



Americans For Innovation

... and against intellectual property theft

Constitutional rights are not negotiable

Attention: Searches this blog, AFI Scribd, Donna Kline Now! Archive, FB Cover-up, Origins of Facebook's Technology and LeaderDocs.

Google™ Custom Search



Thursday, March 28, 2013

THE REAL FACEBOOK - A PORTRAIT OF CORRUPTION

SEC COUNSEL CLEARED THE WAY FOR THE FACEBOOK "PUMP AND DUMP" SCHEME IN 2008?

SEC COUNSEL APPEARS TO HAVE FAILED TO DISCLOSE HIS CONFLICTS OF INTEREST WITH FACEBOOK CHAIRMAN JAMES W. BREYER; HINDSIGHT SAYS THIS OVERSIGHT TRIGGERED THE IPO FEEDING FRENZY

CONTRIBUTING WRITERS | OPINION | AMERICANS FOR INNOVATION | **UPDATED MAR. 11, 2014 1:32 P.M. ET** | **UPDATED PDF** (POST SCRIBD CENSORSHIP)

NEW: APR. 17, 2013

—On October 14, 2008, SEC Counsel Thomas J. Kim approved [Facebook's 500-shareholder exemption](#). The exemption request was submitted only one day earlier, on October 13, 2008 by Jeffrey R. Vetter, Fenwick & West LLP. (Click the Scribd logo under the document to expand the view)

This exemption is unprecedented. The Securities Act of 1933/34 was created

Dear S.E.C.

Explain yourselves.

Why did you break so many rules to give Facebook the 500-shareholder rule waiver on Oct. 14, 2008?


CLICK HERE to get a customizable letter to submit your own Freedom of Information Act request. It's your citizen's right and duty.

Request for **DOWNLOAD** Congressional Intervention



Leader: 145,000 man-hours • over \$10 million • 20 people • solid engineering • hackers not welcome • affirms privacy, security, property • no foreign influence • respects U.S. Constitution

Facebook: "one to two weeks" • beer money • all by myself • 28 hidden hard drives • stole Harvard photos • hacks email • PayPal Mafia handlers • scofs at privacy • corrupts markets, judges, politicians & gov't agencies



John Adams, or ...?

OpenTrial.org

Leader v. Facebook

Facebook — a force for freedom perhaps, but at odds with the rule of law in the U.S.

Federal Corruption

CLICK TO LOOK INSIDE

- Congressional Briefings
- Federal Circuit Censored Docket
- Americans For Innovation Archives
- Disclosures: Exec | Judiciary | USPTO
- Patent Office FOIA Stonewalling

to prevent private market making in unregulated stocks by unscrupulous underwriters. Such activities caused the Great Depression. However, this exemption cleared the way for Goldman Sachs and Morgan Stanley to make a \$3+ billion private market in Facebook stock. They sold billions of dollars of private Facebook insiders' stock to Russian oligarchs Yuri Milner, Alisher Amanov, DST, and Digital Sky Technologies. The dubious nature of these transactions was confirmed by Zuckerberg's former speech writer, Katherine Loose, who wrote "no one asked if the Russians' money was clean." See Boy Kings.

This influx of foreign cash pumped Facebook's pre-IPO valuation to \$100 billion. One of the primary beneficiaries of this dramatic boost in valuation was James W. Breyer, chairman of Facebook and managing partner of Accel Partners LLP. Breyer is the second largest shareholder in Facebook. See [SEC Insider Trading report on James W. Breyer](#).

SEC COUNSEL THOMAS J. KIM APPROVED THE FACEBOOK EXEMPTION IN ONE DAY (REMEMBER: WE'RE DESCRIBING THE CONDUCT OF A FORMER EDITOR OF THE HARVARD LAW REVIEW)

The SEC counsel who approved the exemption was Thomas J. Kim. The SEC is governed by Standards of Ethical Conduct which required him to disclose his conflicts of interest. Mr. Kim was Washington D.C. counsel for the law firm of Latham & Watkins LLP. Latham & Watkins was the chief lobbyist for the National Venture Capital Association (NCVA) whose chairmanships were held then by Facebook's director James W. Breyer. Therefore, Mr. Kim had a material conflict of interest which should have excluded him from any involvement with the SEC 12(g) exemption. Instead, he approved the exemption.

Click image to enlarge.




