



CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS ARE NOT NEGOTIABLE!

Customer Search mines this blog, Donna Kline Now! Archive, FB Cover-up, Origins of Facebook's Technology and LeaderDocs.

Google™ Custom Search [Search Button]

Wednesday, June 8, 2016

FINDING: TRUMP UNIVERSITY JUDGE MISLED SENATE AT CONFIRMATION

OVERWHELMING EVIDENCE OF JUDGE BIAS ON MEXICAN-AMERICAN ISSUES

CONTRIBUTING WRITERS | OPINION | AMERICANS FOR INNOVATION | JUN. 08, 2016, UPDATED JUN. 10*, 2016 | PDF



Updated May, 19, 2016. Note: All broken links have been repaired (We think! A hacker selectively deleted over 200 documents which we have restored).

CLICK HERE FOR HIJACK OF THE INTERNET **TIMELINE** AND DATABASE

JUDGE GONZALO CURIEL
TRUMP UNIVERSITY LAWSUITS

- ▶ La Raza Lawyers Association
- ▶ Hispanic National Bar Association
- ▶ Latino Judges Association
- ▶ Natl Hispanic Prosecutors Association

CURIEL JUDICIAL QUESTIONNAIRE

FIG. 1—OBAMA-APPOINTED JUDGE GONZALO P. CURIEL placed his Mexican-American ethnicity squarely in the public arena at his [Senate Confirmation on Mar. 28, 2012](#) and in his conduct as a U.S. Attorney between 1990 and 2012. As a result, his Mexican parentage, and how that might affect his decisions as a federal judge, are not only fair game for Donald Trump on general principle, but also because Judge Curiel very evidently withheld at least 13 sets of documents that would have described his opinions on various Mexican-American issues at the confirmation hearing. Willful failure to disclose information material to the Senate Judiciary confirmation process is criminal.

Graphic: Fox News (with spelling correction).

Request for **DOWNLOAD** Congressional Intervention

PDF HTML

We Be People

(Jun. 08, 2016)—Presumptive Republican Presidential nominee Donald Trump’s detractors have been quick to criticize his comments about the Mexican-American judge in his Trump University lawsuit, Judge Gonzalo P. Curiel.

Mr. Trump complained that Judge Curiel has issued unfair rulings in the case.

For example, Judge Curiel recently allowed the woman who filed the suit, Tarla Makaeff, a yoga instructor, to withdraw from the complaint, yet allowed her to remain as essentially a behind-the-scenes hanger-on sub-plaintiff in one of the subsequent class-actions filed.

Makaeff's lack of confidence in her own case should have resulted in dismissal of at least her participation under the principle of res judicata –plaintiffs cannot make the same claims twice. But instead, Judge Curiel shuffled her aside into a parallel action and refused to dismiss her complaint.

We at AFI know about judge bias. We have investigated and proved scandalous judge bias in the Leader v. Facebook patent infringement case. That bias reaches right into the U.S. Supreme Court where Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr. held substantial Facebook financial interests, yet failed to recuse himself when the petition came before him. Justice Roberts even mentors Facebook's appeal attorney, Thomas G. Hungar, Gibson Dunn LP, yet failed to disqualify himself. See [Timeline for Hijack of the Cyberworld](#).



CHIEF JUSTICE JOHN G. ROBERTS, JR. failed to recuse in Leader v. Facebook despite his financial holdings and Facebook lawyer relationships (and every federal judge, incl. Curiel, knows this).



THOMAS G. HUNGAR, GIBSON DUNN LLP. Chief Justice John G. Roberts was Hungar's legal mentor while Hungar represented Facebook when the Leader v. Facebook case came before Roberts, who just winked while counting his Facebook investment winnings and declined to hear Leader's petition.



LEONARD P. STARK, DELAWARE FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT. Obama nominated Stark after Facebook's devastating pre-trial loss of the pivotal Markman Hearing in front of 25-year veteran judge Joseph J. Farnan in Leader v. Facebook. One month before trial, Stark was ordered to take over the case even though he had not been confirmed. Stark is heavily invested in Facebook's largest mutual fund stockholder, Fidelity.

CHIEF JUSTICE JOHN G. ROBERTS, JR.—THE FISH ROTTS FROM THE HEAD

With such non-stellar examples of judicial ethics as Justice Roberts', it is no wonder that lower court judges like Judge Curiel feel no compulsion to be ethical. Clearly, our justice system is on the verge of a "no confidence" vote by the American public. Much of the judiciary appears to be on the take from their deep pocket corporate cronies.

Donald Trump complained about Judge Curiel's Mexican-American heritage as the clearest reason to him why he was not being treated fairly in Curiel's court.

Mr. Trump's opponents in both parties were quick to label the comment racist and fan the flames of this favorite narrative. Even Republican House leader Paul Ryan called the comment racist.

Q. CAN A JUDGE'S FAMILY HERITAGE EVER BE AN ISSUE WITHOUT BEING LABELED A RACIST FOR BRINGING IT UP? A. YES.

Polemics aside, the real question is can a judge's ethnic heritage ever be grounds for him or her to recuse from a case?

If we follow Donald Trump's detractors, one would conclude that Americans must ignore ethnic and racial heritage in all lawsuits—even if that heritage biases the judge toward one of the litigants.

Even the rhetoric of groups like Black Lives Matter reinforces Trump's position that race and ethnicity can and do bias policing and courts. In Trump's case it's a white billionaire with the bias complaint.

Is Donald Trump justified to raise the spectre of bias regarding Judge Curiel's Mexican-American heritage? Since repatriating undocumented Mexican immigrants, building a wall, drug smuggling and crime figure so prominently in his political platform in this election, the answer is obviously yes.

