

July 30, 2012

## An Open Letter To Democratic Party Candidates<sup>1</sup>

---

Re: The erosion of American property rights

---

### Top 10 Reasons Democratic Party Should Take Up The Matter of *Leader v. Facebook*

**“Property must be sacred or liberty cannot exist.”**

—President John Adams

I wish to bring your attention to a patent infringement controversy—*Leader v. Facebook*—that is exposing likely judicial corruption. Although no one likes to believe that this could happen, the facts don’t lie. It is happening as we speak and needs the “bully pulpit” of your campaign to expose these frauds, since the federal courts are turning a blind eye.

On the next page I have prepared a “Top 10 Reasons” overview to give you a quick synopsis.

I am a patent rights advocate and inventor myself. I am also the former Director of Network Architecture for Sun Microsystems. I believe that fundamental American property rights are being threatened by what appear to be a bevy of Facebook-loving federal judges currently charged (and failing) to oversee *Leader v. Facebook*.<sup>2</sup>

We must draw a line in the sand against judges and clerks who show favoritism to big infringers, refuse to follow the law, and take away fundamental property rights.

I am also attaching my latest motion<sup>3</sup> filed with the Federal Circuit on July 27, 2012. So far the Federal Circuit has attempted to ignore me, but no one who values personal property rights in America can afford to ignore this case. Please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

/s/

Lakshmi Arunachalam, Ph.D.

Enclosures:

1. Top 10 Reasons Why . . .
2. [Renewed Motion](#) of Friend of the Court Lakshmi Arunachalam (“Dr. A”)

---

<sup>1</sup> **Docstoc:** <http://www.docstoc.com/docs/125459338/Lakshmi-Arunachalam-Phd-Open-Letter-to-Democratic-Candidates-30-July-2012> | **Scribd:** <http://www.scribd.com/doc/101527448/Lakshmi-Arunachalam-Phd-Open-Letter-to-Democratic-Candidates-30-July-2012>

<sup>2</sup> *Leader Technologies, Inc., v. Facebook, Inc.*, 08-cv-862-JJF-LPS (D.Del. 2008); *Leader Tech v. Facebook*, Case No. 2011-1366 (Fed. Cir.).

<sup>3</sup> [http://www.scribd.com/doc/101191619/Renewed-Motion-for-Leave-To-File-Amicus-Curiae-Lakshmi-Arunachalam-Ph-D-Brief-Jul-27-2010-Leader-v-Facebook-CLERK-S-COPY-WITH-EXHIBITS?in\\_collection=3701914](http://www.scribd.com/doc/101191619/Renewed-Motion-for-Leave-To-File-Amicus-Curiae-Lakshmi-Arunachalam-Ph-D-Brief-Jul-27-2010-Leader-v-Facebook-CLERK-S-COPY-WITH-EXHIBITS?in_collection=3701914)

---

# Top 10 Reasons Why The Erosion of American Property Rights Should Be A Democratic Party Presidential Campaign Issue

---

By Lakshmi Arunachalam, Ph.D., former Director of Network Architecture for Sun Microsystems, holder of U.S. patents | Menlo Park, CA | July 30, 2012

## American Property Rights are:

1. **A fundamental democratic principle.**
2. **A barometer of societal decline** when they are eroded.
3. **Vital in both intellectual and real property.**
4. **Being abused right now** in *Leader v. Facebook*.<sup>4</sup>
  - a. Failure to protect inventors and their investors.
  - b. Anti-inventor trend in federal court decisions.
  - c. Facebook's Zuckerberg scoffs at privacy concerns.
5. **Being ignored in precedent** by the Federal Circuit in *Leader v. Facebook*.
6. **Being subsumed by conflicts of interest** among the judges and clerk in the Federal Circuit in *Leader v. Facebook*.
7. **Threatened by an activist federal court.** If precedents on patent properties are being ignored now, what real property laws are next on the chopping block for activist courts?
8. **Threatened by courts that ignore plain English.** Federal Circuit is attempting to throw The Dictionary Act overboard and redefine the English language meaning of the present tense.
9. **Undermined by a court that supports foreign powers over American inventors.** The Courts are supporting Russian oligarchs (the second largest owners of Facebook) over American inventors.
10. **Abused by attorneys who fail to self-police.** Attorneys and judges are afraid to discipline each other and so practice a code of silence.

For more information on *Leader v. Facebook*, former *Bloomberg* investigative reporter Donna Kline has been conducting an in-depth investigation where you can read her past blog posts at <http://www.donnaklinenow.com>. ([Click here](#) for Renewed Motion.)

You can also read a thorough analysis of the trial and see most if not all of the critical evidence and testimony at <http://facebook-technology-origins.blogspot.com>.

---

<sup>4</sup> *Leader Technologies, Inc., v. Facebook, Inc.*, 08-cv-862-JJF-LPS (D.Del. 2008); *Leader Tech v. Facebook*, Case No. 2011-1366 (Fed. Cir.).