



CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS ARE NOT NEGOTIABLE!

Customer Search mines this blog, Donna Kline Now! Archive, FB Cover-up, Origins of Facebook's Technology and LeaderDocs.

Google™ Custom Search [Search Button]

Friday, June 17, 2016

MICROSOFT'S \$26.1 BILLION LINKEDIN PONZI SCHEME

DEAL MIRED IN CLINTON PAY-TO-PLAY, PATENT THEFT, PRIVACY INVASION, FRAUDULENT INDUCEMENT AND RACKETEERING

CONTRIBUTING WRITERS | OPINION | AMERICANS FOR INNOVATION | JUN. 17, 2016, UPDATED JUN. 20, 2016 | PDF



Updated May, 19, 2016. Note: All broken links have been repaired (We think! A hacker selectively deleted over 200 documents which we have restored).

CLICK HERE FOR HIJACK OF THE INTERNET **TIMELINE** AND DATABASE




FIG. 1—MICROSOFT'S \$26.1 BILLION OFFER FOR LINKEDIN IS A MONEY LAUNDERING SCHEME. The big offshore money launderers like HSBC, Goldman Sachs, JPMorgan, Morgan Stanley and UBS are Microsoft, Facebook and LinkedIn underwriters. This deal is nothing more than a shifting of the deck chairs on the Titanic of international corruption. It also serves to top up the coffers of Barack Obama and his cronies as he prepares to leave office.

Graphic: JTF News.



(Jun. 17, 2016)—Announced then lost in the fog of the tragedies in Orlando was Microsoft's intent to buy LinkedIn for \$26.1 billion. The obscene valuation signals another effort by Barack Obama to bankroll his

retirement and ongoing efforts to create his new world order.


Microsoft and LinkedIn (more specifically ex-PayPal executives) are key players in the carefully crafted emergence of social networking as a universal spy data gathering utility for the NSA.

New, Jun. 19, 2016: The very same former PayPal owners "founded" all things Facebook (e.g., Reid Hoffman, James W. Breyer, Peter Thiel, Joseph Lonsdale, Matt Cohler). In 2004, Breyer became chairman of the National Venture Capital Association with fellow director Gilman Louie, CEO of In-Q-Tel, the C.I.A. private venture capital business. Lonsdale and Thiel formed Palantir in 2004 to sell special data snooping services to the NSA. 2004 was a magical year for the rise of social networking thanks to Columbus OH innovator Leader Technologies finally getting their invention debugged on Oct. 28, 2003—the same magical night that Harvard sophomore Mark Zuckerberg hacked the Harvard dormitories for student photos with president Larry Summers' full blessing, we're sure. See [2004 Timeline from People you trusted are now hijacking the Internet](#).




Question: How can a public entity like the C.I.A. justify operating a private Silicon Valley venture capital business like In-Q-Tel?

Answer: Easy, just dial up your crony Princeton law professor Anne-Marie Slaughter (George Soros in-law) and order her to write a book singing the praises of "public-private partnerships" and name it "A New World Order"—which she did on or about [Apr. 12, 2004](#).



Note that Slaughter was one of Hillary Clinton's first political hires as Secretary of State in 2009.




Through the issuance of a string of Executive Orders since Bill Clinton in 1995, this illegal spying on U.S. citizens has been justified. The leadership also patiently placed loyalist weasel attorneys, largely from Harvard Law, inside the regulatory agencies who, when given the signal, looked the other way.

For example, Facebook's unprecedented exemption on Oct. 15, 2008 from the 500 shareholder rule was decided by Thomas J. Jim, a Harvard Law graduate and Latham & Watkins LLP attorney.

The Latham firm is peopled with insiders loyal to James W. Breyer, Facebook's venture capitalist, a fellow Harvardian. Breyer's venture capital firm Accel Partners LLP is also peopled with Harvardians. Over two thirds of the U.S. Supreme Court justices are Harvard Law graduates, including Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr.—sole overseer of the FISA Court.

During the energy stimulus boondoggle, Energy Secretary Steven Chu closed his eyes and approved tens of billions of dollars to Harvardian Barack Obama donors, like Elon Musk, with no experience in energy. His



Social Networking: The True Story
HealthCare.gov's confiscation of this property cannot stand
Leader: 145,000 man-hours • over \$10 million • 20 people • solid engineering • hackers not welcome • affirms privacy, security, property • no foreign influence • respects U.S. Constitution
Facebook: "one to two weeks" • beer money • all by myself • 28 hidden hard drives • stole Harvard photos • hacks email • PayPal Mafia handlers • scoofs at privacy • corrupts markets, judges, politicians & gov't agencies

OpenTrial.org
Leader v. Facebook
Facebook — a force for freedom perhaps, but at odds with the rule of law in the U.S.
John Adams, or... 7

Federal Corruption
CLICK TO LOOK INSIDE
Congressional Briefings
Federal Circuit Censored Docket
Americans for Innovation Archives
Disclosures: Exec | Judiciary | USPTO
Patent Office FOIA Stonewalling
Donna Kline Now! Archives
Faces of Facebook Corruption
Updated Mar. 19, 2014

G+1 +13 Recommend this on Google

CONGRESS CONTACT LOOKUP

Contacting the Congress

= Universal Toxic Substance Symbol & Warning
FINANCIAL HOLDINGS OF OBAMA POLITICAL APPOINTEES, BY AGENCY

FOLLOW BY EMAIL

Email address...

BLOG ARCHIVE (New, 1/20/14)

- ▼ 2016 (16)
- ▼ June (3)

MICROSOFT'S \$26.1 BILLION LINKEDIN

energy "advisers" were McBee Strategic LLC and Cooley Godward LLP, Facebook's attorney.

SPOILER ALERT:
These same people favor repeal of the the 2nd Amendment right to bear arms. (Would-be totalitarian weasels always do.)

Closer to home, who do you think wrote those long, slimy customer license agreements that you ignore when you sign up for a service? These same weasel attorneys wrote them. The devil is in that detail. You give up all to your personal privacy and data when you click them. That provider can do whatever they want to do with your data, including feed it to the NSA, which they do.

Therefore, the NSA via its crony providers already has your permission to steal from you. Legally.

This might be indecent, immoral, deceptive, but it is probably "legal." We say "probably" because the legality of these 1,600+-page online agreements has not been tested by consumer protection laws. AFI researchers recently analyzed the Google and Facebook end user licenses, including embedded links. We stopped at 8 layers deep and printed every page. They totaled over 800 pages with easily an additional 800 pages if we had kept clicking. [Return in a few days to this article and we will show you a photo of these user license licenses to steal your Constitutional rights to privacy and property.)



FIG. 2—FACEBOOK AND GOOGLE END USER LICENSE AGREEMENTS DO NOT PROTECT CONSUMERS— ALL PRIVACY AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS ARE SACRIFICED TO USE "FREE" SERVICES. To simply click on and print the Google and Facebook end user license agreements took a skilled clerical professional 31.75 hours for the Facebook terms and 28.5 hours for Google. This person discovered that buried in each license were links to the other licenses in the Cartel. Experienced legal observers believe this is so weasel attorneys for these social networking companies can argue their way out of all liability for anything under the sun. AFI does not believe these agreements satisfy consumer protection laws protecting against such predatory practices.

Logic says that these weasel attorneys should not be allowed to deceive unsuspecting customers like this. But right now, they do, and are getting away with it.

The Clinton Foundation was founded 1997. The Clintons and IBM relied heavily on the legal counsel of James P. Chandler, III. This is well known in Washington, D.C. from the many attendees at Chandler's trade secrets, encryption and economic espionage conferences in which he often reminded his audiences of his prowess and access.



Chandler agreed to become Leader Technologies' patent attorney in 2000 while meeting with inventor Michael T. McKibben. McKibben described his social networking invention to Chandler at a pre-engagement meeting at the Taberna del Alabardero restaurant in Washington, D.C. near Chandler's law office on Apr. 06, 2000. McKibben told another director that day: "He'll be able to watch our backs."

He was so wrong.

