated systematically into the planning and evaluation of Anglo-American SS bombing policy. The responsibility for this lies with Dr. John R. Rees, his sidekick, Henry Dicks, and the fascist Tavistock crew. It represented quite simply the spread of their influence.

Dicks expanded his mapping of "national ideologies" during the war to distinguish "multiple publics" within each target nation. He drew up "psychological profiles" of each of these groupings (e.g., Nazi Party members, SS members, Resistance fighters, factory workers, rural residents, POW's, etc.). Dicks examined the probable "morale responses" of each of these "types" to projected political-military campaigns and action programs.

He broke down the German population into five categories for the same purposes: "hard-core" Nazis, Nazis "with reservations," "unpolitical" Germans, "passive" anti-Nazis, "active" anti-Nazis. The PWE and the PWD, under Dicks' guidance (according to one source Dicks was hegemonic over both!) were therefore able to increase the psychological impact of the bombings on the Axis nations and their "multiple publics" through the application of these analyses to their psywar leaflets and broadcasts — even though these considerations had barely been incorporated into the planning of the actual bombing.

More importantly, Dicks' lead in generating "national ideology" maps (begun in 1939-40) was picked up on by OSS Research and Analysis (R & A) branch, which proceeded to organize its research around this core conception. The world's first Counterinsurgency University OSS R & A pulled together specialist teams of psychologists, historians, anthropologists, sociologists, economists, etc. to work together to create "area maps" and to produce "strategic surveys." They focused their various techniques on specific problems in order to come up with critical estimates of particular national situations. As Stimson protege and Anglo-American SS leader McGeorge Bundy was to note years later, this was a crucial development for the Anglo-American SS conspiracy:

"It is a curious fact of academic history that the first great center of area studies in the United States was not located in any university, but in Washington, during the Second World War, in the Office of Strategic Services. In very large measure the area study programs developed in American universities in the years after the war were manned, directed, or stimulated by graduates of the OSS — a remarkable institution, half cops-and-robbers and half faculty meeting. It is still true today, and I hope it always will be, that there is a high measure of interpenetration between universities with area programs and information — gathering agencies of the government of the United States." [18]

Not surprisingly, it was John R. Rees' protege John Gardner, and Rockefeller servant James Perkins, president of Cornell University (see Freeman) who catalyzed this Anglo-American SS conspiratorial penetration of U.S. universities. R & A head Dr. William Langer recalls:

"Back in 1944, John Gardner, later head of the Carnegie Foundation, and James Perkins, president of Cornell, visited my office and expressed their opinion that R & A had pioneered an important new direction in education. After the war, the Carnegie Foundation put up the initial money to start Russian and Middle East and Far East Research Centers at Harvard and Columbia and elsewhere, with sociologists and historians and political scientists all working on the same area study — a very important part of the modern university curriculum." [19]

It was this development which guaranteed the career of such vicious OSS R & A operatives as Herbert Tavistock psywar leaflet manipulates Germans' emotional attachment to home and family as Anglo-Americans ready more terror bombing.
Marcuse and John Fairbank who have hopscotched from one counterinsurgency thinktank and university to another ever since, with occasional time off to help the CIA organize counter-gangs, anti-Soviet fronts, etc.

When the OR crew at the RAND Corporation finally became aware of the new trend they turned to none other than Henry V. Dicks to supply them with their first "area study" and "psychological profile" of the Soviet Union. Dicks entitiled his RAND study appropriately "Observations on the Russian National Character." [58]

The OSS R & A branch and especially its Survey of Foreign Experts (SFE) sub-division, was already having an influence on bombing policy by early 1943. The SFE achieved this by applying the "area studies" method to the specific problem of potential bombing objectives, then translating their recommendation onto R & A Strategic Survey and topographical maps. These maps were passed onto Churchill through Sir William Stephenson and became a conspicuous feature of his famous map room. Churchill also took the R & A maps on official journeys. At the Quebec Conference in August 1944, the story goes, he called Roosevelt into his room, pointed to the wall, and said, "See, I've got them too!"

But it was Stimson's War Department in collaboration with the Morgan international financier faction, that was to directly base its work in the field of "strategic bombing" on Tavistock's insidious groundbreaking psychological warfare practice.

On November 3, 1944, Stimson created the United States Strategic Bombing Survey and instructed it to assess "the total direct and indirect effect of a sustained attack against the economy and morale of a nation."

The Morgan financial faction supplied the bulk of the personnel and leadership for the Survey, which based itself in London. Franklin D'Oliier, President of the Morgan-controlled Prudential Life Insurance Company, was Chairman of the Strategic Bombing Survey. Henry Alexander, Chairman of the Board at J.P. Morgan & Co., was the Survey's Vice-Chairman. The other officers read like a Who's Who in the Anglo-American SS leadership: George W. Ball, John K. Galbraith, Paul Nitze, Rensis Likert, and so forth.

Prudential was mobilized for the effort with 250 company "volunteers" under the supervision of Prudential vice-president Harry Volk, assisting in the production of the 208-volume report. In the book The Story of Human Security, a Prudential self-testimony, the results are lauded: "The reports prepared by the Strategic Bombing Survey had a profound effect on the conduct of the last years of the war .... In large part they were responsible for the continuation of strategic bombing which crippled the German offensive and reduced Japanese industrial production by more than 80 per cent by VJ Day. More important, perhaps, they provided a full useable record upon which to base the strategic and training of the peacetime military forces."

Prudential is proud of its role in the mass destruction of the civilian working-class population of Germany and Japan (while Krupp and Farben industrial plants were left intact). Its pride swells to peacock proportions when it recalls the psywar record it supplied to U.S. occupation forces and the CIA for use in controlling the German and Japanese working class.

Prudential, of course, is the same outfit that would later (1968) collaborate with Dr. John R. Rees in Newark, N.J. to create the fascist twin "Boer War" counter-gangs of LeRoi Jones (Baraka) and Anthony Imperiale.

The Survey sent staff teams into Germany in late 1944 and early 1945 to interview the different elements of the population to determine, for purposes of future bombing policy, the psychological impact "strategic bombing" had had on German morale. The Survey interviews, as close examination reveals, were taken directly from the special Questionnaires Henry V. Dicks had developed some time before for use by SHAEF Field Intelligence officers, POW interrogators, and espionage operatives. In addition, the Survey based its study of the morale effects of the Anglo-American "strategic bombing" on German civilians and leaders, on the very same "types" and "multiple publics" that Dicks had delineated in his original "national ideology" map. Therefore, it would be more correct to label the key section of the Strategic Bombing Survey report treating the "Morale Effects of Strategic Bombing" the "Tavistock Report on Terror Bombing." The report not only supports the Italian Fascist and Nazi theses, but develops Tavistockian psywar refinements that are the basis for the insidious "rational nuclear warfare," "controlled response" (first nuclear strike) policies of the Anglo-American SS today.

First, the Survey report suggests that General Douhet's doctrine, as practiced by the Anglo-Americans, was a stirring success:

"Stratégique bombing was the major means by which the Allies were able to strike a direct blow at the morale of German civilians ...

"The German experience suggests that even a first-class military power — rugged and resilient as Germany was — cannot live long under full-scale and free exploitation of air weapons over the heart of its territory. By the beginning of 1945, before the invasion of the homeland itself, Germany was reaching a state of helplessness ...

"Allied air power was decisive in the war in Western Europe. Hindsight inevitably suggests that it might have been employed differently or better in some respects." [20]
One possible amplification or Reesian innovation would be to utilize limited "strategic bombing" or the mere threat of such bombing primarily for the attainment of psychological victories that soften up the opponent for the kill:

"Continuous heavy bombing of the same communities did not produce decreases in morale proportional to the amount of bombing. The biggest drop in morale was apparent in a comparison between unbombed towns and the only lightly bombed. The morale in towns subjected to the heaviest bombing was no worse than that in towns of the same size receiving much lighter bomb loads...

"These observations of the diminishing returns from heavy bombing point to the practical conclusion that the maximum morale effects of dropping a given tonnage of bombs on Germany would have been attained by lighter raids as widely distributed as possible, rather than by concentrated heavy bombing in limited areas...

"It appears that the raids did not have to be directed against a particular area in order to affect adversely the morale of the population in that area. The news of the raids in other places was effective in depressing morale because it intensified both fears of losing the war and feelings of helplessness, and also created anxiety about future raids against the local area." [21]

The leaders of the enemy nation (Soviet Union) will be prime targets of the psy warriors:

"Confirmed Nazis were frightened by bombing, but this fear did not necessarily produce a willingness to give up. Nevertheless even the Nazis were affected by bombing and on some measures their morale suffered as great or a greater drop than did that of others." [22]

Today, as Marcus has demonstrated, the Anglo-American SS psywar ploy is to "sucker the Soviet leadership into accepting the rules of the "limited" nuclear warfare chicken game that the new SS proposes to play. This "foot in the door" approach is designed to prepare a massive first strike attack on the Soviet Union after having won relative immunity from counter-attack by having out-maneuvered the Soviets and softened them up.

There should be little doubt, however, that such potentially fruitful psychological warfare games aside, the real aim of future Anglo-American SS "massive retaliation" (first strike) bombing policy will be the maximum required economic, physical, and psychological destruction of the working class enemy.

The psychological effects of bombing are not limited to the ordeal of the air raid. The level of morale under bombing is determined by the terror of the attack and of its immediate objective consequences in terms of civilians killed and maimed, homes destroyed, families broken up by evacuation, and utility services destroyed or disrupted. It is in this context of the total dislocation of civilian life that the morale effects of bombing must be understood... [23]

"Civil resettlement" and "food control" policies may be begun from the air:

But in order that its full importance may be grasped, the "air terror" movement of 4,884,000 must, in great part, be related not to the entire duration of the war, but exclusively to the last 21 months, between June 1943 and March 1945... The disruptive effects of such massive movement and migration of almost 5,000,000 civilians are impossible of statistical calculation by the very nature of the chaos that accompanied them. The evacuations produced economic and administrative disruption of German civilian life...Evacuation-wrought problems of food distribution and rationing. Evacuation upset the balance of food, and food crises were created in previously immune areas. [24]

For the purpose of Reesian "strategic bombing" is, after all, to create a completely controlled environment in which Anglo-American SS defines all responses of the survivors of its terror bombing attacks (e.g. general nuclear war):

The main psychological effects are defeatism, depression, despair, fear, helplessness, fatalism, and apathy. The popular notion that bombing stiffens the resistance of a people finds little confirmation in the facts of German experience. [25]

It was on the basis of this War Department-Tavistock report (then near completion) that Stimson, McCloy, Conant, and Marshall on June 6, 1945 made their recommendation to Truman to drop A-Bombs on Japan. Operations Research (OR) and Tavistock had done their work well.

We can see from the above then, that by September 30, 1945, the day the survey issued its report, Tavistock and OR had already supplied the Anglo-American SS with all the ingredients of the poisonous conglomerate brew that makes up Anglo-American bombing policy (and the demented mind of James Schlesinger today): "massive retaliation" (nuclear first strike); "controlled response" and "counterforce" (nuclear first strike); "limited" or "rational" nuclear war (psychological warfare communication preparing first strike); and Megadeath count of survivors in Rockefeller-controlled post-nuclear war world).

It should not surprise us to find the New York Times, a leading press arm of the cabal, opening James Schlesinger's recent psychological warfare campaign against the Soviets by pulling out the Tavistock "Bible," the Strategic Bombing Survey. On Jan. 15, 1974, just days following Schlesinger's renewed threats of "limited" nuclear warfare, Herbert Mitgang, in a Times editorial page commentary entitled "Overview on Bombing," exclaimed that "a new version of the Strategic Bombing Survey could extend the reach of thought."

The New York Times-Schlesinger effort to guide Henry Kissinger's "negotiations" in Moscow failed to dupe Soviet military leaders. Attempting to extend Tavistock's reach of thought to the Soviet Union, Henry
Lord Louis Mountbatten, Director of British Combined Operations, prepares the new “Waffen SS” for a special warfare mission.

pushed hard to bait the Soviets into accepting the rules of the “rational” nuclear warfare chicken game. By his own admission, Kissinger failed to achieve the “conceptual breakthrough” desired by Schlesinger’s employers.

**Special Forces and the Penetration of the Military**

The cabal’s penetration of the traditional military accompanied and complemented the growth of the SOE and OSS, Britain’s and the United States’ first two (independent) special warfare agencies.

As previously noted, Churchill, hoping to develop an offensive capability in a terribly defensive situation, during the 1939-40 period, established within the military itself a Special Service Brigade whose highly mobile elite units were called Commandos or Striking Companies.

The British Commandos wore the Green Beret to denote their elite status — and the special training they received also foreshadowed the instruction their direct descendants in the American Special Forces “Waffen SS” receive today. These men of the new SS were driven through mountain-climbing and snake-eating paces, and taught the arts of assassination, demolition, and other means of sabotage, as well as infiltration and subversion, as part of their “survival course.” Their earliest missions, usually undertaken in collaboration with their haute bourgeois new SS comrades of the SOE, were raids along the “enemy” coastline that stretched from the Pyrennees far away to North Cape in the Arctic Ocean. These were designed from late 1941 on as a cover to impress the Soviets with the “seriousness” of British intentions to fight the Germans.

In October, 1941, Churchill implicitly announced the first giant step in the creation of a new kind of military — the Counternursrgency Military. He appointed Lord Louis Mountbatten to head a new interservice organization known as Combined Operations. This authority was assigned the major task of coordinating and directing special warfare divisions in all three military services. From the outset, the elite troops of special warfare units were organized into a formation outside the traditional military branches, while remaining at the same time inside each of the three military services.

Churchill’s creation of the Combined Operations supra-military command under Mountbatten signalled the formation of a British “Waffen SS” force which would continue to expand its size and strength at the expense of the traditional British military, which the new “SS” would continue to subvert from within. Air, naval, and army special warfare units would hereafter together engage in combined special operations under the jurisdiction of a leadership separate from the traditional military command.

As we shall see, the CIA was originally set up by the Anglo-American SS in 1947 to replicate Mountbatten’s Combined Operations command for the United States! The British Combined Operations command introduced the new “Waffen SS” idea to the Americans during the war, training the elite American Ranger special warfare units (e.g. Darby’s Rangers) and similar formations. The American Rangers were largely decimated in France during the battles that followed the invasion at Normandy, although special eight-week “Ranger combat training” continued at Fort Benning, Georgia throughout the post-war period. Now in 1974, the lightly armed elite Ranger battalions are being reintroduced in the U.S. Army as “instant reaction forces.” For the
Anglo-American SS the showdown with the Western working class is once again at hand.

These updated, new “Waffen SS” lightning strike forces will be small — approximately 600 officers and men — and lightly armed and equipped, nothing heavier than mortars, machine guns and simple anti-tank weapons. Unlike their brothers in the Army Special Forces Green Berets from whom they will incorporate some key divisions, the Rangers are primarily a trouble-shooting, highly mobile offensive fighting force.

They are programmed for use by the cabal in the tradition of much of their World War II work — where they were often called upon to raid and sack the headquarters of revolutionary left groups, round-up and sometimes shoot communist resistance leaders and fighters, break up strikes and political demonstrations by resistance forces, and guard key communications installations. Today’s Rangers are also given rudimentary training in military “civil affairs” administration, thus qualified to assist other new SS military sections specially trained for this purpose in helping run the military governments planned for the near future.

Mountbatten was to play an even more critical role in the development of American military special warfare divisions and the penetration of the traditional armed services after he was tapped to lead the Anglo-American Southeast Asia Command (SEAC) in 1943. In fact, the Anglo-American Burma campaign which Mountbatten directed from that time had, as we shall show, many of the essential features of the CIA’s covert counter-guerrilla operations in Vietnam from 1954, especially during the 1960’s, when all of South Vietnam was viewed as “enemy territory.”

In the spring of 1942, following the failure of General Joseph W. Stilwell’s initial Burma campaign, “Mother” Donovan moved to set up America’s first major special warfare unit, OSS Detachment 101, pulled together in Assam near the border of Burma. The 25-man unit included not only SOE-trained OSS officers, but also several officers Donovan had recruited from regular army units, including Capt. William “Ray” Peers who would later head the outfit. The semi-autonomous OSS Detachment 101 was to be parachuted into Burma to conduct surprise night attacks on Japanese supply and communications lines, stage jungle ambushes, and terrorize the Burmese population under Japanese control. Donovan also instructed his OSS special warfare unit to organize a counter to those “fifth column” forces the Japanese had employed against Stilwell’s men.

OSS Detachment 101’s first significant act was to organize a counter-gang of Kachin tribesmen, a mountain people with a long history of hostility not only to the Rangoon government but to all other Burmese ethnic groups as well. Anticipating the Montagnard tribesmen counter-gang the CIA would employ in South Vietnam, the Kachins were cruel and cunning jungle fighters. To win their confidence, OSS Detachment 101 conducted a proto “civil affairs” program. Extensive medical supplies were flown in to the Kachin tribe, OSS officers constructed a bamboo hospital and surgical clinic, weapons and huge food supplies were made available, etc.

With the Kachin’s leading the way, OSS established some 20 field stations along a 600-mile front in Burma. Railroad lines, were interdicted, bridges blown up, and hit-and-run raids on both native villages and Japanese regiments were undertaken. In the meantime, OSS officers extended their SOE “guerrilla warfare” education on the laps of their Kachin levies. The Kachin guerrilla unit, which was to expand to an extremely useful counterinsurgency force of 10,000 by 1945 (Detachment 101 also grew to nearly 600 OSS officers), also gathered intelligence and provided cover for the Army engineers along the route of the new Ledo Road.

When Mountbatten became Supreme Allied Commander, Southeast Asia, he immediately made the suggestion to General Marshall that the Americans establish a larger special elite striking force for the pending campaign to retake northern Burma. This elite unit, which came to be known as Merrill’s Marauders, was made up of army veterans who had seen considerable combat action in the South Pacific. “Marauders” was an appropriate name. In a pattern that would be repeated in South Vietnam over 20 years later, the Marauders, together with the OSS and their Kachin playmates, sacked native Burmese villages and called in Tenth Air Force fighter incendiary bomb attacks on “enemy-held” towns. OSS Detachment 101 officers under Ray Peers joined with the Marauders and later Stilwell’s 3,000-man “Mars” force (augmented by the 25,000-man 36th Division) in directing the incredible destruction on land and from the air which followed. Large sections of the population and much of the country’s infrastructure were wiped out in this orgiastic reign of terror.

General Stilwell only grudgingly accepted the new “Waffen SS” trend being thrust on him by Mountbatten and Donovan. General MacArthur and the vast majority of the military leadership were much more hostile. MacArthur spent the entire war trying to keep OSS out of the Pacific theater and protecting traditional military modes of operation. His battle with the OSS-CIA came to a head in 1950-51 when, despite CIA warnings of China’s determination to fight back, he launched his ill-fated “home-by-Christmas” offensive on November 24,
1950. In MacArthur’s showdown with the Anglo-American SS leadership which followed, Truman sided with the patrician-financiers and summarily dumped the outspoken military-traditionalist.

The OSS and their Kachin counterinsurgency guerrilla army played vital roles in suppressing native uprisings and moving against the Burmese Communists as the Mountbatten gang consolidated its hold over what was left of the Burmese economy and population at the close of the war. In recognition of their barbarian efforts in behalf of the Anglo-American SS, the Kachins were awarded (in a solemn ceremony) an extremely rare American medal, the Civilian Military Assistance award.

The criminal heritage of Peers’ OSS Detachment 101 hardly ends in the jungles of Burma. Their tradition was carried on by the Central Intelligence Agency’s special operations branch (D/DP) in the jungles of Indochina. It was the CIA and its agent, Col. Edward Lansdale, whose covert operations in South Vietnam began the U.S. war against the Vietnamese in 1954. Using mercenary soldiers, primitive mountain tribesmen, their Vietnamese Special Police creation, and their own Special Forces, the CIA perpetrated massacre after massacre and atrocity after atrocity on “VC-controlled” villages in South Vietnam. The CIA even began to use American Regular Army units to carry out mass assassinations of Vietnamese civilians by 1967-68.

In fact, Lt. William Calley and his Charley Company’s massacre of civilians at My Lai in 1968 was performed under explicit directives from the CIA agent in charge of the CIA’s Operation Phoenix for Quang Ngai Province, Robert B. Ramsdell!

When the massacre at My Lai came to light, the Anglo-American SS arranged the appointment of none other than (now) Lt. General and CIA agent William “Ray” Peers to conduct the “Army’s” secret investigation of the mass murder. Peers himself had earlier been involved in CIA “special warfare” projects in South Vietnam! Nelson Rockefeller sent in his former special assistant, Wall Street lawyer Robert MacCrave, to co-chair the Peers Commission CIA cover-up investigation.

These agents of the Rockefeller-CIA cabal used the opportunity to achieve a goal that, as we shall soon demonstrate, they had been pursuing for over two decades — the takeover of the traditional American military machine. The independence and hegemony of their Special Forces would at last be a reality; so would the Anglo-American SS’s command of the U.S. military, their Counterinsurgency Army.

The Peers Commission proceeded to:

1. Destroy Anglo-American SS traditionalist factional opponents in the top military brass, several of whom were indicted;
2. Scapegoat Calley and the U.S. Army;
3. Completely conceal and cover up the CIA’s direct responsibility for the My Lai massacre.

Although none of the Peers indictments ultimately stuck, that was never the point of this CIA-Rockefeller psywar effort. The traditionalists were forced to take the rap for both the atrocities and military defeat in the Indochina war though, in fact, it was the failure of the CIA’s counterinsurgency strategy that was primarily responsible for both. Rockefeller’s opponents in the military bureaucracy were left confused, discredited, and demoralized, their forces in disarray — while the CIA-Rockefeller forces moved immediately to begin to reorganize the armed forces around the voluntary army while consolidating their power base in the Pentagon around James Schlesinger.

The Burma Road had moved to Vietnam, soon to be brought back home to the United States. Faithful chauffeur “Ray” Peers had driven the whole route for his Anglo-American SS masters.

The New SS and the Italian Resistance

The new SS approach to the occupation of Italy was, as we suggested earlier, paradigmatic of their strategy in Western Europe in general. There are crucial historical lessons to be drawn from this example as the Anglo-American SS readies fascist regimes in the immediate future.

It was not only the Roosevelt wing of the Anglo-American SS that admired and appreciated Mussolini’s fascist syndicalism. Reflecting completely the outlook of his syndicalist American patrician-financier co-conspirators, Churchill later wrote in his memoirs:

He [Mussolini] was, as I had addressed him at the time of the fall of France, “the Italian lawgiver.” The alternative to his rule might well have been a Communist Italy, which would have brought perils and misfortunes of a different character both upon the Italian people and Europe. His fatal mistake was the declaration of war on France and Great Britain.... Even when the issue of the war became certain, Mussolini would have been welcomed by the allies. [26]

The tragedy for the Anglo-American SS was that by the time they finally moved into Italy in September of 1943, the fascist friends of Mussolini (e.g., Marshal Badoglio) they immediately rallied around them were already largely discredited with the Italian masses and hence were unable to contain the elemental surge of revolutionary layers in the Resistance.

While preserving intact most of the fascist infra-
structure, the Anglo-American SS was forced to seek a syndicalist alternative to outright fascist rule which could isolate and neutralize the revolutionary left, absorb non-revolutionary resistance forces, and live in harmony with the fascists and their old infrastructure under an Anglo-American military occupation government. In terms of a solution to this problematic, the cabal’s strategy and action in Italy represents in microcosm the new SS’s approach to most of the rest of Western Europe during this period.

In preparation for the invasion of Sicily, which took place on July 9, 1943, the OSS and the Office of Naval Intelligence made provisions for the American Mafia to direct clandestine operations on the island, in return for the parole of Mafia chief “Lucky” Luciano. Assistant New York District Attorney Murray Gurfein, the very same ubiquitous fascist who would soon work with Crossman and Dicks as chief of Intelligence at the Psychological Warfare Division (SHAEF) and later rendered the judicial decision on behalf of the New York Times-CIA in the “Pentagon Papers” case, arranged the “deal” to spring Luciano. The cabal’s cynical use of their Mafia friends set the pattern for their future conspiratorial intrigues in Italy itself.

With the wind obviously blowing in the Anglo-American direction, Fascist Army Chief of Staff Pietro Badoglio, the “Conqueror of Abyssinia,” the moronic little Italian King, Victor Emanuel III and the Fascist Grand Council deposed and arrested Mussolini on July 25 for refusing to break with Berlin. After opportunistically fishing for terms with both the Nazis and the Allies over the next month, Badoglio, the King and crew fled Rome for the south and into the waiting arms of the Anglo-American invasion force in late September. In return for their own unconditional surrender, the Anglo-American cabal promised to recognize these scum as the legitimate Italian authorities under the AMG.

By mid-October 1943, Stimson’s War Department made explicit the Anglo-American SS line in instructions to theatre commanders: “It is expected that the carabinieri and Italian Army will be found satisfactory for local security purposes.”

Not only did the AMG and the Badoglio government rely on fascist institutions, they increasingly appointed fascists to important military, security, and civil administration posts. As an Allied Control Commission report bluntly put it:

...the bulk of officials were and had to be Fascists to earn their daily bread. The appointment of an efficient man [by the Allied Control Commission] nearly always means the appointment of a Fascist in some degree, with resulting criticism...[27]

To meet that growing criticism from Resistance groups a contrived “defascistization” program was begun in 1944. Less than 5 per cent of those brought up on charges to the review boards were dismissed from their official posts.

The pre-war contact work amongst Italian fascist layers by two young Foreign Service officers now attached to OSS, David Bruce and Earl Brennan, proved valuable to the Anglo-American occupation. Brennan, now with OSS in Italy and Bruce had befriended officials in Mussolini’s secret police, leaders of the powerful Italian Masonic Order, high-ranking fascist officials, and chiefs of the Italian Mafia exiled in Canada. Many of these elements provided the underpinning for the early occupation of Sicily and southern Italy.

Alert OSS and SOE officers perceived almost immediately what had, in fact, been true for some time — the

Notorious criminal Charles “Lucky” Luciano (left) was sprung by New York Assistant DA “deal” to employ Mafiosi as clandestine agents in Sicily. In a more recent “deal” Gurfein ruled for the CIA-New York Times in the Pentagon Papers case — just a couple of days after his appointment to the federal bench for the district!
fascist movement, institutions, and leadership had lost their radicalism. The Badoglio forces by late 1943 in reality represented nothing more than classical reaction- 

tary technocratic and bureaucratic rule. Having no program or method to get the masses to participate actively in their own self-enslavement, the Badoglians commanded little or no support from the Italian populace. It was not simply disgust with Italy's military debacle and economic ruin that drove hundreds of thousands into the Resistance movement in southern Italy and the Nazi-occupied with the Allied invasions of Sicily and the south of Italy in the summer and fall of 1943.

Mussolini's fascism in its heyday had been oscillating continually from radical national syndicalist organs in the factories and towns through which workers participated in the corporatist state and economy. By 1934, with fascism beset by serious economic problems and growing extremely stale, Mussolini sought to overcome popular discontent by resurrecting the factory syndical organizations and radical community institutions and movements that would once again generate motion and enthusiasm in behalf of his Fascist Party and the elements that would once again generate motion and enthusiasm in behalf of his Fascist Party and the Corporative State. At the time of the invasion, Mussolini's ossified fascism had long since entered its final "Thermidorian" stage.

As the invasion was proceeding in September 1943, a group of disgruntled "left" syndicalist bankers, merchants, "intellectuals," and petit-bourgeois youth was meeting in Florence in north central Italy. The underground grouping was not fundamentally different from the Mussolini circle of "radical" and nationalist anti-Bolshevik socialists, "left" syndicalists, and anarchists who had founded the Fasci di Combattimento in Milan almost twenty-five years before. If this gang which named itself the Action Party had not sprung up, certainly the OSS and the SOE would have created it from scratch. Hardly a month after its formation, the Action Party was already working as a fully conscious tool for OSS and SOE.

The Action Party's Florence "program" was, from the point of view of the Anglo-American SS, just the type of anti-capitalist syndicalist mish-mash that could be used to mobilize, absorb, and control the masses for the future occupation government on their drawing boards. The stuff out of which counterinsurgency insurgenccies are made was all there. The Action Party program called for workers' participation in running industry and profit-sharing; the nationalization of monopoly industry; "radical" agrarian reform with local control by peasants of the land; a strong executive and the condemnation of the old parliament; and a "planned economy."