Fig. 1 - SEC and Fenwick were in a hurry to give Facebook the 500-shareholder exemption before the 2008 election. SEC Counsel Thomas J. Kim failed to disclose his conflict with James W. Breyer and Accel Partners LLP when he was counsel in Washington for Latham & Watkins LLP, Breyer's National Venture Capital Association (NVCA) lobbyist. [Click here for Full View of this and accompanying slides.](#)



[g+1](#) +12 Recommend this on Google

CONGRESS CONTACT LOOKUP

Contacting the Congress

FOLLOW BY EMAIL

BLOG ARCHIVE (New, 1/20/14)

- [2014](#) (5)
- ▼ [2013](#) (28)
 - [December](#) (5)
 - [November](#) (4)
 - [October](#) (2)
 - [September](#) (4)
 - [August](#) (2)
 - [July](#) (2)
 - [June](#) (1)
 - [May](#) (1)
 - [April](#) (1)
 - ▼ [March](#) (1)
 - THE REAL FACEBOOK - A PORTRAIT OF CORRUPTION
 - [February](#) (2)
 - [January](#) (3)
- [2012](#) (6)

BARACK OBAMA'S DARK POOLS OF CORRUPTION

Click to enlarge

IS INFLUENCE PEDDLING WRONG? YES.

We also note that Fenwick & West LLP filed for the exemption for Facebook. Since Facebook was then in the process of stealing Leader Technologies' invention at that time, and since Fenwick & West was Leader's counsel at that time, the conflicts of interest are astounding.

"Influence peddling is the illegal practice of using one's influence in government or connections with persons in authority to obtain favors or preferential treatment for another, usually in return for payment."

Here are just a few of the regulations governing the conduct of employees of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. These statements are contained in the [Code of Federal Regulations, Title 17: Commodity and Securities Exchanges, Part 200, Subpart C—Canons of Ethics, § 200.53 Preamble:](#)

"(a) Members of the Securities and Exchange Commission are entrusted by various enactments of the Congress with powers and duties of great social and economic significance to the American people . . . **Their success in this endeavor is a bulwark against possible abuses and injustice which, if left unchecked, might jeopardize the strength of our economic institutions.**"

(b) **It is imperative that the members of this Commission continue to conduct themselves in their official and personal relationships in a manner which commands the respect and confidence of their fellow citizens.**" (emphasis added).

Or maybe this statement vis a vis Mr. Kim remarkable one-day turnaround of Facebook's variance request. [Code of Federal Regulations, Title 17: Commodity and Securities Exchanges, Part 200, Subpart C—Canons of Ethics, § 200.59 Relationship with persons subject to regulation:](#)

"In the performance of his rule-making and administrative functions, a member has a **duty to solicit the views of interested persons.**"


SEC: "WE DO FACEBOOK EXEMPTIONS—WHILE-YOU-WAIT"—NO PUBLIC HEARING ON THIS UNPRECEDENTED EXEMPTION

How could Mr. Kim have solicited the views of interested persons in accordance with Rule 200.59 in his unprecedented one-day SEC-exemption-while-you-wait? This reminds us of the equally remarkable same-day denial of Dr. Lakshmi Arunachalam's Amicus Curiae Brief at the Federal Circuit in *Leader v. Facebook*. There, the Clerk of Court Jan Horbaly's staffer Valerie White told a caller that there was no way the judges had time to even receive the brief, much less rule on it. This was before Ms. White's phone extension was disabled and she totally dropped off the radar. Apparently she had not been know the secret handshake. The common thread in all these disturbing actions is that these people were all associated with the Facebook cabal's law firms and Harvard before they were employed by the public.

Or, how about [Code of Federal Regulations, Title 17: Commodity and Securities Exchanges, Part 200, Subpart C—Canons of Ethics, § 200.61 Impression of influence:](#)

"A member should not, by his conduct, permit the impression to prevail that any person can improperly influence him, that any person unduly enjoys his favor or that he is affected in any way by the rank, position, prestige, or affluence of any person."

DO YA THINK THAT MR. LEE HAD EX PARTE CONVERSATIONS WITH HIS LATHAM & WATKINS LLP BUDDIES AT FACEBOOK TO GREASE THE SECRET EXEMPTION TURNAROUND?



CLICK HERE FOR WASHINGTON'S ETHICAL DISEASE DISCOVERIES RE. FACEBOOK "DARK POOLS"

STOP FACEBOOK PROPERTY THEFT



WILL HUMANKIND EVER LEARN? Facebook's Orwellian doublespeak about property and privacy (theft) merely repeats the eventual dehumanization of the individual under MAO's Red Star, Stalin's SOVIET Hammer & Cycle and Hitler's NAZI Swastika. Respect for the inalienable rights of each individual is a bedrock value of democracy. The members of the Facebook Cabal abuse this principle at every opportunity. They evidently believe that they deserve special privileges and are willing to lie, cheat and steal in order to treat themselves to these privileges.