What Leader did not know was that Chandler was already plotting a takeover of the emerging Internet with Bill & Hillary Clinton, Harry Reid, IBM, Microsoft and NSA insiders. Clinton's Executive Order 12958 setting up a secret intelligence agency run without Congressional oversight out of the White House was already operating.

Whistleblowers have since exposed the agenda to mass-distribute the social networking invention of Columbus innovator Leader Technologies so that the NSA could control a universal backdoor to the emerging "social" Internet.

By early 2004, the whole technology world had signed up to receive the social networking goodies stolen from Leader Technologies. Chandler had cajoled for himself a "file copy" of Leader's source code, then immediately fed it to IBM Eclipse, who in turn, fed it to the entire technology world as "open source" (free and without copyrights).

On Nov. 29, 2001, IBM "donated" \$40 million to form The Eclipse Foundation. This was just

PONZI SCHEME

FINDING: TRUMP UNIVERSITY JUDGE MISLED SENATE AT C...

DOES HILLARY THINK SHE'S SAFE BECAUSE SHE COULD EX...

- ▶ May (2)
- ▶ April (4)
- ▶ March (4)
- ▶ February (2)
- ▶ January (1)

- ▶ 2015 (34)
- ▶ 2014 (26)
- ▶ 2013 (28)
- ▶ 2012 (6)

UPDATE MAR. 25, 2014

FIVE CRITICAL AFI POSTS ON JUDICIAL COMPROMISE

Fully updated Mar. 25, 2014 in the wake of the Scribd censorship:

1. HOW PATENT JUDGES GROW RICH ON THE BACKS OF AMERICAN INVENTORS

Patent Office filings are shuffled out the USPTO backdoor to crony lawyers, banks and deep-pocket clients.




2. WAS CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS BLACKMAILED into supporting Obamacare by his ethical compromises in Leader v. Facebook?

3. JUSTICE ROBERTS MENTORED Facebook Gibson Dunn LLP attorneys.




4. JUSTICE ROBERTS HOLDS substantial Facebook financial interests.

5. JUDGE LEONARD STARK FAILED to disclose his Facebook financial interests and his reliance on Facebook's Cooley Godward LLP attorneys for his appointment.



BARACK OBAMA'S DARK POOLS OF CORRUPTION

Click to enlarge



six weeks after 9/11.

CONSTITUTIONAL WEASELS AT THE WHITE HOUSE

Chandler's White House intelligence operation dictates the decisions of the FISA Court, and controls nominations to federal judgeships. Therefore, this private intelligence agency is constitutionally rogue and operates to this day.

Barack Obama and his political cartel have exploited this secret agency's control over the NSA and the whole of American government. Obama has been unable to completely hide his co-conspirators.

On Feb. 17, 2011: Barack Obama organized a private dinner for his Silicon Valley co-conspirators on a trip to California. An intrepid photographer photographed their toast. See Fig. 2.

On Mar. 02, 2015: Obama organized another meeting with additional co-conspirators at the White House, attended only by his consiglieri, Valerie Jarrett. See Fig. 3.

EDWARD SNOWDEN'S PATRIOTISM

NSA whistleblower Edward Snowden pulled back the curtain on this secret White House intelligence agency when he revealed that AT&T has been feeding customer data illegally to the NSA since about 2005 and Microsoft signed up on Sep. 11, 2007.

Whistleblowers from HSBC bank, WikiLeaks and the Panama Papers have further opened the curtain on this rogue agency and have revealed that it is funded by offshore money laundering.

American and Chinese technology public offerings appear to be the vehicle of choice for moving their dirty money into large markets.

Indeed, the Chinese ties to Obamacare are unmistakable. [See previous post.](#)

LINKEDIN IS AN NSA SPY-STATE CREATION, LIKE FACEBOOK

Look at any major website today and you will see the same NSA Cartel members beckoning users to "Like." Google, Facebook, Linked In, YouTube, Twitter.

The surveillance loop is being perfected in Barack Obama's lame duck season.

Microsoft has been right there, they have just had to play coy due to past anti-trust prohibitions against predatory monopolism. That said, the University of Washington has been among their surrogate members of the IBM Eclipse Foundation since 2001. So has Hewlett-Packard and Facebook (in which Microsoft is a major shareholder).

By supposedly "acquiring" LinkedIn, Microsoft believes it can come out of the social media closet, it appears.




FIG. 3—ON FEB. 17, 2011, President Obama toasted their deception of the American public and the world with 13 members of the IBM Eclipse Foundation NSA Spy State Cartel in Silicon Valley. Conspirators pictured are Barack Obama, U.S. President; **Mark Zuckerberg**, Facebook CEO; **Steve Jobs**, Apple CEO; **Steve Westly**, Westly Group Partner; **John Doerr**, Kleiner Perkins Partner; **Ann Doerr**, John Doerr Spouse; **Eric Schmidt**, Google CEO; **Art Levinson**, Genentech Chairman); **John Chambers**, Cisco CEO; **Larry Ellison**, Oracle CEO; **Reed Hastings**, Netflix CEO; **John Hennessy**, Stanford Univ. President; **Carol Bartz**, Yahoo CEO and **Dick Costolo**, Twitter CEO.



FIG. 4—ON MAR. 02, 2015, President Obama and senior adviser, Valerie Jarrett, met with chief beneficiaries of the stolen Eclipse Foundation code: **Ursula M. Burns**, Xerox; **[177] Michael S. Dell**, Dell; **[178] Dermot Mark Durcan**, Micron Technology; **[179] Steven M. Mollenkopf**, Qualcomm; **[180] Virginia M. Rometty**, IBM **[181]** and **Joseph M. Tucci**, EMC. **[182]**

IBM, Xerox, Dell, Micron Technology, Qualcomm and EMC. Given the timing of this meeting—a week after the radical "Net Neutrality" changes to Internet regulation by the FCC—the real agenda of this group was most likely to discuss progress on their common agenda—the IBM et al "The Internet of Things" takeover of global digital infrastructure, which the FCC changes to Internet regulation helped facilitate.

Photo: IBM.

[CLICK HERE FOR WASHINGTON'S ETHICAL DISEASE DISCOVERIES RE. FACEBOOK "DARK POOLS"](#)

STOP FACEBOOK PROPERTY THEFT



WILL HUMANKIND EVER LEARN? Facebook's Orwellian doublespeak about property and privacy (theft) merely repeats the eventual dehumanization of the individual under MAO's Red Star, Stalin's SOVIET Hammer & Cycle and Hitler's NAZI Swastika. Respect for the inalienable rights of each individual is a bedrock value of democracy. The members of the Facebook Cabal abuse this principle at every opportunity. They evidently believe that they deserve special privileges and are willing to lie, cheat and steal in order to treat themselves to these privileges.

ASK CONGRESS: PASS THE INVENTOR PROTECTION ACT!

LEADER TECHNOLOGIES Inventor Protection Act
(Proposed)

America needs to practice what it preaches.

We have no business lecturing the world about free enterprise and the rule of law, when we permit the investors in Ohio-based innovator Leader Technologies to go uncompensated for the risks they took to help invent social networking . . .

—a technology upon which the President and U.S. government now rely;

—a technology *stolen* by the "Facebook Cabal" who recruited the federal courts and Patent Office into their club of corruption.

Rescind. Investigate. Sanction. Certify.

Contact your representatives. Ask them to pass it.
Real American inventors need your support.

<http://www.contactingthecongress.org/>
<http://americans4innovation.blogspot.com>

Click image above to download a poster-quality PDF optimized for a 11in. x 17in. (ledger-size) poster. America should not be in the business of cheating its entrepreneurial investors simply because the cheaters buy off judges with the money gained from their theft. Such permissiveness is obscene.

LEADER V. FACEBOOK BACKGROUND

Jul. 23, 2013 NOTICE: DonnaKlineNow! has gone offline. All her posts are available as a [PDF collection here](#) (now updated, post-Scribd censorship).