The leadership of this new syndicalist gang was equally attractive to the Anglo-American SS. "Left" bankers and businessmen like Adolfo Tino, Bruno Visentini, and Raimondo Cravioti were prominent leaders. So were professionals like Ugo La Malfa and syndicalist activists like Ferruccio Parri. All were to play a critical role in the subversion of the CLN and CLNAI, the southern and upper Italian Resistance coalitions. Later in 1945, under Anglo-American supervision, they would form a government with Parri at its head, as a fresh syndicalist replacement for the shopworn Badoglio and his successors.

The Anglo-American occupation forces faced a potentially messy situation in the fall of 1943 as they dug in and prepared for a drive against the Germans occupying Rome and other cities to the north. Even prior to the toppling of Mussolini from above, Communists had led workers' strikes in northern Italy during March of 1943. A spontaneous mass uprising had "liberated" Naples days before the Allied landing. In the fall of 1943 the Communist Party organized a large Partisan group. The Socialists (PSIUP) under Pietro Nenni, very powerful amongst workers in the cities, did likewise (around a United Front program to take power). Neo-Trotskyist groups like the Movimiento Communista Italiano grew dramatically.

By the winter of 1944, despite the heavy presence of armed German troops, mass strikes involving close to one million workers took place. Workers were out for over a week in Turin. Hundreds of thousands had flocked to the banners of Partisan groups in the CLN and CLNAI, and millions of workers and peasants were contemplating joining them. Yet, on December 8, 1944 the leaders of the dominant CLNAI coalition signed a secret accord with the Allied Command, "the Protocols of Rome," in which they agreed to: (1) follow the orders of the military government before and after "liberation"; (2) hand over power to the military government upon its arrival in the north; (3) allow the Anglo-American authorities to appoint the military head of CLNAI; (4) concentrate energies on safeguarding northern industrial plants from Nazi attack (such as the power installations of the American-dominated multinational corporation "Italian Superpower"); and (5) stage a rapid disarmament after the Germans surrendered in the north. In return the Allied command merely promised to step up financial aid and the shipment of arms and supplies to the guerrillas. What had happened??
"anti-fascist" philosopher to prepare a long-term alternative to the discredited Badoglioni. Croce suggested to Donovan, who was in Italy on an OSS inspection tour, that OSS-SOE should form a new Italian "volunteer corps" that would fight directly under Anglo-American command. To command such a legion, Croce proposed retired World War I hero General Giuseppe Pavone, "a patriot and a liberal."

At the same time Croce introduced OSS agent Peter Tompkins to Raimondo Craveri, his son-in-law and an official of a powerful pro-syndicalist Italian bank. Craveri had just returned from Rome where he had worked with the Committee of National Liberation (CLN) as a leader of the newly-formed Action Party. With Craveri's strenuous efforts, with full SOE and OSS backing, preparations for the Pavone Corps (now known as Gruppi Combattenti Italiani) counter-gang moved swiftly forward. Then, through intermediaries Craveri had Donovan's memorandum endorsing the Pavone Corps sent to Badoglio. Badoglio, particularly impressed by the OSS argument that the Pavone Corps would pre-empt domination of the resistance by the "usual Communist elements," gave his ready support.

OSS-SOE plans to launch the Pavone-Craveri syndicalist counterinsurgency insurgents received a temporary setback when Allied Control Commission, then under British domination, ordered the corps first trimmed to 500 men and then disbanded altogether after the Badoglio government had formed its own Royal Army combat group. Churchill and his fellow British imperialists, ignoring the advice of his SOE officers, was for the time being throwing his marbles in with Badoglio and his fascist friends, and was not anxious for Pavone to emerge as a competing leader.

OSS with Roosevelt-Stimson backing and covert SOE aid then worked out a new arrangement with Craveri to form a secret espionage-counterinsurgency corps to link up with the Action Party in the north and penetrate the CLN. This new group, the Organizzazione della Resistenza Italiani (ORI), absorbed many of Pavone's volunteers and recruited many new agents, some from the Italian Royal Navy.

OSS assigned the ORI agents the task of working in concert with the Action Party, infiltrating the other partisan groups, and transmitting back military intelligence. OSS promised to continue to maintain and supply Craveri's counter-gang, and infiltrated them into the north in late 1943. In time, the secret ORI became the communications hub of the entire northern underground.

Meanwhile, in an OSS pincer movement to completely capture the Action Party plum, Allen Dulles from his haunt in Berne contacted the syndicalist vermin Ferruc-cio Parri toward the end of 1943. For the next year, according to Dulles, the Action Party political activist faker Parri made constant trips across the German-guarded border to see the OSS SI chief and SOE officer John McCaffery and his British colleagues. During this same 1943-44 period Dulles was immersed in an advanced stage of his "separate peace" negotiations (Operation SUNRISE) with the top Waffen SS leadership. As we shall see, the paths of these two Dulles undertakings would cross at the beginning of 1945 (if they hadn't already).

During this period, the emotionally unstable and demagogic Parri was emerging as the most prominent spokesman for the entire northern Resistance. This was in no small measure attributable to the fact that food supplies, weapons, and funds kept pouring into his Action Party from the Anglo-Americans while most of the other partisan groups were forced to beg, borrow, and steal their provisions.

While OSS-SOE built the Action Party's counterinsurgency insurgency, they continued to work with the (fascist) Italian Army's military intelligence service, the SIM. SIM was similarly used to penetrate and subvert the revolutionary partisan groups; examples were legion of SIM collaboration with the Nazis in fingering and exterminating Communist and socialist resistance units and leaders.

For another crucial aspect of the Anglo-American SS's counterinsurgency game plan, the subversion of the Italian Socialist Party, Nelson Rockefeller lent his blood-stained hand. The Rockefeller family had recruited the Italian socialist exile Serafino Romualdi to the staff of their flunky — labor lieutenant David Dubinsky of the International Ladies' Garment Workers Union (ILGWU). In 1942, Rocky tapped Romouldi for a counterinsurgency tour of duty with his CIAA in Latin America. In 1944, Rockefeller and Adolf Berle recommended Romualdi to OSS as "an advisor on Italian labor problems." This agent "extraordinaire" was still attached to the CIAA and Rockefeller however throughout the forthcoming period.

Romualdi arrived in Italy in June 1944, shortly after Allied forces finally drove the Germans out of Rome. "Special Agent" Romualdi, headquartered in the apartment of his brother-in-law Giuseppe Lupis, an Italian Socialist leader, set out to break the Socialist-Communist United Front by building a strong right wing faction in the PSIUP.

Romualdi used all the weapons at the counter-insurgents' command for this effort — novelist Ignazio Silone was smuggled back into Italy from his exile in Switzerland where he was in contact with Allen Dulles, funds were channelled from the Italian-American Labor
Council to Socialists willing to break with the CP-dominated General Labor Federation; Socialist resistance fighters who agreed to avoid any alliance with the Communist Party were provided scarce food and supplies. "We were preparing for the day which many of us regarded as inevitable — when the Communists would have to be opposed," was the way Romualdi slyly put it later in justification of his actions.

Baron Francesco Malffetti, the Socialist Party leader, also served as a special agent for OSS. Malffetti, who as of 1972 was Italian ambassador to France, was the secret transmission belt from the Socialist underground in Rome to Tompkins at OSS who passed on the information to the Allied Military command.

The treachery of Joseph Stalin was also of no small help to the Anglo-American SS in their drive to prevent the growth of a revolutionary resistance movement. On March 13, 1944 the Soviet Union, without consultation with Roosevelt or Churchill, granted full diplomatic recognition to the Badoglio government. Shortly thereafter, Palmiro Togliatti, the grizzled veteran Italian CP hack, returned to Italy from Moscow and offered to join the fascist-royalist cabinet. This pathetic Soviet accommodation to the Anglo-American SS, of course, badly split the CLN. Reactionary CLN leaders like Croce, American puppet Carlo Sforza rushed to enter the Badoglio cabinet. Togliatti was so grateful for this turn of events that he even vetoed the appointment of a Communist as War Minister! Within a few months another Croce-type CLN leader, Ivanhoe Bonomi, replaced Badoglio as head of the puppet Italian government and the King was forced to abdicate to his son.

Nevertheless, cabal leader Averill Harriman was not amused by this helpful Soviet "intrusion" into Anglo-American "territory." He wrote Cordell Hull: The Soviets should be made to understand that they have made an error in procedure which if continued will have serious repercussions on overall collaboration. We have a long and perhaps difficult road while the Soviets learn to behave in the civilized world community...If we don't [take firm steps]...with each incident we may look forward to a Soviet policy of playing the part of a world bully. [28]

The ORI espionage-countergang, meanwhile was busy infiltrating carefully selected CLNAI leaders and delegations to southern Italy to meet with the Allied command. In November 1944 Parri headed such a delegation with other Action Party leaders and key representatives from other CLNAI partisan groups which laid the groundwork for the Protocols of Rome. Then a month later Parri headed the CLNAI delegation which inked the Resistance Surrender.

Parri took his fellow CLNAI emissaries to celebrate with Allen Dulles in Switzerland. Dulles found them "considerably heartened by the show of Allied faith and support." Upon his return to his flat in Milan, Parri was suddenly arrested by the Nazis.

Although it is still not clear if Dulles had intentionally set Parri up, the arrest of his Action Party agent provided him with the opportunity to test the sincerity (and ability to deliver) of General Kurt Wolff, Nazi head of the SS in Italy, with whom he had reached near agreement in secret "separate surrender" negotiations by March 1945. Dulles presented the SS General with the "demand" that Parri be released.

Suspecting that Dulles was grooming Parri to be, as they put it, "the future strongman of Italy" the Germans readily granted this "demand" and the SS had one of their captains personally deliver him to Dulles in Switzerland. According to Dulles the emotional Parri "broke into tears and threw his arms around me." Dulles had no trouble convincing Parri to remain for the next few months in Switzerland, so as not to compromise his delicate negotiations with the SS by raising any eyebrows within the Italian Resistance.

Meanwhile mass strikes were erupting throughout Northern Italy. Dulles called a temporary halt to the clandestine separate peace negotiations with the Nazis, instructing his SS friend, General Wolff, to return to his Italian headquarters "to keep order and avert ruthless violence and destruction in Northern Italy."

A few days later, OSS agents Donald Jones and Emilio Daddario were ordered to rally their Action Party friends to effect the rescue of Wolff from his villa outside of Milan lest, as Dulles put it, "the partisans take their joyful moment of vengeance." As battles between Socialist partisans and Nazis flared in the streets, Daddario and his Italian syndicalist buddies similarly went to protect Gestapo chief Colonel Rauff at Gestapo headquarters in Milan. OSS finalized arrangements for Rauff's surrender to the Allied command. Anglo-American troops moved into the city the next day.

Faced with the reality of coordinated attack from both the new and old SS, Socialist partisans were soon forced after an heroic but short-lived struggle to relinquish their hold on Milan.

The Action Party was also active out in the field subverting the mass strike movement. These syndicalist creeps channelled the workers' rage into local factory issues and Balkanized the working-class movement on a town-by-town basis with the call for "local control." Having so suppressed the real political thrust of the workers movement, the Action Party counterinsurgent insurgents and the Anglo-American occupation forces
found it rather easy to convince the resistance to turn in its weapons to the Allied Military Government.

Shortly thereafter, the Action Party syndicalist counterinsurgents were to receive their reward for a job well done. Dulles released his agent, the childish Parri, to join with other Action Party leaders like Ugo LaMalfa (still today a leading Rockefeller political operative in Italy) to form a new Cabinet under AMG auspices and control. Anglo-American SS secret agents had emerged from out of the shadows into the sunlight. It is happening again today all over the world.

The Anglo-American-Nazi SS “separate peace” talks of March-April 1945 (they had been going on since at least 1942) provoked Stalin to momentarily stand up to the new SS conspirators.

Soviet espionage agents had gotten wind of the proceedings in Switzerland in which British General Terence S. Airey and American General Lyman Lemnitzer had joined Dulles, Wolff and Field Marshall Albert Kesselring. When Churchill discovered the Soviets’ knowledge of the talks, he took it upon himself to protect the Anglo-American SS conspirators’ cover. He invited the Soviets to send a representative to Berne. Churchill had reasoned that the Anglo-American SS would resume secret surrender negotiations elsewhere, “in a far more vital area than Italy. In this military and political questions will be interwined. The Russians may have a legitimate fear of our doing a deal in the West to hold them back in the East.” He saw no reason to blow future opportunities or expose the Anglo-Americans’ long conspiratorial record.

Since the Soviets were already aware of the Berne plotting; and since there was considerable question about Wolff’s standing with Himmler, Churchill had taken the bull by the horns. Churchill was looking ahead to the problem of the occupation of Germany.

The Anglo-American SS had gotten its signals crossed. The angry American patricians refused to grant the Soviets permission to send representatives to the Berne talks. After hinting they didn’t the Russians there at all, they cynically offered to tolerate Russian “observers” who would have no authority. Molotov insisted that the Berne discussions had been purely technical with “no political implications whatever.” Stalin ignored this obvious lie and sharply teorted that the Russians considered the whole matter a plot to ease the German’s position and permit their maneuvering of troops to the Eastern Front. He further claimed that the Anglo-American “negotiators” at Berne had reached agreement with Field Marshall Kesselring to permit the Anglo-American troops to shift eastward in return for easing the armistice terms.

Caught in their game, Roosevelt and Marshall could only express “astonishment,” and repeat that “the meeting had no political implications whatever.” To this end they reaffirmed the standard “unconditional surrender” cover line. Roosevelt then tried the tear-jerking “Dear Uncle Joe” approach: “Finally I would say this, it would be one of the tragedies of history if at the very moment of the victory, now within our grasp, such distrust, such lack of faith should prejudice the entire undertaking...”

Stalin was not moved. Citing the “strange and unaccountable” behavior of the Germans freely giving up cities in the West while fighting with 147 German divisions for every foot of land in the East, he insisted on the absolute necessity of Soviet participation in every surrender discussion. The Soviets had partially blown the conspiratorial agreement.

Competition within the top SS leadership also
prevented the consummation of the Dulles-Wolff separate peace deal. Himmler and his top SS aides, Schellenberg and Kaltenbrunner, were trying at the time, through Dulles and other OSS contacts, to swing their own "separate peace" agreement. They viewed Wolff's independent maneuvers with a jaundiced eye. Finally, in mid-April, Himmler moved to completely undercut the "renegade" SS Generals' Berne negotiations. Wolff, his hand forced, did the best he could to save his own skin by surrendering the SS and Wehrmacht military forces under his command in Italy to the Allies. On April 29, 1945, with Russians present, Wolff signed an unconditional surrender for Italy.

The Anglo-American SS still had plenty of irons in the fire of the on-going war against the Soviet Union and the working class. If the Soviets foolishly thought at the time that their first diplomatic counterattack against the new SS had achieved much, they should have looked in on the new SS-old SS "peace party" held on the evening of the Wolff surrender. "Former" CIA agent R. Harris Smith briefly describes the scene:

OSS officers flocked to Balzano, the headquarters of the SS and the Wehrmacht, to celebrate the peace. Amongst others, Gero V. S. Gaevernitz, Dulles' German advisor and an instrumental figure in the surrender negotiations, was there, exchanging champagne toasts with Wolff and his men.

The West German armed forces of 1974 are, in reality, an SS Counterinsurgency Military under Anglo-American command.

**Conclusion**

There are, for today, many lessons implicit in this brief account of the real history of the Second World War. For the Anglo-American SS, World War II never really ended. Antonio Spinola, the Real CIA's new fascist strong-man puppet in Portugal, merely blurted out this general CIA view when he recently stated, "The Second World War isn't over; there was only a ceasefire."

The new SS' war against the worldwide working class and the Soviet Union has now been sharply escalated in this conjunctural period. The Rockefeller-CIA conspiracy to impose totalitarian rule in Western Europe is in many fundamental ways a replay of their original Second World War (1943-1947) military occupation!

Through NATO, through their far-flung counter-insurgency network born during the war, and through their control over international financial institutions and cartels, the CIA cabal has continued to "democratically" occupy Western Europe throughout the post-war period.

By 1968, the new SS grip began to be threatened. The first signs of trouble were historically lawful. In 1967 the pound began to go under, and the first cracks in the Dollar Empire began to appear. The mass strikes that immediately followed in Western Europe reinvigorated the working class and put revolutionary politics once again on the agenda.

Mid-1971 brought the international monetary crisis, foreshadowing both the complete collapse of the capitalist economy and the Rockefeller-CIA showdown with the working class in North America and Western Europe. The rise in the 1967-1974 period of the first self-conscious revolutionary leadership since Luxemburg and Lenin, the NCLC and the ICLC, gave the working class a fighting chance.

Look around the world today. The syndicalist-fascist Peron re-installed by Rockefeller and the CIA in Argentina; Mao and Chou En Lai suckered into China's demise by the old OSS 1945 bait; Gaullist France...
succumbed to the long-standing new SS onslaught; Italian fascist-syndicalist forces “left” and “right” once again generated by the Anglo-American SS with their carabinieri in ready reserve and bourgeois democratic governments, including those in West Germany, Britain, Canada, and the U.S. looking more and more like *facsimiles* of the “democratic” puppet governments under Allied Military Government control during the occupation. That is, Greece, Italy, and Germany 1945-1947.

This time there will be no “economic take-off” — the chickens have obviously come home to roost on the Anglo-American post-war “solution” to the preceding break-down crisis. If the Rockefeller-CIA forces have their way, the advanced sector will become one vast “zero growth” deindustrialized wasteland. This is what John D. Rockefeller III lays out in his *The Second American Revolution*. It is what the Rockefeller Foundation and the Ford Foundation “population control” policies have anticipated for the last twenty years. The Rockefellers established the Club of Rome just to promulgate the fascist zero growth ideology and ready the population in the West for the kill.

In a cruel joke, the CIA’s Weathermen fascist counter-gang line is now official Anglo-American SS policy. Some capital will be moved to develop industrial *pockets* in the “Third World,” as the advanced sector is turned into a new giant underdeveloped world. Counter-insurgency insurgencies have already been launched by the Rockefeller-CIA conspirators to ready certain layers of the Asian, African and Latin American population for Anglo-American imperialist exploitation in the chosen industrial pocket areas. This is the meaning of the sudden bourgeois nationalist anti-imperialist ferment in Brazil, Thailand, Ethiopia, Mozambique and so forth.

As the advanced sector is presented the “zero growth” final solution, of course, the living standard of the “Third World” will be driven down still further. Ultimately, the new “proletarian” pockets will be completely cannibalized too as the Anglo-American SS looters return the entire world back to the Stone Age.

Who are the Anglo-American SS leaders prepared to carry out this madness? The very same patrician-financiers and elitist imperialists who planned and carried out the Anglo-American conspiracy during the Second World War! Men like Lord Rothschild, for example, one of the real powers behind the scenes in Britain today, who was SOE’s foremost sabotage expert during the Second World War. Nelson Rockefeller, Paul Nitze, John McCloy, John Gardner, David Bruce, etc. still lead the American section of the new SS.

The same banking interests are still hegemonic — David Rockefeller’s Chase Manhattan, the Morgan banks, Brown Brothers-Harriman, Dillon, Read, etc. Their Tavistock and OSS-CIA agents are still the key SS leaders out in the field.

David Halberstam, in his fine work, *The Best and the Brightest*, traces the historical evolution of the Stimson-Marshall patrician-financier cabal through the 1960’s and shows the historical continuity of their growth. He locates the newcomers to the inner circle. Men like McGeorge Bundy, whose father, Harvey, married a Lowell and then worked high up under Henry Stimson at the War Department. After helping Stimson write his memoirs, young Bundy joined Richard Bissel, his patrician professor at Yale during his undergraduate days, in Europe to help implement the Marshall Plan. When Bissel became head of Special Operations at the CIA, Bundy went with him again. The Bay of Pigs invasion was only one of their numerous jointly planned covert operations. Today Bundy heads the Ford Foundation, a leading Real CIA domestic counter-insurgency arm.

The real leaders of the Anglo-American SS cabal are a close-knit crew and high power positions tend to remain within the family. David Rockefeller, a youthful Army intelligence officer during the war, is now one of the most, if not the most, powerful strongmen in the Anglo-American SS. Michael Forrestal, son of the Secretary of Navy, is another top dog who played a critical behind the scenes role in planning the Vietnam war. William Bundy, McGeorge’s older brother, was (and is) one of the most powerful men in the CIA, where he was officially employed for eleven years. He now works under David Rockefeller at the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR), the main Anglo-American SS center for long-term fascist planning. Bundy edits CFR insider journal *Foreign Affairs*.

As the Second World War ceasefire was being declared, Tavistock’s mad “Nazi” Doctor John Rawlings Rees was in New York speaking to an audience of military and civilian psychiatrists. Rees candidly remarked:

If we propose to come out into the open and to attack the social and national problems of our day, then we must have shock troops and these cannot be provided by psychiatry based wholly in institutions. We must have mobile teams of psychiatrists who are free to move around and make contacts with the local area. (see Minnicino)

Rees’ 22-point program for the “application of military methods to civilian life,” presented in his lectures that constituted the book *The Shaping of Psychiatry By War*, became an Anglo-American SS Bible. The British-based Tavistock Institute has build a worldwide brain-washing network for Rockefeller and the CIA that is now
pivotal to their fascist plans.

In fact, the groundbreaking Anglo-American psychological and special warfare collaboration during the Second World War has grown enormously since that time. Stuart Alsop, an OSS officer and later CIA inside man, has written that the CIA and the British MI-6 virtually merged in the 1950's and the 1960's. He notes also the complete cultural homogeneity between the patrician Harvard-Princeton-Yale-educated leadership of the CIA (whom Alsop calls "the bold Easterners") and the elite imperialist "Oxford-educated" strata in command of MI-6.

Sir Kenneth Strong, a top British intelligence officer with SHAEF during the war, reveals in his book *Intelligence at the Top* that General Walter Bedell Smith offered him one of the top posts at the CIA when the latter became head of that agency in 1950. From the British side, Strong also describes the ongoing collaboration of British "Intelligence" with the CIA.

In the realm of special warfare the British remain the hegemonic force in the Anglo-American SS conspiracy. Readers will recall that the Americans called on Sir Robert Thompson to revamp their Vietnam special warfare strategy in the late 1960's. British General Frank Kitson, as Minnicino has shown, is doubtless the Field Marshall for the Anglo-American SS today.

It is one thing to generate a conspiracy during a period of aggravated wartime crisis. It is another matter altogether, as Rees' remarks suggest, to sustain and extend its dominion throughout the period of apparent bourgeois peacetime normalcy. As things settled down after the war, the new SS set about to expand its World War II nucleus into a new fascist state apparatus (largely independent of the traditional bourgeois government). In the process they would permeate and dissolve the old order preparing to emerge as the hard core of a new imperial dominion.

For the American side of the new SS, the CIA was designed to be the hub, the coordinating center for the transition to, and creation of, the new fascist state. In the process of realizing this goal the new SS would have to brutally push powerful bourgeois enemies aside and penetrate, permeate, and dissolve their power bases and institutional centers. For the United States this would eventually mean the virtual destruction of the traditional military-police structures and command; the dissolution of bourgeois institutions of the Presidency, the Congress, the Courts and the major political parties; and the phased annihilation of the strong conservative power center in the ruling class (e.g. McCarthy, the Hearsts, Nixon).

In the short space allotted here we can simply summarize in the briefest outline form the highlights in the development of the hub, the worldwide coordinating center for the Anglo-American SS fascist conspiracy, the Central Intelligence Agency.

Readers interested in detailed if crudely reductionist accounts are referred to L. Fletcher Prouty's *The Secret Team*, Wise and Ross' *The Invisible Government*, and Michael Klare's *War Without End*.

**Early Period From 1946**

A National Intelligence Authority and, under it, a Central Intelligence Group was established under the direction of leaders in the Stimson-Marshall War Department cabal. James Forrestal, Robert B. Patterson (Stimson's former Asst. Secretary and now Secretary of War), and Admiral Leahy appointed leading men from military intelligence including Rear Admiral Sidney W. Souers, Air Force General Hoyt S. Vandenberg, and Rear Admiral Roscoe H. Hillenkoeter to head the new Central Intelligence Group, the immediate precursor of the CIA. After a vicious fight with a suspicious Truman, a recalcitrant Congress, and a hostile FBI allied with the top military brass, the patrician financiers led by Dean Acheson and Nelson Rockefeller got the CIA off the ground when the National Security Act was finally passed in 1947.

The early CIA served the same function as Mountbatten's Combined Operations Authority. Special warfare units were established by the CIA in the Air Force (ARC Wings) and the Navy (SEALS), while the Army Special Forces at Fort Bragg were captured and expanded. Meanwhile, the CIA penetrated the Military Assistance Program (MAP) and began to train special warfare elite military units in scores of countries around the world.

The early CIA was something of a compromise with the traditionalist forces. With Roosevelt gone and Truman in the White House, the cabal was forced to subversively maneuver to get the kind of CIA they were really after. On January 1, 1949 Mathias Correa, a former OSS man and a special assistant to Forrestal, William H. Jackson, a wartime intelligence officer and New York investment banker, and Allen Dulles submitted a secret report to Truman which he summarily rejected and dismissed as the plan for the creation of a "National Gestapo."

Prouty, a former Air Force Lt. Colonel and Liaison officer to the CIA, describes the report in the following terms:

The Dulles-Jackson-Correa report was the CIA *Mein Kampf*. In this study, Dulles described exactly how he would lead the Agency from a low-key intelligence coordination center to a major power center in the U.S. Government, and in the process, how he would become
the closest adviser to the President. He foretold the existence of a vast secret intelligence organization, a top echelon clandestine operations facility at White House level, a hidden infrastructure throughout other departments and agencies of the Government, and the greatest clandestine operational capability the world had ever known, primarily based upon the exploitation of military manpower, money, and facilities all over the world. [29]

**The Dulles Takeover Period 1953-1961**

The victory by the new SS over MacArthur, McCarthy and other Babbitt-like right forces in the early 1950’s gave a big boost to the conspirators. It opened up some real room for maneuver. With Dulles’ ascension to the head of the CIA in 1953, the CIA “Mein Kampf,” the Jackson-Dulles-Correa report, became a working document. Governments began to be overthrown with some regularity (e.g. Iran 1953, Guatemala 1954) and the CIA made the generation of counterinsurgency insurgencies the order of the day.

Covert counterinsurgency operations began in Vietnam in 1954. From Vienna in 1956, Dr. John Rawlings Rees, under the cover of his World Federation for Mental Health, and Frank Wisner, the CIA Deputy Director, Plans (DDP, or chief of Special Operations), coordinated the counterinsurgency insurgency popularly known as the “Hungarian Revolution.”

In 1954, Nelson Rockefeller moved into the newly created post, the Special Assistant to the President for Foreign Affairs. This position, now known as Special Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs, is in reality the Anglo-American SS’ chief liason to the CIA. Since Rockefeller, Maxwell Taylor, McGeorge Bundy, and Henry Kissinger have occupied this position.

Also, in 1954, Rockefeller together with Dr. Milton Eisenhower founded the important Anglo-American SS domestic counterinsurgency front the Department of Health, Education and Welfare (HEW). This body, which Rockefeller in 1954 briefly served as Under-Secretary, was the first domestic coordinating apparatus for the Anglo-American SS brainwashing and “local control” operations.

The Dulles CIA also began to train fascist elite special police units in 1954 in over 40 countries, under the cover of the Office of Public Safety (OPS) of the Agency for International Development (AID).

In this period the CIA established a world-wide special warfare police training network under OPS auspices and soon created such notorious fascist counterinsurgency organizations as the South Vietnamese Special Police and the Brazilian Death Squads. The Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, officially set up in the U.S. in 1968, is modeled after these CIA foreign examples.

With 1960 came the CIA-created U-2 incident and preparations for the Bay of Pigs invasion. The Anglo-American SS counter-government had completely taken off.

**The Play For Keeps and Bring It All Back Home Period 1961-**

President Kennedy was initially sympathetic to the Anglo-American SS and its tendency. As a ruling class

scion he was himself on the fringes of the cabal, though
the new SS’ hatred for the “treachery” of his “renegade”
father kept him from the inner circles. Kennedy initially
pushed many new SS schemes like Green Beret Special
Forces “Waffen SS,” and the Mobilization for Youth
domestic counterinsurgency program.

After the CIA Bay of Pigs fiasco, Kennedy began to
fight the CIA increasingly. He booted Dulles, Bissell,
and other Anglo-American SS leading men at the CIA.
He halted new SS plans for another Cuban invasion in
1963. He tried desperately to pull in the reins on the
CIA’s Vietnam escalation plans. The evidence is over­
whelming that he paid for these efforts with his life.
Within days after Kennedy’s assassination, Maxwell
Taylor, McGeorge Bundy, Robert McNamara and other
leaders of the cabal greatly stepped up U.S. involvement
in Vietnam.