The ethics standard for judge bias is stated in [Canon 2](#) of the Code of Conduct for U.S. Judges:

CODE OF CONDUCT FOR U.S. JUDGES, CANON 2:

Social Networking: The True Story

HealthCare.gov's confiscation of this property cannot stand

Leader: 145,000 man-hours • over \$10 million • 20 people • solid engineering • hackers not welcome • affirms privacy, security, property • no foreign influence • respects U.S. Constitution

Facebook: "one to two weeks" • beer money • all by myself • 28 hidden hard drives • stole Harvard photos • hacks email • PayPal Mafia handlers • scoofs at privacy • corrupts markets, judges, politicians & gov't agencies

OpenTrial.org

Leader v. Facebook

Facebook — a force for freedom perhaps, but at odds with the rule of law in the U.S.

John Adams, or...?

Federal Corruption

CLICK TO LOOK INSIDE

- Congressional Briefings
- Federal Circuit Censored Docket
- Americans For Innovation Archives
- Disclosures: Exec | Judiciary | USPTO
- Patent Office FOIA Stonewalling
- Donna Kline Now! Archives

Faces of Facebook Corruption

Updated Mar. 19, 2014

G+1 +13 Recommend this on Google

CONGRESS CONTACT LOOKUP

Contacting the Congress

= Universal Toxic Substance Symbol & Warning

FINANCIAL HOLDINGS OF OBAMA POLITICAL APPOINTEES, BY AGENCY

FOLLOW BY EMAIL

Email address...

BLOG ARCHIVE (New, 1/20/14)

- ▼ 2016 (15)
- ▼ June (2)

FINDING: TRUMP UNIVERSITY JUDGE

"A Judge Should Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in all Activities."

The U.S. Constitution promises every citizen the right to a fair and impartial tribunal. Questions of judge impartiality cannot be suspended for any reason including race, ethnicity, gender, nationality, social status, etc.

If Judge Curiel's Mexican-American heritage predisposes him to actual bias—or even the appearance of bias in Mr. Trump's case—then he has a solemn Constitutional duty to disqualify himself from the Trump University case.

Given the sharp rhetoric in the presidential campaign surrounding Mexican-American relations, any reasonable person can see that Judge Curiel's Mexican-American heritage may bias the Trump University case, either way. The judge might favor Trump's opinion. We doubt it, but we just don't know for the reasons discussed below. Given these reasonable questions and appearances, the possibility of bias is real and requires Judge Curiel's recusal.

THE SENATE JUDICIAL CONFIRMATION PROCESS

Before each presidential judge nominee to a federal court is confirmed, the Senate Judiciary Committee holds a confirmation hearing. Prior to that hearing, the candidate must submit written answers to a lengthy questionnaire about his or her personal, financial and legal background.

Judge nominee Curiel answered his confirmation questions on Mar. 28, 2012.

DECEPTION—CURIEL OMITTED DISCLOSURE OF 13 MAJOR DRUG TRAFFICKING PROSECUTION ACTIVITIES OVER 20 YEARS

We will jump directly to what we consider the most glaring problem with Curiel's Senate Judiciary disclosure.

While Judge Curiel was able to provide transcripts and recordings of innocuous speeches to his charter schools, endorsements and family court trainings, his disclosure was remarkably devoid of such information for any significant event in his career involving Mexican border issues, drug trafficking and illegal immigration.

Specifically:



- 1. As case summary writer (1986) for the Daily Appellate Report of the Los Angeles Daily Journal, he was unable to provide even a single writing from the daily.
2. As lead attorney for the Presidential Organized Crime Drug Enforcement Task Force (OCDETF) from 1999 through 2002, he was unable to provide a single report or memorandum. He claimed that the office did not maintain such records.
3. As guest speaker (2011) at the La Raza (translated: "The Race") Lawyers of San Diego Membership Development Luncheon he was unable to provide any notes, transcripts or recordings.
4. Ironically, as a continuing legal education panel member (2010) on discovery and disclosure, he was unable to provide any information.

MISLED SENATE AT C...

DOES HILLARY THINK SHE'S SAFE BECAUSE SHE COULD EX...

- May (2)
► April (4)
► March (4)
► February (2)
► January (1)

- 2015 (34)
► 2014 (26)
► 2013 (28)
► 2012 (6)

UPDATE MAR. 25, 2014


FIVE CRITICAL AFI POSTS ON JUDICIAL COMPROMISE

Fully updated Mar. 25, 2014 in the wake of the Scribd censorship:

- 1. HOW PATENT JUDGES GROW RICH ON THE BACKS OF AMERICAN INVENTORS
2. WAS CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS BLACKMAILED into supporting Obamacare by his ethical compromises in Leader v. Facebook?
3. JUSTICE ROBERTS MENTORED Facebook Gibson Dunn LLP attorneys.
4. JUSTICE ROBERTS HOLDS substantial Facebook financial interests.
5. JUDGE LEONARD STARK FAILED to disclose his Facebook financial interests and his reliance on Facebook's Cooley Godward LLP attorneys for his appointment.