Mar. 20, 2014 READER NOTICE: On Mar. 7,

LinkedIn's dirty little secret is that its founders also own Palantir, founded by former PayPal executive Reid Hoffman and Joseph Lonsdale. Palantir has provided data snooping software to the NSA since 2004. Therefore, Microsoft won't have to hide its cooperation with Palantir and the NSA any longer either.

SOCIAL NETWORKING IS AN NSA MONOPOLY DRESSED IN DIVERSITY

On the surface, users have a lot of choice:

- Microsoft
- IBM
- LinkedIn
- Facebook
- Twitter
- Google
- YouTube
- Reddit

But in reality, there is no choice. All data flows through the NSA. This is a monopoly like the world has never seen.

Will We The People wake up to this threat to every principle of freedom that America stands for? Even the Congressional website is compromised.

Do you like the idea of all digital communications being in the hands of secret, unaccountable crony capitalists? Didn't think so.

Do something about it.

Don't say I can't.

Don't take no for an answer.

WHY IS THE MICROSOFT-LINKEDIN DEAL DIRTY?

The deal makes perfect sense if you are an offshore money launderer like Goldman Sachs, JPMorgan, Bank of New York or HSBC looking to move tens of billions of dollars sitting in the Cayman Islands into the American market.

The best time to do it is while Obama's regulators are getting cricks in their necks looking the other way.

Various whistleblowers have proven that Facebook's and LinkedIn's underwriters, including Goldman Sachs, JPMorgan, Morgan Stanley, UBS, HSBC, Credit Suisse, Wells Fargo, Barclays, Bank of America and State Street, all operate offshore money laundering operations in places like the Isle of Man, Cayman Islands, Bahamas and Panama. Numerous ones have now been tied to the Clintons, George Soros, Facebook, James W. Breyer, Accel Partners, Peter Thiel, Yuri Milner, Lawrence H. Summers, Sheryl K Sandberg, former FBI Director Robert S. Mueller, III, and the Clinton's and Obama's favorite Russian oligarch, Alisher Usmanov. See numerous previous posts.



OBAMA ADMINISTRATION REGULATORS

(Read: Fellow weasel attorneys from Harvard Law)

Note: The moniker "weasel attorneys" was proposed recently by The Center for Public Integrity to replace adjectives referring to them as "elite" since nothing in their conduct is anything but base immorality dressed in a Harvard blazer.

NSA/CIA SELF-FUNDS THROUGH MANIPULATION OF U.S. LAW—CONGRESSIONAL OVERSIGHT IS A FICTION—LAWLESSNESS RULES THEIR DECISIONS

We also know that the C.I.A.'s "private" venture capital arm In-Q-Tel invests in companies like Facebook and LinkedIn along with numerous mutual funds like Fidelity, Vanguard,

2014, all of our documents linked to Scribd were deleted by that "cloud" service using the flimsiest of arguments. Some of our documents have been there for two years and some had almost 20,000 reads.

George Orwell wrote in 1984 that one knows one is in a totalitarian state when telling the truth becomes an act of courage.

All the links below were updated Mar. 20, 2014 (many thanks to our volunteers!)

1. [Summary of Motions, Appeal, Petition, Evidence, Analysis, Briefings](#) (FULL CITATIONS) in *Leader Technologies, Inc. v. Facebook, Inc.*, 08-cv-862-JJF-LPS (D. Del. 2008), published as *Leader Techs, Inc. v. Facebook, Inc.*, 770 F. Supp. 2d 686 (D. Del. 2001)
2. [Dr. Lakshmi Arunachalam's Censored Federal Circuit Filings](#) (Archive)
3. [Brief Summary](#) of *Leader v. Facebook*
4. [Backgrounder](#)
5. [Fenwick & West LLP Duplicity](#)
6. [Instagram-scam](#)
7. [USPTO-reexam Sham](#)
8. [Zynga-gate](#)
9. [James W. Breyer / Accel Partners LLP Insider Trading](#)
10. [Federal Circuit Disciplinary Complaints](#)
11. [Federal Circuit Cover-up](#)
12. [Congressional Briefings re. Leader v. Facebook judicial corruption](#)
13. [Prominent Americans Speak Out](#)
14. [Petition for Writ of Certiorari](#)
15. [Two Proposed Judicial Reforms](#)
16. [S. Crt. for Schemers or Inventors?](#)
17. [Attorney Patronage Hijacked DC?](#)



18. [Justice Denied | Battle Continues](#)
19. [FB Robber Barons Affirmed by S. Crt.](#)
20. [Judicial Misconduct WALL OF SHAME](#)
21. [Corruption Watch - "Oh what webs we weave, when first we practice to deceive"](#)
22. [Facebook | A Portrait of Corruption](#)
23. [White House Meddling](#)
24. [Georgia! AM 1080 McKibben Interview](#)
25. [Constitutional Crisis Exposed](#)
26. [Abuse of Judicial Immunity since Stump](#)
27. [Obamacare Scandal Principals are intertwined in the Leader v. Facebook scandal](#)
28. [S.E.C. duplicity re. Facebook](#)

BlackRock and T. Rowe Price. This way, the public U.S. government can siphon private returns through the companies in which In-Q-Tel invests, thus private funding intelligence activities without the hassle of Congressional oversight.

Ask Yourself: How can a public CIA own and manage a private In-Q-Tel Silicon Valley venture capital firm? It is an obvious abuse of common sense and the separation of powers. It is an excuse for bureaucrats to gain private wealth and power.

We have proven that an essentially unaccountable, private U.S. intelligence operation has been created and operational for over a decade by way of Executive Orders starting in 1995 with Executive Order 12958 and right through three presidents—all without Congressional oversight.

MICROSOFT-LINKEDIN IS A DIRTY NSA MERGER

This \$26.1 billion Microsoft-LinkedIn merger is obscene on numerous levels.

- 1. MONOPOLISTIC:** It is monopolistic. Microsoft is a large Facebook shareholder and already dominates the global personal computer operating system market.
- 2. REVOLVING DOOR OF CONFLICTS AMONG FORMER OBAMA STAFF:** Obama's SEC and Commerce regulators will surely turn a blind eye to the true public interest in this deal. Hundreds of former Obama officials now work in Silicon Valley. Previously, the Commerce Department's U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) began its own Facebook page before Leader Technologies' trial against Facebook. The lawlessness is rampant.
- 3. STOLEN SOCIAL NETWORKING TECHNOLOGY:** Like Facebook, LinkedIn uses the social networking invention that the IBM Eclipse Foundation stole from Columbus innovator Leader Technologies.
- 4. FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCE OF STOLEN PROPERTY:** A Microsoft-LinkedIn sale will add a minimum of \$26.1 billion to the damages done to Leader Technologies. Willful damages could multiply these damages by three to over \$78 billion.
- 5. PURCHASE SCOFFS AT THE 3RD CIRCUIT RULING AGAINST THE NSA:** Both Microsoft and LinkedIn feed data to the NSA according to NSA whistleblower Edward Snowden. Further consolidation of this immoral data surveillance scoffs at U.S. Constitutional rights to property and privacy.
- 6. HSBC LEAKS AND THE PANAMA PAPERS PROVE CONVOLUTED AND "ILLEGAL AS HELL" MONEY LAUNDERING:** Unless and until the SEC is able to vet and approve foreign and domestic sources of cash sloshing around for this deal, it should not be permitted.

CRONY CAPITALISM OR A REPUBLIC?

Our American Constitutional Republic can likely not survive four more years of this lawless crony capitalism, "disaggregation" of governance (Anne-Marie Slaughter A New World Order terminology), secret White House intelligence agency, and takeover of the global digital infrastructure by a secret, self-funding public-private intelligence agency.

The facts are stark:

1. Hillary Clinton organizes, aids and abets this lawlessness.
2. Donald Trump does not appear to.

We appear to be at a fork in the road.

* * *

GIBSON DUNN LLP exposed as one of the most corrupt law firms in America



Investigative Reporter Julia Davis investigates Facebook's Leader v. Facebook attorney Gibson Dunn LLP. She credits this

firm with the reason why not a single Wall Street banker has gone to jail since 2008. [Click here](#) to read her article "Everybody hates whistleblowers." Examiner.com, Apr. 10, 2012. Here's an excerpt:

"Skillful manipulation of the firm's extensive media connections allows Gibson Dunn to promote their causes, while simultaneously smearing their opponents and silencing embarrassing news coverage."