As the war in Indochina escalated, the Anglo­
American SS moved to create their domestic National
Gestapo police arm. In 1964 they established the
Community Relations Service of the Justice Department
which began community self-policing projects. Attorney
General Nicholas Katzenbach and his assistant Ramsey
Clark set up the President’s Commission on Law En­
forcement and Criminal Justice in July, 1965 which laid
the groundwork for the LEAA National Gestapo. The
Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act in 1968
made provisions for the LEAA which had, in fact, been
in new SS preparation since 1964.

McGeorge Bundy left his government post for the
Ford Foundation (FF) in 1966 to direct the upgrading of
the Anglo-American SS’ domestic fascist infrastructure.
The Rockefeller-controlled FF helped direct the further
penetration and subversion of the trade union movement
using Walter Reuther’s United Auto Workers (UAW) as
a base of operations. Soon leading trade unions were
giving all out support for CIA community control and
self-policing projects, brainwashing “mental health”
schemes, syndicalist co-participation speed-up plots,
and so forth. (see Freeman)

Since 1970 in the U.S. there has been a rapid fire
succession of major Anglo-American SS operations
designed to destroy constitutional government, soften
the population for fascism, and finalize preparations for
the new SS collateral state apparatus. In 1970 the CIA
launched the “Domestic Security Plan” which
established the “plumbers” operations usually at­
tributed to Nixon and his gaggle. 1971 saw the CIA’s
Pentagon Papers Hoax. This was followed by an all-out
assault on the Presidency in 1972, beginning with the
CIA set-up of Nixon in the Watergate affair, and the
push toward impeachment that followed. At the same
time new SS forces began an intensified campaign to
dismantle the big city political machines and break the
power of regular police departments and the FBI. This
paved the way for their LEAA “SS-D.” 1973 brought the
Great Oil Hoax and 1974 scores of new “special
operations.” 1974 is the year of decision.

There are no admission tickets to history: You cannot
get out of your skin. The ball is once again, as in the
early 1930’s, delicately balanced on top of a pyramid.
Which way it rolls, toward the realization of mankind’s
creative potentialities, or toward the complete
destruction of the human race, will in large part be
determined by which way you, and others like you, push
it. There is not much time left for your decision to
commit yourself to the creative potentialities of the
human race.

As Leon Trotsky once succinctly put it: “History is
moving very fast. Woe! to those who lag behind.”

The old SS pictured goosestepping above could
learn a thing or two from their modern American
Army Special Forces and Law Enforcement
Assistance Administration (LEAA) comrades.
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by Richard Freeman

Rocketeer "assists" labor policies
In the 1930's, in the United States, the Roosevelt administration introduced legislation for massive public works. The actual force that conceived and initiated these measures was John D. Rockefeller the First, John D. Rockefeller II and the cabal of capitalists and academic servants who worked with them.

The works programs were fascist. The Civilian Conservation Corps (CCC) was modeled on Mussolini's, produced in Italy in the 1920's. The Works Progress Administration (WPA) paralleled the free labor corps of Hitler. Roosevelt's National Recovery Administration (NRA), his money currency plan, initiated the rapid raising of prices to produce immediate capitalist profit and printed massive amounts of loans to be paid off through the slave-labor public works of workers, the future taxation of the working class and the plunder of territories (the U.S. west and South America). This was the plan of Hitler's fascist finance minister Hjalmar Schacht in Germany 1933-35.

The fascist-model public works recycling programs introduced on a model scale in the U.S. in the 1930's and the corporativist management-labor war boards for austerity instituted with dictatorial power in the United States in 1941-45, both developed and worked out by the Rockefellers, are the germs of the fascist plans the vicious Rockefellers are building for full implementation in the United States and throughout the world today. This time there will be no "prosperity" of the 1950's and 1960's to follow, only the death of hundreds of millions of humans.

To secure the basis for fascism, the Rockefellers began a maneuver to take control of the world's labor movement, beginning especially during the Second World War and immediately after it. They have succeeded in the United States. The Rockefellers have achieved CIA control of big groups of labor unions in South America, Africa and Asia, and right after World War II took over a series of unions in Europe. The announcement that Irving Brown, a chief CIA operative in the labor movement in the U.S. for international affairs, was recently dispatched to Europe may indicate an activation of CIA agents and stepped-up CIA activity in the European labor movement as the Rockefellers move to impose a NATO dictatorship in Europe.

The Rockefeller strategy has employed behavior modification of labor and large amounts of violence. It has used both left, right and center elements, such as, in the United States, the bloody work of CIA-allied reactionaries George Meany and Jay Lovestone and the equally depraved counterinsurgency work of Walter Reuther, Leonard Woodcock and Jerry Wurf.

1. **LUDLOW MASSACRE — ROCKEFELLERS' DAMASCUS ROAD**

The evolution of Anglo-American fascism has undergone several successive phases. One of them was the early imposition of paternalistic control on the growing Rockefeller empire. Sheer size forced Rockefeller to consider the question of social control. His early paternalism told him to have a hand in every aspect of his company's work and keep up a strong military, authoritarian profile that struck awe in the dominated, mystical subject population. But the growth of the Rockefeller concern made him an easy target for attacks. Plus, early sociology taught him that aggressive authoritarian figures can be aggressively resisted.

The period of roughly 1870-1913 was one of accelerated economic growth in the United States. Real industry was built. The agricultural population was assimilated into the proletarian work force. The large capitalist enterprises were built; the Rockefellers were one of them.

They made their money in the Standard Oil combine. They also went into banking, coal, rails and so forth. The Standard Oil concern was supposed to be busted up by anti-trust legislation. It never was. Rockefeller bought large shares of stocks of the different Standard branches through foundations which he set up. This was one of the purposes of the early foundations.

The early Rockefeller view of peacefully gobbling up the world, which John D. Rockefeller Sr. and Jr. held along with several other barons, was rudely jolted by the period of the 1910's. The world economy, which it had been presumed would blithely proceed well even though there had been previous recessions and depressions in the last 40 years, reached a rotting point of stagnation in 1913. National economies fell apart. The U.S. was among them. Strikes broke out in the 1912-15 period, led by socialists who fundamentally didn't give a hoot for the existing unions and led strikes in mass-strike groups even if those happened to be unions. The Wobblies (IWW) organizing the United Mine Workers in the west and the socialist leaders of the Lawrence mass strike on the east coast, followed these principles. They faced very hard situations.

On Sept. 23, 1913, nine thousand miners went out on strike for UMW union recognition in the coal fields of Southern Colorado. The major stockholder and directors
of the biggest of the struck companies, the savage Colorado Fuel and Iron Company which owned 18 mines, was the Rockefeller family. The fact that prompted the strike was that workers had to grind out 12 hours per day, 7 days per week, of brutal work in lung-infesting mines that frequently collapsed, and were paid wages hardly enough for their families to live on (that were cut by the bloody Rockefellers at whim).

The miners were huddled into company towns common among the "philanthropist" Carnegies, Mellons, and Rockefellers in that period, which were known as camps. They and their families were shoved into company owned hovels, breeding grounds for tuberculosis, from which they could be evicted on three days' notice. Their money was stolen from them at company stores in exchange for non-nutritious food. The schools and churches and their activities were company run. Quite literally one's thoughts were supervised as Rockefeller employed spies to hide in the camp to report subversive people.

The camps were closed, which meant that no public highway ran out of them. They were patrolled by Rockefeller employee-goons, who were deputized marshalls by the local sheriff.

On Oct. 17, 1913 after miners and deputy sheriffs exchanged gun fire, the deputies drove an armored car through the miners' living quarters and riddled them with machine gun bullets, killing several.

A congressional hearing was called to investigate the killings, questioning John D. Rockefeller Jr. (the second). Rockefeller sadistically said that his interest in keeping the camps non-union meant that, "we expect to stand by the officers at any cost...rather than allow outside people to come in and interfere with employees who are so thoroughly satisfied."

The Rockefeller smut sheet, the New York Times, praised Rockefeller's murderous statements. As a reward for his testimony in defense of the killings, John D. Rockefeller Sr. sent his son 10,000 shares of Consolidated Fuel and Iron stocks.

On an April evening in 1914, 35 militia men-thugs attacked striking miners and their families in a tent colony in Ludlow.

The militia captured the colony and set it on fire. Several strikers were killed, but the full extent of the violence was not revealed until the next morning, when eleven men and women were discovered miserably suffocated in the bottom of a cave where they had sought refuge from the machine gun fire.

This incident of Rockefeller's butchery became known as the Ludlow Massacre.

Workers throughout the U.S., in strike ferment being directed by socialists, reacted with strong anger. The newspapers heavily criticized the atrocity.

Under intense public pressure, Rockefeller calculatingly concluded, "I determined that in so far as lay within my power, I would seek means of avoiding the possibility of similar conflicts...arising in the future." [2]

In this, Rockefeller moved to establish some of the first work in behavior modification, brainwashing and corporatism as a means to acquire control of populations but avoid the more overt coercive methods of control that brought bad publicity and difficult, sometimes potentially revolutionary, situations. He resolved to keep the use of violence and terror, but would decide scientifically when to use them covertly and when to apply them nakedly against workers as an object lesson.

Methods for Mind Control

Rockefeller looked around for methods by which to manage populations by exploiting their ideology.

The work done by Frederick Taylor in the 1890's and 1900's discovered ways to make workers work faster, but was overly simple. Taylor had measured the movements of workers and discovered what the inefficient ones were. He then designed work arrangements that eliminated the superfluous steps. He did an experiment with a worker named Schmidt, where he directed Schmidt to follow his instructions precisely and output was increased in loading tons of steel from 12 to 48 tons a day. Taylor called this "time-motion" studies. Taylor viewed thinking as a superfluous step.

Ludlow had demonstrated that thinking among workers cannot be eliminated through normal methods. Viciously, inhumanly, Rockefeller decided to subvert it and eliminate it through drugs and lobotomies.

As early as 1913, Rockefeller had engaged Dr. Thomas Salmon for the Rockefeller Foundation. Salmon was then a leader of the National Committee on Mental Hygiene, which the Rockefellers then soon took over. Salmon believed that a percentage of the population is feeble-minded and defective (just as John Rees later preached, for whom Salmon was a "mentor") and should be sterilized. For the feeble-minded slave-labor jobs are recommended (just as with Rees); this is the psychological cover for the technologically backward, mind-ruining, and death-producing massive slave-labor works programs in especially the underdeveloped sector, but also in parts of the U.S. For the non-enceekled, thinking, Rockefeller social science stooges found ways to exploit prejudices and psychologically based beliefs (ideology) and use them to rule the mind.
A deep-seated need to feel cared for, to feel respectful of and reconciled with authority if one could be part of it—these findings were made along with the psychological guilts and weaknesses they rested on in groups and individuals. Ways were then found to manipulate workers to think and feel (unreally) that they actually controlled what happened in a company, when they of course didn’t. This primitive base of manipulation, disgustingly described as Human Relations or Welfare Capitalism by Rockefeller and his scum associates, was later made more sophisticated by Rees.

Rockefeller and his cronies described it in the following way: “the fundamental idea of welfare capitalism is that the only solidarity natural in industry is the solidarity that unites all those in the same business establishment.” Frightened, he noted, “to say there is no way out except through constant warfare between Labor and Capital is an unthinkable counsel of despair.”

In 1915, the Rockefeller Foundation hired W.L. MacKenzie King, who had studied ways to crush workers in Chicago, Toronto and Harvard Universities, to be the director of the Foundation’s economic research department. (King went on to become a Prime Minister of Canada.) He established a plan for company unions, known as the Rockefeller Plan.

Under the plan, applied first at the Colorado Iron and Fuel Co., every 150 workers elects a representative. The representatives meet with management in a district conference that has joint committees on industrial cooperation and conciliation, safety, sanitation and education. Workers are then manipulated to believe that their disputes are settled democratically by a tri-partite body that consists of labor, management and the Industrial Coal Commission (which receives its appointment effectively through the capitalists). The company union and its “representation system” had no authority to strike, no strike funds and was forbidden to link up its union with workers in other firms.

The plan was introduced into the Colorado Iron and Fuel Co. in 1915 with a large psychological buildup. Intensive discussion sessions were held by King with key workers, Rockefeller made a two-week tour of the mining camps “to talk with the miners” and an article appeared by Rockefeller in the Atlantic Monthly entitled “Labor and Capital Partners,” and press coverage was given on the Rockefeller Plan to sell it to the workers in the camp and throughout the country. The United Mine Workers were not allowed to organize in the camps the courts ruled, as a result of the strike of 1914. In late 1915, the Rockefeller Plan passed by a wide margin.

The conniving sham of the representation of the murderous Rockefeller plan was demonstrated when the guarantee for no pay cuts was disregarded and a brutal 30 per cent cut made in the depression of 1921. The company union plan was worthless for workers, and the company recognized this by forcing them to sign in 1919 yellow dog contracts which stated that they would not organize for or become members of the United Mine Workers.

To spread the detestable plan to his firms, Rockefeller then hired the services of Clarence J. Hicks, whom the business magazine Fortune noted as “one of the shrewdest oppositionists organized labor ever found in the 1920’s because he never met the trade unions head on.”

To not meet trade unions, real events or psychological problems head on is of course one of the features of the manipulative psychology.
Hicks, who had organized for programming of labor at International Harvester, was to oversee the Rockefeller oil companies, and organized a company union at Standard Oil of New Jersey, imposing it from the top down.

Rockefeller the Second began to push the savage "human relations" plan as the best way to get more productivity. He spoke at the YMCA Industrial Conference in 1916 pushing this theme. In 1917, he spoke at a Cornell University Founder's Day convention on "The Personal Relations in Industry." In 1918, he was a featured speaker before 4,000 top businessmen gathered for the War Emergency and Reconstruction conference on the topic "Representation in Industry."

These unscrupulous methods were then spread to other firms. In 1919, MacKenzie King installed the Rockefeller Plan at General Electric and Bethlehem Steel. In 1921, Rockefeller formed a permanent corps of industrial Nazi doctors. By 1926, this corps had grown in size and was made the Industrial Research Counselors, Inc., the only organization of that kind in the U.S. The IRC pushed a "democratic" employee stock ownership plan, because as one programmer said, as he had learnt from his studies, "it makes the worker a capitalist in his viewpoint and this renders him a conservative and immune from radical ideas," and produces greater worker productivity, which is the aim.

The IRC spread from 1926. Over the years, on its board of directors and among its officers were Walter Teagle, the president of Standard Oil of New Jersey. Teagle, a key Rockefeller man, came up with the work scheme in the depression, by which a labor gang hired itself out for a fixed fee, required that the workers keep the number of people on the gang low and speed themselves up terrifically, or hired a large number of people and reduced the income to very low levels. Others were the Dean of Business at the Wharton School, the president of Standard Oil of California, etc.

The Industrial Relations Counselors carried out studies on unemployment insurance in the U.S., Britain and Belgium during the 1930's to see how best to carry out unemployment during a period of crisis to keep a population subservient and in the most efficient cost-cutting manner. During the Second World War, it did a study, "Union Maintainence Under the War Labor Board" to see how to use unions to keep discipline within the working class.

Rockefeller also took an advanced capitalist viewpoint and began to direct industrial relations on campuses.

As early as 1908, Rockefeller started the Harvard Business School with a grant from the General Education Board, a Rockefeller front group. The president of Harvard then was Charles Elliot, who later became a Trustee at the Rockefeller Foundation. The first head of the Business School division was Edwin Gay, a Rockefeller flunky who later became the president of Cornell. Rockefeller forces also instituted in this period the insidious industrial relations schools at Yale (1921), Princeton (1922), and the University of Chicago, founded by Rockefeller and controlled through granting it $32,000,000 in its first fifteen years.

The Rockefeller cabal was also directing the work of "pioneers in social science" around which schools of thought would be built by deluded, degenerate bodies of professional academics. One such scum is Elton Mayo, who was brought by Rockefeller to the Harvard Business School in 1926 under National Research Council grants, a Rockefeller front group.

Mayo did some of the first studies in industrial "Strength through Joy," the Nazi Party philosophy that induces workers to internalize the need to increase work with the belief that they get a spiritual joy through this that replaces material needs (decent wages, good housing, etc.).

Mayo addressed his first study to combatting the problem of industrial group disorganization (the problem of anomie). He found that if workers could be taught to believe that someone cared about them, appeared to be making changes for them, and had superficial discussions with them, the group became less disorganized, workers thought they were happier and output went up. The infamous experiment Mayo did for the Rockefellers at the Hawthorne Western Electric plant, financed through the Laura Spellman Rockefeller Memorial Fund, a Rockefeller front group, made changes in the plant over a period of years (including lunch breaks, shortening days, giving hot lunches and taking them away, etc). Finally, through changes conditions were returned to an earlier level. Mayo found that by conditioning the workers to believe that he had shown concern for them by discussing things with them, after each change production went up and that by the end of the experiment when conditions had been returned to what they were at the beginning, production, because of the conditioning, was 20 per cent above what it had been at the beginning of the experiment.

II. THE AMASSING OF FORCES

The period of the 1920's was one of a depression in 1921, false prosperity through the decade, with the bloating of valueless paper wealth, and an entire collapse-depression in 1929, which then got worse.

The moderate mass upsurge of 1919 culminated in the government Palmer raids against socialists. The
Communist Party was formed out of the left-wing of the Socialist Party in the early 1920's and won small amounts of followers. The Rockefellers used this period to gain broader acceptance of their behavior modification of labor plans and win checks on and influence over an incredibly stupid and treacherous trade-union leadership in the American Federation of Labor.

The number of capitalist firms that adopted the Rockefeller plan for brainwashing-company unions grew, especially among large concerns, which had previously outlawed unions. From 690,000 workers yoked under the plan in 1922, there were 1,547,766 workers in companies with the plan in 1928. Sixty-three per cent of these plants had more than 15,000 employees. Only a small number of the firms used large amounts of behavior programming — some still used the old hard-line methods of control.

By the mid-1920's there were over a dozen of the U.S.'s largest industrial firms under Rockefeller Plan direction and they were pushing at conferences for the application nationwide of the fascist plans they had instituted in their plants.

William Leiserson, a respected "expert," wrote in 1928, that, "Employee Representation means that management has substituted constitutional for autocratic government." He made clear what personnel management-human relations was all about — "a method by which employers manage their employees."

The AFL was little problem for Rockefeller to control. Samuel Gompers, the AFL's first president, helped form in the early 1900's the National Civic Federation, a semi-fascist organization. It believed in the supremacy of employers and the benevolence of capitalism and helped break strikes, recruit vigilantes and conduct attacks against the working class. Among the NCF's leaders were Mark Hanna of the U.S. Steel Corporation and such Rockefeller flunkies as Charles Elliot, member of the Board of Trustees of the Rockefeller Foundation and president of Harvard. On its executive committee — not surprisingly, as we shall see later — was Franklin D. Roosevelt.

During the First World War, Gompers championed the vicious War Labor Board (in part conceived by the NCF) that crushed labor. He also collaborated with President Wilson to break up the socialist movement.

Business unionist Gompers actually came out openly for fascism in the 1920's. He thought positively of Benito Mussolini. In articles such as an "Analysis of Fascism," which he published in the American Federationist, the organ of the AFL, in November 1923, Gompers said that Italian fascists and American trade unionists shared a common set of assumptions.

Another key high-ranking AFL leader was Matthew Woll, who shared similar views. Woll supported corporativism, a form of fascism to be fully explained further on, in which industry rules through cartels or trade councils, or chambers. Woll called for an economic and industrial chamber, "in which all factors in an industry will be fairly represented and which will determine the rules and regulations that industries will impose upon themselves" and the working class.

In the period just before the Second World War, Woll, John L. Lewis, greatly beloved by the ignorant left, and Herbert Hoover, were in a committee that encouraged Hitler to attack the Soviet Union. During the war and after it, Woll was a key person in the Free Trade Union Committee which functioned for and with Rockefellers' CIA (and its predecessor groups') funds and direction. Other AFL leaders, some mentioned in connection with the founding of the CIO were equally wretched.

During this time, not only did the AFL participate in every anti-worker practice befitting a disgusting craft mentality, but also experimented with worker co-participation. By 1929, it had co-participation union-management committees in the street railway service, the paper industry and the railroads. The National Executive Council of the AFL proudly proclaimed in 1929 that in some shops, "the union is performing the work a foreman...and other matters of equal importance." This debasing venture in co-participation was modest and at its height included 75,000 railway workers.

The stock market crash of 1929 brought a rapid decrease in AFL membership and jobs.

In the 1930's, the AFW supported every Rockefeller scheme, except for a while in 1934, when it opposed the NRA because it threatened craft unions.

III. THE DRY RUN FOR THE 1970's

In the 1930's, the AFL supported every Rockefeller slight rise out of the depression between 1934-37 and then re-depression prevented from getting serious only by war production for Europe and then U.S. rapacious involvement in the Second World War.

The Communist Party and socialist caught and organized the rise of the mass strike upsurges that had been brewing and finally broke out in 1934. They for a while directed it in a revolutionary direction and temporarily captured the CIO into the process. However, the stunning stupidity, cowardliness and lack of understanding of ideology by the socialist leaders permitted the strike wave to be derailed into the camp of Roosevelt-Rockefeller's corporativist policies by 1936-37, and then criminally buried by these same despicable leaders.
In the 1930's, Rockefeller moved to fascist policies through the Roosevelt administration, which he supported in the elections of 1932. The Rockefellers and Roosevelts were two wealthy families that had made changes away from the strictly autocratic methods for rule of the old line capitalists. They were prepared to use new “democratic” forms of mass manipulation to create fascist economic rule in a depression. The opposition who formed the Liberty League for such old-line business methods as GM, the DuPonts and the Mellons, did not understand this well, and often incorrectly and foolishly accused Roosevelt and the Rockefellers of being anti-business.

The Roosevelts were one of the most barbarous families. Franklin Roosevelt was a member of the Executive Committee of the semi-fascist National Civic Federation and his vile moral character is typified by the fact that in the 1930's he despicably refused to support an amendment that would have outlawed the savage lynching of blacks.

One of the chief figures for Rockefeller in the 1930's was Gerard Swope, president of General Electric. General Electric in the 1920's had asked Rockefeller's industrial expert-stooge, MacKenzie King to institute behavior modification at GE plants. This brought GE closer to the Rockefeller cabal, but what actually brought them into the cabal was Owen D. Young who was chairman of the board of GE, a trustee of the Rockefeller Foundation from 1928-39 and a close personal friend of Swope.

Swope demanded programs straight out of Mussolini's plan for killing workers. In Italy in the 1920's, trade associations, cartels and syndicates were organized into what was called “corporations.” The corporations formed into a council (sometimes known as a chamber) to rule the state, with localist workers councils integrated into the structure to give workers token representation. This system of “corporatism” is the basis for worker-management co-participation schemes.

The corporativist state was ruled by banks and capitalists and policed by fascist goons. The main feature of Italian fascism and even more so of German and every fascism is its labor policy — the unlimited recycling of workers, unrestricted by any bourgeois democratic restraints, into ever lower wage levels and higher speedup. In Germany, this resulted by 1934 in 60 hour weeks, with intense, fierce, speedup resulting in deaths and mutilating accidents and wages one-third lower than they were in the depths of 1929 in Germany. Only outward looting of other country's work forces and industries through war and building a war economy, stopped German fascism from self-cannibalization.

Swope drove for trade-associations “as the natural organization to study the economic elements of each industry.” He phrased his call for corporativist fascism in this way: the trade associations would be “the foundation stones upon which to erect the superstructure of the national economic council. This might be created by bringing together officers, or duly elected representatives of these various associations.”

Swope became one of the most outspoken American industrial leaders for corporativist Fascism although he discreetly avoided labeling it as such. This point was well understood by those who were making proposals for the economy in the 1930's. Donald Richberg who worked on the draft of the National Recovery Administration...
(discussed below) remarked about the fascist manpower provisions of the NRA. Sulzberger of the New York Times, the Daily News and the Bank of America all supported fascism along with many other capitalists and their tools.

In 1931, Swope wrote the Swope plan for outlawing anti-trust laws. It became the basis of the National Recovery Administration. To guarantee the corporatist character of the NRA, Rockefeller toady Lewis W. Douglas was one of the four men who drafted the legislation. Douglas was a member of the board of trustees of the Rockefeller Foundation for every year from 1935-1960 but three.

The NRA was the overriding economic plan for the policies of John Keynes and Hjalmar Schacht: spread cartelization by eliminating anti-trust laws, raise prices for immediate capitalist profits, and increase the money supply by floating large quantities of loans. Make the loans good from low wage regimented labor programs, present and future taxation of the working class and plunder and loot of raw materials and labor ofrapable “underdeveloped sectors.” For Germany, the “underdeveloped sectors” were the industrial areas of Poland, Czechoslovakia and eventually the rest of Europe, conquered through war. For the U.S., the areas were Latin America (along with the U.S. west), conquered with bloodshed but also relatively peacefully through the Good Neighbor Policy. Here again Rockefeller took charge, for during this time the Rockefeller cabal was taking Latin America over and turning it into their plantation. Proof of this fact is that in the 1940’s during the war, the Inter-American Intelligence Service, an intelligence agency for South America parallel to the operations of the OSS, was under complete Rockefeller control with Nelson Rockefeller taking over its directorship.

The slave-labor in the U.S. needed to back up the NRA financial plan was obtained from the WPA, the CCC, and the PWA.

Billions of dollars were invested in the PWA to have schools, hospitals, roads and libraries built. The hundreds of thousands of workers under this program were paid actual wages below that of a WIN recipient of today. The Civilian Conservation Corps employed hundreds of thousands of youth at slave-labor jobs reforesting. The youths were resettled in wooded locations. The Works Progress Administration (WPA) became the major apparatus for labor-intensive work after 1935.

Programs now used in the McNamara (Rockefeller) Plan for looting the Third World were used. The slave-labor project, the Tennessee Valley Authority was created in May 1933. It involved the building of a multi-purpose dam on the Muscle Shoals properties of the Tennessee River. It operated on low quality coal provided by strip-mining. The project permitted the relocation and mobilization of desperate labor to electric power and fertilizer to run a labor-intensive regional economy. The big pushers of the plan were key Rockefeller personnel and banker David Lillienthal, who became chairman for several years.

“Social Experiments” were tried. Workers were arranged into cooperative committees where co-participation was practiced and discussion on what the unit would produce the next day was held. Behavior modification experts did studies on inner motivation and group relations and used them to increase the amount of work turned out.

The TVA project was exported to India, Brazil, Mexico and Venezuela after the Second World War. Some of the TVA supervisors went to work on these projects.*

Concurrent with these Hitlerian works programs, wages in the factories were brutally low, there were two and three day work weeks, with intense speedup, little job security, massive unemployment and death through starvation. Farm incomes were tragically low.

Then in 1937, despite all these stop-gap measures the U.S. re-entered the depression with full force. Only war production, first for Europe (through lend-lease and cash-carry) and then for the U.S. raised up the economy and halted the imposition of a full-scale fascist government in the U.S. — that is, with the elimination of all bourgeois democracy, full-scale recycling and the gassing of all those workers who had been worked and starved to the bone.

**Rockefeller Builds the CIO**

One of the provisions of the NRA was that workers were guaranteed the right to belong to unions (section 7a). Not only did Rockefeller and Roosevelt flunkies write that into legislation, but members of the Business Advisory Committee — including Winthrop Aldrich, brother-in-law of John D. Rockefeller the Second and officer of the Chase National Bank, Gerard Swope of GE, Averill Harriman of Union Pacific — endorsed its renewal vigorously in 1935. When the Supreme Court declared the NRA unconstitutional, the capitalist “think-tank” Twentieth Century Fund, behavior

*As mentioned, the concept of building communities off water ways is used by the McNamara plan today and the James Bay resettlement scheme, recently exposed in New Solidarity is conducted with two million dollars of U.S. TVA funds.
moderator William Leiserson, Walter Teagle of Standard Oil, et al., stepped forward to support the Wagner Act that guaranteed union elections and outlawed company unions. This act was ruled constitutional by the Supreme Court in March, 1937.

The Rockefeller aligned forces understood that not only were industrial unions not inherently revolutionary, but in fact could be integrated into an industrial corporativist structure. They were a means by which to exert capitalist control and regulation over a working class that might turn socialist if organized by socialists.

This can be seen from the fact that as early as 1926 Swope had asked AFL president Green to organize GE, by consolidating several craft unions into one industrial union of electrical workers. Swope saw it as "the difference between an organization that we could work on a business-like basis with and one that could be an endless source of difficulties."