ASK CONGRESS: PASS THE INVENTOR PROTECTION ACT!

LEADER TECHNOLOGIES
Inventor Protection Act
(Proposed)

America needs to practice what it preaches.

We have no business lecturing the world about free enterprise and the rule of law, when we permit the investors in Ohio-based innovator Leader Technologies to go uncompensated for the risks they took to help invent social networking . . .

- a technology upon which the President and U.S. government now rely;
- a technology *stolen* by the "Facebook Cabal" who recruited the federal courts and Patent Office into their club of corruption.

Rescind. Investigate. Sanction. Certify.

Contact your representatives. Ask them to pass it.

Real American inventors need your support.

<http://www.contactingthecongress.org/>
<http://americans4innovation.blogspot.com>

Click image above to download a poster-quality PDF optimized for a 11in. x 17in. (ledger-size) poster. America should not be

The SEC rules prohibit prejudicial ex parte communications with a party. Ex parte is a fancy word for side conversations that the other party does not know are occurring (literally: without a party present). [Code of Federal Regulations, Title 17: Commodity and Securities Exchanges, Part 200, Subpart C—Canons of Ethics, § 200.62 Ex parte communications](#):

"A member shall at all times comply with the Commission's Code of Behavior governing ex parte communications between persons outside the Commission and decisional employees."

SEC OPINION FAILED ON THE SUBSTANCE REQUIRED BY § 200.63

The Code requires the Commission's reasons for their actions to "contain a clear showing that no serious argument of counsel has been disregarded or overlooked." However, such statements are MISSING in the Facebook opinion. [Code of Federal Regulations, Title 17: Commodity and Securities Exchanges, Part 200, Subpart C—Canons of Ethics, § 200.63 Commission opinions](#):

"The opinions of the Commission should state the reasons for the action taken and contain a clear showing that no serious argument of counsel has been disregarded or overlooked. In such manner, a member shows a full understanding of the matter before him, avoids the suspicion of arbitrary conclusion, promotes confidence in his intellectual integrity and may contribute some useful precedent to the growth of the law. A member should be guided in his decisions by a deep regard for the integrity of the system of law which he administers. He should recall that he is not a repository of arbitrary power, but is acting on behalf of the public under the sanction of the law."

To the contrary, Mr. Lee's opinion contains only CYA phrases like "based on the representations made to the Division in your letter" and "different facts or conditions might require the Division to reach a different conclusion" and "does not represent a legal conclusion." Such statements say nothing about any arguments of (opposing) counsel.

One remarkable aspect of the influence peddling that appears to be occurring in this Leader v. Facebook judicial and financial corruption scandal are the "Harvard connections."




Fig. 2—At what point do Harvard and Ivy League loyalties transform from college friendships to

in the business of cheating its entrepreneurial investors simply because the cheaters buy off judges with the money gained from their theft. Such permissiveness is obscene.

LEADER V. FACEBOOK BACKGROUND

Jul. 23, 2013 NOTICE: DonnaKlineNow! has gone offline. All her posts are available as a PDF collection here (now updated, post-Scribd censorship).

Mar. 20, 2014 READER NOTICE: On Mar. 7, 2014, all of our documents linked to Scribd were deleted by that "cloud" service using the flimsiest of arguments. Some of our documents have been there for two years and some had almost 20,000 reads.

George Orwell wrote in 1984 that one knows one is in a totalitarian state when telling the truth becomes an act of courage.

All the links below were updated Mar. 20, 2014 (many thanks to our volunteers!)

- 1. Dr. Lakshmi Arunachalam's Censored Federal Circuit Filings (Archive)
- 2. Brief Summary of Leader v. Facebook
- 3. Backgrounder
- 4. Fenwick & West LLP Duplicity
- 5. nstagram-scam
- 6. USPTO-reexam Sham
- 7. Zynga-gate
- 8. James W. Breyer / Accel Partners LLP Insider Trading
- 9. Federal Circuit Disciplinary Complaints
- 10. Federal Circuit Cover-up
- 11. Congressional Briefings re. Leader v. Facebook judicial corruption
- 12. Prominent Americans Speak Out
- 13. Petition for Writ of Certiorari
- 14. Two Proposed Judicial Reforms
- 15. S. Crt. for Schemers or Inventors?
- 16. Attorney Patronage Hijacked DC?



international influence peddling? [Thomas J. Kim \(PDF\)](#). Harvard alum, former editor of the Harvard Law Review, and counsel at Latham & Watkins LLP in 2003, failed to disclose his conflicts of interest. Instead, he approved the Facebook 500-shareholder exemption anyway--in one day no less! Does the U.S. Government ever move that quickly? Goldman Sachs and Morgan Stanley used this exemption to pump the Facebook pre-IPO stock with billions in dubious investments from Russia.