This statement followed right after Davis cited Facebook's chief inside counsel in the Leader v. Facebook case, Theodore Ulyot, who appears to have helped lead the Leader v. Facebook judicial corruption. Interesting word choices associated with Gibson Dunn LLP: manipulation, smear. Attorneys swear a solemn oath to act morally, ethically, and in support of democratic principles. They promise to conduct themselves in a manner than instills confidence among the citizenry in the rule of law and the judicial system. These promises appear to be meaningless. [Click here for a PDF version of Julie Davis' article.](#)


POPULAR POSTS



OHIO STATE'S PRESIDENT MICHAEL V. DRAKE MIREID IN PERSONAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Trustees and Provost promote learning

technology that benefits trustee clients and is stolen from OSU alums Contributing Writers | Opini...



OSU BAND INVESTIGATION UNEARTHS SURPRISE TRUSTEE COLLUSION IN PATENT THEFT

Breaking News, Sep. 3, 2014, 10:05am OSU Trustee

President, Jeffrey Wadsworth, "counterattacks" the Band Alumni leadership T...



GOVERNOR JOHN KASICH HOLDS MUCH STOCK IN OSU TRUSTEE PRIVATE INTERESTS

Governor's trustee appointments reveal strong bias toward protecting his

investments Contributing Writers | Opinion | AMERICANS FOR INNOVA...



FIRING OF OSU BAND LEADER EXPOSES CORRUPTION AT BATTELLE LABS, PATENT OFFICE, NSA

Jeffrey Wadsworth, Battelle CEO and OSU

Trustee president, doles out OSU contracts to Facebook Cartel thru his

Notice: This post may contain opinion. As with all opinion, it should not be relied upon without independent verification. Think for yourself. Photos used are for educational purposes only and were obtained from public sources. No claims whatsoever are made to any photo.

COMMENT

Click "N comments:" on the line just below this instruction to comment on this post. Alternatively, send an email with your comment to amer4innov@gmail.com and we'll post it for you. We welcome and encourage anonymous comments, especially from whistleblowers.

Posted by **K. Craine** at 3:07 PM
 +3 Recommend this on Google

21 comments:

K. Craine June 18, 2016 at 7:35 AM
Email comment by GH:

Watch the video and see how smug CIA director Brennan is. There's no humility. No "I work for you" attitude. Its all "shove it" defiance and "I'll tell you what I am going to do."

Iain Thomson. (Jun. 17, 2016). Non-US encryption is 'theoretical,' claims CIA chief in backdoor debate - No choice but to use American gear, grins spymaster. The Register (UK).

http://www.theregister.co.uk/2016/06/17/non_us_encryption_is_theoretical_claims_cia/

CIA director John Brennan told US senators they shouldn't worry about mandatory encryption backdoors hurting American businesses.

And that's because, according to Brennan, there's no one else for people to turn to: if they don't want to use US-based technology because it's been forced to use weakened cryptography, they'll be out of luck because non-American solutions are simply "theoretical."

Thus, the choice is American-built-and-backdoored or nothing, apparently.

[Reply](#)

Linda Wilson June 18, 2016 at 10:16 AM

I greatly admire investigative reporter Sharyl Attkisson for her new TV show Full Measure to "hold the powerful accountable." Breitbart just posted this interview:

Sharyl Attkisson: Media Distorting Facts to Serve World Elites at Levels Never Seen Before

<http://www.breitbart.com/big-government/2016/06/17/sharyl-attkisson-media-distorting-facts-to-serve-world-elites/>

When are these journalists going to admit that AFI (Americans for Innovation) has scooped them about these globalist monsters that they, including Ms. Attkisson, just endlessly speculate about? Is the endless speculation just good for business? Kind of like an attorney that will not fix your legal problem because he or she makes more billable hours by continuing to stir things up?

[Reply](#)

K. Craine June 19, 2016 at 5:12 AM
Email comment by GH:

Alicia Hesse. (Jun. 18, 2016). Wikileaks Looks to Bring Down Clinton
Julian Assange says hacked data poised for release is enough to indict Hillary. PoliZette.

McBee Strategic LLC lobbyis...



MASSIVE WASHINGTON CORRUPTION EXPOSED BY LEADER V. FACEBOOK
Bi-partisan citizen group appeals to Congress to RESTORE PROPERTY

CONFISCATED BY widespread federal corruption incl. interference by Nancy ...



HEALTHCARE.GOV HAS EXPOSED WASHINGTON'S ETHICAL DISEASE

Undisclosed conflicts of interest—on a massive scale—are choking


Washington Contributing Writers | OPINION | AMERICANS FOR INNOVATION



HOW JUDGES GROW RICH ON THE BACKS OF AMERICAN INVENTORS

Patent Office filings are shuffled out the USPTO backdoor to crony lawyers,

banks and deep-pocket clients
Contributing Writers | Opinion...



DISASTROUS RISE OF A LAWLESS C.I.A.

Presidents from Washington to Eisenhower feared threats to liberty from abuses of power by the

military-industrial complex Contributing...



BOYCOTT NCAA MARCH MADNESS? COPYRIGHT-GATE

Constitutional rights advocates demand that NCAA stop its copyright infringement in social

media; ask Congress to preserve Zuckerberg's ...



LEADER V. FACEBOOK WALL OF SHAME

Judges go to jail for far less serious misconduct; Facebook users should pay Leader fees voluntarily; its

the right thing to do since Faceb...

EDITORIALS

1. [DC Bar refuses to investigate attorney misconduct in Leader v. Facebook - Unwillingness of DC attorneys to self-police may explain why Washington is broken, Dec. 30, 2012](#)
2. [Will the U.S. Supreme court support schemers or real American inventors? Facebook's case dangles on a doctored interrogatory. Eighteen \(18\) areas of question shout for attention, Dec. 27, 2012](#)
3. [Two Policy Changes That Will Make America More Democratic \(and less contentious\), Dec. 21, 2012](#)

OUR MISSION

<http://www.lifezette.com/polizette/julian-assange-says-hacked-data-enough-indict-hillary/>

Wikileaks Founder Julian Assange said the next leak of Hillary Clinton's emails could provide enough evidence on its own to indict the presumptive Democratic nominee.

"There's very strong material, both in the emails and in relation to the Clinton Foundation."

"We have upcoming leaks in relation to Hillary Clinton," he said. "We've accumulated a lot of material about Hillary Clinton. We could proceed to an indictment," Assange said in an interview on Britain's ITV network.

[Reply](#)



K. Craine June 19, 2016 at 5:13 AM

Email comment by JPM:

Edmund Kozak. (Jun. 17, 2016). Kremlin Has Hillary's Emails - Russia has 20,000 emails stolen from her secret home server. Life PoliZette.

<http://www.lifezette.com/polizette/kremlin-has-hillary-emails/>

The Kremlin is considering whether or not to release some 20,000 hacked Clinton emails reportedly in its possession.

Russian security services apparently obtained the emails as part of their investigation into the Romanian hacker Marcel Lehel Lazar, known as "Guccifer" – now in U.S. custody in relation to the Clinton email scandal.

"Guccifer" hacked into the former secretary of state's email. "For me, it was easy."

"There's a debate going on in the Kremlin between the Foreign Ministry and the Intelligence Services about whether they should release the 20,000 of Mrs. Clinton's emails that they have hacked into," Judge Andrew Napolitano told Megyn Kelly on Monday.

[Reply](#)



Arasmus Dragon June 19, 2016 at 6:34 AM

LYIN' HILLARY

Hillary: "I did not send or receive anything marked classified on my personal email system."

Caleb Howe. (Jun. 10, 2016). BUSTED: Proof Classified Hillary Clinton Email WAS Marked Classified When She Improperly Sent. RedState.