Companies that had organized company unions in this period simply changed their unions into industrial unions, thus the Standard Oil company unions became the Oil Workers International Union, predecessor of the Oil, Chemical and Atomic Workers Union of today.

In those areas that were unorganized, the Rockefeller-aligned forces saw that industrial unions under their influence were necessary. By 1935, it had become evident that Communist organizing of the strike wave was giving the CP considerable growing influence.

As a measure to co-opt the organizing of the Communist Party, in October 1935, some of the most corrupt labor leaders in the country met to plan out the formation of the CIO. A review of some their histories reveals precisely what the CIO was.

One of the people the Rockefellers went after to organize to steer the labor movement into corporatism was Phillip Murray, a leader of the United Mine Workers Union, who was made head of the Steel Workers Organizing Committee in 1936, until it became the Steel Workers Union in 1942. Murray was also president of the CIO between 1940 and 1952.

Stooge Murray recorded in the 1940's his views on worker pro-corporativist organizing in Organized Labor and Production: Next Steps in Industrial Democracy. The book was co-authored by Morris L. Cooke, a prominent behavior modifier of labor and consultant engineer. In it they called for the integration of unionism and scientific management. Simple collective bargaining was becoming obsolete and they required greatly increased participation of union representatives in matters of plant and business management as well as in industrial economics. It requested the placing of workers' representatives on the company's board of directors, the call for later-day fascist mitbestimmung. Murray advocated a central state planning mechanism with worker participation to insure increased production, very much like Swope.

Even by 1938, Murray was instrumental in the purge of Communists that took place in the UAW. He was a prominent spokesman in favor of war board controls.

Murray's right hand man was Clinton Golden, a high-ranking leader of the Steel Workers Organizing Committee from 1936. Scum Golden, who became a vice-president of the Steel Workers Union was by 1947 on the editorial board of Tavistock's Human Relations a select group of cold-hearted bastards. In an article he wrote in Advanced Management in 1941, a sophisticated journal, Golden coyly blustered that "management should discard its pretensions of infallibility [and] grant labor the opportunity of full participation," in production.

One of Golden's activities in the SWOC was to spread co-determination right from its beginning in 1936. Golden oversaw the application of a plan devised by Joe Scanlon, one of the SWOC's minor leaders. Scanlon was the president of one of the SWOC's locals in the midwest. In 1936, as the plant was about to close down, Scanlon, came up with a blood-sucking plan for profit sharing and co-determination. Workers were given a base-scale pay and then something above that was tied to how well the company's profits did. Workers were given sessions in which they were conditioned by Scanlon into accepting the plan. There were months when workers took home only their inadequate base-scale pay and sometimes took a cut in that to permit the company to operate. This damaged the nutritional and educational level of their children.

Nonetheless production rose! The Rockefeller faction, Murray, Golden and Scanlon, observed with glee how well the plan worked. It was written up and publicized in newspapers. The plan was a direct tool to prevent revolutionary organizing from growing that would topple the Rockefeller empire and the depression. Today the Scanlon plan is used as the basis for the Organizational Development (OD) network which is brutally enforced by behavior modification institutes in over 100 plants, especially in back-breaking, death-producing auto plants.

Scanlon went on from his position in the steelworkers' Rockefeller tool union to become a teacher at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology school.

Meanwhile, Golden, his overseer, after developing work at Tavistock, served in 1948 as the Labor Director stationed in Washington of the butcherous Marshall Plan (more on the Marshall Plan below). After a stop at
the Harvard Trade-Union Fellowship to teach counter-insurgency in the labor movement, Golden went on to serve his capitalist masters as a member of the Ford Foundation.

A quick review of the period reveals that Roosevelt organized the large steel combines to accept SWOC organizing (the settlement was known as "big steel"). Roosevelt personally met with the heads of U.S. Steel, and others, to convince them to accept the SWOC because he knew it was controlled by such corporativist swine as Murray, Golden et al. The latter Little Steel strike of 1937 against the smaller steel companies therefore may seem misleading. Why was it necessary? It appears to have been caused by the intransigence of the more backward smaller firms and by the need for the Rockefellers to provoke a cold-blooded slaughtering of workers at a well-chosen worker weak point demonstrating the cabal's vicious power. The steelworkers suffered a crushing, death-laden defeat. The SWOC leaders, possibly on Rockefeller orders, had told the strikers that the National Guard who came to break the strike, "are your friends."

Subsequent history of the Steel Workers Union, to use it as an example, shows that it has been under Rockefeller control through the 1940's, 1950's, 1960's, and today. I.W. Abel, the current Rockefeller-selected leader of the union, pushes increased co-determination for steelworkers' committees; has lead conferences sponsored by industrial brainwashing schools (such as the Cornell Industrial and Labor Relations school for instance) to call for no-strike pledges; is a member of the Rockefeller-created Automation House, along with brainwashers Kenneth Clark and others; and sends his members to brainwashing schools.

Members of the Steel Workers' Union are turning out more steel than in 1969, with only two-thirds the workforce of that year, an intense speedup. However, no particular union leader, just as no puppet dictator in a South American country, is sacred, and Abel could be removed by Rockefeller-sponsored CIA Affirmative Action caucuses for preferential hiring and caucuses, formed by paid CIA agents in the United Steel Workers.

Rockefeller had other dependable pimps to form the CIO. One was Sidney Hillman.

In 1911, Hillman had introduced a no-strike agreement into the bargaining between his Amalgamated Clothing Workers and Hart, Schafner and Marx company. As early as 1920, he had introduced into the Amalgamated Clothing Workers statutes for management cooperation, dreaded piece rates and "labor peace." In a New York Evening Post article of 1920, an employer who had been having severe labor problems reports that after he signed with the ACW, the Hillman leadership gave its permission to dismiss "hostile workers" and "with their sanction we dismissed every man in the shop and are building up a new force."

By the 1930's Hillman was in full favor of corporativism, with calls for economic planning through employer economic planning councils. He boasted support for Rockefeller-Roosevelt's fascist NRA, and in 1935, he despicably proclaimed that workers had an economic "interest with employers in the successful operation of an establishment," a set-up for union-management co-participation in slashing wages. Hillman was used by the Rockefeller forces to sell NRA
to other labor leaders. During this time one of Hillman's friends and chief advisors was Robert Soule, a capitalist.

Yet another CIO leader was odious David Dubinsky, pompous little conniving scum, who needed constant praise and ass-kissing, thought of himself as a little father for the hundreds of thousands of needle-trades and rack-pushing workers whom he treated like slaves, some today not earning even $100 per week for 40 hours of work in the ILGWU plantation system Dubinsky set up. Yet Dubinsky always attended the garment companies cocktail parties and dinners and spoke of the cooperation he brought into the industry, which meant the brutal conditions of the garment workers. During the war Dubinsky conducted red purges, and hired State Department agent Jay Lovestone for that purpose.

Dubinsky's union became, with explicit arrangement with the OSS, an operating force of the OSS in the 1940's. During and after the war, OSS and CIA agents in labor were drawn largely from the ILGWU and the UAW. Among those are Jay Lovestone and William Gomberg, who was a time-study behavior modifier professor at Columbia University at the same time that he was the educational director for the ILGWU, was one of the creeps used to implement the butcheroius Marshall Plan in Europe. He now works with Tavistock and Eric Trist at the Wharton School at the University of Pennsylvania where, among Gomberg's chores, is his direct advisor role to self-proclaimed national socialist CIA agent Ed Schwartz. (Schwartz in 1973 attempted to whip up race riots in Philadelphia to stop the founding convention of the North American Unemployed and Welfare Rights Organization.)

Dubinsky himself was included in the ILGWU's team among many others. Dubinsky funneled millions of dollars of ILGWU funds from poorly fed ILGWU workers' dues and ILGWU "investments" to CIA operations in Europe.

Another of the CIO founders was John Brophy of the Mine Workers Union. Brophy was used to carry out Marshall plan operations after the war, and worked for the CIA in the take-over of European trade unions. Before then, he was a big proponent of the dictatorial war boards and sat on some of them.

Finally there was wind-bag buffoon, John L. Lewis, who Roosevelt thought of as a child and treated him in just that way.

Bestial Saul Alinsky was sent in to complete psychological profiles of Lewis and then used them to control Lewis. Alinsky knew when Lewis would flip out, when Lewis would make a thundering speech but be fearful underneath, and conveyed such information to his contact in the State Department, G. Howland Shaw, a person working with Tavistock in his own right. Alinsky had received his training on a Rockefeller criminology grant, and had done some of the first work in gang-organizing, before he was assigned to Lewis's staff.

Lewis as previously noted, participated before the war in a committee to encourage Hitler to conquer the Soviet Union. During the war, Lewis supported corporativist war boards, before his split with his former good friend Franklin Roosevelt in the early 1940's.

Thus, the easily bought, spineless, inhuman fools who organized the CIO for Rockefeller were Murray, Hillman, Dubinsky, Golden, Brophy, Lewis and others of the same type.

Their job was to organize industrial unions to be integrated into the corporativist state, and to divert the strike wave away from communists and into the corporativist-fascist economic labor policies of Roosevelt and Rockefeller. One of their first acts as a CIO group was to form the Labor Non-Partisan League, to organize workers to fall into line behind Roosevelt by voting for him in the 1936 elections.

The socialists who organized the strike wave — the group around the Dunnes in the SWP of the early 1930's, the Musteites of 1934, and old Wobblies reactivated by the strikes and some others in the Communist Party — kept it in a revolutionary direction as long as they consciously organized it around revolutionary aims and with mass classwide ways of strike building. This could have meant taking over the CIO and assimilating it into the strike process. However at the points that the socialists capitulated to Lewis's hegemony Rockefeller could and did take it over and diverted it behind his leadership of the CIO.

Toward the end of the 1930's, with the U.S. entering a period of war production, the strike period passed for the time being, and purges of communists in the unions started. As early as 1938, Jay Lovestone was sent from Dubinsky of the ILGWU to meet with Walter Reuther of the UAW about Reuther supporting Homer Martin's anti-communist campaign within the UAW. Lovestone had taken on Martin as a client. In a meeting at Detroit's Woodward Hotel, Lovestone discussed with Reuther 20 points upon which Reuther would agree to support the Martin campaign. Reuther agreed to most of them, but could not agree yet to open anti-communism; instead he preferred to use it covertly. Reuther therefore backed Addes, but shortly thereafter began to be more open about his purge designs.

This dirty work was carried out during the 1940's by such filth as Joseph Rauh Jr., a lawyer-thug called in for these types of jobs. Rauh is a member of the CIA-allied Americans for Democratic Action, and isPermanent
counsel for the UAW. Rauh went into the miners’ union to carry out CIA work there in the early 1970’s and has now been called in to bust up the American Federation of Teachers.

Reuther, Dubinsky, Hillman, Lewis, et al. — every “responsible” union leader carried out this job. Through the 1940’s every major union was purged, with the UAW job particularly scummy. Finally, in 1949, ten unions with pro-Communist or actual Communist leadership with a membership over a million were expelled from the CIO. The atmosphere and change of psychology needed to carry out the Communist purges was developed in union members, and even a slight push through union leaders by the corporativist-fascist dictatorial war boards.

IV. CORPORATIVISM DURING THE SECOND WORLD WAR

The 1940’s was the turning point for the Rockefeller strategy of behavior modification-brainwashing, co-determination, co-participation, corporativism, and subversive death-dealing secret intelligence units (first the OSS and South American intelligence service created in 1940, and then the CIA created in 1947) for the takeover of the U.S. and world labor movements. The period started with the U.S. economy engaged in war, the end of the war in 1945, a slight recession after the war period and the takeover of Europe in the mid- to late- 1940’s, which picked up the U.S. economy.

The war production economy forced regimentation of labor through dictatorial iron-heeled boards that outlawed strikes, set wages at a low level, and made faster and faster production a principle. Workers did not suffer very badly during this period, although one had to live very frugally. But here Rockefeller changed the psychology of the workers in ways essential to the way he would rule the U.S. organized labor movement from then on. He got workers to be fully compliant with the war boards (with stupid, gutless appalling Communist Party help) which integrated their interests and thinking with that of the capitalist state. Workers now identified with the interests of the Rockefellers and saw the Communist-socialists as the outsiders.

This permitted Rockefeller to do four things.

First, to continue the policy of the war boards and use them to establish the procedure of arbitration, mediation and conciliation, from which the policies of mass psychological manipulation of strikes through the mechanisms of the mediation board, and the use of structured psychological sessions (sensitivity, T-groups, etc.) for bargaining among leaders, was all worked out on a “scientific footing.

Secondly, to use methods for mass psychological control developed during the war in the OSS, the Naval Research, the Office of Strategic Bombing and other intelligence branches for food control and rationing, for morale building on a “scientific” footing in the labor movement. Maybe as many as 50,000 to 100,000 social scientists were involved in this line of intelligence work.

Thirdly, to take many of the social scientists who had been involved in the bestial-fascist war intelligence services and set them up on campuses in Labor Institutes funded with Rockefeller Foundation, National Institute of Mental Health, Army, Navy, Air Force and large capitalist firm money, guidance and projects. These Labor Institutes were created at the same time as the CIA, the Joint Chiefs of Staff, the National Security Council, the Strategic Air Command as part of the same network that Rockefeller was building to rule the world, now that the U.S. had replaced Britain as the strongest world power. At the Labor Institutes, behavior modification, inner group motivation, team working, social dynamics, productivity increasing, were all studied from the psychological point of view of how to make them work to manipulate the labor movement.

Fourthly, and finally, the methods for secret, often underground maneuvers to take over resistance movements and infiltrate behind the lines were now to be applied to the takeover by the CIA of the U.S. and foreign labor movements.

By the early 1950’s the result is that Rockefeller had a network of labor institutes, mediation boards, the Department of Labor, to physically and psychologically control from the top down the U.S. and parts of other labor movements. Rockefeller also had all the trade union leaders to fit into this butcherous mechanism.

These disgusting swine had morally destroyed themselves. They had carried out the dirty, underhanded dishonest work of purging communists; had identified with and fought for the corporativist-fascist war boards and the Rockefeller productivity war production and looting of Europe, the U.S. and other parts of the world; participated in the depraved violent CIA takeover of unions and even overthrow of governments in Europe and the Third World. These Rockefeller dogs, bound by a pact of secrecy, morally gutted, some with considerable blood on their hands, were easy prey for Rockefeller to manipulate.

Corporativist War Boards and Mediation

The first war board for labor was the National Defense Mediation Board, instituted in 1941. It had on it four representatives from labor, four representatives from the capitalist class and four again from the capitalist class under the title of “public” members.
The leading capitalist member of the board was the Rockefeller's puppet Walter C. Teagle, head of the Standard Oil of N.J. Co. and vicious pusher of the Share-the-Work program. Teagle was also on the Industrial Advisory Board. George Meaney, Rockefeller family dog, who later became an operative for the CIA and despicable Phillip Murray were among the four permanent labor members. The alternates included Clinton Golden, who later joined Tavistock.

The National Defense Mediation Board was an advisory board and served as a psy-war testing ground. It softened up workers for the more powerful war labor board, created in January 1942, that had full power to break strikes. The no-strike pledge was administered under this board.

The type of people on this board reveal its character as well. On the War Labor Board, cutting their teeth on smashing labor, were Rockefeller agents Theodore Kheel, John Haughton and other gutter life.

Kheel was leader of the New York War Labor Board from 1942 to 1944. In 1944, he was made national director of the War Labor Board. After the war Kheel broke strikes as director of New York City's Division of Labor Relations. Following this, Kheel went into practice as a high-level corporate lawyer, giving advice on busting labor.

At the same time, Kheel worked with Rockefeller set-up Cornell Industrial and Labor Relations school to find psychological methods to apply in labor negotiations. A biography of Kheel states that Kheel knew the correct psychological moment to go in for the kill against union presidents in negotiations. Kheel applied his vicious talents to busting the Transport Workers Union, becoming in 1956 the impartial chairman, chief arbiter for the transit industry.

A few years later, Kheel was made the head of the Rockefeller black counterinsurgent National Urban League, which in the late 1960's pushed the Philadelphia plan to break the construction trades unions.

After founding the suspect Ghandi Society for Human Rights, Kheel carried out his assignment for Rockefeller by forming the American Foundation of Automation, sometimes called Automation House, which presently runs New York State's labor movement, organizes gangs, conducts sessions for methadone addicts and so forth (more on Automation House below).

In the mid-1960's, Kheel served as co-chairman of the New York Civilian Review Board, that tried to set the stage for an LEAA-style takeover of the New York City Police Department. From there, he was off to become the president of the Republic National Bank, which has extensive dealings in Africa. Next he stopped at the Metropolitan Applied Research Center (MARC), which designated him chairman of the board. MARC was founded by the Ford Foundation, carries on brainwashing (its present head is former Rees-trained OSS agent Nazi Doctor Kenneth Clark), and fomented with a few other Rockefeller groups a near race war in New York in 1968 over the teachers' strike, severely damaging the United Federation of Teachers in the process. Kheel was the mediator in the strike.

One of Kheel's other significant actions in this period was his arbitration role in the Columbia strike of 1968, which resulted in the ordering in of police to beat strikers bloody.

Ronald Haughton in 1940 was a Rockefeller research grant fellow studying methods to break up the labor movement. He then joined the War Labor Board, assigned to stop strikes in Detroit. He was so good at it that he was made national director of the strike division from 1942 to 1945.

After this, Haughton served as an assistant director of the Institute for Industrial Relations at the University of California, applied his skills as the pro-capitalist "impartial" permanent arbiter for the Ford Motor Company and the UAW from 1950 to 1955, and then advised the Secretary of Labor. In the 1960's he was a top consultant to the U.S. Air Force, became an advisor to the U.N., lectured on industrial relations in Stockholm, Sweden (1966), where co-determination was then being pushed, was rushed in to smash the 1969 San Francisco State University student strike (along with CIA man Hayakawa) and presently works for the Detroit Industrial Mission. That Mission, along with the Institute for Social Research (ISR), carries out programming of auto workers.

With the likes of Kheel, Haughton and others drawn from a cesspool, the War Labor Board went on a rampage against the labor movement for Rockefeller. It grew more confident and ruthless with each victory.

In 1942, it handed down a maximum limit for wage increases of a paltry 44 cents per day. Rocky's boy Phillip Murray said he would walk off the board if the workers did not get $1 per day pay increases. The savage capitalists and "public" members (like Kheel) sneeringly held firm. Cringing lackey Murray gave in, and a unanimous vote, pathetic labor included, approved the 44 cent settlement. Again in 1942, the badly exploited meat-packing workers were given a horrendously low wage increment. So were rubber workers, UAW workers and shipyard workers.

In 1943, the National Guard was called out by the War Labor Board to take over the mines as a means to crush the United Mine Workers union strike. Later, federal troops' bayonets were used to shatter the North American Rockwell strike.
The dictatorial war boards, corporativistsky set up, employing labor leaders and management for national planning, engaged in behavior modification against the labor members. The biography of George Meany (called Meany) indicates that the capitalists and public members thought of the labor leaders as children. They were then psychologically manipulated so that “a crisis came to a head in the board room instead of the work place.” One of the persons administrating the manipulation was George Taylor, a public member who was a professor of labor relations at the Wharton School of Business. The damaging effectiveness of the method is shown by the recorded figure of 99 89/100 successes in stopping strikes of disputes that came before it.

Mediation as a method of control by Rockefeller was both expanded and improved upon after the Second World War. Whereas in the 1930’s less than 10 per cent of labor contracts had arbitration as the final step in a grievance procedure, the figure rose to 83 per cent by 1949.

The American Arbitration Association began its labor negotiations in 1937 and its work picked up during and after the Second World War. The National Arbitration Association, a wretched group of 200 arbiters was founded in 1947. Included in these associations are Rockefeller lackeys Kheel, Haughton and other creatures, such as Rockefeller operative Clark Keer and Arthur Goldberg (who was the labor director of the OSS and then became the attorney of Murray’s steelworkers and of the CIO). One of the works that they use is the exceedingly obvious “How to Negotiate With Blacks,” published by the AAA and written on a grant from the Rockefeller developed Ford Foundation.

The Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service (FMCS) was created by Rockefeller men in 1947 out of a predecessor group. Today, this body, headed by Rockefeller flunkee William Usery has the power to open over 170,000 contracts and submit them to FMCS mediation.

The method used is to conduct a strike as psychological warfare and the negotiating sessions with the union leaders like a structured sensitivity session, with role playing, etc.

First even before a strike a union is thoroughly surveyed. Rockefeller Labor Institutes do psychological profiles on the union as a whole, collecting information on it through students who are sent out with questionnaires (often by phony radical, actually agent sociologists and psychologists), by counselling done on union members, by intensive questioning of union leaders, by attending set-up discussion sessions of union members and attending union meetings, by evaluating the union’s past history, i.e., its tendency to strike, and by going over written tests and records of personal histories of a significant number of individual members. Studies are done on racial and ethnic subsections of a union. For any subsection, such as Italians for instance, it is recorded whether they are from middle-income, lower working-class, semi-lumpen backgrounds, newly immigrated, perhaps without citizenship papers, deeply religious, for a long time from a certain neighborhood, very attached to one’s mother, and so forth. Then the unions and subsections are evaluated for how they react under crisis situations: the pitiable psychological weaknesses, the neurotic guilts, the sort of horrifying images that produce fears in members, which will then be played on
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in the media, and subtly exploited on unconscious levels in government and other Rockefeller propaganda (such as the known fear of white middle income Jewish teachers of attack from black gang youth), the points at which members crack under stress, the methods to make them hysterically panic, at what point members will stop trusting each other, their intimidation by violence, what vicious outside pressures will make them cave in and so on. As many as 100 or 200 studies on every union are done by bestial Rockefeller Labor Institutes.

The union is provoked out by a cruelly low, adamantly insulting offered contract, intense speedup or something like that. Rockefeller waits out the first few days of determination of the strikers. It is known for most strikes that after the clenched fists and spirited picketing of the first day, on the second day the afternoon picket lines thin out and the union representatives are not on the picket line. The third day, many of the strikers are at the union hall instead, few are on the line and the union representatives cannot be found. Thus, on the fourth day, halfway through the first week, the spirit of the usual strike is spent.

The strike is set to be crushed in stages.

Especially if it is a municipal strike, a racial confrontation will be provoked, LEAA or CIA paid and directed gangs, caucuses, community groups will launch attacks, scabs paid in advance will attack and cross picket lines. Rockefeller CIA paid agents (such as Jesse Jackson, or Kenneth Clark or CIA-fested agencies such as RU), or the CP or SWP will be brought in to guide militants into the arms of the police and lead it in a populist pro-capi-

talist direction. Hoaxes and energy crises will be blamed on the strike. Vicious attacks in the press begin.

The next stage begins. The union leadership is called into negotiations. Complete psychological profiles have been done on the personality structure, etc. of the union leaders. Here manuals, such as "A Behavioral Theory of Labor Negotiations," written by two Nazi doctor punks out of the Rockefeller-created Cornell School of Industrial Relations, Walton and McKerzie, are used. In meetings, structured like a group therapy session, unknown to the union leaders, the behavioral modifier arbiter conducts psychological probes against the union leaders. Most union leaders are schlemiels and can be easily manipulated. The negotiators and the capitalists know that the pressure of the media attacks, the tensions of the strike, the pressure from the rank and file, the expiring strike fund, the objective difficulty in a depression of winning wage gains with weak unions, the possibility of building up fines are operating on the leaders, making them internally wrecked. Maybe, the negotiations will be called off for a week and the union leaders allowed to stew in isolation, with complete media coverage of the strike cut-off.

Then the leader is brought in for further negotiations. At this point they are close to begging for anything to take back to the membership. The Nazi doctor arbiters and the capitalists smile a cruel Himmler smile. "We won't give you wages," they say, "but we will give you something so that you won't go back empty-handed: a co-determination plan for union productivity or something like that." "We'll teach you how to sell it to you membership so that they won't think you're cheating
them, and we'll teach your top shop stewards how to work with it too, by sending you all to courses at one of the nearby Labor Institutes." Here behavior modification is performed.

Particularly if the union's top leaders are active with one faction of the CIA, as they almost all are, it is not too hard to wring agreement from them. Now they and a section of leaders, shop stewards, committeemen, in key parts of the union will have gone through brainwashing. Key agents, behavior modifiers are placed into union positions, usually the posts of educational secretary and attorney. The union has been completely taken over. The only thing that is not controllable are many of the moods of the members, who sometimes want to fight, and the moods of a few leaders who have resisted this process or only partly succumbed to it.

By the next contract negotiating session, the union leaders are more easily malleable and will often agree to contracts in pre-contract negotiation therapy sessions, which are often criminal.

Attention is simultaneously turned to the membership. Psywar techniques such as "cooling-off periods," terror attacks, smears, offers of conciliation, are used, and all the objective forces Rockefeller has at hand are employed to break the remaining will of the strikers to crush them.

The distinct preference the Rockefeller forces have for collective bargaining-mediation as a method for the programming of labor is shown by the fact that they have sponsored in the 1970's several conferences that have the level of intellectual functioning, in increased aggression. The amounts of increase of the members, who sometimes want to fight, and the moods of a few leaders who have resisted this process or only partly succumbed to it.

The experience gained for collective bargaining-mediation came out of the Rockefeller dictatorial-corporativist war labor boards. The confidence that Rockefeller has in the conditioning ability of the arbiters is that almost every one of them graduated or got training from his Labor Institutes.

Wartime Development of Brainwashing

One of the persons brought in to do this study for the war departments was critical Rockefeller man Kurt Lewin. Lewin was brought to the U.S. from Germany in 1933 by a member of the board of directors of the Laura Spellmen Foundation, Lawrence K. Frank, under a Rockefeller grant funnelled through the Displaced Persons Committee. In 1935-41, Lewin set up shop at the University of Iowa Child Welfare Research Center, arranged for by the above-named Lawrence Frank, paid for under the Rockefeller front, the General Education Board. Lewin was directed to build up a core group staff at Iowa University. At the same time that he conducted operational research at the University of Iowa, Lewin expanded out to the Harvard Psychological Clinic, headed by none other than Gardner Murray, formerly of the Rockefeller Mental Institute in New York.

Lewin understood precisely what was necessary for the monstrous psywar chaos and confusion campaign, the basis of the Rockefeller moves today. In Time Perspective and Morale, remarkable written in late 1941, Lewin writes, "One of the main techniques for breaking morale through a 'strategy of terror' consists in exactly this tactic — keep the person hazy as to where he stands and just what he may expect. If in addition frequent vacillations between severe disciplinary measures and promises of good treatment together with spreading of contradictory news, make the 'cognitive structure' of this situation utterly unclear, then the individual may cease to even know when a particular plan would lead toward or away from his goal. Under these conditions even those individuals who have definite goals and are ready to take risks will be paralyzed by severe inner conflicts in regard to what to do."

Lewin also did studies that concentrated on the inner cohesiveness of local control groups (we-belongingness, Lewin called it) and their hostility to members of the outer world (out group aggression, in Lewinese).

He conducted a study in 1941, "Frustration and Aggression, An Experiment With Young Children," in which he placed children in a room with fairly good toys. After one half hour, they were allowed into another part of the room to play with more attractive toys.

After the children became deeply engrossed, an experimenter interrupted the play and led the children back to where they could see the exciting toys, but not reach them. The children then spent more than a third of their time trying to get the inaccessible toys or to escape the room.

Lewin then "discovered" that "Frustration as it operates in these experiments resulted in an average regression in the level of intellectual functioning, in increased unhappiness and destructiveness, in ultra-group unity and out-group aggression. The amounts of increase of negative emotionality were positively related to strength of frustration."

This became the premise for creating an unreal local control climate, which is socially controlled and manipulated and provoked into aggression.

The war was also the basis for Lewin and a tight group of fascists around him, Rensis Likert, Ronald Lippitt, John French, Alfred Marrow, Leon Festinger, Dorwin Cartwright, Alex Bavelas and others to conduct "group dynamic" studies in factories. A small group of workers is designated a primary group; to them, all people outside the group — the foreman, the supervisor, and other groups of workers are secondary groups. Schematic
drawings plot the influence and connection between one group and another. Again, by proper social control it was found that one group could be induced to become very cohesive among themselves (inter-group unity) and aggressive to other groups. This became the basis of the team approach, by which factories in Pontiac, Michigan, or in the Volvo plants in Sweden divide the work force into teams and set the competing among each other to gain greater productivity. Principles discovered on how to manipulate the socially controlled primary groups, to be discussed shortly, gave this crew an even greater basis for raising productivity.