UNDERMINING AMERICAN DEMOCRACY

It would appear that these individuals have been planning this undermining of U.S. and international financial and legal systems for the better part of two decades. They appear to have strategically placed "their people" to approve an exemption here, fix judges there, use prosecutor cronies to harass, bribe liberally, gum up the legal system with frivolity, exploit stock with cooperative underwriters, motivate politician\$, etc.—all clothed in Crimson. Ironically, Harvard's motto is "Veritas" which is Latin for "Truth." And yet, to pull off their schemes, they decided to steal from a Harvard parent, Michael McKibben of Leader Technologies. Why didn't they just invite McKibben into their Club? They probably figured that given his friendship with Pope John Paul II that he marched to the tune of a different drummer. Perhaps our country would be in better shape if more did.

In any event, the SEC's complicity in the Facebook "pump and dump" scheme is now evident. (And they have the audacity to prosecute others?) This exemption may go down as one of the biggest rip offs of all time. The reaction of our elected officials and regulatory bureaucrats to this new revelation will be quite revealing.

Spread the word.

UPDATED: APR. 15, 2013

WHY IS THE WHITE HOUSE MEDDLING IN LEADER V. FACEBOOK—A PATENT CASE?

THE THREE KINGS OF U.S. CORRUPTION (BELOW) ARE CLOSELY ASSOCIATED WITH THE PRESIDENT

(April 9, 2013)—Just when you think the Leader v. Facebook judicial corruption scandal could not get more twisted, new evidence reveals that President Obama has exerted "presidential communications privilege" to prevent a FOIA disclosure (Freedom of Information Act) at the U.S. Patent Office. Anyone regularly reading Facebook's duplicitous legal writings recognizes their




Fig. 3 - Is he not saying to the Harvard / Facebook cabal: "Just do the right thing by your fellow man. Your immortal souls hang in the balance."

Between Oct. 2003 and Jun. 2004, while (1) Lawrence Summers was President of Harvard, (2) Mark Zuckerberg was a 19-year old sophomore, (3) SEC's Thomas J. Kim worked for James W. Breyer's lobbyist Latham & Watkins LLP, and (4) Fenwick & West LLP represented (stole FB's core technology from?) Leader Technologies, The Harvard Crimson carried FIFTY-ONE (51) articles about Zuckerberg and Facebook, and THREE (3) about Pope John Paul II.



Update: Apr. 15, 2013—Interview with Leader's Michael McKibben



Did the President meddle in Leader v. Facebook to protect his

17. Justice Denied | Battle Continues
18. FB Robber Barons Affirmed by S. Crt.
19. Judicial Misconduct WALL OF SHAME
20. Corruption Watch - "Oh what webs we weave, when first we practice to deceive"
21. Facebook | A Portrait of Corruption
22. White House Meddling
23. Georgia! AM 1080 McKibben Interview
24. Constitutional Crisis Exposed
25. Abuse of Judicial Immunity since Stump
26. [Obamacare Scandal Principals are intertwined in the Leader v. Facebook scandal](#)
27. S.E.C. duplicity re. Facebook

GIBSON DUNN LLP exposed as one of the most corrupt law firms in America



Investigative Reporter Julia Davis investigates Facebook's Leader v. Facebook attorney Gibson Dunn LLP. She credits this

firm with the reason why not a single Wall Street banker has gone to jail since 2008. Click here to read her article "Everybody hates whistleblowers." Examiner.com, Apr. 10, 2012. Here's an excerpt:

"Skillful manipulation of the firm's extensive media connections allows Gibson Dunn to promote their causes, while simultaneously smearing their opponents and silencing embarrassing news coverage."

This statement followed right after Davis cited Facebook's chief inside counsel in the Leader v. Facebook case, Theodore Ulyot, who appears to have helped lead the Leader v. Facebook judicial corruption. Interesting word choices associated with Gibson Dunn LLP: manipulation, smear. Attorneys swear a solemn oath to act morally, ethically, and in support of democratic principles. They promise to conduct themselves in a manner than instills confidence among the citizenry in the rule of law and the judicial system. These promises appear to be meaningless.

fingerprints on this Patent Office pre-election 25+ million "Likes" on Facebook? response. It cites "Exemption 5" which allows agencies to withhold certain kinds of information, mostly regarding internal deliberations.