BARACK OBAMA'S DARK POOLS OF CORRUPTION

Click to enlarge



CLICK HERE FOR WASHINGTON'S ETHICAL

5. As keynote speaker (2010) for the Spanish Honors Society (Sociedad Honoraria Hispanica) he was **unable to provide** any information.
6. As a presenter at a bi-national UN (2009) US drugs and crime conference he was **unable to provide** any information about his comments on drug trafficking prosecutions and the manufacturing of illegal drugs.
7. As the honored guest (2007) at the La Raza Lawyers Association of San Diego, he was **unable to provide** any information about his speech.
8. As international coordinator and teacher (2005) at the U.S. Attorney's Office in Los Angeles on mutual legal assistance and provisional arrests, he was **unable to provide** any information from his writings and teachings.
9. As a trainer (2000) for Colombian prosecutors and law enforcement officers in Bogota, Colombia on money laundering, he was **unable to provide** any information from his trainings.
10. As a presenter (1999) at an international seminar for Mexican federal judges in Veracruz, Mexico, he was **unable to provide** any information from his trainings.
11. As a trainer in professional responsibility issues (1999) as Professional Responsibility Officer for the U.S. Attorney's Office, he **provided no information** about his writings or trainings.
12. As an instructor (1999) to Costa Rican magistrates and law enforcement officers on available means to investigate and prosecute organized criminal organizations, he **provided no information** about his writings or trainings.
13. As an instructor (1991-2000—nine years) in “up to four” bi-national conferences of prosecutors from Mexico and the US on investigating and prosecuting international drug traffickers organized by the Department of Justice Executive Office and Office of International Affairs, he was **unable to provide** any information from his writing and training.

WITHHOLDING REQUIRED INFORMATION IS INEXCUSABLE

This pattern within the Obama Administration to withhold, suppress, stonewall, lie, fabricate, spin, and obfuscate has been elevated to a new anti-ethical art form. For example, Barack Obama's college transcripts are still sealed. Add this to a laundry list of documents withheld about IRS targeting, Benghazi, Fast & Furious, AP snooping, Zuckerberg's 28 computer hard drives and Harvard emails, Obamacare and Hillary's private emails—all suppressed illegally. However, a maddening fog has settled over officialdom that saps the righteous indignation we need from our law enforcement officials to seize the withheld information.

JUDGE CURIEL: SLOPPY RECORD KEEPER OR POLITICAL DECEIVER?

SINS OF OMISSION AND COMMISSION OFTEN CARRY THE SAME PENALTY IN LAW

At the very least, the Judge Curiel omissions are either: (1) very sloppy record keeping, or (2) they were intentional.

Either way, the omissions prove that Judge Curiel is unprofessional and unfit to be a judge in the Trump University case, or perhaps in any other case for that matter.

A reasonable person will assume that these omissions were intentional. Obama's nominee withheld all writings and information that would have described Curiel's opinions on a range of Mexican-American issues at the heart of this 2016 presidential race.

ASIDE: SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE IS ASLEEP AT THE WHEEL

Why did the Senate allow Judge Curiel's glaring omissions? This signals a breakdown in the Senate confirmation process. The committee appears to be little more than an old boy rubber stamp.

INCORRECT CITATIONS

In reviewing Curiel's disclosure of “interviews you have given to newspapers, magazines and

DISEASE DISCOVERIES RE. FACEBOOK "DARK POOLS"

STOP FACEBOOK PROPERTY THEFT



WILL HUMANKIND EVER LEARN? Facebook's Orwellian doublespeak about property and privacy (theft) merely repeats the eventual dehumanization of the individual under MAO's Red Star, Stalin's SOVIET Hammer & Sickle and Hitler's NAZI Swastika. Respect for the inalienable rights of each individual is a bedrock value of democracy. The members of the Facebook Cabal abuse this principle at every opportunity. They evidently believe that they deserve special privileges and are willing to lie, cheat and steal in order to treat themselves to these privileges.

ASK CONGRESS: PASS THE INVENTOR PROTECTION ACT!

LEADER TECHNOLOGIES
Inventor Protection Act
(Proposed)

America needs to practice what it preaches.

We have no business lecturing the world about free enterprise and the rule of law, when we permit the investors in Ohio-based innovator Leader Technologies to go uncompensated for the risks they took to help invent social networking . . .

—a technology upon which the President and U.S. government now rely;

—a technology *stolen* by the “Facebook Cabal” who recruited the federal courts and Patent Office into their club of corruption.

Rescind. Investigate.
Sanction. Certify.

Contact your representatives. Ask them to pass it.
Real American inventors need your support.

<http://www.contactingthecongress.org/>
<http://americans4innovation.blogspot.com>

Click image above to download a poster-quality PDF optimized for a 11in. x 17in. (ledger-size) poster. America should not be in the business of cheating its entrepreneurial investors simply because the cheaters buy off judges with the money gained from their theft. Such permissiveness is obscene.

LEADER V. FACEBOOK BACKGROUND

Jul. 23, 2013 NOTICE: DonnaKlineNow! has gone offline. All her posts are available as a [PDF collection here](#) (now updated, post-Scribd censorship).

Mar. 20, 2014 READER NOTICE: On Mar. 7, 2014, all of our documents linked to Scribd

other publications, or radio or television stations," several things stand out:

1. 49 of 49 citations of drug trafficking related. Clearly, drug prosecutions are Curiel's expertise, not business law.
2. We were not able to source all 49 citations, but of the 10 we did read, at least two of those **do not mention Curiel**. Here are two of his cited references that don't even mention him that we have found so far:
 - a. Anne-Marie O'Connor, Seduction of a Generation. [Los Angeles Times](#), July 28, 2002.
 - b. Chris Kraul, The Collapse of Mexico's 'Invincible' Drug Cartel, [Los Angeles Times](#), Mar. 16, 2002.

JUDGE CURIEL WEARS HIS ETHNICITY ON HIS SLEEVE

Judge Curiel trades on his Hispanic roots, as does the U.S. Justice Department. Therefore, by his self-promotion, Judge Curiel puts his ethnicity in play in the public square. Donald Trump is therefore well within the bounds of public discourse to raise conflicts of interest issues about the judge's Mexican heritage.