<http://www.redstate.com/absentee/2016/06/10/hillarys-classified-emails-marked-classified-sent-improperly/>

The long slow release of emails sent to and from Secretary Hillary Clinton using her personal email on her private home server continues to produce revelations, as we've been covering here at RedState. The latest is pretty much definitive proof that Hillary lied when she said many, many times that the classified information that was sent in her email was not marked classified at the time.

[Reply](#)

▼ Replies



Rain Onyourparade June 19, 2016 at 7:28 AM

Here's a PDF of the RedState article including Hillary's MARKED CLASSIFIED email:

Caleb Howe. (Jun. 10, 2016). BUSTED: Proof Classified Hillary Clinton Email WAS Marked Classified When She Improperly Sent. RedState.

<http://www.fbcoverup.com/docs/library/2016-06-10-BUSTED-Proof-Classified-Hillary-Clinton-Email-WAS-Marked-Classified-When-She-Improperly-Sent-by-Caleb-Howe-Jun-10-2016.pdf>

Here's a PDF of just Hillary's MARKED CLASSIFIED Email:

American citizens must fight abuse of the constitutional right for authors and inventors to enjoy the fruits of their inventions, as a matter of matter of basic property rights and sound public policy. Otherwise, instead of innovation, creativity, genius, ideas, vision, courage, entrepreneurship, respect, property, rejuvenation, morals, ethics, values, renewal, truth, facts, rights, privacy, solutions and judicial faithfulness,

. . . our society and economy will be dragged down (and eventually destroyed) by copying, infringement, thievery, counterfeiting, hacking, greed, misinformation, exploitation, abuse, waste, disrespect, falsity, corruption, bribery, coercion, intimidation, doublespeak, misconduct, lies, deception, attorney "dark arts," destruction, confusion, dishonesty, judicial chicanery and lawlessness.

If we do not speak up, impeach derelict judges and imprison corrupt attorneys, we cannot possibly hope to start fixing the current ills in our society. Without justice and respect for private property, democracy has no sure foundation.

CURRENT EDITORIAL FOCUS

We are an opinion blog that advocates for strong intellectual property rights. We welcome commenters and contributors. The Leader v. Facebook patent infringement case first came to our attention after learning that the trial judge, Leonard P. Stark, U.S. District Court of Delaware, ignored his jury's admission that they had no evidence to support their on-sale bar verdict, but the judge supported it anyway.

The judicial misconduct has deteriorated from there, replete with two of the three judges on the Federal Circuit appeal panel, Judges Alan D. Lourie and Kimberly A. Moore, holding Facebook stock that they did not disclose to the litigants, and later tried to excuse through a quick motion slipped in at the last minute by the Clerk of Court, Jan Horbaly, and his close friends at The Federal Circuit Bar Association. (The DC Bar subsequently revealed that Mr. Horbaly is not licensed to practice law in Washington D.C.)

The judges ignored [shocking new evidence](#) that Mark Zuckerberg withheld 28 hard drives of 2003-2004 evidence from Leader Technologies that could prove actual theft (and therefore claims even more serious than infringement). In addition, Facebook's appeal attorney, Thomas G. Hungar of Gibson Dunn LLP, has close personal ties to just about every judicial player in this story. The misconduct appears to reach into the U.S. Patent Office through abuse of the reexamination process by Facebook. We will stay focused on Leader v. Facebook until justice is served, but we also welcome news and analysis of intellectual property abuse in other cases as well.

WELCOME TO DONNA KLINE NOW! READERS!

US Department of State. (Apr. 09, 2012). Marked Classified Email between Hillary Clinton and President Banda. Judicial Watch v. State Department, Case No. 2014-20439, Doc. No. C05796118.

<http://www.fbcoverup.com/docs/library/2012-04-09-Classified-Email-between-Hillary-Clinton-and-President-Banda-Judicial-Watch-v-State-Dept-Case-No-2014-20439-Doc-No-C05796118-US-State-Department-Apr-09-2012.pdf>

[Reply](#)



K. Craine June 20, 2016 at 1:00 PM

Email comment by GH and JPM:

LYIN' LORETTA

Loretta Lynch told FoxNews' Chris Wallace that neither she, the Justice Department nor "anybody" had a conflict of interest over the criminal investigation into Hillary's private email server after her boss, Barack Obama, endorsed Hillary Clinton. Gack.

Chris Wallace. (Jun. 19, 2016). Wallace Presses Lynch: Does Obama's Hillary Endorsement Create 'Conflict of Interest'? FoxNews Insider.

<http://insider.foxnews.com/2016/06/19/chris-wallace-and-loretta-lynch-obamas-hillary-clinton-endorsement-email-investigation>

=====

THE STANDARDS OF ETHICS FOR THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH

...totally contradict Lynch (and she's supposedly our top law enforcement officer). Anybody who can read can see is jumping through ethical hoops backwards.

<https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2011-title5-vol3/pdf/CFR-2011-title5-vol3-part2635.pdf>

"(14) Employees shall endeavor to avoid any actions creating the appearance that they are violating the law or the ethical standards set forth in this part"

"2635.402 (a) An employee is prohibited by criminal statute, 18 U.S.C. 208(a), from participating personally and substantially in an official capacity in any particular matter in which, to his knowledge, he or any person whose interests are imputed to him under this statute has a financial interest."

Besides the obvious:

- (1) Barack Obama infuses everything he does with his hyper-partisan politics and has hidden his lawlessness behind a sycophant Attorney General Holder, now Lynch.
- (2) Both Obama and Lynch attended Harvard Law and hold those well-known insider loyalties.
- (3) Lynch gave the corrupt HSBC bank a dubious pass recently. FBI Director Comey was a director at HSBC. The old-boy backslapping is obvious.
- (4) The Clinton Foundation received as much as \$81 million from HSBC clients.

There's no conflict Ms. Lynch? You must think we are really dumb.

[Reply](#)



K. Craine June 21, 2016 at 12:34 PM

Email comment by JP, GH and DL:

Hillary's Goldman Sachs and JPMorgan donors don't like Elizabeth Warren because she wants the big banks broken up. She says they are utterly corrupt and are pulling down the American Republic's checks and balances against the accumulation of power that has made JPMorgan's Jamie Dimon and Goldman Sachs' Lloyd Blankfein little Hitlers.

Lee Fang. (Jun. 21, 2016). Attacking Elizabeth Warren? Political Reporters Will Grant You Anonymity. The Intercept.

THE FAST RISE of Sen. Elizabeth Warren within the Democratic Party has coincided with another phenomenon: the continual use by elite-media journalists of anonymous sources in articles that either criticize Warren directly or warn other politicians about the



AFI has been supporting Donna and is now picking up the main Leader v. Facebook coverage (she will continue coverage as well).

Anonymous Posts Are Welcomed! Blogger has more

posting constraints than Donna's WordPress, but we will continue to welcome anonymous posts. Simply send us an email at amer4innov@gmail.com with your post. Once the moderator verifies that your email address is real, your comment will be posted using your real name or handle, whatever you wish, like John Smith or Tex.

[Click here to view a complete Donna Kline Now! posts archive.](#)



CODE OF CONDUCT FOR U.S. JUDGES

"CANON 2: A JUDGE SHOULD AVOID IMPROPRIETY AND THE APPEARANCE OF IMPROPRIETY IN ALL ACTIVITIES"

GALLERY OF JUDICIAL

dangers of embracing her, her political style and the policies she advocates.

That journalistic trend manifested itself most recently on Monday, in a piece by Ben White in Politico that quoted fully five anonymous sources – including “one top Democratic donor,” “one moderate Washington Democrat” and “one prominent hedge fund manager” – to the effect that Hillary Clinton would be making a major misstep by selecting Warren as her running mate. Warren is an expert in bankruptcy and predatory lending and a leading critic of the financial industry.

Is the “top Democratic donor” Politico quoted a self-interested executive at Citigroup or Goldman Sachs fearful that Warren would influence policy decisions? We’ll never know. Journalists in this way let powerful individuals take potshots without any fear of accountability and without the reader being able to discern what conflicts of interest might be involved.