"THE PROTECTED PARTY IS THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES" —LOVING V. DEPT. OF DEFENSE

Out of all the legal precedents that the Patent Office could have cited, they chose [Loving v. Department of Defense](#), 550 F. 3d 32 (DC Circuit 2008) whose subject is the presidential communications privilege." It states "Here the party protected by the privilege, is . . . the President of the United States." It relies on the privilege because the communications "directly involve" the President.

PATENT OFFICE FAILED TO PROVIDE A VAUGHN INDEX

What the Patent Office chose to ignore in the Loving case was the "Vaughn index" which is required "to fulfill the agency's obligation to show with 'reasonable specificity' why the requested information is being withheld. Put simply, they are required to disclose the nature of the information being withheld (topic, sender, receiver, date, reason for concealing, etc.). The Patent Office did not provide a Vaughn index as the precondition to rely on Exemption 5 in the Loving case, and should therefore lose the privilege.

The Patent Office is hiding behind presidential privilege while violating the terms of the very law it relies on for the privilege. Does this tactic sound familiar? It's lawfare—mangle every argument with truth and error to fool the unsuspecting, and thus gum up the legal system.

We ask again: **Why is President Obama involved in Leader v. Facebook?** Could it be to protect his political interests in his 35 million Facebook "Likes" when he is supposed to be protecting Leader Technologies constitutional rights? Remember, the President's involvement would likely have occurred before the 2012 election. Pundits say he probably edged out Mitt Romney using his Facebook "Like" data to micro-target voter blocks.

The requester has forwarded the renewed request to the House Oversight Committee on Government Reform and to the Acting Commerce Secretary. The letter to Congressman Jim Jordan is embedded below.

[CLICK HERE TO DOWNLOAD: Renewed FOIA Appeal to the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office Response to Leader v. Facebook data requests, Apr. 2, 2013](#)

[Click here for a PDF version of Julie Davis' article.](#)

POPULAR POSTS



BOYCOTT NCAA MARCH MADNESS? COPYRIGHT-GATE
Constitutional rights advocates demand that NCAA stop its copyright infringement in social media; ask Congress to preserve Zuckerberg's ...



THE REAL FACEBOOK - A PORTRAIT OF CORRUPTION
SEC counsel cleared the way for the Facebook "pump and dump" scheme in 2008? SEC counsel appears to have failed to disclose his confl...



LEADER V. FACEBOOK JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT EXPOSES A CONSTITUTIONAL CRISIS
Leader v. Facebook judicial misconduct exposes a constitutional crisis The theft of Leader Technologies' patent by Facebook, and the effe...



LEADER V. FACEBOOK WALL OF SHAME
Judges go to jail for far less serious misconduct; Facebook users should pay Leader fees voluntarily; its the right thing to do since Facebo...




WHITE HOUSE SCANDAL SPREADS TO LEADER V. FACEBOOK
SEC counsel cleared the way for the Facebook "pump and dump" scheme in 2008? SEC counsel appears to have failed to disclose his conflic...



PATENT OFFICE REMOVES CRITICAL LEADER V. FACEBOOK DISCLOSURE ITEMS
USPTO conduct parallels the document obstruction by the IRS Fig. 2 - On Jun. 15, 2013 Congressman Darrell Issa held up a blacked-out...



A COCKSURE FACEBOOK
Facebook waived their right to respond to Leader Technologies' U.S. Supreme Court petition AFI Insights | Contributing Writers | AMERI...



WAS CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS BLACKMAILED INTO SUPPORTING OBAMACARE BY HIS LEADER V. FACEBOOK MISCONDUCT?
Enlarge banner image New, Jun. 28, 2013: Undermined Checks & Balances Between Executive & Judicial Branches ...

MASSIVE WASHINGTON CORRUPTION EXPOSED BY LEADER V. FACEBOOK



Bi-partisan citizen group
appeals to Congress to
RESTORE PROPERTY
CONFISCATED BY
widespread federal
corruption incl.

interference by Nancy...