For example: Tim Weiner, New Web of Trust Topples a Mighty Mexican Cartel, [New York Times](#), Apr. 26, 2002.

From The New York Times article:

According to participants on both sides, the Mexicans looked across the table at Mr. Chavez, Mr. Vega and **Mr. Curiel, all born of Mexican parents**, and the spark of recognition lit a fire.

"It couldn't but help," **Mr. Curiel** said. "We were working without the disconnect of interpreters and barriers of culture. When it comes down to it, this **involves the country of our parents**." Mr. Vega, now in private practice, said the simple fact that the meetings were conducted in Spanish "broke the ice."

"It was confianza," he said, the Spanish word for trust.

BIAS #1: BARACK OBAMA-NOMINATED JUDGES APPEAR TO BE: 1ST POLITICAL, 2ND SELF-ENRICHING, 3RD CRONYISTIC, AND 4TH *OH YEAH*, LAWFUL

On Nov. 10, 2011, Barack Obama nominated Gonzalo P. Curiel. This was the same day that conservative commentator Glenn Beck began exposing hedge fund financier George Soros and his plans for a "New World Order" on Fox.

Soros notoriously funds Obama, Clinton and various left-leaning PACs contributing tens of millions to Obama and Clinton political causes. While Glenn Beck is no Trump fan, the spectre of Curiel's political bias against Trump as a conservative is obvious nonetheless. Curiously, a week later Obama nominated Evan J. Wallach to the Federal Circuit. Wallach was subsequently assigned to the Leader v. Facebook appeal and protected Obama's go-to propaganda tool, Facebook, despite having no patent law experience and having substantial Facebook interests.

There are plenty of judges who could have been assigned to the Trump University case other than someone recently appointed by Barack Obama—one of Donald Trump's chief political foes in the 2016 presidential election. Indeed, the Trump University case, which was filed on Apr. 30, 2010, was transferred three years later to Judge Curiel on Jan. 30, 2013, well after Trump had announced his presidential exploratory committee.

BIAS #2: JUDGE CURIEL & THE TRUMP UNIVERSITY CASE—LIKE SENDING A SCHOOL NURSE TO PERFORM BRAIN SURGERY

Judge Curiel is a 23-year criminal drug trafficking prosecutor. The [9th Circuit Court of](#)

were deleted by that "cloud" service using the flimsiest of arguments. Some of our documents have been there for two years and some had almost 20,000 reads.

George Orwell wrote in 1984 that one knows one is in a totalitarian state when telling the truth becomes an act of courage.

All the links below were updated Mar. 20, 2014 (many thanks to our volunteers!)

1. [Summary of Motions, Appeal, Petition, Evidence, Analysis, Briefings](#) (FULL CITATIONS) in Leader Technologies, Inc. v. Facebook, Inc., 08-cv-862-JJF-LPS (D. Del. 2008), published as Leader Techs, Inc. v. Facebook, Inc., 770 F. Supp. 2d 686 (D. Del. 2001)
2. Dr. Lakshmi Arunachalam's Censored Federal Circuit Filings ([Archive](#))
3. [Brief Summary](#) of Leader v. Facebook
4. [Backgrounder](#)
5. [Fenwick & West LLP Duplicity](#)
6. [Instagram-scam](#)
7. [USPTO-reexam Sham](#)
8. [Zynga-gate](#)
9. [James W. Breyer / Accel Partners LLP Insider Trading](#)
10. [Federal Circuit Disciplinary Complaints](#)
11. [Federal Circuit Cover-up](#)
12. [Congressional Briefings re. Leader v. Facebook judicial corruption](#)
13. [Prominent Americans Speak Out](#)
14. [Petition for Writ of Certiorari](#)
15. [Two Proposed Judicial Reforms](#)
16. [S. Ct. for Schemers or Inventors?](#)
17. [Attorney Patronage Hijacked DC?](#)



18. [Justice Denied | Battle Continues](#)
19. [FB Robber Barons Affirmed by S. Cr.](#)
20. [Judicial Misconduct WALL OF SHAME](#)
21. [Corruption Watch - "Oh what webs we weave, when first we practice to deceive"](#)
22. [Facebook | A Portrait of Corruption](#)
23. [White House Meddling](#)
24. [Georgia! AM 1080 McKibben Interview](#)
25. [Constitutional Crisis Exposed](#)
26. [Abuse of Judicial Immunity since Stump](#)
27. [Obamacare Scandal Principals are intertwined in the Leader v. Facebook scandal](#)
28. [S.E.C. duplicity re. Facebook](#)

GIBSON DUNN LLP exposed as

[Appeals docket](#) contains 68 unique cases between 1990 and 2012 where Curiel was often the sole U.S. Attorney on the case. All 68 cases are criminal drug trafficking cases. He received his commission to be a federal judge on Oct. 01, 2012. Trump University was his second case. Imagine that.

Usually judges are assigned to cases in which they have legal expertise. This is pure common sense. They also are required by law to check for conflicts of interest. But, Judge Curiel has very little business law experience. Therefore, assigning Curiel to the Trump University case is a little like sending a school nurse to perform brain surgery (no disrespect to school nurses). Such political assignments have occurred regularly during the Obama reign and are a travesty of due process and fair play.

For example, on a summary judgment motion, Judge Curiel has no experience as a judge with which to assess motivational real estate sales and investing training, much less a yoga student's naive assessments of its value. Judge Curiel understands drug dealers, not sophisticated real estate investing case law.