Reply



K. Craine June 22, 2016 at 8:51 AM

Email comment by TEX:

Our President, Hillary Clinton, Our Attorney General, Secretary of State, Vice President, and current senior political staffers are liars.....and very dangerous to our country.

- they speak of Islam as a religion of peace. There is not one Islamic nation at peace. Not one, anywhere on this planet.
- Islam is not a religion, it is a contained and controlled , " non freedom" , way of life. Islam is a term for a society built around a prophet who said that Allah wants all infidels exterminated.
- ISIS is not a JV , it has a standing army in six different countries with the mandate to wipe out the planet. Four years ago, it was a rag tag group of savage maniacs driving around in broken down Toyota pick up trucks and beheading innocent people for the rest of the world to see. In just a few short years, they are storming ahead, accumulating land and wealth, all in preparation to kill all of us.
- "home grown" terrorism is not an issue. Having terrorists in our home country is the issue. What in the heck are we doing to protect ourselves? Trying to take away our personal weapons , that's what.
- we are allowing thousands of human beings to freely enter our country that have no regard for our way of life , or our lives. At least we could slow it down. I don't hate Muslims....I hate their hatred of America and our free way of life. Is it to much to ask if we control our borders , enforce our laws, support our law enforcement and military to protect our heirs?
- this is not a racial issue. It is a way of life issue. Our heirs are in real trouble if we , as a country, do not respond. Once again, they are having ten kids per family so that they have more warriors. Our sweet little fellas are looking for safe spaces and worrying about not offending anyone with their words. Who is going to win that battle?
- in every case of Islamic savagery, we have underperformed. We are giving the terrorists a wink and a nod to keep going. And they are.
- ISIS is quite sophisticated. Their internet capabilities are quite extraordinary for a JV military. They have hacked many of our most protected sites and use social networking to their advantage.

So let's say we wipe ISIS out.....gone from the face of the earth. Will that slow down Islamic radicalization ? NO. It will be an opening for another group to do what their prophet wanted. Read history.....for over 1500 years, Islam has been at war with non Islamic countries as well among themselves.....Sunni vs Shi'ite. Religion of peace ? HA.

This election is so important. Our future is truly at stake. I just listened to Trump speak about the differences between himself and Hillary. Sounded pretty good to me.....elect him, put Hillary in prison.

Have a great day, TEX

Reply



Rain Onyourparade June 22, 2016 at 1:03 PM

Trump's speech today about Hillary's corruption was spot on.


Mark Swanson. (Jun. 22, 2016). Trump: 'Liar' Clinton Is 'Most Corrupt Person Ever to Seek' Presidency, Deserves 'Prison'. Newsmax.

http://www.newsmax.com/Headline/US-Campaign-2016/2016/06/22/id/735073/

Reply

Replies

MISCONDUCT



Judge Leonard P. Stark, U.S. District Court of Delaware, trial judge in *Leader Techs, Inc. v. Facebook, Inc.*, 770 F. Supp. 2d 686 (D.Del. 2011). Judge Stark heard his jury foreman admit that the jury made the on-sale bar decision without any evidence other than speculation, and yet he supported that verdict anyway. Just months before trial, Judge Stark allowed Facebook to add the on-sale bar claim after the close of all fact discovery and blocked Leader from preparing its defenses to this new claim. Judge Stark allowed the claims despite Leader's prophetic argument that the action would confuse the jury and prejudice Leader. He also permitted the jury to ignore the *Pfaff v. Wells Electronics, Inc.* test for on-sale bar, even after instructing the jury to use it. (See [that Jury Instruction No. 4.7 here.](#)) He also contradicted his own instruction to Leader to answer Interrogatory No. 9 in the present tense (2009), then permitted the jury to interpret it as a 2002 admission as well. Facebook's entire on-sale bar case is based upon this interrogatory. (Editorial: Hardly sufficient to meet the "heavy burden" of the clear and convincing evidence standard.)



Judge Alan D. Lourie, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, panel judge in *Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc.*, 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Judge Lourie stood to benefit financially from undisclosed holdings in Facebook. See [analysis of Judge Lourie's T. Rowe Price holdings re. the Facebook IPO.](#) Judge Lourie also failed to apply his own law-test in *Group One v. Hallmark Cards* to the evidence. After debunking all





K. Craine June 22, 2016 at 1:14 PM

Here is a YouTube video of Trump's full speech (starts at 35 min. 4 secs. into the recording):

<https://youtu.be/xUW2-MUonbo?t=35m4s>

TRUMP: "Appoint judges who will uphold the Constitution."

TRANSCRIPT:

<https://www.donaldjtrump.com/press-releases/donald-j.-trump-on-the-stakes-of-the-election>

Reply



Rain Onyourparade June 22, 2016 at 1:24 PM

HEADLINES: Deleted data shows how Hillary & Bill shill for Wall Street, Silicon Valley and a secret White House intelligence agency

Hillary's hackers don't want you to see these proofs of her corruption

June 22, 2016—Americans for Innovation (AFI) was hacked recently. As the documents were being restored by investigators, they noticed that only documents on certain subjects were targeted.

The hackers were focused on evidence of Hillary & Bill's collusion with the NSA, IBM Eclipse Foundation, James P. Chandler, the theft of social networking from Leader Technologies, Wall Street collusion (and speaking fees), UrAsia uranium mining junket, a secret White House intelligence agency (fabricated from Executive Orders), judicial collusion, The Clinton Foundation and Facebook.

The attached Aug. 12, 2015 post was particularly targeted with document deletions.

Here it is:

HTML web link:

<http://americans4innovation.blogspot.com/2015/08/hillary-bill-shill-for-secret.html>

PDF link:

<http://www.fbcverup.com/docs/afi/2015-08-12-HILLARY-AND-BILL-SHILL-FOR-SECRET-INTELLIGENCE-AGENCY-CARTEL-by-Americans-For-Innovation-Aug-12-2015.pdf>

The hackers cleverly disturbed the underlying document links, but not the post on the surface. This prevented the unsuspecting reader from reviewing the underlying evidence; probably causing them to give up in frustration and perhaps doubt.

This is a new chapter in high tech censorship. We call it "censorship hacking." Their mantra appears to be "in doubt there is a U.S. presidency to be taken."

Hillary Clinton's suitability to be President is condemned by these findings. It appears her hackers are so worried that they removed it so you can't read it for yourself. Therefore, we felt it important to put the information in your hands so that you can decide for yourself.

Reply



K. Craine June 23, 2016 at 5:37 AM

[Editors: We The People must send these out-of-control Washington federal bureaucrats packing before they tear our Republic apart.]

Email comment by Sharyl Attkisson:

Sharyl Attkisson. (Jun. 22, 2016). Tortured tale of govt. spending your money rather than agreeing to answer questions on Obamacare

<https://sharylattkisson.com/tortured-tale-of-govt-spending-your-money-rather-than-agreeing-to-answer-questions-on-obamacare/>

In 2013, when the government repeatedly provided false, misleading and incomplete

of Facebook's evidence on appeal, Judge Lourie created new argument in the secrecy of chambers to support Facebook and prevent the on-sale bar verdict from being overturned—a clear breach of constitutional due process.



Judge Kimberly A. Moore, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, panel judge in *Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc.*, 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Judge Moore stood to benefit financially from undisclosed holdings in Facebook.

[See disclosure of substantial holdings in Facebook and Facebook-related stocks.](#) Judge Moore failed to follow the long-held precedent for testing on-sale bar evidence in *Pfaff v. Wells Electronics, Inc.*—an evident and intentional omission coming from a former patent law professor. After debunking all of Facebook's evidence on appeal, Judge Moore created new argument in the secrecy of chambers to support Facebook and prevent the on-sale bar verdict from being overturned—a clear breach of constitutional due process.