Book Shares as of June 30, 2012

Book	Shares	Value
WALL STREET MANIPULATION OF JUDGES, POLITICIANS AND REGULATORS EXPOSED BY LEADER V. FACEBOOK JUDICIAL CORRUPTION	10,000	\$10,000
WALL STREET MANIPULATION OF JUDGES, POLITICIANS AND REGULATORS EXPOSED BY LEADER V. FACEBOOK JUDICIAL CORRUPTION	10,000	\$10,000
WALL STREET MANIPULATION OF JUDGES, POLITICIANS AND REGULATORS EXPOSED BY LEADER V. FACEBOOK JUDICIAL CORRUPTION	10,000	\$10,000
WALL STREET MANIPULATION OF JUDGES, POLITICIANS AND REGULATORS EXPOSED BY LEADER V. FACEBOOK JUDICIAL CORRUPTION	10,000	\$10,000
WALL STREET MANIPULATION OF JUDGES, POLITICIANS AND REGULATORS EXPOSED BY LEADER V. FACEBOOK JUDICIAL CORRUPTION	10,000	\$10,000
WALL STREET MANIPULATION OF JUDGES, POLITICIANS AND REGULATORS EXPOSED BY LEADER V. FACEBOOK JUDICIAL CORRUPTION	10,000	\$10,000
WALL STREET MANIPULATION OF JUDGES, POLITICIANS AND REGULATORS EXPOSED BY LEADER V. FACEBOOK JUDICIAL CORRUPTION	10,000	\$10,000
WALL STREET MANIPULATION OF JUDGES, POLITICIANS AND REGULATORS EXPOSED BY LEADER V. FACEBOOK JUDICIAL CORRUPTION	10,000	\$10,000
WALL STREET MANIPULATION OF JUDGES, POLITICIANS AND REGULATORS EXPOSED BY LEADER V. FACEBOOK JUDICIAL CORRUPTION	10,000	\$10,000
WALL STREET MANIPULATION OF JUDGES, POLITICIANS AND REGULATORS EXPOSED BY LEADER V. FACEBOOK JUDICIAL CORRUPTION	10,000	\$10,000

WALL STREET
MANIPULATION OF JUDGES,
POLITICIANS AND
REGULATORS EXPOSED BY
LEADER V. FACEBOOK
JUDICIAL CORRUPTION

"Dark pools" used for currency for bribes,
coercion and undue influence Rigged
Leader v. Facebook , likely crashed
NASDAQ ...

EDITORIALS

1. DC Bar refuses to investigate attorney misconduct in Leader v. Facebook - Unwillingness of DC attorneys to self-police may explain why Washington is broken, Dec. 30, 2012
2. Will the U.S. Supreme court support schemers or real American inventors? Facebook's case dangles on a doctored interrogatory. Eighteen (18) areas of question shout for attention, Dec. 27, 2012
3. Two Policy Changes That Will Make America More Democratic (and less contentious), Dec. 21, 2012

OUR MISSION

American citizens must fight abuse of the constitutional right for authors and inventors to enjoy the fruits of their inventions, as a matter of matter of basic property rights and sound public policy. Otherwise, instead of innovation, creativity, genius, ideas, vision, courage, entrepreneurship, respect, property, rejuvenation, morals, ethics, values, renewal, truth, facts, rights, privacy, solutions and judicial faithfulness,

. . . our society and economy will be dragged down (and eventually destroyed) by copying, infringement, thievery, counterfeiting, hacking, greed, misinformation, exploitation, abuse, waste, disrespect, falsity, corruption, bribery, coercion, intimidation, doublespeak, misconduct, lies, deception, attorney "dark arts," destruction, confusion, dishonesty, judicial chicanery and lawlessness.


If we do not speak up, impeach derelict judges and imprison corrupt attorneys, we cannot possibly hope to start fixing the current ills in our society. Without justice




ORIGINAL POST (Below)

GREED, ENVY AND CONSPIRACY AGAINST REAL AMERICAN INNOVATORS

CONTRIBUTING WRITERS | OPINION | AMERICANS FOR INNOVATION | UPDATED MAR. 10, 2014 01:38 P.M. ET | UPDATED PDF (POST SCRIBD CENSORSHIP)

THE THREE KINGS OF U.S. CORRUPTION



		
Lawrence "Larry" Summers	James W. Breyer Accel Partners LLP	Gordon K. Davidson Fenwick & West LLP
Economist	Venture Capitalist	Attorney
Conflicts of Interest; Market Manipulation; Collusion (Allegations)	Trade Secrets Theft; Market Manipulation Bribery & Collusion (Allegations)	Intell. Property Theft; Abuse of Client Confidence Stock Manipulation Collusion (Allegations)

"Experience has shown, that even under the best forms of government those entrusted with power have, in time, and by slow operations, perverted it into tyranny."

— **Thomas Jefferson**

"Among a people generally corrupt, liberty cannot long exist."

— **Edmund Burke**

"So in everything, do to others what you would have them do to you, for this sums up the Law and the Prophets."

— **The Gospel of St. Matthew 7:12**

"You shall not steal. You shall not bear false witness against your neighbor."