Any motivational educator knows that most people who sign up for sales training never implement their training for a variety of reasons. It is classic for the student to blame the teacher for the lack of follow through to protect the student's ego and excuse the student's lack of follow through.

SALES 101: BE POSITIVE AND SELL TO YOUR STRENGTHS WITHOUT MISLEADING THE PROSPECTIVE CUSTOMER

It is highly unlikely that Judge Curiel has ever attended a motivational business seminar of the kinds provided by Trump University. How can he possibly then hold himself out as an impartial judge in this matter when he has zero understanding of the business context? Of course, he cannot.

The authors have not attended a Trump University program, but the average real estate program is always full of positivism. That is just the nature of real estate development and sales, and of selling in general. Salespeople magnify their strengths and minimize their weaknesses. That is their JOB. Anyone with business experience knows this. The authors are very familiar with such dynamics and find Judge Curiel's agenda to draw out the litigation for political purposes quite evident.

Only a judge savvy in the ways of business, investing and sales can understand these dynamics well enough to make fair decisions. Students in such situations often expect magic, miracles, quick fixes and instant results. The Trump University programs promised training in good processes, not individual results.

By comparison, business school MBA educators do not promise that every student or any student will make a million dollars by age thirty after getting their MBA. Instead, they present good processes that people who do make that kind of money use. It's up to the student and his or her circumstances whether or not the million dollar income happens.

BIAS #3: JUDGE CURIEL'S NUMEROUS HISPANIC LAW ASSOCIATIONS

[Judge Curiel disclosed](#) that he is or has been a member of the following groups:

1. Hispanic Advisory Committee of the Commission on Public Understanding about the Law (1993-1994)
2. Hispanic National Bar Association, Life-time Member
3. La Raza Lawyers of San Diego ([SDLRLA](#)) - San Diego's Latino/Latina Bar Association)
4. Latino Judges Association
5. National Hispanic Prosecutors Association

The literal translation of "La Raza" as "The Race" has been floated as its own form of Latino racism. However, we have it on good authority from a Spanish linguist that "La Raza" in this context should be translated "The People." While "The Race" makes for a juicier story, the facts are damning enough without this sensationalized interpretation.

IN SUMMARY

Judges swear a solemn oath to avoid impropriety and the appearance of impropriety in all their activities (Canon 2). Judges are supposed to self-police their conflicts. In other words, if they discover they have a conflict, they are duty-bound to recuse themselves, without

one of the most corrupt law firms in America



Investigative Reporter Julia Davis investigates Facebook's Leader v. Facebook attorney Gibson Dunn LLP. She credits this

firm with the reason why not a single Wall Street banker has gone to jail since 2008. [Click here](#) to read her article "Everybody hates whistleblowers." *Examiner.com*, Apr. 10, 2012. Here's an excerpt:

"Skillful manipulation of the firm's extensive media connections allows Gibson Dunn to promote their causes, while simultaneously smearing their opponents and silencing embarrassing news coverage."


This statement followed right after Davis cited Facebook's chief inside counsel in the Leader v. Facebook case, Theodore Ulyot, who appears to have helped lead the Leader v. Facebook judicial corruption. Interesting word choices associated with Gibson Dunn LLP: manipulation, smear. Attorneys swear a solemn oath to act morally, ethically, and in support of democratic principles. They promise to conduct themselves in a manner than instills confidence among the citizenry in the rule of law and the judicial system. These promises appear to be meaningless. [Click here for a PDF version of Julie Davis' article.](#)

POPULAR POSTS



OHIO STATE'S PRESIDENT MICHAEL V. DRAKE Mired IN PERSONAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Trustees and Provost promote learning technology that benefits trustee clients and is stolen from OSU alums *Contributing Writers | Opini...*



OSU BAND INVESTIGATION UNEARTHS SURPRISE TRUSTEE COLLUSION IN PATENT THEFT

Breaking News, Sep. 3, 2014 , 10:05am OSU Trustee President, Jeffrey Wadsworth, "counterattacks" the Band Alumni leadership T...



GOVERNOR JOHN KASICH HOLDS MUCH STOCK IN OSU TRUSTEE PRIVATE INTERESTS

Governor's trustee appointments reveal strong bias toward protecting his investments *Contributing Writers | Opinion | AMERICANS FOR INNOVA...*



FIRING OF OSU BAND LEADER EXPOSES CORRUPTION AT BATTELLE LABS, PATENT OFFICE, NSA

Jeffrey Wadsworth, Battelle CEO and OSU Trustee president, does out OSU contracts to Facebook Cartel thru his McBee Strategic LLC lobbyis...

being asked.

However, almost no judge does this. To the contrary, litigants must challenge the judge's conflict, then chip away at his or her intransigence with an ice pick.

Judges and sycophant lawyers argue that more frequent recusals would overwhelm the courts with frivolous requests for impartiality.

Really? We heartily disagree. The U.S. Constitution promises a fair and impartial judiciary. When conflicts of interest are pointed out, recusal should occur without fuss. The fuss comes when judges become obstinate (which is the norm today) as if they are oligarchs who work for themselves, and not the citizens.

Clearly, judges with hidden financial and political agendas who are working to fix a case for their friends will become obstinate when asked to recuse.

This is evidently the case with Judge Curiel. It is evident that he is carrying out political orders to extend the Trump University case with fabricated legal justifications.

JUDGE CURIEL'S CONFIRMATION OMISSIONS/LIES, COMBINED WITH HIS MEXICAN HERITAGE, IS CLEAR EVIDENCE OF BIAS OR AN APPEARANCE OF BIAS

Judge Curiel certified that his Senate confirmation disclosure was truthful. His failure to disclose at least 13 sets of material documents means his certification was a lie. Had Judge Curiel not withheld ALL of his substantive writings, opinions and teachings in his Senate confirmation hearing, perhaps we could conclude he is able to be objective, despite his Mexican heritage.

