

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

	:	
<b>PAUL D. CEGLIA,</b>	:	Civil Action No. 1:10-cv-00569-RJA
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	
	:	
v.	:	<b><u>PLAINTIFF’S MOTION FOR</u></b>
	:	<b><u>RECONSIDERATION, CORRECTION</u></b>
	:	<b><u>OR CLARIFICATION OF THE</u></b>
<b>MARK ELLIOT ZUCKERBERG and</b>	:	<b><u>MAGISTRATE’S DECISION AND</u></b>
<b>FACEBOOK, INC.</b>	:	<b><u>ORDER (DOC. 660) (FED. R. CIV. P.</u></b>
	:	<b><u>60(a) OR 60(b)(6)</u></b>
Defendants.	:	
	:	

Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 7(d)(3) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(a) or, alternatively, Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b)(6), Plaintiff Paul D. Ceglia respectfully moves this Court for Reconsideration, Correction or Clarification of the Magistrate’s Decision and Order entered on May 9, 2013 (Doc. No. 660). Plaintiff intends to file a reply if opposition is filed to this motion. In support thereof Plaintiff states as follows:

1. Paul A. Argentieri (“Argentieri”) is one of the attorneys for Plaintiff in this action. Filed herewith is the Declaration of Paul A. Argentieri in Support of Plaintiff’s Motion for Reconsideration, Correction or Clarification of the Magistrate’s Decision and Order entered on May 9, 2013 (Doc. No. 660) (“Argentieri Dec.”) ¶¶ 1, 2.
2. On May 9, 2013, the Magistrate Judge entered a Decision and Order in this case (Doc. 660) (“D&O #1”). *Id.* ¶ 3.
3. D&O #1, in its decretal section, grants in part and denies in part the defendants’ fee application “in accordance with the January 10, 2012 Decision and Order (Doc. No. 283)” and states “Defendants are awarded in connection with their Accelerated Motion to Compel \$3,747.68 in attorney’s fees.” *Id.* at ¶ 4.

4. On February 14, 2012, the Magistrate Judge entered a Decision and Order (Doc. 292) (“D&O #2”) which, in its decretal section, grants in part and denies in part the defendants’ fee application “in accordance with the January 10, 2012 Decision and Order (Doc. No. 283)” and states “Defendants are awarded in connection with their Accelerated Motion to Compel \$75,776.70 in attorney’s fees.” *Id.* at ¶ 5.

5. The decretal sections of D&O #1 and D&O #2 are identical in terms of the Motion and Order to which they relate but they differ in the amount of attorney’s fees ordered. D&O #1 facially conflicts, therefore, with D&O #2 and Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court either reconsider, correct or clarify D&O #1 so that the record is clear and accurate concerning the basis and terms of D&O #1. *Id.* at ¶ 6.

6. This motion is timely made and is not being made for purposes of delay, but in good faith. *Id.* at ¶ 7.

WHEREFORE, for the above reasons, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court grant this motion.

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Paul A. Argentieri  
Paul A. Argentieri  
188 Main Street  
Hornell, NY 14843  
Telephone: (607) 324-3232  
Facsimile: (607) 324-6188  
paul.argentieri@gmail.com

Joseph M. Alioto  
THE ALIOTO LAW FIRM  
225 Bush Street  
San Francisco, California 94104  
Telephone: (415) 434-8900  
Facsimile: (415) 434-9200  
jmalimoto@aliotolaw.com  
*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*