Judge Evan J. Wallach, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, member of the three-judge panel in *Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc.*, 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Judge Wallach is not a patent attorney. This begs the question as to why a judge with no knowledge of patent law was assigned to the case. Would anyone ask a dentist to perform brain surgery? The Federal Circuit was specially formed to appoint patent-knowledgeable judges to patent cases. There is no evidence so far in the judicial disclosures that Judge Wallach holds stock in Facebook, although when he was asked on a motion to disclose potential Facebook holdings and other conflicts of interest, he refused along with the other judges. See *Motion to Disclose Conflicts of Interest*. Judge Wallach continued in silence even

information regarding Obamacare.gov, I filed a Freedom of Information (FOI) request to obtain the facts. Under the law, I should have received the material within about 20 days. It was relevant to news stories I was investigating at the time for CBS News.

Like many federal agencies, Health and Human Services (HHS) simply broke FOI law. My FOI request went unheeded.



In ingenuous efforts to resolve the matter after Ms. Attkisson filed a FOIA lawsuit to compel the documents, the State Department lawyer wrote this:

“We appreciate your thoughts on possible ways to resolve the issue. However, as to the suggestions you offered after the last status conference, the agency has considered them but does not believe they are feasible. The agency remains willing to continue to explore ways to narrow the scope of records at issue in the litigation. Moreover, we also could explore whether your client would be willing to dismiss the case, in which case the agency could continue to process the records at the approximate current processing rate but without the time and expense of court involvement.” -Jeremy Simon, U.S. Department of Justice attorney.”

[We pay this guy to write this tripe.]

Reply



▼ Replies

 **K. Craine**  June 23, 2016 at 5:39 AM

Justice Dept. lawyers say they would rather continue the lawsuit at taxpayer expense than agree to an interview on public matters.

Rather than sit for an interview, HHS would rather spend its time and taxpayer money in a lawsuit that shouldn't have been necessary. And why not? It's not money out of their pocket.

Reply

 **K. Craine**  June 23, 2016 at 5:47 AM
[Editors: Notice the Facebook sycophants and CNBC support whatever the criminal cartel want to do. More signs of the global digital takeover in process that CNBC is supporting.]



Email comment by GH:

Christine Wang. (Jun. 21, 2016). At Facebook, it's Zuckerberg's way or the highway, experts say. CNBC.

<http://www.cnbc.com/2016/06/21/at-facebook-its-zuckerbergs-way-or-the-highway-experts-say.html>

Some investors are concerned about the future of Facebook after shareholders approved a new class of nonvoting Class C shares on Monday.

Reply

 **K. Craine**  June 23, 2016 at 5:51 AM
Email comment by JPM:
Catherine Herridge, Pamela K. Browne. (Jun. 22, 2016). Clinton IT specialist invokes 5th more than 125 times in deposition. Fox News.

<http://www.foxnews.com/politics/2016/06/22/clinton-it-specialist-invokes-5th-more-than-125-times-in-deposition.html>

Hillary Clinton IT specialist Bryan Pagliano invoked the Fifth more than 125 times during a 90-minute, closed-door deposition Wednesday with the conservative watchdog Judicial Watch, a source with the group told Fox News.

The official said Pagliano was working off an index card and read the same crafted statement each time.

“It was a sad day for government transparency,” the Judicial Watch official said, adding they asked all their questions and Pagliano invoked the Fifth Amendment right not to answer them.

Reply

after Clerk of Court Horbaly failed to provide him with Dr. Lakshmi



Arunachalam's motions (according to his Federal Circuit staffer Valeri White), and yet the Clerk signed an order regarding that motion on Judge Wallach's behalf. [See a full analysis of these events at Donna Kline Now!](#) Judge Wallach also failed to police his court's violation of Leader's Fifth and 14th Amendment constitutional right to due process when he participated in the fabrication of new arguments and evidence for Facebook in the secrecy of judge's chambers after he had just invalidated Facebook's sole remaining item of evidence (using disbelieved testimony as ostensible evidence of an opposite). Judge Wallach also failed to police his court when he failed to apply the Supreme Court's Pfaff v. Wells Electronics, Inc. test for on-sale bar evidence, which included even the Federal Circuit's own Group One v. Hallmark Cards, Inc. test—a test which Judge Lourie should have advised Judge Wallach to follow since Judge Lourie helped write that opinion. [Group One test omission analysis.](#)



Clerk of Court Jan Horbaly, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, clerk who signed all the opinions in Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc., 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Clerk Horbaly and his staff obfuscated when the court's ruling was challenged by an amicus curiae brief revealing clear mistakes of law and new evidence. [See analysis of the misconduct and misrepresentations within the Federal Circuit Clerk of Court in Leader v. Facebook.](#) Mr. Horbaly failed to disclose his conflicts of interest and close associations with numerous Facebook attorneys and law firms, as well as his close association with one of Facebook's largest shareholders,



Microsoft, who is a Director of The Federal Circuit Bar Association where Mr. Horbaly is an ex officio officer. Additionally, the DC Bar revealed in a written statement that Clerk Horbaly is not licensed to practice law in the District of Columbia. [Editorial: What does that make the Federal Circuit with

Replies



P. Pratt June 23, 2016 at 6:12 AM

THIS IS PROOF OF EMAIL RACKETEERING AT THE STATE DEPARTMENT OVER HILLARY'S EMAIL SERVER

This revelation that the State Department information technology department was complicit in supporting Hillary's private email system is devastating proof of widespread criminality among those tasked to protect American secrets.

The SPAM issue is this. The State Department SPAM system filters out spam so that it is blocked from entering the system. Only emails from trusted servers are allowed in. Since Hillary Clinton's private server was not and never would have been a "trusted" server, no one in the State Department would receive her private email messages at state.gov email recipients unless the State Department IT people adjusted the settings on Hillary's end and their end to make an exception for emails coming from her private server. That act alone shows willful intent to break the rules by a lot of State Department IT people in charge of managing their security and SPAM filtering, which is an ongoing effort.

Jason Devaney. (Jun. 22, 2016). Judicial Watch: Hillary Refused to Use State Dept. Email.

http://www.newsmax.com/Newsfront/judicial-watch-hillary-clinton-state-department-email-scandal/2016/06/22/id/735159/

Newly released records show the State Department provided Hillary Clinton with an official government email address when she was secretary of state but she declined to use it, opting to employ her private setup instead.

Conservative watchdog group Judicial Watch obtained email records from Clinton aides, and they show Clinton was against using government email.

Clinton's email address, according to email sent in August 2011, was SSHRC@state.gov.

"You should be aware that any email would go through the Department's Infrastructure and subject to FOIA searches," a State Department employee wrote to Clinton aide, Monica Hanley.

During a 2010 exchange between Clinton and her top aide, Huma Abedin, Clinton complains about her emails not being delivered to other State Department employees with government addresses.

"This is not a good system," Clinton wrote.

Abedin responded, "We should talk about putting you on state email or releasing your email address to the department so you are not going to spam."



K. Craine June 23, 2016 at 6:18 AM

Email comment by GH:

So, the entire IT shop, not just Pagliano knew about Hillary's private email server and did not blow the whistle.

Michael Biesecker, Ted Bridis. (Jun. 22, 2013). Emails: State Dept. scrambled on trouble on Clinton's server. Associated Press.

http://bigstory.ap.org/article/7006105d422740f0b4b8675c90f9a154/emails-key-security-features-disabled-clintons-server

WASHINGTON (AP) — State Department staffers wrestled for weeks in December 2010 over a serious technical problem that affected emails from then-Secretary Hillary Clinton's home email server, causing them to temporarily disable security features on the government's own systems, according to emails released Wednesday.

The emails were released under court order Wednesday to the conservative legal advocacy group Judicial Watch, which has sued the State Department over access to public records related to the presumptive Democratic presidential nominee's service as the nation's top diplomat between 2009 and 2013.

The emails, reviewed by The Associated Press, show that State Department technical staff disabled software on their systems intended to block phishing

its location within in a stone's throw of the White House? A self-governing state?]