Lewin and his crew of Rockefeller social science goons were employed in the different was intelligence services. Lewin himself served in the OSS and the Office of Naval Research. Many others were in the Office of Agricultural Surveys studying methods for food rationing and control. Finally, some were in the morale division of the intelligence services and the Strategic Bombing Survey. One of the high-ranking Lewinites, Ransis Likert, while in the latter group, was responsible for the cruel, barbaric, disgusting and totally needless bombing of Hiroshima and Nagasaki as part of a psywar operation.

In addition to the above cited functions, the social scientist goons also studied how to run an economy in an emergency, spread lies and rumors in Europe, and administer community “self-government” in war relocation for the Japanese.

Morale building and psywar were conducted on auto workers who were working in auto plants coverted into war production plants. One experiment involved not “calling workers sluggards” if they didn’t produce fast enough for the war, because that would bring a negative connotation and lower production. Instead, psywar methods were used to issue propaganda that would strike the right psychological chord and cause auto workers to raise the already brutal pace of production. Walter Reuther, the slimy bedfellow of whoever would buy him, was fully complicit in this scheme, too.

Dorwin Cartwright, another of the Lewinites, claims that a large number of the “social scientists” were swept off the campuses and into the intelligence services during the war.

Rockefeller decided not to lose the talents of the criminal scum who had helped the U.S. conquer the world. Now he used them to establish the Labor Institutes at the same time as the formation of the CIA and other bodies, to preserve and even extent U.S. world economic-political domination.

**Labor Institutes — Fascist Think-Tanks**

In 1944-47, the Rockefellers began the construction of Labor Institutes in the U.S. and Europe to apply the last 25 years’ discoveries in human torture to consolidate control of the labor movement and to develop the discoveries further.

The most advanced among the brutal practitioners of the new industrial psychology was John Rees. Rees discovered that an unreal realm could be created: the social group. An individual is made to transfer his or her identity to the group, wherein he or she becomes subjected to the most intense forms of suggestion. Provided the individual’s inner sense of real identity is either befuddled or destroyed, he can be manipulated like a child. Higher and higher productivity is then “suggested” as the group’s goal. Anyone who protests is told that he is maladjusted. With a beaten-down sense of human identity, with only the unreal realm to judge from, the individual further degrades himself and accepts the verdict. He begins producing more.

This is the malicious, disgusting kernel of co-participation, co-determination, “humanization,” human relations or whatever other euphemism may be mustered. It is also used in LEAA gang organizing, in the interrogation and control of prisoners. and elsewhere.

Rees applied these ideas at the Tavistock butchershop in Great Britain, sometimes known as a clinic. Rees became director of the clinic in 1934.

In 1946, Rees’s forces and Rockefeller jointly agreed to a proposal for a Tavistock expansion — the creation of the Tavistock Institute of “Human” Relations, funded with a Rockefeller grant. The Institute developed a series of national and international operations under the heading of “operation research” plus out-and-out co-determination filth.

Tavistock went into the British trade-union movement and industry. Coal mining, steel, roadbuilding, municipalities and business-executive training were the areas of its concentration.

At the Glacier Metals Company, located in a suburb of London, workers’ councils were introduced in 1948 to serve as “joint production consultative advisory committees.” Elliot Jacques, the director of the project, described Tavistock’s manipulation: “What had begun as an issue to do with wages and methods of payment...[was turned into] the complex ramifications of inter-group stress so frequently tied up with wage questions.” The fulfillment of this approach kept wages lowered.

Another of Tavistock’s projects took it into coal mining. Original experiments were done in Coventry, the area in which Richard Crossman, a British M15 intelligence officer during the war, became a leading member of the pathetically stupid, consummately criminal British Labour Party, and the organizing base for left-wing faker Jack Jones, who remains a leading figure.
in the British trade-union movement. Both these gentlemen, along with the ridiculous Coal Miners Union, quite certainly collaborated with the Tavistockians in this project.

The goal was to undermine the miners' union — giving Rockefeller's Tavistock forces control of the union and of strike operations.

The project director was Eric Trist. In 1940, Trist served as a Rockefeller fellow. While a fellow, he joined the Mill Hill Neurosis Center, stayed until 1942 and then became senior psychologist Lieutenant Colonel at the Research and Training Center of the War Relations Board. Trist has written "Social Structure and Psychological Stress, in Stress and Psychiatric Disorder" — a tract for use in strikes, riots, etc. Its funding came from the British government's Committee on Productivity, from the Medical Research Council and from the British and American governments. By the 1950's the work spread to other districts.

The study found "identifiable socio-psychological features which lead to a number of substandard results: a rate of productivity below system potential, inflated face costs, poor management labor relations... and a high level of worker absence...."

An alternative system was devised. Workers got divided into teams whose pay was tied to the production of the whole group. Foremen were removed from overseeing men and production standards, and instead assigned to oversee health and safety regulations.

The employers and Tavistock were confident that the work teams would oversee themselves to get as much earnings as they could (a small amount in any case). The workers were destroying themselves. Whereas Tavistock had thought that only groups of 15 or less could be so suicidally controlled, now up to 50 men or more were made "self-regulable." Tavistock then found that it could dispense with large numbers of the workforce in the British coal mining industry, and did so. Enough miners were brainwashed by then to preclude effective resistance.

Tavistock found further ways to attack workers through the influence it gained in Britain's National Institute of Industrial Psychology, to which it offered joint union-management consultation services. Greater productivity was the focus. Tavistock also infiltrated the Committee on Industrial Productivity and jointly conducted a survey of 750 establishments, each with over 250 employees. Some of its clients included Anglo-American Oil, Esso Refinery, British Aluminum Co., and Lever and Unilever Ltd. Some of these firms, such as Esso, accepted Tavistock's bloody advisory whispers and began "services" among their supervisory and executive personnel.

As noted, Tavistock's labor union influence in Britain reached through to the Labour Party wheeler-dealer Jack Jones, the head of the Transport Workers Union. Tavistock recommended both shop floor representation committees and workers' representation on the company's board of directors. Jumping Jack turned his trick and carried through these proposals in 1967 by pressing through the Labour Party a resolution for "industrial democracy." At the time Jones publicly said: "I believe that spectacular increases in productivity could be achieved if the workers were given real power and real responsibility in production." He went on to suggest that "the best initiatives will come from the shop floor.

Tavistock found greater acceptance and wider latitude for its programming butchery outside the shores of Britain.

It entered Scandinavia in the 1960's, Germany, the United States, India, Pakistan and several other countries.

In 1962, Tavistock — in particular the dangerous Eric Trist — trained Einar Thorstud, a psychologist who was then working at the Institute for Social Research in Industrial Environments in Trondheim, Norway. On behalf of the Rockefellers, Tavistock easily subverted the central labor confederation, LO, and the Social Democratic Party, then ruling in the country. Both joined the operation. They began to appoint workers to the board of directors of major companies. The task was to have the workers share responsibility for the running and profitability of the companies. Here again the workers made no truly democratic decisions. The profitability of the company — whether it stays afloat and a worker has a job — rests on outside world-economic developments and internal affairs. The outside matters, such as the global market, are directed generally by the commodity cartels' control of money, raw materials, and so on. The inside affairs, unless there is investment in technological improvements, rests almost exclusively on the brutal speedup workers will subject themselves to. This involves, first, permanently mangled limbs, secondly, the shortening of life spans, and thirdly, fatal heart attacks.

The operation to place workers on the boards of directors meant tying workers to murderous speedup to insure profitability. This came about by inducing workers to internalize this "need," creating a whip in their minds, and disarming other workers by pressure from their mates. In a depression, such schemes consummate in frantic, anxiety-ridden compulsion among workers.

The Norway plan spread out from one factory, to one industry, to the entire country, according to Eric Trist. A
large enough number of big and small plants became involved to make this a roughly accurate assertion. The slimy Tavistock-Rockefeller crew then went into Sweden. Since the 1930's, Sweden's Social-Democratic trade unions had accepted the proposition that employers could enforce on them regulations deemed necessary for the benefit of industry. The lability of the Swedish trade unions made them easy targets for Tavistock. The Swedish Employers Confederation (SAF), the white-collar trade-union organization and the Swedish central labor council (LO), jointly established a Development Council for Cooperation Questions, pressed by Tavistock. The ease with which Swedish workers could be raped with the full cooperation of the Social Democracy lead this corporativist suffocation to be called the "Swedish Way."

Key Tavistockian pilot projects were autonomous work teams and "job enrichment." At the large Swedish auto concerns, Volvo and Saab, the method was refined. The Volvo plant got divided into groups along ethnic lines — Swedes, Finns, etc. — so that tension and competition could be manipulated. Each group or team was given a project, such as an engine, to complete as a team. Within the group, in a team of six for example, if one worker fails to show up, the other five have to do his job; if a person is slow, the group demands that he speed up or even asks that he be moved off the team. Wage rates are changed to piece rates, tied to how many units a team produces. The relationships are closely monitored by "social experts" in the plant. The control of the team is worked out through non-repressive manipulation.

A non-leader foreman, who has been programmed by a weekend session or several weeks' sessions of attack therapy sessions and crying jags with his wife and other foremen and middle management off in the woods at some industrial "laboratory" retreat, conducts a "group session" in the plant after the day's work or during the working day. An individual worker who is refusing to bear the unbearable speedup is called over. The social pressure of the other workers becomes mobilized in this manipulated session. The worker learns to behave like a dog. It is discussed that worker Y who is exploding with anger at the job seems hostile to worker X; let's find out why; how can the group give him a positive attitude?

Then the workers start to use phrases they have learned in their classes at Labor Behavior Institutes. "You have to work together," "Don't forget your inner goals," "We all have to be happy here," — the other workers parrot these Nazi-like code words. The "non-leader" foreman skillfully reminds everyone of the right phrases. The horror of the whole situation sinks in on the worker.

The workers in the victim's group, the union at his plant, his international union leadership, his newspaper and television, all promote these phrases, the higher and yet higher speedup, the destruction of classwide identity. Maybe they are all correct, although the speedup is torturous. Or maybe he has lost his mind. He doesn't know what is real any more.

A clear case of brainwashing. Either the worker repeats the brainwashing phrases of the others and starts to believe them, or he quits his job — something he can't do if he wants to feed his family, the economic parameter which makes this socially-controlled experiment. The third alternative is the interjection of reality by the International Caucus of Labor Committees.

The explicitness of the Tavistock Human Relations method in Sweden was noted by Edgar Schein, a Rockefeller Nazi Doctor who had done studies on Chinese brainwashing. Above an article he wrote called "Brainwashing," published by the National Training Laboratories, a Rockefeller-Lewin founded group, in a book called "Management Development, Human Relations Training and the Process of Influence," there is a prefatory editorial note. (Schein was one of the book's editors and perhaps wrote the note himself): "Human Relations training fits into a context of institutional procedures which include coercive persuasion in the form of thought reform or brainwashing as well as a multitude of less coercive, informal patterns. Suspending all judgement for the time being, this model is presented in terms of its capacity to make sense of what we know of the change process."

Explaining coercive persuasion, Schein says, "I would like to call management development as the problem of how an organization can influence the beliefs, attitudes and values (hereafter simply called attitudes) of an individual for the purpose of "developing him," i.e. changing him in a direction which the organization regards to be in his own and the organization's best interests."

Coercive persuasion, this horrid nub of the Tavistock and Rockefeller method for capitalist industrial relations, was the advance made over the earlier work in welfare capitalism done by Mackenzie King in the 1920's and 1930's, and the concurrent work of Elton Mayo at the Rockefeller-created Harvard Business School.

The work, applied on a large scale in Norway and a modest scale in Sweden, was codified into laws in the 1970's by the respective parliaments, as well as by the Danish legislature.

Tavistock's involvement in Germany can be inferred from Britain's administration of a region of Germany, which included the industrial Ruhr Valley, under the Allied occupation after the war.

Many of the German trade-union leaders sent to
Germany had spent the war years in Britain, some undoubtedly receiving behavioral modification training. It is cited elsewhere in this issue how Tavistock, through its psychological-ideology studies of Germany, selected the leaders of government and labor who would be allowed to rule.

Chief among them was Hans Blocker, who after spending the war years in Britain, became the head of the, most powerful German workers union, the I.G. Metal metalworkers' federation. With the cooperation of the metalworkers, the north German Iron and Steel Control, a group of companies gathered under British control were instructed to form worker-management committees in 1946.

In 1951, co-determination was made official policy in the crucial iron, steel and coal mining industries (Tavistock again concentrates on coal). Under this process, workers' councils were set up and from them workers' representatives were designated as one-third of the members of the board of directors.

A brutal system of productivity was set into motion, as well as other practices that fit in with the "German national character" such as the horrendous apprentice-ship system, whereby young workers are conditioned to be obedient and servile while they receive greatly reduced apprentice wages for as many as four years and perform the same labor as other workers.

Meanwhile the foul system of Gastarbeiter slavery continued the Nazi practice of working foreigners to death in concentration/work camps. Foreign workers were located in barracks surrounded by barbed wire and used as a super-low wage force for the German (U.S.) "economic miracle."

Maria Walther, a psychologist of the Frankfurt Management and Productivity Association and one of Germany's most sophisticated work experts, has revealingly commented "about the worker-control value of co-determination." She goes on, "The worker does not benefit directly very much from co-determination. But it becomes important when, for example, a coal mine has to be closed...in times of crisis it can be very useful."

Tavistock did not restrict itself to Europe. As part of the Rockefeller development plans administered through the World Bank (which Rockefeller personnel erected) and likewise for the United Nations, which among other things functions as a Rockefeller brokerage house, Tavistock agents were dispatched to other parts of the world.

Adam Curle, who with the above-mentioned sewer specimen Eric Trist conducted resettlement of British military prisoners of war after the Second World War, went to Pakistan as a chief figure to oversee the development of Pakistani industry and labor. Curle's strategy was to "make as large an impact on the economy as rapidly as possible, without excessive investment." (i.e. massive slave-labor as used in pyramid-building in Biblical times, and incidental starvation.) Curle came back to the U.S. in 1950 and used his butchery skills to train others in the field of Pakistani studies at Harvard. Then he went back to Pakistan.

Another of Curle's Tavistockian rat cronies was William Ackoff, who in the mid-1950's moved across the border from Pakistan to India. There he acted as a key operative in the labor movement and insinuated himself into top ruling class circles to work on plans for labor policies. In 1956, Tavistockian Ackoff, along with Jawaharlal Nehru, drew up the second Indian Five Year Plan, which facilitated the economic rape of the Indian working class and peasantry for Rockefeller's Chase Manhattan Bank and other upstanding institutions.

The fact that Curle was in Pakistan and Ackoff was in India should not be overlooked in the context of the persistent Tavistockian gang-countergang war engaged in by those two nations.

Ackoff's travelling assignments were actually made even before he was sent to India. In 1951 he and his Tavistock co-worker C. West Churchman were envos to the U.S. with the mission of setting up a core staff of behavior modifiers of labor at Case Western in Cleveland, which they did.

In the early 1960's, mentor Eric Trist arrived in the U.S., and after spending some time with Lewinite Leon Festinger in California, made it over to Case to join the Tavistockian zoo.

Ackoff thereupon took some of the staff to the Wharton School of Business at the University of Pennsylvania in Philadelphia, and in 1970, Trist was brought to play a commander's coordinating role, coming in from the outside to become the chairman of the Wharton department.

In the 1970's, ILGWU scum William Gomberg was also brought in, and some other Tavistockians, such as Perlmutter, got sent over from Britain. Rockefeller now had a fascist cabal for running Philadelphia, which involved directing Philadelphia's labor movement.

The Trist-Ackoff-Gomberg-et al. Tavistock crew at Wharton is one of the three important forces in the Organizational Development (OD) network foisted on several kinds of workers in over 100 workplaces, with special focus on auto.

A second major important brainwashing center for the programming and destruction of labor is the Institute for Social Research at the University of Michigan at Ann Arbor.

The ISR was formed from the merging of two groups,
The Tavistock Institute

one the Research Center for Group Dynamics set up by Rockefeller flunky Kurt Lewin at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) in 1945 and the Survey Research Center set up by Lewinite Rensis Likert at the University of Michigan in 1946. Both were funded by the Rockefeller Foundation, and founded on the direction of Rockefeller. In 1947, the year Kurt Lewin died, the two institutions merged. They also received funding in their first years from the National Institute of Mental Health, the Department of the Navy, and other foundations. By the 1970's, the ISR has funding from a group that included many of the firms from Fortune's top 500, as well as from almost every intelligence branch and the CIA.

The ISR views itself as a "small cadre group" and in 1971, after 25 years of existence, director Angus Campbell wrote about the group:

"We are completing our first quarter-century at the ISR at a time when the nation is experiencing a growing sense of urgency regarding its social problems. Strains, which have been latent in American society for many years have come to the surface and the mounting turmoil have given rise to an insistent and almost desperate demand for answers to the dilemmas in which the nation finds itself. It is not surprising that social scientists are now being asked to demonstrate that they have something unique to contribute to the solution of these problems.

"The crises which American society is now experiencing..."

"Social scientists will contribute...by strengthening the basic understanding among decision makers of the society they are attempting to serve...by assessing the consequences of these decisions so that the nation may learn for the actions it takes."

"Financial support of the research necessary to provide the understanding will continue to run considerably short of what is needed but as it becomes increasingly apparent that the nation's basic problems are social and psychological as well as medical, ecological and economic, more adequate support will develop from both private and public sources." (emphasis added)

Clearly, the ISR is a group of fascist planners who are orienting toward a breakdown which they expect (few bourgeois groups were writing in such tones of peril in mid-1971).

Their knowledge of how fascism arises is gathered from their many studies of Nazi Germany and fascist Italy. They, however are oriented toward building a "rational" from-the-top-down, well-directed fascism, incorporating and utilizing controlled emotional elements, but "superior" to those zealous, sometimes almost uncontrolable helter-skelter German-Italian predecessors.

The ISR's role in industrial brainwashing began as early as World War II when some of its founders conducted morale experiments in auto-war production plants, and even before then. One of its earliest was at the Harwood Manufacturing Co. in West Virginia.

This venture started among ISR's founders. It was completed in 1947. There were 300 women employees, who, the owner of the plant complained, could not meet the high production standards. The first advice was to remove the worker stress which was causing low productivity, to deal with the workers in small socially-controlled groups, and to suggest to the workers ("give the group the feeling" in the words of the experimenters) that the "standard was realistic and could be reached."

This, however, did not produce the desired result. Workers were then thrown out of the work force and more highly-skilled workers from another plant were brought in. Group sessions were held. If a worker could suggest any way to increase speedup, management accepted it. Said the experimenters, "motivation alone
The host of banks and capitalist agencies
Commission study, which called for taxation of white
section of the technocratic part of the government
Chicago youth gangs into the Black P.
forcement Assistance Administration-type
zation, with over
through
research"
workers as a riot prevention solution and for Law
struction workers
as a terror squad, to run dope, etc.
These studies were elaborated by the
bureaucracy of those two countries to advocate with
1950's.
planning of plant co-determination and profit-sharing.

The ISR, in the 1940's, did studies comparing the
Nazi youth leagues and the Boy Scouts of America.
These studies were elaborated by the ISR and set into
implementation in the 1960's, to amalgamate several
Chicago youth gangs into the Black P. Stone Nation. The
P Stone Nation was used to break strikes of the con-
struction workers under the Philadelphia Plan, to serve
as a terror squad, to run dope, etc.
The ISR did the study on the 1967 Detroit riot, and
whitewashed the whole thing. The riot, from a reading of
the newspaper and other accounts, was like the Newark
situation. There was some looting, breaking of windows
for a day or so; the National Guard was called in, chose a
designated area and carried out a systematic brutali-
ization, with over 30 people murdered.
The ISR whitewash became part of the Kerner
Commission study, which called for taxation of white
workers as a riot prevention solution and for Law En-
forcement Assistance Administration-type programs.
The ISR conducted studies and carried out "joint
research" with Yugoslavia and Czechoslovakia in the
1950's. They may have been the force who manipulated a
section of the technocratic part of the government
bureaucracy of those two countries to advocate with
appropriate ideological cover their sophisticated
planning of plant co-determination and profit-sharing.
The host of banks and capitalist agencies working
through ISR could have made known the channels to and
encouraged taking credits from the West by local plant
managers and important people in the technocratic
plant-manager wing of the bureaucracy, who par-
cipated in frequent conferences, joint studies and
cultural exchanges arranged by the ISR.
The ISR had a Peru Committee which set up a
research center in Lima in 1964, and the next year
devoted a conference to the consumption studies of
households throughout Latin America — to see how
much squash, maize, etc. the population could live on
under the intended plans to increase productivity but
lower consumption. Eighteen countries from Latin
America attended. The ISR was in Peru in 1961 doing
the Hacienda Vicos studies that paved the way for
broader cooperatives, and thence to corporatism of the
current Peruvian fascist government. These studies are
described under the Cornell section.
The ISR has had a special relation with the UAW: it
has taken it over.
In the 1940's ISR experimenters were waging morale
experiments on auto workers in war plants. The early
issues of Human Relations, the joint Tavistock-ISR
publication, and the Journal of Social Issues, which is
the journal of the wholly ISR-run Society for the
Psychological Study of Social Issues (SPSSI) to which
Tavistock people contribute, repay scrutiny. An article
appeared in the late 40's reporting on a structured
session between a UAW official and members of the
ISR.
In the forties and fifties, the ISR infiltrated people
into the UAW and conducted hundreds of studies on the
union.

Three examples show how the ISR has penetrated the
UAW.
First, the ISR drew up a program for manpower in the
state of Michigan, which involved Work INcentive
(WIN) welfare victims as low-wage scabs in auto plants.
ISR got the UAW to help implement it. The ISR plan
was presented to New Detroit, the ruling class body of
Detroit, consisting of and run by the presidents of Ford,
GM, Chrysler; to the Bank of Detroit; to the Kresge
department empire, etc. A seat on New Detroit is per-
manently reserved for the president of the UAW, which
New Detroit took the ISR plan to the Michigan
Legislature, where a Governor's Welfare Reform
Commission was drawn up to approve it. A public body
was then set up, the Michigan Welfare Reform
Coalition, which drew in labor leaders, businessmen,
swamp leftists, the bought-off welfare leaders from the
old WRO, OEO agents and others, and this body began
selling the ISR plan to the public at large.
New Detroit funds were supplied to the "reform
coalition." The plan resulted in welfare recipients
working at the Chrysler Jefferson plant, with as many as
300 a month getting jobs there. Other plants were
similarly hit. The fascist recycling scheme was being
implemented against the UAW work force by the ISR
with the manipulated UAW leaders' compliance.
Second, the ISR collaborated with the UAW
leadership to push the Apache strike in 1972 that
demoralized the UAW work force, and simultaneously
spread co-determination as the planned alternative. A
series of one- or two-day strikes permitted the auto
companies to detect the militants and pick them off, with no change in line speed or any conditions improved which left workers feeling demoralized and helpless. Meanwhile, Woodcock along with UAW head of the Chrysler division Douglas Fraser and UAW head of the GM division Irving Bluestone, visited union meetings and even work places, preaching “blue collar blues” as the diagnosis and co-participation as the solution. Bluestone’s speech on blue collar blues has whole sections which make open reference to, and are taken from, ISR studies. Indeed, it is likely that the whole speech was compiled for idiot Bluestone by an ISR speechwriter. Woodcock spoke of his just-completed visits to the Tavistock experiments in Sweden at the Volvo and Saab plants and praised them as the model for the U.S.

The Apache strikes showed their effect, along with that of all the other ISR maneuvers, when in 1973 the UAW contracted for an unbelievably low 3 per cent wage increase at a time when inflation was running over 15 per cent. Only a whimper of protest came from the angry but psychologically defeated auto workers. At the same time co-determination was being spread from plant to plant over the backs of the defeated auto workers, who were being taught to accept this poison as an alternative.

The third example of the ISR penetration of and control over the UAW is the Organizational Development (OD) network. The OD was developed primarily by the Eric Trist-Tavistock clique at the Wharton Business School in Philadelphia, by the ISS, and by the Rockefeller-funded and -created National Training Laboratories.

The OD is applied at over 100 — maybe as high as 500 — work places in the U.S. including hospitals, schools, military bases, police units, and even churches. It is the driving force behind the General Motors Assembly Division’s (GMAD) super mind-and-body destroying speedup administered at 20 auto plants throughout the country.

An example is the Organizational Development applied at the GMAD Piquette Street plant in Detroit. There, led by a “change agent,” Keith Brooke, who has gone through the rugged training course for OD personnel, the workers at the time of the changeover of the plant to OD in 1973 were put through two days of psychological sessions and maneuvers as part of their orientation. Workers were subjected to a test, the “X-Y test,” that management knew could not be solved by any worker by himself. So while workers frustratingly tried to solve it, someone droned in the background, “We work together to make the team grow.” Another “experience” was for workers to write down every racist thought they could and they the results read out loud to produce long-range black-white antagonism.

The workers were given a huge chart plotting out their present level and how much they must do. The workers who had been tampered with sped themselves up “happily.” Brooke, who after his OD conditioning spoke key and code as if he were reciting out of an ISR manual, walked through the plant, and men pathetically patted him on the back.

One third of the plant’s assembly lines have been taken down — soon they all will be. The workers are assembled in teams in stalls, using labor-intensive, less technologically advanced machinery (part of capitalism’s deindustrialization), while at the same time increasing production!

The savage depravity and bitter irony of the OD system are embodied in the Piquette plant’s assigned OD symbol, a bird named Jonathon Livingston Seagull. The bird is taken from a current “live-free” novel expressing total alienation and “liberation” from the human race. That grown men and women should be forced to “honor” this symbol is a sign that their intellects have been degraded through brainwashing to a level below that of a misguided drugged-out youth.

UAW members, especially potential leaders and shop stewards are regularly sent to brainwashing courses at ISR-controlled schools, including Wayne State Labor College in Detroit. This has rendered the UAW, along with its deep connections to the CIA, totally controllable: no longer a union, but a CIA-ISR instrument of rule.

A third important Labor Institute, created with the resources and personnel from the OSS and the social scientists in the intelligence branches during the war, is the Cornell School of Industrial and Labor Relations. It was set up in 1948 directly by the Rockefellers in their home state, New York, with their money and more stolen from the taxpayers.

Its present 1974 five-member board of trustees reveals the complete Rockefeller domination. It includes Nelson Rockefeller; Malcolm Wilson, Nelson Rockefeller’s protege and appointee as governor; Sol Linowitz, former president of Xerox Corp., a former director of the Organization of American States (OAS), the planning body that oversees the Rockefeller interests in Latin America, and now president of the Urban Coalition, the counter-insurgent think tank; John Roosevelt from the Roosevelt family, who has done Rockefeller work in Latin America; and Perry Duryea, Rockefeller-designated Republican leader of the New York State senate, who oversees the Long Island portion of the state for Rockefeller.

A quick examination of the activities of the Cornell School of Industrial and Labor Relations (ILR) will reveal its fascist planning character and the way it serves as chief instrument for Rockefeller’s control of the
Department of Labor, New York City AFL-CIO, various labor conferences, the labor movements in Latin American countries for purposes of establishing fascist governments and so forth.

One of the school's several branches is the Collective Bargaining Division, headed by George Hildebrand, a complete Rockefeller man. A former member of the OSS and the corporatist-dictatorial War Labor Board. Hildebrand for a while had strong influence in the International Labor Organization at a time when George Lodge of the CIA Council on Foreign Relations could describe the ILO as "counter-revolutionary" with pride.

The Collective Bargaining Division of Cornell has pushed the enforcement of no-strike pledges with arbitration. It led and carried out mild programming at the workshops of the 1973 AFT convention. It sponsored no-strike or "alternative means to strikes" workshops, seminars and conferences, including the major gathering held in San Francisco in April, 1973, which I.W. Abel and other Nuremberg criminals attended. Cornell also writes and circulates a good amount of literature on the no-strike topic, which is then peddled to workers through agents such as Jerry Wurf of AFSCME.

The Organizational Behavior of the ILR is headed by one of the most despicable Rockefeller agents anywhere in the world, William Foote Whyte. Whyte, functioning out of the Cornell School, was among those directly responsible for establishing the groundwork and training operatives for the fascist local-control government that took over in Peru in 1968.

The work had begun from Cornell as early as 1949 with the studies done by Allan Holmberg. In 1961, Whyte began working on what Holmberg had begun: the Haciendo Vicos project. He was assisted by Lawrence White, formerly of the ISR, who moved over to Cornell, Jose Matos Mar, an agent from the San Marcos National University in Lima, and by one Robert Braun. Braun was then interim director of the IPAE, the Peruvian management association.