However, since he withheld ALL of his information in 13 substantial matters, and since border and immigration issues with America's southern board figure so prominently in this 2016 presidential campaign, a reasonable person can only conclude that Judge Curiel has a political agenda that supports his sponsor: BARACK OBAMA and his Washington Cartel surrogate HILLARY CLINTON.

The withholding of confirmation information aside, Judge Curiel's extensive activity in various Hispanic law associations shows he has a dog in this hunt.

Suspiciously, he allowed the lady who brought the original Trump University complaint to withdraw in such a way that her involvement is protected while the case proceeds with people who joined the original complaint.

Logic says if the original complainant is dismissed, so should the case.

Something smells.

Trump rightly called Judge Curiel out for more scrutiny about his potential bias against Trump's Mexican issues of obvious interest to Curiel.

Thanks to the whitewashed Senate Confirmation Hearing, we have little idea what Curiel's real opinions are about Mexican border issues and illegal immigration since he withheld at least 13 sets of documents that would have otherwise informed the American public.

Demand that Judge Gonzalo P. Curiel produce the 13 sets of evidence of his speeches and writings that he withheld from his Senate Confirmation Hearing.

Allowing the original Trump University complainant to leave the case, yet allowing the case to continue is odd.

Just like Hillary Clinton must produce the transcripts of her Goldman Sachs speeches, Judge Curiel must produce the 13 sets of documents that he withheld from his Senate Confirmation.

Truth and justice demand that Judge Curiel come clean, or be impeached.

* * *



MASSIVE WASHINGTON CORRUPTION EXPOSED BY LEADER V. FACEBOOK

Bi-partisan citizen group appeals to Congress to RESTORE PROPERTY

CONFISCATED BY widespread federal corruption incl. interference by Nancy ...



HEALTHCARE.GOV HAS EXPOSED WASHINGTON'S ETHICAL DISEASE

Undisclosed conflicts of interest—on a massive scale—are choking


Washington Contributing Writers | OPINION | AMERICANS FOR INNOVATION ...



HOW JUDGES GROW RICH ON THE BACKS OF AMERICAN INVENTORS

Patent Office filings are shuffled out the USPTO backdoor to crony lawyers,

banks and deep-pocket clients Contributing Writers | Opinion...



DISASTROUS RISE OF A LAWLESS C.I.A.

Presidents from Washington to Eisenhower feared threats to liberty from

abuses of power by the military-industrial complex Contributing...



BOYCOTT NCAA MARCH MADNESS? COPYRIGHT-GATE

Constitutional rights advocates demand that NCAA stop its copyright infringement in social

media; ask Congress to preserve Zuckerberg's ...



LEADER V. FACEBOOK WALL OF SHAME

Judges go to jail for far less serious misconduct; Facebook users should pay

Leader fees voluntarily; its the right thing to do since Faceb...

EDITORIALS

1. DC Bar refuses to investigate attorney misconduct in Leader v. Facebook - Unwillingness of DC attorneys to self-police may explain why Washington is broken, Dec. 30, 2012
2. Will the U.S. Supreme court support schemers or real American inventors? Facebook's case dangles on a doctored interrogatory. Eighteen (18) areas of question shout for attention, Dec. 27, 2012
3. Two Policy Changes That Will Make America More Democratic (and less contentious), Dec. 21, 2012

OUR MISSION

American citizens must fight abuse of the constitutional right for authors and inventors

RESOURCES:

1. [Full Senate Judiciary Hearings incl. Curiel \(919 pgs., 44.7 MB\): S. Hrg. 112-72 pt2. \(Mar. 28, 2012\). FULL RECORD](#): Gonzalo P. Curiel Senate Confirmation Hearing. Serial No. J-112-4 Part 7, U.S. Senate Judiciary Committee. GPO.
2. [Gonzalo P. Curiel Senate Judiciary Hearing Segment \(64 pgs., 2.3 MB\): S. Hrg. 112-72 pt2. \(Mar. 28, 2012\). CURIEL SEGMENT](#): Gonzalo P. Curiel Senate Confirmation Hearing. Serial No. J-112-4 Part 7, U.S. Senate Judiciary Committee. GPO.
3. [Gonzalo P. Curiel. \(1990-2012\)](#). 9th Circuit Court of Appeals Docket Appearances representing the U.S. Attorney. Accessed Jun. 07, 2016.
4. [AFI. \(Jun. 08, 2016\)](#). FINDING: Trump University judge misled Senate at confirmation. Americans for Innovation.

COMMENT

Click "N comments:" on the line just below this instruction to comment on this post. Alternatively, send an email with your comment to amer4innov@gmail.com and we'll post it for you. We welcome and encourage anonymous comments, especially from whistleblowers.

Posted by [K. Craine](#) at 2:04 PM

+2 Recommend this on Google

6 comments:



[Lancelot](#) June 8, 2016 at 2:25 PM

Does it not occur to those members of the media who jumped on Trump SO FAST with cries of 'racist' to even check into this matter, this judge?? DO they not question WHY of ALL the judges available, this one WITH NO RELEVANT experience and clear indications of bias, was chosen?? It is SO OBVIOUS !

[Reply](#)



[Arasmus Dragon](#) June 9, 2016 at 5:53 AM

LYIN' HILLARY. Check out Hillary's bobbing and weaving as she avoids answering Bret Baier's question about why she refused to be interviewed by the State Department Inspector General.