Judge Randall R. Rader, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, chief judge responsible for the (mis)conduct of his judges and Clerk of Court in Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc., 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Judge Rader failed to manage his court resulting in a likely situation where his judges never even received briefs that they allegedly ruled on in favor of Facebook. Judge Rader also failed to disclose his conflicting relationships with a Leader principle with whom he may have had deep professional differences during his time at the Senate Judiciary Committee—his former professor of law at George Washington University Law Center, former Leader director Professor James P. Chandler. See analysis of Judge Rader's undisclosed conflicts of interest in Leader v. Facebook.

Judge Rader also did not stop his judges from creating new arguments and evidence for Facebook in the secrecy of chambers—after they had debunked all of Facebook's evidence on appeal, which is a clear breach of constitutional due process.



Updated May 22, 2015

Click here to view a Federal Circuit Leader v. Facebook Conflicts of Interest Map.



See "Cover-up In Process At The Federal Circuit?" Donna Kline Now! Sep. 17, 2012.

Leader v. Facebook Legal Research Links

emails that could deliver dangerous viruses. They were trying urgently to resolve delivery problems with emails sent from Clinton's private server.

"This should trump all other activities," a senior technical official, Ken LaVolpe, told IT employees in a Dec. 17, 2010, email. Another senior State Department official, Thomas W. Lawrence, wrote days later in an email that deputy chief of staff Huma Abedin personally was asking for an update about the repairs. Abedin and Clinton, who both used Clinton's private server, had complained that emails each sent to State Department employees were not being reliably received.

[Reply](#)



K. Craine June 23, 2016 at 5:59 AM

[Editors: The global digital takeover fix is in folks.]

Email comment by DL:

Jennifer Saba. (Jun. 22, 2016). Facebook Shareholder Vote Produced Odd Results. The New York Times.

<http://www.nytimes.com/2016/06/23/business/dealbook/facebook-shareholder-vote-produces-odd-results.html>

Facebook shareholders sent a curious message about the social network's governance this week. More than half of Facebook owners not named Mark Zuckerberg clicked the corporate equivalent of a "Like" button for just three of the company's directors.

Weirdly, shareholders seemed to prefer the venture capitalist Peter Thiel over top executives like Sheryl Sandberg, the company's chief operating officer, and Mr. Zuckerberg himself. It is a brainteaser that suggests institutional investors [Fidelity, T. Rowe Price, Vanguard, BlackRock, Goldman Sachs] are more inclined to tick boxes than follow their heads.

[Reply](#)



Arasmus Dragon June 23, 2016 at 6:29 AM

Our chief judges either ALL become investing geniuses the moment they put on the black robe, or they are on the Cartel's insider trading club. I wonder which it is? OK fine, AFI has proven the latter. They are criminals in black robes pretending to be impartial.

Michael Beckel, David Levinthal. (Jun. 22, 2016). Majority of U.S. Supreme Court justices are millionaires. The Center for Public Integrity.

<https://www.publicintegrity.org/2016/06/22/19804/majority-us-supreme-court-justices-are-millionaires>

At least six – and perhaps as many as all eight – U.S. Supreme Court justices are worth at least \$1 million, according to a Center for Public Integrity analysis of new financial disclosures.

Topping the list is Stephen Breyer, who is worth at least \$6.1 million – and possibly as much as \$16 million going into 2016. Breyer's top holdings include stock in publishing company Pearson PLC, as well as property in New Hampshire and the Caribbean island of Nevis.

Chief Justice John Roberts boasts the second-highest net worth: at least \$4.2 million.

[Reply](#)

▼ [Replies](#)



K. Craine June 23, 2016 at 10:03 AM

Here's a partial list of previous AFI postings exposing Justice John Roberts misconduct toward Leader Technologies in Leader v. Facebook and the theft of Leader's social networking invention for the private use of the Washington Cartel in their bid to secretly take over control of the Internet:

AFI. (Jun. 10, 2013). Justice Roberts held Facebook stock during the Leader v. Facebook case. Americans for Innovation. <http://americans4innovation.blogspot.com/p/justice-roberts.html>

AFI. (Jun. 11, 2013). Justice Roberts Mentors Facebook Attorney Thomas G. Hungar, Gibson Dunn LLP. Americans for Innovation.

NOTICE: Opinion

This is an opinion blog. Any information contained or linked herein should be independently verified and should be considered the sole opinion of the writer. Free Speech and Freedom of the Press are protected by the [First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution](#) and other local, state, national and international laws. Therefore, as with all opinion, such opinion should not be relied upon without independent verification.

This site is a not-for-profit effort focused on education, news, investigation of issues in the public interest, and research, and relies on fair use copyright exemptions under 17 U.S.C. 106(a)-117 of the United States Copyright Act, in addition to any and all other related and relevant privileges to which a fair and reasonable person would attribute to this grassroots effort to root out corruption and promote justice. No rights whatsoever to third party content are claimed or implied.

AFI LOGO (with text)



AFI LOGO (no text)



CORRUPTION WATCH LIST

Faces of the Facebook Corruption (PDF) (currently being updated after the Fri. Mar. 7, 2014 Scribd censorship of this document:

Here is the cast of characters in Leader v.

<http://americans4innovation.blogspot.com/p/justice-roberts-mentored-facebook.html>

AFI (Jun. 29, 2015). Justice Roberts failed to disclose substantial Obamacare and Eclipse holdings. Americans For Innovation
<http://americans4innovation.blogspot.com/2015/06/justice-roberts-failed-to-disclose.html>

[Reply](#)

Enter your comment...

Comment as:

[Home](#) [Older Post](#)

Subscribe to: [Post Comments \(Atom\)](#)

Facebook. We encourage you to report their corrupt activities to this site and others, like [Lawless America](#). Feel free to communicate anonymously in any way in which you are most comfortable. The attempt of these people and their organizations to corrupt American justice and commerce cannot be tolerated. Vigilance. We will expose them. See [Congressional Briefings](#) (currently being updated after Scribd censored the documents on Fri. Mar. 7, 2014).

A. Facebook's law firms:

1. Fenwick & West LLP (Facebook securities and patent law firm; former Leader Technologies counsel; attempted an appearance in Leader v. Facebook; did not seek conflicts waiver from Leader prior to representing Facebook)
2. Cooley Godward LLP (Facebook law firm in Leader v. Facebook; McBee Strategic energy stimulus partner; Obama Justice Dept. advisor; former employer to patent judges)
3. Blank & Rome LLP (Facebook law firm in Leader v. Facebook; former employer to patent judges)
4. White & Case LLP (Facebook law firm in Leader v. Facebook; undisclosed former employer to Patent Office Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) officer involved in Leader v. Facebook)
5. Gibson Dunn LLP (Facebook law firm in Leader v. Facebook; undisclosed counsel to the Federal Circuit; undisclosed protégé of Chief Justice John Roberts, Jr.; undisclosed former employer to Preetinder ("Preet") Bharara, U.S. Attorney currently persecuting Paul Ceglia in U.S. v. Ceglia (Ceglia v. Zuckerberg))
6. Orrick Herrington LLP (longtime Facebook law firm and destroyer of evidence for the cabal in Winkevoss v. Zuckerberg and ConnectU v. Facebook)
7. Weil Gotshal LLP (Federal Circuit counsel in Leader v. Facebook; Judge Kimberly A. Moore's undisclosed former client)
8. Latham & Watkins LLP (Facebook Director James W. Breyer's counsel; Judge Kimberly A. Moore's husband, Matthew J. Moore's new law firm)
9. Federal Circuit Bar Association ("FCBA") (Federal Circuit's bar association; second largest in the U.S.; Facebook's law firms exert much influence in its policy and activity, incl. Fenwick & West LLP, Gibson Dunn LLP, Orrick Herrington LLP, Weil Gotschal LLP; Facebook's large shareholder, Microsoft, is a director; Federal Circuit Clerk of Court Jan Horbaly is an officer; FCBA made an appearance in Leader v. Facebook to oppose the amicus curiae (friend of the court) motion of Dr. Lakshmi Arunachalam, former Director of Network Architecture at Sun Microsystems, in favor of Leader Technologies and objecting to the evident conflicts of interest within the court itself, her motion was denied, the judges refused to disclose their conflicts which we now know include Facebook and Microsoft stocks)