— **The Book of Exodus 20:16-17**

(MARCH 28, 2013)—A comprehensive

overview of the Leader v. Facebook judicial and financial misconduct appeared yesterday. While most of the analysis is not new to AFI, it does tell the real story of Facebook's illicit beginnings. Compare this to the fantastical Zuckerberg super-hacker pabulum that the mainstream press has repeated uncritically since 2003. The essay identifies journalist David Kirkpatrick, author of The Facebook Effect, as a contributor to the Facebook fiction. Astoundingly, the mainstream media started citing Kirkpatrick for their primary research. More humorous, Facebook attorneys even QUOTED Kirkpatrick in various legal briefs to try and deceive federal judges.

Also remarkable is the alleged deep involvement of former Treasury Secretary and Obama Bailout Director Lawrence "Larry" Summers in all things Facebook. The trails of corruption just seem to fan out from Summers in all directions. Perhaps most damning is his failure to disclose (concealment of) his conflicts of interest during the 2008 bailout **Summers failed to disclose conflicts of interest surrounding Goldman Sachs and Morgan Stanley in 2008—only a \$32,000,000,000 oversight**

Specifically, Summers failed to disclose his **20-year mentor relationship** with Russian Yuri Milner. In the early 1990's, Milner collaborated with Summers and Summers' then research assistant, Sheryl Sandberg (now Facebook COO). In 1993, **Milner even authored a World Bank paper** for Summers that recommended what is now universally recognized as the reckless (and failed) Russian voucher system. That system has created the current oligarchies which have so corrupted the current Russian economy (**PDF**). It also created Alisher Asmanov, another Goldman Sachs partner. Since the Facebook IPO, Asmanov is now called the "Richest man in Russia." Summers' decisions have been very good to his protégés Asmanov, Milner and Sanberg.

and respect for private property, democracy has no sure foundation.

CURRENT EDITORIAL FOCUS

We are an opinion blog that advocates for strong intellectual property rights. We welcome commenters and contributors. The Leader v. Facebook patent infringement case first came to our attention after learning that the trial judge, Leonard P. Stark, U.S. District Court of Delaware, ignored his jury's admission that they had no evidence to support their on-sale bar verdict, but the judge supported it anyway.

The judicial misconduct has deteriorated from there, replete with two of the three judges on the Federal Circuit appeal panel, Judges Alan D. Lourie and Kimberly A. Moore, holding Facebook stock that they did not disclose to the litigants, and later tried to excuse through a quick motion slipped in at the last minute by the Clerk of Court, Jan Horbaly, and his close friends at The Federal Circuit Bar Association. (The DC Bar subsequently revealed that Mr. Horbaly is not licensed to practice law in Washington D.C.)

The judges ignored shocking new evidence that Mark Zuckerberg withheld 28 hard drives of 2003-2004 evidence from Leader Technologies that could prove actual theft (and therefore claims even more serious than infringement). In addition, Facebook's appeal attorney, Thomas G. Hungar of Gibson Dunn LLP, has close personal ties to just about every judicial player in this story. The misconduct appears to reach into the U.S. Patent Office through abuse of the reexamination process by Facebook. We will stay focused on Leader v. Facebook until justice is served, but we also welcome news and analysis of intellectual property abuse in other cases as well.

WELCOME TO DONNA KLINE NOW! READERS!



AFI has been supporting Donna and is now picking up the main Leader v. Facebook coverage (she will continue coverage as well).

Anonymous Posts Are Welcomed! Blogger has more posting constraints than Donna's WordPress, but we will continue to welcome anonymous posts. Simply send us an email at amer4innov@gmail.com with your post. Once the moderator verifies that your email address is real, your comment will be posted using your real name or handle, whatever you wish, like John Smith or Tex.

Click here to view a

UPDATE: APR. 1, 2013: PATENT OFFICE CITES PRESIDENTIAL PRIVILEGE TO BLOCK A LEADER V. FACEBOOK FOIA REQUEST

Click the image below to enlarge.



Fig. 1—The Patent Office has just cited Executive Privilege to block release of Freedom of Information Act data in Leader v. Facebook. President Obama has also been discovered to have direct connections to Facebook's attorney Gibson Dunn LLP. Are the Facebook attorneys his version of **President Nixon's White House "plumbers?"**

Click here to read the RENEWED APPEAL as well as the USPTO response.