Whyte, in "Reflections in My Work," slips and reveals that in 1961 Braun had not been in Peru 26 years, after leaving Vienna. Although research has not turned up Braun's war years, it is to be wondered what sort of persons were leaving Vienna and Berlin in 1945. Braun expected businessmen to have a short memory as in the mid 1960's he was elected Secretary General of the vicious international organization of "scientific management," the CISO, based in Geneva. Whyte reports, "I for the rest of my fourteen months in Peru (his first stay beginning in 1961) consulted Braun on all the ideas that were emerging from the study ...." The study received a $662,000 grant from the Department of Defense and the Agency for International Development (AID), a well-known CIA group.

The Haciendo Vicos project explored ways to make a population of Indians self-regulating in their management of an agricultural cooperative, employing well practiced behavior modification systems. Whyte extended the studies to five other villages on the Chancay Valley. At the time, the studies were aided by an "autonomous research agency," the Institute of Peruvian Studies.

At the point at which big planning was to be introduced, the work was taken onto the sugar plantations, one of the important industries of the Peruvian economy. State-owned cooperatives were set up as part of the reforms of the new fascist government of 1968. Members of the cooperatives were trained to exclude "outsiders" (i.e., non-members of the cooperatives), and the most trustworthy leaders of the cooperatives, following their Institute training, moved to break strikes of sugar workers when they occurred. This degree of "self-regulation" is exactly what the capitalist rulers were looking for.

Whyte also specialized in setting up of unreal, manipulative "role-playing." In Whyte's "Guide To Writing Role Playing Cases," he offers the observation that "role playing ... can be used to assist the individual in conflict to better integrate his personal goals with those of the organization," namely, the capitalist firm.

Whyte was the first president of the Industrial Relations Research Association (IRRA). This organization has extensive dealings with AFSCME, whereby Jerry Wurf sends members of his union in batches to IRRA for programming.

Another of the key Cornell departments is that of the International and Comparative Labor Relations. This body helped the CIA take over the Latin American labor movement in the 1940's and 1950's. John Windmuller, an important operative in that affair, now heads this Cornell ILR department.

One of the most notable ventures of Cornell ILR was its leading role in the establishment of Automation House, an anti-labor think tank located in New York. The first branch of it, the American Foundation on Automation and Employment was established in 1962 by Theodore Kheel. Kheel is a strike-breaking Rockefeller stooge whose history reaches back to a position as head of the National War Labor Board and who is permanently attached to Cornell ILR. He is still a top-ranking Automation House official. One of Automation House's other branches evolved into the Institute for Mediation and Conflict Resolution (IMCR). This group mediates community disputes — after helping provoke them.

Included in Automation House are a number of
Rockefeller leaders. Probably principal among them is Victor Borella, former head of Rockefeller Center, Inc. and chief adviser to Nelson Rockefeller on labor relations. Other Rockefeller stooges include David Moore, Dean of the Rockefeller-Cornell ILR; Ralph Gross, chief of the powerful New York City's Commerce and Industry Association; Elmer Klassen, former president of American Can Company and now national head of the post office; Harper Sibley Jr., a banker and mortgage broker; and George Nicolau, former president of the Ford Foundation — fueled Fund for the City of New York, who in the summer of 1973 took himself to the vacaville Prison in California for a tour and consultation (Vacaville is the center at which brainwashing was administered on the Symbionese Liberation Army zombies).

Automation House is a fascist planning and coordinating body. Into it are drawn many of the main scummy and CIA-influenced labor leaders in the United States. The list features steelworkers' president I.W. Abel; AFSCME president Wurf; the head of New York's Central Labor Council, Harry Van Arsdale; Communications Workers of America president Joseph Beirne; Secretary-Treasurer of the national AFL-CIO Lane Kirkland, long time close aide of George Meany, now serving on Rockefeller's Critical Choices Commission and at Automation House; president of the Retail, Wholesale and Department Store Union, Max Greenberg; and president of the A. Phillip Randolph Institute's black labor counterinsurgency group, Bayard Rustin.

Also participating in the affairs of Automation House are Rockefeller puppet George P. Schultz, U.S. Secretary of the Treasury, whose book, Challenges to Collective Bargaining, was published by Automation House; Arthur Goldberg, former head of the OSS labor branch; A.H. Raskin, labor-hater and liar extraordinaire as labor editor for the Rockefeller smut sheet, the New York Times: George Woodcock, chairman of the Commission on Industrial Relations of Great Britain; Vincent Day, vice president of industrial relations of General Electric; Kenneth Clark, black psychologist who recommends the widespread use of drugs and who has performed criminally disgusting, treacherous experiments on human beings.

Automation House has fanned out through New York City. It trains police in "community relations" and behavioral methods for "conflict resolution," which include ways to provoke riots and race fights. It organizes gangs in New York with and for the LEAA, puts them through brainwashing training and turns them into controlled "hit squads." It brainwashes methadone addicts to perform cheap, low-wage labor. It writes studies and helps coordinate the New York WIN recycling programs. It has broken strikes of the transit workers, the typographical workers, and others. Along with Cornell, it organized the no-strike conference in San Francisco in April 1973, to push the behavior-controlled alternative to strikes.

Through Automation House and the Cornell School, Harry Van Arsdale, president of the 1.2 million-member New York AFL-CIO labor council, has become a tool for Rockefeller. He heads some of the Cornell work. In return, the Cornell ILR created the New York taxi drivers' union in 1966 and placed as its president Van Arsdale, who was at that time the president of New York's largest International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers local. Van Arsdale, in a recent letter, asked Nelson Rockefeller if he could be on the Critical Choices Commission. Rockefeller, no doubt barely suppressing his grin, told Harry he wasn't yet needed.

After a three-year pilot study and recruiting ILR members phase, the Cornell ILR School created the Coalition of Labor Union Women, which held its first conference in Chicago in March 1974. The group is intended to push Affirmative Action for women, pitting men against women in vicious job fights with millions being laid off.

The ILR's international significance lies in a whole network of people it has placed and trained. It presently has advisors to the top councils of the Trades Union Council (TUC), the trade-union federation of Britain.

The three Rockefeller-formed and -funded and -directed labor institutes, Tavistock, the ISR and Cornell ILR, are only three of the more than two to three hundred that were started by the Rockefellers throughout the world after the Second World War and the ensuing years after it. They include the Centre d'Etudes de Problemes Humaines de Travail (CERPO) and the Association pour la Recherche et L'Intervention Psycho-sociologiques in France; the Industrial Research Institute in Bonn, Germany and the DGB's (German Trade Union) Department of Social Relations Institute, also in Germany; the Sociedad Argentina de Investigacion Operativa (SADIO) in Argentina, the International Jewish Research Foundation on Human Relations in Israel, and the Psychological Institute at Kyushu in Japan (formed earlier), to name barely a few.

In the U.S., at least one hundred labor institutes alone arose.

Today in the U.S. the labor institutes have, by a rough estimate, between 5,000-15,000 motivational behaviorists on their staffs. Possibly 5,000-10,000 graduates or members of the present staffs are in — sometimes in the leadership of — the Department of Labor, the Department of Health, Education and Welfare (HEW), Manpower Training, union locals, union internationals,
union editorial staffs, and corporate positions such as personnel management, production standards, etc.

This gives the Rockefellers an operational network of command.

Concurrently, Rockefeller was using secret intelligence and the CIA to take over labor unions around the world in the 1940's and 1950's.

Secret Intelligence-CIA at Work

The Rockefeller plans for post-war Europe were already being shaped during the Second World War. Several economic planning groups were formed under Rockefeller leadership at that time.

The most important was the Rockefeller-controlled Committee for Economic Development, founded in 1942, with Nelson Rockefeller on the original board of trustees.

Key Rockefeller figures included Paul Hoffman, president of Studebaker Company, which was taken over by the banks after it folded in 1934. Hoffman was the Committee for Economic Development's leading economic butcher. Others on the board were Rockefeller-controlled people such as William Benton of the University of Chicago (which the Rockefellers founded and guided Harvard Business School; and Thomas W. Lamont, representing the interests of the J.P. Morgan Co. S.D. Bechtel, millionaire operative for the CIA and the chairman of the board of Standard Oil was on future CED boards.

The CED devised some of the essential work for the Marshall Plan to loot Europe. At first the Morgenthau Plan was considered an option. This plan would have deindustrialized Europe under semi-fascist rule and reconverted the war economy to give the U.S. a vastly expanded industrial sector. This was finally rejected in favor of the Marshall Plan, or European Recovery Act, which permitted U.S. credit (the only credit worth anything in the world at the time) to buy up European industry and the European working class extremely cheaply.

Before this outright takeover began in 1947, a torturous psychological softening up was conducted against the European working class, including near starvation. In Germany daily per capita consumption of calories fell to 1,300. Meat fell from about a sixth of a pound to 1/60 of a pound per day. Even the consumption of potatoes fell from one pound per day in 1937 to two-thirds of a pound. The American Military Government (AMG), which was occupying the U.S. zone, cut off the supply of home heating fuel by diverting coal away from Germany.

Drugs were curtailed.

A systematic terror campaign began, with Reesian-trained death squads roaming Germany and murdering people. Concentration camps were recommended as the storage places for displaced persons at this time. Part of the same operation was the selection procedure conducted by Reesian psychologists to weed out the most loyal and true to the Tavistock creed as the future rulers of Europe.

Workers rendered desperate, capitalists starved for credits, rulers already chosen, the Marshall Plan machine for “reconstruction,” that is, outright looting, began in 1948.

To guarantee that no trouble would come from the labor movement, and to prevent the organizing of the Communist Party, the intelligence services, the State Department, along with the CIA and its pre-1947 predecessor forces, gathered together some trade unionists to carry out the Rockefeller plan of reshaping and taking control over sections of the European labor movement.

The first part of the plan was to activate the network of European contacts Arthur Goldberg had made while he was head of the labor branch of the OSS. The labor branch was the brainchild of OSS colonel Herbert Blankenhorn, who in civilian life had been an anti-labor advisor to N.Y. Senator Robert Wagner. Members for the labor branch staff were recruited heavily from the National Labor Relations Board (NLRB), another of Rockefeller's corporatist war boards. The NLRB's general counsel, Gerhard Van Arkel, was established in North Africa as Goldberg's representative, later to become an aide in Germany and Switzerland to master spy Allen Dulles.

Goldberg was working with the social democrats within the European labor movement. He was funneling OSS funds into the social democratic wing of the clandestine French federation of labor, with a view toward strengthening that group against the Nazis and against the Communists, preparing arrangements that would help U.S. Intelligence take over the French movement after the war.

In the fall of 1943, Goldberg's staff began to recruit anti-Nazis, mostly social democrats, for future infiltration into Germany. The project was dubbed Operation Bach and placed under the charge of the research director (either William Gomberg or Jay Lovestone at the time) of David Dubinsky's International Ladies' Garment Workers Union (ILGWU). The OSS assembled the German-speaking recruits, who were Scandinavian, Belgian, French, and Polish, to be dropped behind German lines in Western Europe. Many came from the International Transport Union, headed by
Belgian trade-unionist Omer Becu.

This operation, and others Goldberg OSS labor branch conducted left a nest of intelligence-trained contacts who could be reached after the war.

The half men assigned to the task were Jay Lovestone, Irving Brown, and Rockefeller family dog, George Meany.

All three were conscious agents for the CIA. Lovestone first gained celebrity as chairman of the U.S. Communist Party, but was removed from the post because he sided with Bukarin in internal Soviet factional struggles. He then formed a "principled" socialist opposition group to the Soviet Union, which very soon lost all pretenses of principle and turned into an anti-communist claque. Old swine David Dubinsky picked Lovestone up and employed him for anti-communist strong-arm tactics in the ILGWU. Dubinsky leased him out to the Homer Martin red-purger forces in the UAW in 1938. Despite lots of dirty effort, and talks with Walter Reuther, Lovestone's candidate Martin lost out, and Lovestone was shipped back to Dubinsky. For the next few years Lovestone played close attention to his anti-communist trade, which assumed the proportions of a phobia.

George Meany started out as a plumber. Narrow craft thinking straight-jacketed his admittedly limited mentality. Following inside deals, Meany bullied his way to anti-communist trade, which assumed the proportions of a split.

Brown's objective was to win a sizeable minority for the Rockefeller forces at the CGT convention scheduled for April 1946, in which the CP would command an evident majority. Brown wired for funds from the AFL and the intelligence services in New York. They were transmitted in order to appear "non-political," through a group of pimps in the leadership of the Jewish Labor Committee.

Brown's machinations failed miserably; the CP gained a landslide majority at the convention. After correspondence with New York and consultation with the U.S. State Department Ambassador to France, Jefferson Caffey, the decision was made to split the CGT, but to wait for the right time.

In December 1947 the CP launched a national strike, officially for higher wages and unofficially, as it was well understood, against the detested, brutal Marshall Plan soon to be imposed. Brown decided this was the time for a split.

Jouhaux the "Socialist," and Bothereaux the gutter snipe anti-communist led the split for the Rockefeller cabal and formed the CGT-Force Ouvriere (Workers Force). It opposed the national strike.

The CGT-FO initially gained about 800,000 workers, one-fifth of the trade-union movement. Their disgusting active strike-breaking role lost them members as French economic conditions worsened in the 1948 starvation enforced by the Rockefeller interests to soften up the European working class for the Marshall Plan.

However, the membership loss did not deter Brown. The CIA sent money. By 1949 it reached $2 million per year, relayed through Thomas Braden, chief of the CIA labor branch. Brown got together some agents and formed the Mediterranean Committee to break a CGT-led dock worker strike in late 1949-50. Part of the money was to hire Italian thug-scabs and bullies. After several violent clashes the strike was defeated.

From 1950 onward, agents continued to flow into France. Two in particular were Victor Reuther, the International Affairs director of the UAW, and Harole Gibbon of the Teamsters. These two supposed leftists continued the dirty work for the CIA.

Today, the CGT-FO has only about 10 per cent of the membership of the French trade-union movement. But another federation, the CFDT, has a further 30 per cent, and most of the leaders of the unions in this federation
are aligned with the faction in the Socialist Party grouped around Francois Mitterrand, the faction that works closely with the CIA. This gives the CIA considerable operating room.

Its major focus is to push militant actions around “autogestion,” the French version of corporativist co-participation. It has attempted for the last few years to draw the CGT in around this demand and thereby collapse the French labor movement into regional co-determination fiefdoms, which it is best suited to take control of.

The AFL and the CIO carried out operations in Greece. Irving Brown, who was functioning as a coordinator of the activities in several countries, was brought into Greece — on part time to take over the labor movement for the CIA.

In 1946, the Greek government was composed of semi-fascists, supported by the U.S. State Department and intelligence operations and the strike force capability of the British military. The Greek labor federation, the GSEE was controlled by the ERGAS (the Workers Anti-Fascist Coalition). ERGAS had the majority of seats on the GSEE’s executive council.

Brown made contact with Fotis Markis, a proto-fascist hoodlum. He provided Markis with funds and collected every gutter scum he could lay hands on to be part of Markis’ faction.

In late 1946, the Greek government disbanded and elected leadership of the GSEE, the pro-CP faction and replaced it with a puppet group. Fotis Markis was made general secretary of the labor federation.

For a while, all hell broke loose as a result of the action. However, additional CIA-AFL agents were brought in. Brown with large sums of CIA money and thuggery, utilizing Stalin’s betrayal of the Greek Communist revolution and therefore the defensive and weakening character of the Greek CP, initiated a red purge. By 1950, the GSEE was stabilized under CIA control, using Markis and another proto-fascist punk, Patsanjis, to rule it.

In Germany, Irving Brown was also a force, assisted by many others, most notably Vic Feather of the British Trades Union Council, and, in the late 1950’s, by the Reuthers, Victor and Walter, of the UAW.

After the war, Germany was apportioned into zones, including an American, French, British and Russian sector. The American sector was overseen by General Lucius Clay of the American Military Group. After the war, in 1945, the U.S. State Department was content to have no trade unions again immediately in Germany. Therefore it did not press forward with organization within them.

However, Communist organizing began in key sectors such as steel, coal, and transportation. Gains were made. This alarmed the AFL, and Henry Rutz, a key operative in Germany, in August 1946, went to see Joseph Keenan, who was Clay’s labor representative. Rutz proposed that the American Military Group request an office for the AFL in the Russian sector in Berlin, so that propaganda could be printed and smuggled from there. He stated that the German Socialists had underground channels and would let the AFL use them “for the dissemination of our message in return for paper.” The request, approved by Jay Lovestone, was granted.

In addition to the paper it now exported, the U.S. State Department also arranged for CARE provisions to be funneled to about 900 German trade union functionaries, many of whom were selected by the Tavistock psychological team doing “selection procedures” for the German nation based on Tavistock’s German psychology-ideology studies.

Jay Lovestone pushed for the unions to hold off on the co-determination demands. In return he continued to roll American intelligence service money into Germany. In the ensuing years, he was not the only one relaying CIA funds. As Thomas Braden, CIA labor branch chief in this period, later admitted in a 1966 Washington Post article, Walter Reuther was serving as a carrier of CIA funds into Germany. Numerous others sent funds over, including David Dubinsky, who also used ILGWU money. In the last number of years the UAW has continued to funnel German trade-unions’ funds, via the International Metal Workers Trade Secretariat. The UAW gave 1.5 million dollars to the International Metal Workers through 1966.

The German unions were reorganized along American “only one industry per union line.” In 1951, co-determination worker representation on corporate boards was passed as law for steel and coal. In the ensuing years, co-determination — a policy organized for by the Rockefellers in the 1920’s and developed and pushed by fascist Labor Institutes outside and inside Germany, including the DGB (German trade-union federation) Institute — has become an effective CIA weapon to divert and crush labor struggles in Germany: a transmission belt to fascist corporatism.

The AFL under the leadership of Meany, Lovestone and Brown also went into countries like Italy, Belgium and Spain, in order to plant operatives, to take over or reorganize sections of or entire labor movements, and to push the ideology of co-determination, etc.
The AFL and CIA Filthy Work in Latin America

In the late 1930's, Nelson Rockefeller met George Meany, to whom he stressed the necessity of controlling big parts of the Latin American labor movement as a means to preserve rule over Latin America.

In 1944, Meany formed the Free Trade Union Committee (FTUC). Jay Lovestone was made the head. This group continued to function until 1955 even after the AFL and CIA created in 1949 the International Committee for Free Trade Unions (ICFTU) which was meant to be the major world body opposing the WFTU (World Federation of Free Trade Unions).

The WFTU consisted of Communist unions, including those from the Soviet bloc; pro-Communist unions; and trade unions that made alliances with Communist unions. The CIO and the British central labor federation had worked within the WFTU, doing an inside job, until they split in 1949 to form the above-mentioned ICFTU with the CIA and the AFL. (The AFL and CIO were separate bodies until their merger in 1955.)

The Free Trade Union Committee and the ICFTU were assigned to wreck the WFTU and create a pro-imperialist labor movement in Latin America. Meany, after his talks with Rockefeller, began this work in 1942 even before the formation of the above groups, when he traveled to Mexico to meet with the tramp faction of the trade-union leadership that opposed Lombardo Toledano, then the pro-Communist head of the Confederation of Mexican Workers (CTM). Importantly, Toledano was also the head of CTAL, the Confederation of Latin American Workers that was aligned with WFTU and the dominant power in Latin American trade unionism.

The following year, 1943, Serafino Romualdi was brought in to become a crucial operative in the Latin American operation. Romualdi had been on the staff of David Dubinsky, president of the ILGWU, and along with Jay Lovestone purged that union of communists. He then served with the OSS and after that became a leading staff member of Nelson Rockefeller's Office of Inter-American Affairs, the barbaric intelligence agency/police force for Latin America.

In 1943, the butcher Romualdi, Rockefeller, and the Office of Inter-American Affairs brought some of the corrupt Latin American trade-union leaders to Washington to meet with CIA stooges James Carey and Phillip Murray of the CIO, plus Meany and William Green of the AFL. Romualdi also submitted a memo for the "eventual organization of an inter-American labor body, composed of free democratic (sic) unions." However, Romualdi stated that such a body could not be formed in 1943, because "this would necessarily resolve into an anti-CTAL move and our friends in Latin America are not ready for it." (CTAL was a pro-Communist Party.)

In 1944 the Rockefeller cabal solicited the ghoulish head of the Chilean Confederation of Labor, Bernardo Ibanez, who controlled his union by a small majority and was interested in smashing up his pro-Communist opposition. He wasn't willing to split from CTAL yet. In 1945, Rockefeller man William Clayton, Assistant Secretary of State, at a meeting at a castle in Mexico City, announced a plan that demanded Clayton, Assistant Secretary of State, at a meeting at a castle in Mexico City, announced a plan that demanded lower Latin American tariffs, heavy U.S. industrial exports and increased investment in Latin American agricultural and extractive industries. This was intended to enable further labor-intensive rape of Latin America.

Several AFL and CIO leaders supported this merciless plan, such as James Carey of the United Electrical
Workers, who extolled it in Congress. This post-war plan required of Meany et al. an efficient Latin American CIA operation.

In late 1945, Romualdi had Ibanez and Arturo Sabroso, secretary-general of the Confederation of Labor of Peru (CTP) stop off in the U.S. after an International Labor Organization meeting in Paris. The meeting included Matthew Woll, pro-corporativist-fascist from the 1920's who was the chairman of the Free Trade Union Council (FTUC). The conspirators agreed to form a committee "to seek advice and exchange views with leaders of the AFL and labor people of other countries."

Ibanez left the meeting and stopped off in Venezuela and Brazil, while Albino Barra, a free-wheeling murderer who had attended this meeting in the United States, traveled to Mexico and Guatemala.

Soon Romualdi was placed in charge of Latin American labor affairs by the AFL.

In February 1946, Ibanez was expelled from the Chilean Labor Federation because of his work with Romualdi. He immediately received money and aid from Rockefeller conduits in setting up a scab, second labor federation. That year, the Rockefeller scum made their move. At a meeting of the regional International Labor Organization (the UN organization that the CIA-AFL took over for a long while), they attempted to make a strong showing. Meany and Romualdi negotiated with the Canadian, Peruvian and Venezuelan delegates, to whom they made successful promises of money, influence, etc. However, in the vote for leaders of the Workers Group of the ILO, the Rockefeller cabal could get only six votes in opposition to the pro-Communist faction of Toledano. This was a big defeat. It reaffirmed to the butchers the fact that control of the existing labor organizations could not be won, and that the plan to form a second, pro-imperialist labor federation should proceed quickly.

So the AFL resurrected moth-eaten Luis Morones, who had served as head of the Pan-American Federation for Samuel Gompers, and made him the head of a long-discredited dual union, the CROM in Mexico — to begin the AFL-CIA unionbuilding.

In June 1946 Romualdi went on a Latin American tour with letters of introduction from Nelson Rockefeller, Adolf A. Berle Jr., and other Rockefeller flunkies. Secretary of State James Byrnes sent a circular to American embassies instructing them to assist Romualdi. Romualdi traveled to Venezuela, Peru, Brazil, Ecuador, Uruguay, Argentina, Chile, Bolivia, Colombia and Mexico.

He promised contacts, power and large amounts of money assured from the Rockefellers, the State Department, and the Agency for International Development (and AID's predecessor group).

As early as 1946, the type of the AFL's dirty work with secret intelligence was exemplified by this letter by a leading official (Matthew Woll), published on AFL stationery, sent to the head of Cuba's Maritime Union dated June 27, 1946: "I was delighted to receive your letter and report of your meeting with [Serafino] Romualdi and of developments having taken place in Haiti. I will be pleased to hear later from you regarding the interview with the captain of the Military Intelligence Service and likewise your visit to Port au Prince and to British Jamaica where you intend visiting Bustamente. I will also await further work from you regarding the Dominican Republic."

Over three years, using extensive connections and the aid of such Rockefeller allies as Sumner Welles and Norman Thomas (who wrote letters of introduction for AFL officials), the AFL drew together every pro-cop labor leader in South America or any obscure labor functionary who hated communists.

In 1948, this rubbish from 17 countries was pulled together and the Inter-American Federation of Labor was formed. In 1949, its name was changed to the Inter-American Regional Organization of Workers (ORIT), and it became affiliated with Meany's ICFTU world body.

In the ensuing years of the 1950's, Rockefeller strategies for Latin America required anti-communist, pro-CIA labor organizations to be maintained and new ones built. Even during the period of Rockefeller's Alliance for Progress-Third Stage of Imperialism plan of managed social revolutions, Rockefeller required trade-unions and movements that might give the appearance of moving leftward, but never left CIA control. Otherwise, they might be captured by revolutionary socialist or Communist Party leadership.

One of the areas concentrated upon was Cuba. In Cuba, the designated Inter-American Regional Organization of Workers (ORIT) leader was Eusebio Mujal, who had been the tool used by Rockefeller, the State Department and their Autentico Party Instrument in Cuba to take power of the island and clean out radicals from the trade-union federation of Cuba, the CTC.

When Fulgencio Batista made himself dictator in 1952, Rockefeller's man in Cuba, Mujal, and his leaders in the CTC made an agreement of cooperation with Batista. The increased activity of Fidel Castro in 1956 and his appeal for a general strike of the trade unions was met by the Mujal leadership with the move to turn down the request, suspend union elections, remove opposition leaders from office and declare many strikes illegal.
Castro’s calls for general strikes in August 1957 and April 1958 were also flatly rejected and prevented by the Mujal leadership. In exchange, Mujal got the Batista leadership to guarantee a compulsory dues-checkoff system that would send the money to the stealing central CTC leadership.

In August 1957, Charles Millard, as an official representative of the ICFTU, visited Cuba. In his report, he proclaimed that trade-union rights and trade-union freedoms were fully respected.

The Mujal leadership’s bloody complicity with the Batista dictatorship that worked laborers to death on sugar plantations, Mujal’s weeding-out of opposition, and his suspension of union elections provide an illustration of what Millard, and Rockefeller support to Cuba. Meany, Lovestone, the CIA, ICFTU and ORIT meant by “democratic trade-union freedom.”

In March 1958, Romualdi foreseeing the collapse of Batista, met with Castro on the sensitive issue of offering CTC and Rockefeller support to Castro in exchange for a Castro agreement to allow the CTC to function with its same leadership and AFL-CIA connections if Castro came to power. Castro told the bastards to take a walk.

When on Jan. 1, 1959, Castro revolutionaries seized power in Cuba, Batista fled the island and CIA operative George Meany squawked indignantly, slobbering over a cigar in rage as Mujal and other sadistic leaders of the CTC were kicked out of office. The CIA immediately set up Mujal as the head of the Cuban Workers in exile front group. Esteban Rustan, one of Mujal’s henchmen, was made an ORIT executive in Costa Rica. Jose A. Carbenel, another of Mujal’s henchmen, became a representative of the CIA created American Institute for Free Labor Development when it was created in 1961.

One of the most notorious CIA operations — the Guatemalan counterrevolution of 1954 — had AFL participation on the Rockefeller side.

In the 1950 Guatemalan presidential election, a leftist, Jacobo Arbenz, was elected president. In March 1953 he expropriated 234,000 out of 295,000 uncultivated acres owned by United Fruit in the Tiquiaste area. Compensation of $600,000 was offered. In August of 1953, Arbenz began expropriation proceedings of 173,000 out of 273,000 United Fruit acres in Bananera, on the Atlantic Coast. The top bananas at United Fruit turned sour on the deal.

Vile George Meany attacked the Arbenz government in 1951. In 1953, to counter the expropriation moves, the AFL tried to build up the National Union of Free Workers of Guatemala (the UNTL), on behalf of the CIA. The criminal president of the UNTL was deported by Arbenz.

A CIA financed and led army, trained and equipped across the border in Honduras, with paid UNTL goons, invaded Guatemala and overthrew the Arbenz government in June 1954, installing a puppet dictatorship under Colonel Carlos Armas. Rockefeller family dog George Meany wrote, “The American Federation of Labor rejoices over the downfall of the Communist-controlled regime in Guatemala, brought about by the refusal of the Army to serve any longer a Government that had betrayed the democratic aspirations of the people....”[4] An indecent ten days after the Armas dictatorship assumed power, Romualdi, Daniel Benedict of the CIO, Saul Vivaldi of the Cuban CTC, and Otero Boriaff of Rockefeller’s ORIT arrived in Guatemala to help reorganize the trade unions and “advise” Armas on labor policy.

Armas began smashing unions and seizing land from peasants by burning them out. Thousands of workers and peasants were killed. After the murders, the ugly counselors expressed their condolences and said maybe Armas had gone too far.

**Guyana and the Dominical Republic**

The AFL and CIA became involved in British Guiana (Guyana) in 1951. Their major concern was Cheddi Jagan, a self-proclaimed Marxist who formed the People’s Progressive Party and in 1953 won the presidential elections.