Bret Baier. (Jun. 08, 2016). Special Report. Hillary Clinton interview. Fox News.

<http://video.foxnews.com/v/4931981121001/hillary-clinton-there-is-no-basis-for-an-indictment/>

Jun. 08, 2016 - 8:40 - On 'Special Report,' the presumptive Democratic nominee discusses Sanders, lessons learned from her husband's presidency, economy, e-mail investigation, issues important to her campaign

[Reply](#)



[K. Craine](#) June 9, 2016 at 8:52 AM

Email comment by TEX:

I read today that George Soros has taken a "short position" against all optimistic financial positions known to WallStreet. In other words, he is "all in " on collapse rather than success of American enterprise. He sure has been lucky beforeit's almost like he knows what is about to happen in Washington DC that could cause financial collapse. It's just really amazing how he funds Obama's " fundamental transformational change" while he knows that it causes severe financial distress. Being a conspiracy guy at heart, I

to enjoy the fruits of their inventions, as a matter of matter of basic property rights and sound public policy. Otherwise, instead of innovation, creativity, genius, ideas, vision, courage, entrepreneurship, respect, property, rejuvenation, morals, ethics, values, renewal, truth, facts, rights, privacy, solutions and judicial faithfulness,

. . . our society and economy will be dragged down (and eventually destroyed) by copying, infringement, thievery, counterfeiting, hacking, greed, misinformation, exploitation, abuse, waste, disrespect, falsity, corruption, bribery, coercion, intimidation, doublespeak, misconduct, lies, deception, attorney "dark arts," destruction, confusion, dishonesty, judicial chicanery and lawlessness.

If we do not speak up, impeach derelict judges and imprison corrupt attorneys, we cannot possibly hope to start fixing the current ills in our society. Without justice and respect for private property, democracy has no sure foundation.

CURRENT EDITORIAL FOCUS

We are an opinion blog that advocates for strong intellectual property rights. We welcome commenters and contributors. The *Leader v. Facebook* patent infringement case first came to our attention after learning that the trial judge, Leonard P. Stark, U.S. District Court of Delaware, ignored his jury's admission that they had no evidence to support their on-sale bar verdict, but the judge supported it anyway.

The judicial misconduct has deteriorated from there, replete with two of the three judges on the Federal Circuit appeal panel, Judges Alan D. Lourie and Kimberly A. Moore, holding Facebook stock that they did not disclose to the litigants, and later tried to excuse through a quick motion slipped in at the last minute by the Clerk of Court, Jan Horbaly, and his close friends at The Federal Circuit Bar Association. (The DC Bar subsequently revealed that Mr. Horbaly is not licensed to practice law in Washington D.C.)

The judges ignored [shocking new evidence](#) that Mark Zuckerberg withheld 28 hard drives of 2003-2004 evidence from Leader Technologies that could prove actual theft (and therefore claims even more serious than infringement). In addition, Facebook's appeal attorney, Thomas G. Hungar of Gibson Dunn LLP, has close personal ties to just about every judicial player in this story. The misconduct appears to reach into the U.S. Patent Office through abuse of the reexamination process by Facebook. We will stay focused on *Leader v. Facebook* until justice is served, but we also welcome news and analysis of intellectual property abuse in other cases as well.

WELCOME TO DONNA KLINE NOW! READERS!

wonder if Obama has a piece of the action. With only a few months to go, it sure would be nice if Barry and Michelle could be as rich as the Clintons. The only kink in the wringer could be a Trump victory in November. We all know the Obama/Clinton good old boy machine is fully engaged with Soros. It is clear that Bernie was not included because no one , including the idiot Bernie himself, saw him coming. He actually is a socialist.....who would have thought that a man of such insignificance could be left of Soros? And BTW , folks in VT lost more money in Bernie's wife's college bankruptcy than did the Trump University enrollees. NOTE: No one died in Trump University.....on the other hand, Hillary and Barack have a pretty good track record of losing Americans in the disaster at Benghazi and the Fast/Furious gun running. Let's get real here.

This little episode with the judge on the Trump University case is just a harbinger of the massive trap that the criminal minds in this administration have laid for the GOP this next few months. These are really diabolical folks with one goal in mind.....control through power. The key components (media, academia, ignorant millennials, multi class divide) are all in place. The neutralization of law enforcement is in place. The marginalization of the US military is in place. The attack on gun ownership, the destruction of our borders, the attack on Christianity, and massive usurpation of our Constitution has been completed . The final straw is that none of us know what bathrooms to use.

This AFI discovery on Curriel's racist past is quite disturbing. How could he not be biased ? Even Supreme Court Justice Sotomayor has admitted that her Hispanic heritage will, undeniably, make her biased. Hillary's entire campaign is gender biased. Obama's tenure as our alleged President was totally biased.....Obama hates everything about America. Joe Biden is biased against brains . Elizabeth Warren is a faux Native American biased against herself although she claims that she never knew what she isn't. And none of them know what bathroom to use.

What a mess. Have a great day, TEX

And oh, if Trump wins in November, America WILL be great again and Soros could lose his bet to the good guys. And if that happens, I will no longer lift the lid when I use the bathroom formerly known as the ladies bathroom.

[Reply](#)



[Rain Onyourparade](#) June 9, 2016 at 11:19 AM

I just noticed that my Trump emails are not coming in and are getting flagged and redirected to my online SPAM filter which I rarely check. But, my Bernie and Hillary emails are getting through fine. What gives?

I love America and find any form of censorship, left or right, totally unAmerican and unacceptable.

I have just sent this message to my Internet Service Provider from whom I have my email account. Suggest you might consider sending a similar message to YOUR Internet email provider ASAP to put them on notice that we are watching them for tech-censorship as we march toward our election in November.

This just started happening in the last few days.

--SAMPLE TECH MESSAGE, start--
TROUBLE TICKET TO YOUR EMAIL PROVIDER:

Message: I am noticing that even messages on my safe-list with "Trump" in the content are being flagged and sent to SPAM, thus I am not receiving them in my Inbox. An unusually high number, just recently.

No such flagging seems to be happening on messages with "Hillary" or "Bernie" or "Elizabeth Warren" content. I have a number of political clients and this trend is disturbing to me and them.

Will you kindly look into this and assure me that this is not some new tech form of political censorship?

What will be unacceptable is to get back some technobabble answer that requires me to jump through hoops and spit nickles to solve the problem. I just want my Trump, or Hillary, or Bernie, or Warren messages to get to me WITHOUT CENSORSHIP FROM THE TECHNOLOGY COMMUNITY.

It would be too easy for Internet Service provider engineers to sit secretly at the controls of the Internet SPAM keyword filters and manipulate what I read and don't read depending upon their political views and without my knowledge or permission.

Censorship is an evil, ugly monster that we cannot permit in our Republic. I hope you agree and are watching our backs. Our free society counts on you to be honest, moral and trustworthy stewards of our information flow.




AFI has been supporting Donna and is now picking up the main Leader v. Facebook coverage (she will continue coverage as well).

Anonymous Posts Are

Welcomed! Blogger has more posting constraints than Donna's WordPress, but we will continue to welcome anonymous posts. Simply send us an email at amer4innov@gmail.com with your post. Once the moderator verifies that your email address is real, your comment will be posted using your real name or handle, whatever you wish, like John Smith or Tex.

[Click here to view a complete Donna Kline Now! posts archive.](#)



CODE OF CONDUCT FOR U.S. JUDGES

"CANON 2: A JUDGE SHOULD AVOID IMPROPRIETY AND THE APPEARANCE OF IMPROPRIETY IN ALL ACTIVITIES"

GALLERY OF JUDICIAL

Thank you.
--- SAMPLE TECH MESSAGE, end ---

[Reply](#)



K. Craine June 9, 2016 at 12:49 PM

Email comment by GH:

Grab your wallets and your freedoms folks. Barack Obama is giving away the store and Internet controls to his internationalist cronies in Silicon Valley who are all moving offshore to operate.

Baier, B. Obama administration backs plan to relinquish Internet control. Fox News.

<http://www.foxnews.com/politics/2016/06/09/obama-administration-backs-plan-to-relinquish-internet-control.html>

The Obama administration is getting behind a plan that would have the U.S. government relinquish its last bit of control over the Internet - a move Republican lawmakers are fighting tooth-and-nail.

The transfer was set in motion two years ago when a Commerce Department agency said it would cede oversight over an obscure, but powerful, Los Angeles-based nonprofit called the Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers (ICANN).

[Reply](#)



K. Craine June 10, 2016 at 6:00 AM

Email comment by GH:

Nahhhhh. Conversing on drone strikes on an insecure private email system -- @clintonemail.com -- is not a breach of national security. What was I thinking? ... I feel a hairball rising.

Herridge, C., Wall Street Journal. (Jun. 09, 2016). Emails at center of Clinton FBI probe focused on drone strikes, report says. Fox News.

<http://www.foxnews.com/politics/2016/06/09/emails-at-center-clinton-fbi-probe-focused-on-drone-strikes-report-says.html>

A series of emails between American diplomats in Pakistan and Washington over drone strikes are the focus of the criminal probe involving presumptive Democratic presidential nominee Hillary Clinton's handling of classified information, according to a report Thursday by The Wall Street Journal.

The emails in 2011 and 2012 were sent through a "computer system for unclassified matters" that gave the State Department input into whether a Central Intelligence Agency drone strike went forward, congressional and law enforcement officials briefed on the FBI probe told the Journal.

[Reply](#)

Enter your comment...


Comment as: **Google Account** ▼

[Publish](#) [Preview](#)

Home Older Post

Subscribe to: [Post Comments \(Atom\)](#)

MISCONDUCT



Judge Leonard P. Stark, U.S. District Court of Delaware, trial judge in *Leader Techs, Inc. v. Facebook, Inc.*, 770 F. Supp. 2d 686 (D.Del. 2011). Judge Stark heard his jury foreman admit that the jury made the on-sale bar decision without any evidence other than speculation, and yet he supported that verdict anyway. Just months before trial, Judge Stark allowed Facebook to add the on-sale bar claim after the close of all fact discovery and blocked Leader from preparing its defenses to this new claim. Judge Stark allowed the claims despite Leader's prophetic argument that the action would confuse the jury and prejudice Leader. He also permitted the jury to ignore the *Pfaff v. Wells Electronics, Inc.* test for on-sale bar, even after instructing the jury to use it. ([See that Jury Instruction No. 4.7 here.](#)) He also contradicted his own instruction to Leader to answer Interrogatory No. 9 in the present tense (2009), then permitted the jury to interpret it as a 2002 admission as well. Facebook's entire on-sale bar case is based upon this interrogatory. (Editorial: Hardly sufficient to meet the "heavy burden" of the clear and convincing evidence standard.)



Judge Alan D. Lourie, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, panel judge in *Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc.*, 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Judge Lourie stood to benefit financially from undisclosed holdings in Facebook. [See analysis of Judge Lourie's T. Rowe Price holdings re. the Facebook IPO.](#) Judge Lourie also failed to apply his own law-test in *Group One v. Hallmark Cards* to the evidence. After debunking all