Jagan received heavy support from sugar workers. One of his proposals was to hold an election for union recognition under the jurisdiction of the ministry of labor at the sugar plantations. The radical trade-union that Jagan favored, the Guiana Industrial Workers Union, looked certain to win.

Jagan never got to act on his proposal. While the measure was being debated in Congress, British gunboats arrived in Georgetown. British troops were dispatched into Guiana, the constitution was suspended and Jagan and other elected officials found themselves deposed.

Jagan was elected president again in both 1957 and 1961. To undermine him the ubiquitous Serafino Romualdi decided to undercut Jagan’s base in the sugar workers. Romualdi worked through a stooge union, the Manpower Citizen’s Association (MCPA). The Guianan Sugar Producers Association granted recognition and privileges to the MCPA and fired workers who refused to join it.

Meanwhile, a counterinsurgency plan built up the People’s National Congress (PNC), which appealed to blacks on racialist lines, led by despicable Forbes Burnham, a former leader in Jagan’s party. Jagan’s party had its base largely among Indians. Racial con-
Conflicts were initiated to attack the pro-socialist Jagan. The plotters brought in other outside forces. The Public Services International, whose American affiliate and moving force is AFSCME, entered the country to conduit CIA funds to public service and other workers. It has been exposed in the press. The AFSCME president at the time, Arnold Zander, admitted in a Washington Post article of Feb. 23, 1964 that for at least six years, from 1958 through 1964, AFSCME’s international affairs department was a cover for a filthy CIA operation, including its maneuvers in Guiana. The CIA pipeline into AFSCME is actually much longer and continues today.

The Rockefeller forces went all out to bust the Jagan government in 1963. Using military trained in low-intensity warfare, they initiated a terrorist campaign which they nursed into a riot in Feb. 1963. The AIFLD, a socialist-AFL-Rockefeller corporativist body for Latin American planning, dispatched money to the staff of the stooge sugar workers’ union, the MPC, with directions to carry out a general strike of workers for March 1963. The MPC called a strike but could only get 2,000 out of 20,000 sugar workers to leave work. Thereupon the Bookers and Demerara sugar companies, part of this plot, locked their workers out. The night before the March strike actions, amidst riots, Howard McCabe, the representative from the AFSCME union, flew into Guiana for all-night meetings with the heads of the MPC and stayed on to serve as their advisor for the period ahead.

Race war was stirred up. Provoked by CIA agents provocateurs and aided by the statements of CIA pimp Forbes Burnham, blacks violently attacked Indians aligned with Jagan. One hundred seventy people were killed; hundreds were wounded and brutalized, and $25 million worth of damage occurred.

At the height of the counterrevolution, over $100,000 per week from the official AFL source alone flooded into Guiana. The thoroughly exposed CIA front group, the Gotham Foundation, was pouring money into AFSCME for shipment to Guiana.

On June 13, 1964, the United Press International reported: “...anti-communist labor leaders vowed to continue their strike until it topples the government of Prime Minister Cheddi Jagan.” With British troops and American CIA operatives swarming through Georgetown, the Jagan government finally fell.

A similar ugly affair happened in the Dominican Republic. AFL representative Andrew McClellan played the pivotal role. McClellan was editor of the AFL’s Inter-American Labor Bulletin and a close friend of Horace Mann, the Rockefeller Ambassador to El Salvador and then Under-Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs (a Rockefeller-controlled office).

After the anti-Trujillo labor federation refused AFL-CIO aid following the assassination of dictator Trujillo in May 1961 and after the election of wishy-washy leftist Juan Bosch as president in 1962, it was decided by the CIA that this constituted a threat to the capitalist owned sugar plantations of the Dominican Republic and to the Rockefeller planning for the Western Hemisphere. With McClellan doing a good share of the murderous work, Bosch was overthrown in 1963.

Bosch managed to win elections again in 1965, and again was overthrown, this time with the use of U.S. marines. Dictator Belague was installed.

With the support and work of the AFL, the CIA also took over the Honduras Labor Council, the Uruguayan port workers’ union, and the El Salvadorian trade unions. It created the Betancourt dictatorship in the ultra-Rockefeller province, Venezuela. The list goes on.

In 1962, Rockefeller created the American Institute for Free Labor Development (AIFLD) to administer Latin American labor work.
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This admirable body consisted of heads of the AFL-CIO and 65 corporation heads with heavy investment in Latin America along with the Rockefeller planning body, that is officially listed as a U.S. government agency, the Organization of American States.

The chairman of the board of the AIFLD was J. Peter Grace, president of W.R. Grace and Co. and former director of National City Bank. Other officials were Brent Friele, who represents Rockefeller investments in South America, and Charles Brinkerhoff, president of Anaconda copper. Rockefeller family dog George Meany, was treated to presidency of the group, under the benevolent gaze of Grace, who was also busy as a member of the Colombian Chamber of Commerce and treasurer of Aid Chinese Intellectuals, Inc., a front group for aid to Chinese anti-communists.

Most of the money pumped into the AIFLD goes through the U.S. Agency for International Development, so widely known to be a CIA conduit that small capitalists who do business with it make open reference to that fact.

The AIFLD set the basis for some of the key co-participation, labor-intensive development schemes in South America. For instance, it established the Workers Housing Bank in Peru, agencies for food distribution (control), and various co-operatives, etc. These became an essential groundwork for the Peruvian "local control" fascist government placed in power in 1968. AIFLD began financing high priced housing developments in other areas of Latin America, demanding an 8 per cent return on its investment, higher than U.S. banking interest rates, which even higher payed South American workers could not afford to move into.

The Latin American labor chiefs were trained under the AIFLD's program. They were brought to the U.S., mainly to the Institute at Fort Royal, Virginia, then received a lordly income for the next nine months to field organize for the CIA in their country. Since 1962, over 500 "students" have been graduated from the Fort Royal Institute. Some 30,000 others have received similar programs in the AIFLD offices in 14 Latin American countries. Behavior programming is notable among the teaching "tools".

The AIFLD Labor Institute pitched into the Guyanan and Dominican coups. Eleven Guyanan "students" from the AIFLD Institute helped overthrow Cheddi Jagan. "Graduates" also took part in the overthrow for Rockefeller of the populist reform government of Joao Goulart in Brazil in 1964. Said one person about the AIFLD Institute graduates, "They were so active, they became intimately involved in the clandestine operations of the revolution before it took place....Many of the trade-union leaders — some of whom actually trained in the Institute — were involved in the revolution and the overthrow of the Goulart regime."

The AFL's yearly budget for Latin America is about $9 million. This is $6 million above the figure it can account for from dues, etc. The surplus no doubt comes wholly or in part from the CIA, in addition to the massive funds for CIA purposes channeled into Latin America through other routes.

By the mid-1960's the murderous ORIT, organized for Rockefeller's control of Latin America by the AFL operatives Meany, Lovestone, Romualdi and others, had 6 million out of 15 million members of Latin American trade-unions in its organization. Often it was the corrupt, cruel leaders of the affiliated federations who were involved in ORIT while their members were indifferent to it or eager to get out.

Thus, control of the trade-union movement in Latin America is still difficult for the bloody Rockefellers, even though the number of unions in ORIT has probably increased through terror raids, other maneuvers, and the imposition of pro-Rockefeller military governments in the last five years.

Another force for Rockefeller control of Latin American labor is the seamy CLASC, the Christian Democratic trade-union federation. It has grown greatly in the last number of years. CLASC poses as anti-imperialist and nationalist. It is permeated with Rockefeller's CIA agents.

The AFL in Africa and Asia

Rockefeller, being an internationalist, had the AFL carry out its repulsive work in Africa and Asia as well.

Some of the work in Africa was done through the Israeli labor organization, Histadrut. Histadrut is responsible for some of the most repressive labor enforcement in Israel, peddling to Israeli workers the line of sacrifice for war, wars Rockefeller arranges. Small wonder that Histadrut preaches sacrifice: it owns fully one-fifth of the Israeli economy. Business practices tell it what sort of humble demands it must raise for labor when that economy sinks in a severe depression. Today Histadrut pushes austerity and calls for the recycling of workers.

Histadrut is also connected with some of the leading brainwashing institutes in Europe, plus several in its own country, such as the Labor Relations department at the University of Tel Aviv, the International Research Center on Rural Cooperative Communities and Histadrut's own fascist Department of Workers' Participation in Management. It liberally practices malignant brainwashing (a Nuremberg crime!) on its own members.

Therefore Histadrut was selected by the AFL's con-
sicious CIA operatives Meany and Lovestone to carry out their odious work during the 1940's, 50's, and 60's in Africa. As John C. Lodge, Henry Cabot Lodge's son, a former Assistant Secretary of Labor, and a member of the Rockefeller-founded Council on Foreign Relations, noted: "[Histadrut's] remarkable achievements for its members and for the nation as a whole have attracted considerable interest and admiration throughout the developing world." He emphasized something that was true until the mid-1960's: "Histadrut's leaders and technicians are acceptable in many quarters where an American would not be. They are firmly committed to free world aims. Their experience and patterns of development have already had a profound impact in many countries of Asia and Africa."

Histadrut established the Afro-Asian Institute for Labor Studies and Cooperation in 1962, which gives intensive training to African and Asian trade-union heads. John Tettegah was sent there immediately after Ghana achieved independence. While Tettegah displayed surface antagonism toward the U.S., his training proved essential to the suppression of the Ankara strikes and other labor-crushing activities of the Ghanaian government of Kwame Nkrumah, controlled by the British cocoa exchange.

Histadrut also invited trade-union leaders from other countries to view the Israeli kibbutz, a form of local control, labor-intensive "cooperative" run for business gain, as a useful model for resettlement programs in the visitors' countries.

The AFL on its own part worked with Tom Mboya of Kenya, making this "progressive" ruler of Kenya the vice-president of the ICFTU. Lawrence Bohra of the Nigerian trade-union movement; the leaders of the Senegalese trade-union movement; Anwar Salama, president of the U.A.R. oil workers' union, and hundreds more were drawn into alliance with the Rockefeller cabal.

In the early 1960's, Irving Brown, having effected the U.S. takeover of crucial sections of the European labor movement, was made the head of the newly created AFL Afro-American Labor Institute.

The Asian operations were placed in the charge of Richard Deverall, a leading member of the U.S. Association of Catholic Trade Unionists and the founder of its chapter in Detroit. The ACTU was set up as a force of bogus militants to attack the Communist Party as the sellouts they were. It gave Walter Reuther the shock troops he needed to purge the UAW of its Reds in the 1940's.

in 1943, Deverall went to Washington to work as a labor consultant to the Office of War Information. He played an agent's role in the miners' strike. From 1946 to 1948 he was the chief of labor education on General MacArthur's staff.

In 1949, Deverall went to India as a representative of the Free Trade Union Committee (FTUC) in cooperation with the CIA. In India, he worked with the Indian National Trade Union Congress, which had become a major part of the ruling Congress Party.

The Gandhian principle of "non-violence" was now applied to the labor movement, above all the textile workers, and interpreted as outlawing strikes. A system of collective bargaining, in actuality a form of behavior modification instituted by an arbiter schooled in psychological techniques, was imposed.

In the 1950's treacherous Walter Reuther and several leading CIO and AFL officials journeyed to India to keep the strike movement under control and to teach ways that the Indian INTUC unions, under a seeming left stance, might frustrate the organizing efforts of Communists. The Hind Mazdoor Sabha, the Socialist Party-affiliated union, joined in this chore.

William Ackoff from the Tavistock Institute could be found in India in the mid-to-late 1950's, devising schemes of slave labor under the second Indian Five Year Plan. It is highly likely that Reuther and other AFL-CIO vermin joined in that work, as well as in experiments in counterinsurgency on the Indian population, dividing them along caste and clan lines, a familiar practice also used in the United States.

From his CIA tasks in India, Deverall was sent by the AFL and Rockefeller in the late 1940's and early 950's to the Philippines, Burma, Thailand, Malaya, Indonesia, Ceylon and Pakistan.

It was precisely AFL work in Malaya, through its capture of the powerful plantation and rubber workers unions, that Robert Thompson, high-ranking British military counterinsurgency specialist, used as a vehicle to apply advanced Reesian counterinsurgency techniques in the establishment of strategic hamlets and the suppression of a revolutionary uprising.

In Indonesia, the U.S. International Cooperation Administration and its successor group, the already noted CIA Agency for International Development (AID), funneled millions of dollars into Indonesia, with a share of this going to the PBKA trade-union federation, a minority force next to the dominant pro-CP SOBSI. Leaders of the PBKA were given courses at AFL labor Institutes in the United States and were directed to work on self-help projects such as housing. During the 1965 bloodbath of Communists, it was the activation and control of the PBKA section of the labor movement that,
along with other things, permitted Suharto to come to power.

In the Arab east, CIA-Rockefeller plugged money and leadership training into the General Tunisian Workers Union (UGTT), which was a power base for the Bourguiba fight for Tunisian independence in 1954 and a conduit of Rockefeller influence in that country. The country of Lebanon became a satrapy of the U.S. due in part to AFL work in the trade unions. For example, in 1956 the hotel and restaurant workers' union of Lebanon was split by the CIA. Then a medical clinic and other things were built to permit the CIA split-off section to win a membership that became integrated in Lebanese government policies.

One further part of the AFL-Rockefeller strategy to gain a commanding influence over large parts of the world's labor movement was its expropriation of the International Trade Secretariats (ITS) in 1948. The ITS's are federations of national trade unions operating in the same or related trades or industries.

The Trades Union Council, the central labor federation of Britain, had often worked closely with the AFL. Tom Bevin and some Americans took over for Rockefeller and British Intelligence the international trade secretariat of agricultural workers, called the International Federation of Plantation, Agricultural and Allied Workers. For this purpose, they mobilized a key sector in Africa. Bevin built a union of plantation workers in Tanganyka; he organized coffee workers in Kenya, Uganda, and Nyasaland. Bevin was the chief organizer of the Malayan Rubber Workers union which, as noted, played a role in the Malayan counterrevolution, with aid from Richard Deverall. In Malaya and Singapore, Bevin was aided in his murderous counterinsurgency work by George L.P. Weaver, who was then, in the 1940's, an official of the AFL collaborating with Lovestone and the CIA, and was later appointed U.S. Assistant Secretary of Labor for International Affairs.

There remains the International Federation of Petroleum and Chemical Workers, which the CIA used as a cover. It was headed until 1965 by O.A. Knight, president of the Oil, Chemical and Atomic Workers (OCAW). A Washington Post article of February 23, 1967 disclosed that a CIA conduit with a Philadelphia address, the Hamilton Fund, was sending $25,000 per month to Knight. The largely American staff of the International Federation of Petroleum and Chemical Workers intervenes in the collective bargaining and strike situations of the affiliates. With affiliate unions in oil-producing countries, Rockefeller is assured the safety of his refineries and the docility of his labor force.

Another of the International Trade Secretariats is the International Federation of Journalists in Brussels. Charles Perlik, secretary-treasurer of the American section, the American Newspaper Guild, admitted receiving within three years $1,004,000 from several funds identified as CIA channels. The funds were used for "a three-month journalism seminar in Vietnam," a seminar on trade-unionism in Turkey, and several seminars on journalism in Nigeria, the Congo, Brazil, etc.

The Public Services International, another international trade secretariat, is notorious for its work with admitted CIA funds in Guyana and in Africa. The German and Swedish affiliates of this union are well known for pushing poisonous co-determination. The American affiliate, AFSCME, is now a police-run union.

Then there are the "labor foundations."

One such was the now defunct Institute of Labor Research headed by "kindly" Norman Thomas, which served as the channel for almost one million dollars from the Kaplan Fund, a CIA funding outlet. The group was the brainchild of Dacha Volman, who came to the U.S. after working for Radio Free Europe. Thomas, a virulent red-baiter, provided a left-of-center image for the Institute of Labor Research, while he helped Volman organize 17 political parties in Latin America.

Thus Rockefeller, his agents in the CIA, AFL and CIO and their various front organizations followed the corporativist war boards in the 1940's with the brutal takeover of the world's labor movement during the late 1940's, the 1950's and into the 1960's.

V. FASCIST MANPOWER PROGRAMS

The 1960's had a period of false prosperity from 1961-65; a strike wave in 1968; and a growing recession at the end of the decade. The Communist Party, the Socialist Workers Party and numerous other "socialist" groups increasingly distinguished themselves in tactical policies as openly CIA-infiltrated organizations.

Various periods of upsurge were anticipated by Rockefeller and their radical ferment was infiltrated.

The CIA had been able to do a personality study of the young white adolescent who made up the bulk of the student movement of the sixties. It understood in at least empirical aspects the signs and reasons for youth's general "alienation." It therefore interjected itself into the student movement like a virus, and guided it in directions it knew it could exploit.

CIA intervention into the student movement achieved
three purposes: (1) It discredited the Left, especially the socialists, by promoting the crazy, even bestially anarchic activities; (2) it carried out and established proto-fascist counterinstitutions and ideology, such as “local control” and “counterculture;” and (3) it prevented socialists from recruiting the advanced workers and winning a sympathetic following among a larger layer of workers during the labor upsurges of the late 1960's.

The CIA openly pushed drugs and various anti-human counterculture philosophies. The drug-pushing was accomplished through well-known figures who popularized LSD and other psychosis-inducing compounds. They were widely distributed by agents in some areas such as the Lower East Side of New York, regularly given away free (until large buying began and the pharmaceutical companies made their money). This is the same method as police running of drugs through the agency of the police into Harlem and other ghettos in the 1950's, used to prevent rebellions. It stultified the organizing of potential socialist among students and unemployed youth who otherwise would be full of rage if they were not "drugged out."

The counterculture was developed by such creatures as R.D. Laing, who put in service at Tavistock on brain-washing for many years before espousing fascist counterculture. Laing's papers on the relation between psychosis and "transcendental relation" indicate that he was well aware of the effect of what he was pushing. Both William Schutz, the founder of Esalen "sensitivity" center in California (which works with the mass-murderous RAND Institute) and Timothy Leary have counterinsurgent backgrounds similar to Laing's. They both began at the Harvard Department of Social Relations, whence they proceeded from their highly technical transformation into counterculturists.

While he was at the above Harvard think-tank, which was influenced by Nazi Doctor Kurt Lewin in the 1940's, Leary "rediscovered" LSD and then popularized it. The sensitivity training used by Schutz was developed at the Rockefeller-Lewin-founded National Training Laboratory at Bethel, Maine in the 1940's. Schutz in one of his works praises the efforts of Leland Bradford, the director of the National Training Laboratories. (See Carol Menzel's article on coercive psychology, The Campaigner, Feb.-March 1974, for the history of Lewin, the NTL, etc.)

Meanwhile the Rockefeller forces funded many of the projects of the Students for a Democratic Society (SDS). One was the Cambridge Iron and Steel Works, a collective in Boston. Another was the Newark Community Union Project started in 1964, whose purpose was to organize "poor people" into community control. One of its founders was the UAW, which was convinced by the CIA's ISR of the wisdom of the grant. Tom Hayden, a founder of the project (and of SDS) had had dealings with ISR while he attended the University of Michigan in the early 1960's. Andrew Kopkind, another of the leaders of the NCUP project, went on to associate with Mike Ansara, and now works with the barbarous Cambridge Institute (which pushes workers' co-determination and productivity), as well as at the counterinsurgency thinktank, the Institute for Policy Studies. Still another leader of the NCUP program was Norman Fruchter, who is now running an LEAA-sponsored high school in Newark, N.J.

While Rockefeller was guiding most of the student movement and counterculture, his forces organized the welfare rights movement. Here potential organic leaders of the working class were channeled into a movement in which they groveled for a few more crumbs: (a $2,400 versus $2,600 minimum grant was the debate). They were herded into attacks against employed workers who in turn saw welfare victims as non-productive parasites. The movement was started by social work students out of such university social work schools as Columbia and Chicago which are dominated by behavior modification programming, the fascist group dynamics theory of Kurt Lewin, etc.

Some of the "leaders" were people such as Frances Piven, who wrote a 1964 article describing behavior modification for a community. She frequently cites the methods of James Q. Wilson, who went on to become a leader of the Police Foundation (created on a $30 million grant by the Ford Foundation), and who now shepherds LEAA-CIA national Gestapo "reform."

Piven laid out the strategy of the welfare movement: to fight for limited demands and cause confrontations which would tie up the system. This, plus the "poor people's ideology" of relying on self and anti-intellectualism, was calculated to keep welfare recipients stupefied, on welfare, and isolated. The Rockefeller-controlled Office of Economic Opportunities and several Rockefeller-dominated foundations gave their welfare movement money while OEO lawyers, advisors, and other kinds of agents entered it to take it over, acting as "leaderless" leaders, directing it in directions already decided, which the participants were made to feel they chose through socially controlled group participation.

Saul Alinsky operatives, mainly in the form of priests were also much employed. They were often trained at the Urban Training Center for Christian Missions, funded with money from the Ford Foundation and the United
Presbyterian Church. (One of those people trained at UTC was Jesse Jackson, on a Rockefeller Foundation Summer Program grant in 1966.)

During the 1960's and into the 1970's, welfare organizers throughout the Midwest were snatched up and sent to the Alinsky Industrial Areas Institute or the UTC, undergoing marked personality changes. In Milwaukee, Wisconsin, for example, militant welfare fighters from a Welfare Rights Organization chapter were sent to "psychological classes" to learn, as one participant said, "how to be ladies and gentlemen." The classes were administered by one Dismas Becker, a "radical priest" from the UTC, on OEO and foundation funding. The welfare victims returned from the classes without any of their former militancy, "well-behaved" — and began to administer the Work INcentive Program (WIN) which funneled welfare recipients into low-wage, virtual slave-labor jobs.

The same degree of penetration and control was exercised over the "black movement" in the United States in the 1960's by the Rockefeller cabal, though like the other movements it was not completely controlled.

Thus, the point is that Rockefeller et al. could and did broadly direct all three "movements," based on psychological profiles of the three constituencies, on funding, and on the placement of a large number of agents, many of whom rose to be "leaders" in their respective movements. The movements were steered clear of socialist organizing ("We don't want socialist outsiders" — "This is a movement for welfare recipients" — "We don't want to be manipulated by you" — "Historically, you socialist whites always rip off blacks," were the phrases agents used to calculatingly exploit backward, narrow sentiments among the respective "constituencies." The only socialists allowed into these movements were the CIA-infested bodies of the Communist Party, the Socialist Workers Party, the Revolutionary Union and other variously infiltrated or police-created sects that Rockefeller knew could be well trusted to carry out a Tavistock line.

The movements were used to spread proto-fascist local-control ideology and set up "local control" counterinsurgency projects — the irony of the polluted radical movement. In the late 1960's, after the upsurge periods had died down for these "movements," and they had been consciously, effectively cut off from classwide link-ups with workers, they were led into the direction of Tavistockian manpower programs. Rockefeller through his network now began to lead the labor movement in the same planned direction.

In the earlier 1960's, he had begun to lay the apparatus. He used the Department of Labor to run some of the first pilot projects. The extent of Rockefeller's control of the federal Department of Labor can be seen in the case histories of the large number of people who worked with him in New York State.

Rockefeller, through his network of control over unions, the Labor Institutes, the various departments of government he commanded, started to lay the basis of corporatist-fascist economic policies.

The corporatist plans of the 1930's were revised in the 1960's. Just as there were huge loans floated in 1933
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by the Rockefeller-Roosevelt National Recovery Act (NRA) policy, in the 1960's there was a huge mass of government and private debts that demanded payment. The plan for industrial development of Latin America, Asia and Africa, as a way of obtaining loot, was not enacted on its intended scale. Therefore, toward the end of the 1960's, just as in the NRA legislation of 1933, Rockefeller began to build fascist public works programs, to increase the taxation of the working class, and to recycle the workforce.

The latter, the essence of fascist economic policy, means that one portion of the labor force is worked at an almost unbearable pace, then discharged to the ranks of the unemployed, while another batch of workers is brought into work at even more intense speedup and lower pay scales. Then they are thrown out in turn and another batch of workers are brought in — sometimes including some of the first group who have recuperated — at even higher speedup and more reduced pay. Millions die, and at some point Rockefeller will systematically kill, through some "final solution," whose "useless eaters" who cannot work and those who have been worked so hard that they have little useable energy left and cost too much to feed.

(A Dark Ages number of deaths might come from some maddened CIA cabal in the Pentagon, which, on orders from the Rockefellers, pushes a button even before fascist economic policies are fully instituted.)

The recycling, public works programs, and taxation of the working class are applied throughout the world.

Brazil shows how it works. In 1962, CIA agents in Brazil under the overall director for CIA operations in Brazil, Vernon Walters, employed a number of covers to expand that country's CIA network. One of the fronts used was the Co-Operative League of the United States of America. In 1963, George Meany ordered two top agents, Romualdi and Brent Friele, the expert on Latin American affairs for the Rockefeller clan, to make a three-month tour of Brazil. They sent to the United States 33 trade-union leaders who were given training at the American Institute for Free Labor Development school, then reassigned to Brazil to either head unions or teach courses. In 1964, a coup was launched against the Goulart regime, which was unable to meet debt payments. It was virtually bloodless, because the Brazilian Communist Party looked to the "progressive" banker Goulart to save them and the workers. Goulart was already safely in Uruguay at that time.

The Guaranteed Fund Statutes (FTGS) was passed in 1966, which, along with the Consolidation of Labor Laws of 1965 ensured recycling. However, four years of death squads and intelligence operations were necessary to smash guerrillas and the working class before recycling became expanded to the desired scale.

The result of the Guaranteed Fund legislation is that 82.4 per cent of the workers in Brazil are unable to hold a job in the same factory for more than one year. Brazil registered the highest on-the-job accident rate in the world with 4,400 accidents a day. The large majority of accidents occur during overtime hours which the worker is forced to accept. Between 1965 and December 1972, the purchasing power of the Brazilian worker was reduced by 40 per cent. During that period the workers' "productivity" index (speedup rating) increased an astonishing 44 per cent.

At the same time Brazil implements a public works program. In one of them, poor workers and peasants are relocated into the Amazon. Each family is given 250 acres of land that must be painfully cleared of jungle growth, plus a hastily built shanty house, and credit. The poor soil is slavishly worked to eke out a crop. Several millions will be bled dry in this fashion.

The same general plan is intended to be implemented in the United States.

The Rockefellers have had three objectives in this: (1) The creation of manpower programs that incorporate recycling and public works for the large mass of the population, who will increasingly be unemployed; (2) The establishment of a corporativist-fascist Labor Front to implement the manpower programs and the integration of labor-trade-union, welfare, ghetto — into it; (3) the activation in the labor movement of counter-insurgency plans. Examples are found in fights between unions and in the behavior modification training of local community control groups by Saul Alinsky methods, as well as in agent Mike Parkhurst, leader of a truckers' movement. Such disruption is intended to provide a chaos-and-confusion cover for the stealthy, deadly corporativist Labor Front to bring "participatory" law and order to the terrifying tumult.

**Setting Up the Corporativist "Nazi Labor Front"**

In the 1930's, the Nazi Party installed a German Labor Front organization that incorporated industry and labor together into councils, headed by Nazis and the leaders of banks and corporations. The Front oversaw the savage recycling and public works programs which sucked out the wealth to pay off the loans floated by the Nazi financial policy under finance minister Hjalmar Schacht.

A "Strength Through Joy" ideology was fostered. Through suggestion and persuasion it induced a worker to frantically increase productivity (force was also used) while he also gave up material values — decent wages, nutritious food, warm clothes, etc. — for the
"Joy" of "spiritual values" such as national or community or factory pride.

Today, this corresponds to the behavior modification-brainwashing coming particularly from the Labor Institutes and practiced in communities, factories, negotiating sessions, and rapidly on the national population.

Transportation, vocational training, schools, health were all overseen by the Nazi Labor Front. Banking, power, commerce, handicraft were formed into cartels and supervised by the National Economic Chamber which intermeshed its work with the Labor Front.

By the end of 1934, wages in Germany were one-third lower than they were in the depression of 1929. Workers labored up to 66 hours per week. Speedup was body-wracking. The level of technology often decreased to a more primitive, labor-intensive level.

The Nazi Party, rather than wearing down their workforce further, decided to convert to a full-time war economy: to loot workers, products, and wealth from other countries.

The U.S. today is looting the underdeveloped part of the world through the McNamara Plan. Robert McNamara has been a member of the Rockefeller Council on Foreign Relations (created by the Rockefellers in 1922) and the Rockefeller-dominated Ford Foundation (John McCloy, a Rockefeller specialist and former chairman of the board of Rockefeller's Chase Manhattan Bank set up the Ford Foundation after the transfer to it of Ford Motor Co. stock, became the chairman of the Ford Foundation and gave it its basic direction) set up this cannibalizing plan for the Rockefellers.

One of the prime agencies that administers the McNamara Plan is the Rockefeller-commanded World Bank. The second president of the World Bank was the above-cited McCloy. Another former president was Eugene Black, a director of Chase Manhattan and former trustee of the Ford Foundation. A second agency that supervises funds for the McNamara Plan is the International Monetary Fund (IMF), which was set up and conceived by Rockefeller man Paul Hoffman of the Council of Economic Development. Hoffman worked as overall director of the Marshall Plan looting of Europe for the Rockefellers after World War II.

Despite the McNamara-Rockefeller pillaging and killing in the underdeveloped world, because the plan concentrates on agriculture and on light industry, some medium and only limited heavy industry, there is an insufficient amount of plunder that can be forcibly pulled out from the region.

The Rockefeller cabal cannot turn outward for advanced industrial production loot, as Nazi Germany did in the 1930's, except to areas it does not own outright — the Soviet Union, and certain parts of Europe and Japan. It must turn inward, and do to its working class, especially in the U.S., what the fascists in Germany only started to do in the 1930's — knowingly recycle most of its working class to death.

To this end, therefore, in the U.S., in the 1960's and 1970's, the butchering Rockefeller cabal set up the organization for a corporativist Nazi Labor Front.

One of the organizations that worked out the plans for this, in addition to the above-mentioned fascist Labor Institutes, think-tanks and foundations, is the "1313" group, named after its street address in Chicago. It was set up by the bestial Rockefellers in 1938 through the Laura Spellman Rockefeller Memorial. It has also received money from the Rockefeller-controlled Carnegie Foundation and Ford Foundation. Its Rockefeller-donated building stands on Rockefeller-donated land, and is located just across the street from the Rockefeller-created and -controlled Council on Foreign Relations.

The Council on Foreign Relations and the 1313 Group consult heavily together and as a corporativist clique work out world-coordinated strategies.

The 1313 Group took into itself 22 organizations, such as the American Public Works Association, the American Public Welfare Association, the National Institute of Municipal Clerks, the National Association of Housing and Redevelopment Officials. The 1313-Rockefeller control over these organizations has made it possible for Rockefeller to have training and control of the top administrators and functionaries who will administer the manpower programs in every city.

The 1313 Group was involved in the passage of the Title I Housing Act of 1949, which established "slum clearance and redevelopment." While this "urban renewal" made no improvements in housing — from 1949 through 1968, 440,000 housing units were demolished, while only 124,000 were placed — it, along with rumors spread by highway boondiggers, land speculators and counterinsurgents about "blacks moving into the neighborhood," moved blacks into certain sections of American cities and significant numbers of whites into areas outside the cities. This established ghettos, which were used like large camps. The population was bled through high rents, drugs and crime — the numbers racket, organized large-scale theft, etc. — which were introduced for profit and to spread demoralization and corruption. Then the ghettos were used as periodic testing grounds for racially-directed explosions. They also served as practice targets for local control experiments, and of course as a cheap reserve-labor pool.

The criminal 1313 body moved in the 1950's, 1960's
and 1970's along with other Rockefeller-controlled groups, to set up the "Nazi Labor Front" structure. To make sure of the success of this work, it had to be assured that the agencies which will serve as parts of the Front are under Rockefeller control. An examination of one of the departments in question, the U.S. Department of Labor, shows that this is the case.

The current executive assistant to the Secretary of Labor is William Rogers, a graduate of the Rockefeller-created and -run Labor Institute (Nelson's on the board of trustees, etc.), the Cornell School of Industrial Labor Relations and received appointment through Nelson Rockefeller as senior attorney to the New York State Public Employment Board before assuming this post. Rogers replaced as executive assistant Robert Armao, who gave as the reason he stepped down in April, 1973 as "returning to work on the staff of Nelson Rockefeller."
The Assistant Secretary of Labor for labor-management, Paul Fosse, is a graduate of the Cornell ILR. The assistant Secretary of Labor for manpower, William Colberg, is a fellow of the Rockefeller-dominated Carnegie Foundation. The commissioner (and falsifier) of Labor Statistics is Julius Shiskin, who served on the corporatist War Production Board during World War II, won the Rockefeller public service award awarded by Rockefeller forces, etc.

The same is true on a regional level. The director of the New York City regional Department of Labor is Stephen Blum, who helped run the Nelson Rockefeller for Governor campaign staff of 1970. The Secretaries of Labor include Willard Wirtz, who is a member of the Rockefeller American Arbitration Association, George Shultz (now Secretary of the Treasury overseen by Laurence Rockefeller) and the present Secretary, Peter Brennan, who was a director of the Rockefeller Rent-A-Kid slave-labor program run out of the Office of Economic Opportunities. They have all served on Rockefeller staffs, foundations and institutes.

Other federal departments have similarly come under the sway of the Rockefellers. The Office of Economic Opportunity, for example, was the brainchild of, among others, Patrick Moynihan, a Rockefeller member of the CIA-created Americans for Democratic Action (ADA). In the Department of State, for the last 15 years over 60 men in each administration have come from the Rockefeller Council on Foreign Relations.

The current Secretary of State, Henry Kissinger, was 1956 director for the Rockefeller Brothers Fund special series of studies, as well as foreign policy advisor of Nelson Rockefeller in his 1968 presidential bid. In each U.S. department, Rockefeller has muscled control.

Assured of this control, the 1313 Group put studies to work, in addition to those of organizations such as Resources for the Future (of which Laurence Rockefeller is honorary director, and which published some of its findings in a book entitled Regional Economic Development — The Federal Role). The studies culminated in an executive order that Richard Nixon was persuaded to put his signature to. The executive order, Number 11647, signed on Feb. 12, 1972, divided the United States into ten federal regions, to be run by a planning council comprised of the heads of the different Rockefeller-controlled U.S. departments.

It read, "There is hereby established a Federal Regional Council for each of the ten standard Federal regions. Each Council shall be composed of the directors of the regional offices of the Department of Labor, of Health, Education and Welfare, of Housing and Urban Development [HUD], the Secretarial Representative of the Department of Transportation, and the directors of the regional offices of the Office of Economic Opportunity, the Environmental Protection Agency and the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration... Representatives of the Office of Management and Budget may participate in any deliberations of the Council."

This Order, in conjunction with Executive Order No. 11940 of Oct. 30, 1969, "Assigning Emergency Preparedness Functions to Federal Governments," empowers Regional Councils to dictatorially control all food supply, money and credit, transportation, communications, education, health, manpower and other vital areas under emergencies.

The power of such regional bodies is made clear by Robert C. Weaver, former Secretary of HUD.

The ten federal councils reign over what are considered "natural" manpower regions. The Nazi Labor Front-National Economic Chamber ruled through regional councils in Germany in the 1930's.

Speaking on the question of land control, a requisite for resettlement, former Secretary of HUD Robert C. Weaver made clear the power of regional government:

"Regional government means absolute Federal control over all property and its development regardless of its location, anywhere in the United States, to be administered on the Federal officials' determination. It [regional government] would supersede state and local laws... Through this authority we seek to recapture the use of land, most of which the government has already given the people."
The LEAA is incorporated into this structure. The 1313 Group and a host of other Rockefeller bodies have been pushing for consolidation of local police forces into a national Gestapo.

Pyramiding below the ten federal regional councils are such regional bodies as SEMCOG (the South Eastern
Michigan Council of Governments), guided by counter-insurgent New Detroit, Inc., which encompasses three counties in Michigan, including Detroit's. Another is ABAG (Association of Bay Area Governments), set up by CIA operative Stephen Bechtel. It covers an area in California that includes San Francisco and Oakland. These bodies and others like them throughout the country are already set up, although they don't have much power yet. On a regional level smaller than the ten federal regional councils, they can administer manpower, transportation, health, police, etc.

Below them, on a more localized level, especially as a result of the CIA-inspired new city charters passed in most cities of the U.S., are local control units within each city slated for self-sufficient self-help.

Bernard Melzer, real estate man and chairman of Philadelphia's City Planning Commission, who is connected to the University of Pennsylvania fascist think-tank centered around Tavistockian Eric Trist, recommends that Philadelphia be divided into seven districts. Each region would have to supply its own bus service, its own water supply, its own schooling, its own housing construction and maintenance, and its own social services (this is one of the prime aspects of the use of residency-requirements to bust municipal unions).

To administer the localized self-sufficient unit-districts, enter the Rockefeller-controlled Ford Foundation. It runs Community Development Corporations (CDC's) to control localized communities. The CDC's history is traceable from the Gray Areas programs started in 1961-1962 in Oakland, Boston, Philadelphia, Washington, D.C., to hundreds of Community Action Agencies established throughout the U.S. in 1964 and 1965. Today they operate as the CDC's.

The CDC in a region of usually 75,000 to 125,000 (sometimes much larger) establishes a corporation to direct the activities of that area. In New York, CDC's operate in Harlam and Bedford-Stuyvesant. (The latter was directed for six years by John Doar, who is now the lawyer for the House of Representatives' Committee to Impeach Nixon.) In Philadelphia, the CDC is run by Leon Sullivan. In Los Angeles, it is run by Ted Watkins, former UAW shop steward (the UAW helped install the scum project in this city) and member of Imamu Baraka's Congress of African Peoples. In Chicago, it is The Woodlawn Organization, founded by Saul Alinsky and one of the power bases of Jesse Jackson. And so on.

The type of role the CDC's and similar groups perform can be seen in San Francisco examples.

In San Francisco, a community center was built to provide an area with a storefront police (LEAA) station, a manpower referral office, a counseling (brainwashing) center, an auditorium to dispense the local programmed “Kultur,” and so forth.

If residency requirements are imposed (someone who works a municipal job must live in that area), then the municipal work force will all be local labor. The significance can be seen from the Ashbury section of San Francisco, where a former military advisor to the invasion of the Dominican Republic, Phair, proposed that $20 million worth of loans be taken out for rehabilitation of homes in that area, paid off directly through the rents of the tenants.

Here, then, one can have a small local unit strapped with huge housing debts and given only limited funds and energy. (Revenue- and energy-sharing are already to an important extent dispensed through regions, and from a centralized chamber can be distributed by each pyramiding-downward level of rule.).

Each localized unit would vote “democratically” to reduce the wages and increase the hours and speedup of the local municipal work force; call for volunteer labor for social services; call for less housing construction; administer food shortages and other kinds of rationing. Tenants would be forbidden to use too much energy, policed by their own LEAA-set-up tenants' patrol.

The New York Times has already suggested that industrial plants can also be tied into this structure. The plants would be run on brutal Organizational Development principles, developed at Rockefeller's Labor Institute think-tanks. The workers would have to produce enough for the company's (or cartel's) profits, a share for taxes as part of the workers' responsibility to contribute to "their" localized units fund, and then some for their wages. There would be only a limited amount of energy-power dispensed from the regional council on down for the plant to run on. Under intense behavior modification programming, thankful to have the body-devouring job for the short time that they do and with only a small amount of power to run the factory — workers will "democratically" vote to cut wages, heighten the killing labor-intensive-oriented speedup down to the bone.

Meanwhile, fresh outside labor would periodically be brought into the localized unit according to regional manpower pools.

The structure for the corporativist-fascist Labor Front has been established. At the top of its will be the Commission for Critical Choices, founded, funded and headed by Rockefeller forces, and supplemented by such other Rockefeller think-tanks as the Rockefeller and Ford Foundations, the CIA, the Labor Institutes and that whole murderous network.

The cited model is not the exact one the Rockefellers will use. They plan alterations, variations, additional structures, etc. And the element of timing will determine when and in what manner they impose the Labor Front.
Nonetheless, it appears that in broad outline the cited structure is the general model they will attempt to establish.

The second thing the savage Rockefeller forces in the 1960's and 1970's did was construct manpower programs for recycling and public works, which are then operated through the corporativist Nazi Labor Front. The labor movement — the trade union, welfare recipients — was filthily integrated into the disgusting manpower programs.

In 1964, the Comprehensive Employment Training Act was passed. This made provisions for job training and placement with AFL-CIO cooperation. Under the general context of the Act, various pimp outfit programs were either created or built up, such as Mobilization for Youth or Model Cities, which were to be used later to supply low-wage scabs.

The first program of some scale was the Work Incentive Program (WIN) which required welfare recipients with children over a specified age to work off or forego their checks at jobs that usually paid at or below the minimum wage, and almost always at wages below that for the job classification. Welfare recipients were organized by the Rockefeller-controlled Welfare Rights Organization, through the OEO, Alinsky agents, the social workers programmed after initial opposition at Rockefeller-controlled social work schools, into the WIN program. The AFL-CIO gave complete cooperation.

During the years 1969-71, large numbers of park jobs, some welfare employee jobs, low-level school aide jobs, clerical and other similar positions were worked by WIN victims. By 1972, WIN began to channel welfare recipients into auto plants and other industries, though still on a small scale.

All during this time, the fascist-model programs were being written at the Rockefeller Labor Institutes.

Over 10,000 studies were conducted during the years 1965-73. These covered the different aspects of the fascist manpower programs. One example is "Developing a Model WIN Project for Rural Areas," completed at the North Star Research and Development Institute in Minneapolis. Another, "Industrial Relocation Decisions In and Around Detroit," done at the ISR. Or the "North Carolina Labor Mobility Project," done for the North Carolina Manpower Development Administration. Studies for centralized and regional labor banks, with all workers being required to carry cards, are done by five or six institutes in conjunction. Nor are the studies confined to the U.S. Instances include cases like "Britain's Industrial Training Act: A Case Study in the Development of Public Manpower," done at Cornell University's School of Industrial Labor Relations.

In 1973, Congress passed the Comprehensive Employment and Manpower Act (CEMA), pushed by Rockefeller stooge Sen. Jacob Javits of New York. This divided the U.S. into manpower regions, and created regional manpower pools. A Manpower Services Council and a National Commission for Manpower Policy composed of seventeen bodies (including the HEW, the Departments of Labor, Defense, Agriculture, Commerce, etc.) were created. All U.S. agencies receiving a special kind of funding, Title II, were instructed to re-evaluate their programs and eliminate any barriers that stood in the way of public works-type programs. Javits then requested for the Rockefeller cabal $4 billion to fund Title II programs under this new provision.

The pretext for streaming ahead with the fascist manpower plans was the energy crisis. In a Manpower Information Bulletin, published before the Rockefeller-arranged Arab-Israeli war and the accompanying oil hoax, it was knowingly and cunningly predicted that the fuel crisis will produce "a series of localized breakdowns in the fuel supply system that will force the widespread closings and community-wide unemployment." It recommends that "...a job creation program through subsidized public employment will...be necessary."

Building on this was Senator "Scoop" Jackson of Washington, who is associated with Boeing Aircraft, in which Rockefeller's Chase Manhattan Bank has controlling shares, and who also signals Rockefeller's line of anti-detente (when it is used) through opposing the Soviet grain deal and picking up on the Soviet Jewry issue. Jackons introduced an amendment that passed Congress. The Jackson amendment will allow the presidency (i.e., Rockefeller forces) to operate a job training and relocation program for those people whose loss of jobs was attributed to the "energy crisis."

At the same time the Rockefeller-run Department of Labor rushed forward with an energy plan featuring an Energy Coordinating Committee at the DOL. This would be composed of "senior officials," similar committees in each of the ten federal regions, and the "use of the Employment Service Job Banks to place the Unemployed in new jobs."

This energy-crisis hoax is the emergency needed to activate the power of the ten regional councils to administer manpower, as well as transportation, food control, etc. as outlined already. The fascist manpower portion is ready and funded to move.
The success of this corporativist operation required the involvement of the AFL-CIO. In 1968 Rockefeller's agents inside the Department of Labor created with an over $2 million grant, a division inside the AFL-CIO called the Human Resources Development Institute (HRDI). The leadership of the AFL-CIO agreed to implement the HRDI.

Overt CIA operative George Meany made himself HRDI's chairman. I.W. Abel, president of the Steelworkers, Joseph Beirne, president of the Communication Workers of America, among others, participated on the board.

HRDI works to implement the various manpower schemes. It helped implement the brutal WIN program; it helped coordinate the abominable work-study programs that place high school students and college students as low-wage scabs taking other people's jobs, often getting no more for 20 hours of work in supermarkets or on construction sites than three school credits.

HRDI pushes the Mobilization for Youth and Model Cities counterinsurgency programs that in New York, in the summer of 1974, are scheduled to supply young ghetto scabs to break the sanitation workers' union. It supports the calls for public works programs that will throw hundreds of thousands of workers into slave-labor jobs.

In Philadelphia, HRDI is run by Robert Fleuhr, who works with William Bomberg and the Tavistock-Rockefeller vampires at the Wharton School. The Philadelphia HRDI works with the LEAA, which funds the HRDI to the extent of $250,000 to run a job placement program for prisoners replacing other workers at lower pay.

One of the people connected with the Philadelphia HRDI and director Fleuhr is Ed Schwartz, publicly self-proclaimed fascist and a well-documented agent for the CIA.

The corporativist thinking of the HRDI is revealed in its Jan. 4 Philadelphia newsletter. Demanding larger manpower programs, the newsletter says: "The HRDI will assist local and state labor movements in developing constructive governmental and community policies on the [energy] crisis. Organized labor's basic philosophy in such periods is equality of sacrifice." (HRDI's emphasis.) "Equality of sacrifice" is one of the slogans used for fascist policies in Germany in the 1930's.

The HRDI works with the despicable Philadelphia Area Manpower Planning Council and pushes "Affirmative Action," a policy devised to pit black against white and men against women for jobs, and to break apart the dead forms of unions through minority hiring quotas. The HRDI's operations are similar in each city.

Once the AFL-CIO was tied into the plan through the HRDI, the Rockefeller group began its manpower dry-runs. In Appalachia, the Appalachian Regional Commission appeared as early as 1964. The key operatives in the Commission were John Ewald of the Rockefeller Brothers Fund, Joseph Caliafano from the U.S. Army (and a CIA operative identified by Air Force Col. L. Fletcher Prouty). Also Nelson Rockefeller, who joined the Commission in 1966.

An array of counterinsurgents, behavior modification programmers and military agents have located themselves in the region to carry out a controlled experiment in worker relocation, resource control, etc.

John D. Rockefeller IV, who received some of his training in CIA experimental grounds for counterinsurgency in the Philippines, also operates in the region, ostensibly as president of the obscure West Virginia Wesleyan College, actually as a commander in the field. Another operator in the area is Eric Trist, a top Tavistockian, who is now on special assignment reorganizing two mines for "co-determination" for the National Council on Productivity. This is intended to serve as a model for other mines.

Trist receives full cooperation from the United Mine Workers Union, which is fully under Rockefeller-CIA domination. The present president, Arnold Miller, had his campaign developed and part of a good share of his platform written by Ralph Nader, a counterinsurgent who receives foundation funding, speaks before gatherings of one of CIA agent Ed Schwartz's groups, the Tea Party, and speaks for attacks against Rockefeller opponents under the guise of "clean consumerism" (such as Nader's attacks on Nixon and "clever" campaign against fusion power). Another key operative of the Miller presidency drive is Joseph Rauh, Jr., who viciously purged unions of communists in the 1940's, and is one of the founders of the CIA countergang, Americans for Democratic Action (ADA). One of the leaders of the union, Tom Bethel, formerly edited Coal Patrol, a zero-growth rag.

The Rockefeller-directed UMW oversaw a series of staged strikes in the area during February-March 1973. These not only excused layoffs in other industries, but moved toward de-industrialization and great dislocation in the Appalachian area.

Still another force is the counterinsurgent People's Area Research Collective (PARC), which plans to build for the area what menticide expert John Rees "discovered" is the core of community control: the extended family. This is the most difficult group to penetrate, the most insulated from the outside world. One of PARC's publications has an article that states: "It is the development of a city and town-oriented society which often destroys both strong family ties and the feeling of
community... One way to prevent further erosion of these elements is to encourage the establishment of 'kin communities' made up of four or five families."

These rotten forces and several others, including not least the National Guard and the U.S. military, are crawling through the area with massive funds. As an example, the Appalachian Regional Commission on which Rockefeller sits, which serves as one of the main planning bodies of the Appalachian region was given over $1 billion of funds from Congress. The purpose is to take this area — which is mountainous and craggy in the coal mining sections and therefore poor for agriculture — and control it by determining which food is shipped in and when, what jobs workers will work in if not mining (small light-industry sweatshops have come in), what roads are opened or closed, the dispatchment of military units, etc. Under practice runs for military-political rule of the region and its resources, Rockefeller forces have instituted both public works and worker relocation programs, which all the above-mentioned groups help carry out.

One of these fascist manpower programs is Regional Unemployment and the Relocation of Workers, a book published by one of the CIA's favorite publishing houses, Praeger Press. This was a good-sized experiment that took 568 persons, mostly unemployed miners, out of West Virginia and placed them in Maryland, Pennsylvania, Ohio, Indiana, and elsewhere.

Tennessee Valley Authority projects like those Roosevelt and Rockefeller instituted in the 1930's, are planned, complete with behavior modification. Other public works are planned for agriculture, while coal is beginning to make steps toward recycling workers. To this end, the Appalachian region has millions of unemployed who are being placed into regional manpower pools.

A Gang of Thugs

The Rockefeller strategy for the "Nazi Labor Front"-manpower military state in the decade of the 1970's requires that he have one additional element in the labor movement — goons, thugs and their semi-hysterical followers who can be used to bust up worker-socialist opposition to Rockefeller. Also, ape-like leftists with contrived media help, to win away radicalized workers.

Two important goon-fascist forces, which show how Rockefeller operates, are those of Mike Parkhurst and the followers of the deceased Saul Alinsky.

Parkhurst runs an independent truckers' movement for Rockefeller and the CIA called Overdrive. Parkhurst's group receives a large share of its money through PAACAR Co., on those board sits John McCone, former director of the CIA. PAACAR passes the money to Parkhurst through the ruse of advertising in Overdrive magazine for two of its trucking companies, Kenworth and Peterbuilt. Parkhurst reciprocates with praise for these two trucking concerns.

Overdrive is also closely connected with Oregon's Senator Bob Packwood, a Rockefeller mouthpiece who travels around Europe preaching Zero Growth and squats on John D. Rockefeller III's Commission on Population.

The Parkhurst group openly praises the CIA-directed Chilean owner-operator truckdrivers' gang, which acted as a spearhead of the CIA plan to bring down the Allende government.

An article in the October, 1973 issue of Overdrive proclaimed: "Forty-five thousand Owner-Operators Lead Nation To Revolution." Parkhurst lauded the role the truckers had in the Chilean military dictatorship coming to power, a dictatorship which slaughtered 15,000 revolutionaries from around Latin America living in Chile. Rockefeller agent Parkhurst made the point even clearer in the November 1973 issue's editorial, which asked, "Where is the Spirit of '76 in American truckers? Why can a country like Chile overturn an entire government because the truckers — like the owner-operators — decide to do something about it?"

During the January 1973 goons then initiated a nationwide truckers' strike during January 1973. It killed several drivers, paralyzed the roads so that the National Guard could be called out for a dry run, and so prepare workers for its extensive future presence. It was the excuse for shutdowns in production, and many layoffs which were permanent, and other layoffs which meant a psywar softening-up of workers. It attacked and withdrew support from the Teamster wrecking operation.

The fascist agent Parkhurst will be used again soon by Rockefeller, probably in an escalated role.

Saul Alinsky was trained in criminology at the University of Illinois on a Rockefeller scholarship. After he infiltrated the Al Capone gang, he applied his talents to some of the first Rockefeller gang organizing in the country in Chicago. He then became a psychological programmer of John Lewis, in the role of adviser, feeding psychological profiles on Lewis to the State Department.

During World War II Alinsky operated under the direction of C. Howland Shaw, a Rockefeller man in a high-ranking position in the State Department, with ties to Tavistock.

Alinsky concentrated on such projects as the "Back of the Yards" organizing in the meat packing area of Chicago, to take potential organic working-class leaders and turn them into selfish community-control advocates, while consciously keeping them out of strikes. He...
preached anti-communism and community control as a way to combat the menace. Alinsky received several large grants (some as big as one-quarter of a million) from the Rockefeller Foundation, and glowing coverage in their magazine after he died.

The Rockefeller-linked Field Foundation and the Rockefellers made it possible for Alinsky to set up the Industrial Areas Foundation, sometimes known as the Alinsky Institute, in Chicago.

Some of the graduates of Alinsky brainwashing and brainwash training:

*Cesar Chavez.* He was Alinsky-trained in the late 1940's, and set out to build the "Chicano identity," for a West Coast Alinsky organization — among the Mexicans who had lived in the U.S. for a while. This benefitted the agricultural growers tremendously, as they then pitted Mexicans recently arrived into the U.S. against Chicanos to keep wages low.

Alinsky-trained swine *Ron Karenga,* whose group on assignment from the Los Angeles Police Department murdered members of the Black Panther Party and who preached the Kawaida philosophy developed by murderer John R. Rees of Tavistock and now used by the CIA brainwashed and created Symbionese Liberation Army.

*Jesse Jackson,* a chief Rockefeller agent for priming race wars, organizing workers around fascist policies such as zero growth. Jackson is set up directly to break up communist organizing, using his goons (some off-duty cops) when he thinks he can get away with it, as well as subtler counterinsurgency.

Jackson, who is Rockefeller and Ford Foundation funded and run, along with other demagogues trained by Alinsky, will be brought out to cause ugly racial fights and attacks against what remains of unions, around issues like Affirmative Action. They are out to deliberately disorganize workers, so that "Nazi Labor Front" structure and policies can be advanced unopposed by workers.

*In the AFL-CIO itself.*

The fascist advances have been aided by a gang-countergang fight that two Rockefeller factions are staging. There is the right-wing CIA position pushed by barbaric George Meany and a group around him, and the "progressive" CIA line pushed by nauseating Jerry Wurf and the clique around him.

Wurf is a member of the Rockefeller Council on Foreign Relations (together with Leonard Woodcock of the UAW), a member of the Rockefeller-controlled counterinsurgency National Urban Coalition, a member of the think-tank Brookings Institute. Wurf has openly pushed for the budget and programs of the outlaw LEAA. He has turned the AFSCME of which he is president into a large manpower agency for the state. There are welfare recipients who are members of AFSCME, whose union dues are paid by New York State; in return, AFSCME, functioning like a police union, herds them into slave-labor jobs.

Wurf is presently raiding all other municipal unions (SEIU, the company union CESA, transit unions, sanitation unions, etc.), to funnel those members into AFSCME's massive HRDI Reesian manpower programs, for which in some cases Wurf gets LEAA money.

There will be some casualties in the Meany-Wurf-staged war, as there are in any pre-arranged gang fight.

Wurf and his clique will advance "Affirmative Action." They will contribute modification-programming, which Wurf applies to AFSCME members through Cornell ILR and Industrial Relations Research Association (IRRA) classes. He is pushing other Rockefeller "progressive" policies.

*Both sides agree to promote Rockefeller's "impeach Nixon" ploy, the "energy crisis," firings, thuggery and repression against communists. Both agree to promote corporativist manpower programs [HRDI], psywar and brainwashing — and every important Rockefeller national policy.*

The "faction fight" in the trade-union movement, and AFL-CIO in particular, will hide from workers its main intention: to steamroll Rockefeller policies, and disorganize and crush real opposition to them.

*...*
research and development centers to be set up to give the Rockefellers socially-controlled climates over workers. Today, the bloody Rockefeller network for social control has been placed throughout the world. The Rockefeller strategy is to alternate the use of crazed psychotic force with brainwashing lies, illusions and offers of reconciliation. The Rockefellers try to hide their plans in a realm of unreality — which they create through brainwashing.

As we have shown here, the evidence of their crimes and their fascist intentions is so abundant that only a liar or fantasizer could pretend that this is not so. There is no middle ground. The human race must choose between humanity and the continued existence of the Rockefellers and their machine. Both can no longer exist in the same world.
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184-185. This insidious book written by "former" CIA agent Smith "implores" the CIA to "recapture" the "glory" and "spirit" of OSU, its predecessor. It is therefore extremely revealing to an acute reader and contains quite useful information if the reader is not suckered by the its overline.1015. Quote in Morris, Joe Alex, *Nelson Rockefeller*, p. 212.1016
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29. Prouty, L. Fletcher, *The Secret Team*, p. 235. Prouty is a military traditionalist stunned and confused by the practices of the CIA — probably the first of his kind to present an even half-way decent *empiricist* expose of the CIA.
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