MILNER + GOLDMAN SACHS = SUMMERS CONFLICT OF INTEREST

PURCHASE OF FACEBOOK STOCK BY A BAILED-OUT-GOLDMAN'S MOSCOW PARTNERS + SANDBERG = SUMMERS CONFLICT OF INTEREST

At the time of the bailout, Milner was partnered with Goldman Sachs which received at least \$16 billion in U.S. taxpayer funds. Milner reappeared six months later with bags full of money totaling; \$3+ billion in "overseas" funds to purchase Facebook insider stock. (See the [Boy Kings](#) briefing about those dubious transactions.)

FENWICK & WEST LLP PAVED THE WAY FOR RUSSIAN FUNDS FROM SUMMERS' PROTÉGÉS

Fenwick & West LLP paved the way for these sales by getting Facebook a [one-day SEC exemption turnaround](#) allowing Facebook to ignore the chiseled-in-granite-500-shareholder rule (a private company with more than \$10 million in assets cannot have over 500 shareholder without being considered a public company by default). That exemption enabled Milner to become the second largest investor in Facebook after Accel Partners/James W. Breyer. Many now believe this money to purchase Facebook stock came from Goldman Sachs and Morgan Stanley TARP funds laundered through Dubai and Cyprus. [Click here](#) to view the Larry Summers Conflicts Map.




Fig. 2—Click image to enlarge the Lawrence "Larry" Summers Conflicts Map.

Yahoo! Voice's Christofer French ([PDF](#)) boiled down modern day corruption into seven points. He drew his assessment from the downfall of the Roman Empire:

1. Arrogant leadership
2. Loss of a Middle Class
3. Lust for power
4. Greed
5. Excessive Taxation
6. A General Societal and Legal Winking

Christofer French. Sliding Down the Slippery Slope of Legal Corruption! Corruption Makes Us Numb and Also Unconscious. When We Are Consciously Corrupt, That's the Worst. Yahoo! Voices, Dec. 10, 2010.

Do these symptoms of system-wide corruption sound familiar? Will this corruption destroy America? Only if we are complacent and do nothing to stop it.

Several different sites have already re-posted the essay embedded below. (Note: Scribd, GoogleDrive and DocStoc allow you to download a PDF to your local computer. For example, with GoogleDrive, from the document, select File, then Download. Then a window on your computer pops up where you designate the folder to store it in. If you don't select a folder, the PDF will be stored in your browser's default download folder.)

ASK YOUR ELECTED REPRESENTATIVES TO INVESTIGATE

Readers are encouraged to write their senators and congresspersons. Ask them to open inquiries and investigate these disturbing revelations. Attach this essay as your background. Meet with them to discuss your concerns. Get active. If not you, who? If not now, when?

[CLICK HERE TO DOWNLOAD: The Real Facebook - A Portrait in Corruption, Mar. 28, 2013](#)


complete Donna Kline
Now! posts archive.



CODE OF CONDUCT FOR U.S. JUDGES

"CANON 2: A JUDGE SHOULD AVOID IMPROPRIETY AND THE APPEARANCE OF IMPROPRIETY IN ALL ACTIVITIES"

GALLERY OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT



Judge Leonard P. Stark, U.S. District Court of Delaware, trial judge in *Leader Techs, Inc. v. Facebook, Inc.*, 770 F. Supp. 2d 686 (D.Del. 2011). Judge Stark heard his jury foreman admit that the jury made the on-sale bar decision without any evidence other than speculation, and yet he supported that verdict anyway. Just months before trial, Judge Stark allowed Facebook to add the on-sale bar claim after the close of all fact discovery and blocked Leader from preparing its defenses to this new claim. Judge Stark allowed the claims despite Leader's prophetic argument that the action would confuse the jury and prejudice Leader. (Read Leader's May 20, 2010 motion [here](#).) He also permitted the jury to ignore the *Pfaff v. Wells Electronics, Inc.* test for on-sale bar, even after instructing the jury to use it. (See that Jury Instruction No. 4.7 [here](#).) He also contradicted his own instruction to Leader to answer Interrogatory No. 9 in the present tense (2009), then permitted the jury to interpret it as a 2002 admission as well. [See his Sep. 14, 2009 Order](#). Facebook's entire on-sale bar case is based upon this interrogatory. (Editorial: Hardly sufficient to meet the "heavy burden" of the clear and convincing evidence standard.)



Fig. 3—The Real Facebook | A Portrait of Corruption.

CLICK COMMENT BELOW TO JOIN THE CONVERSATION ... OR EMAIL YOUR COMMENT TO AMER4INNOV@GMAIL.COM AND WE'LL POST IT.

[CLICK HERE TO DOWNLOAD: 2008 Banking Crisis Timeline - The Fleecing of America](#)

Judge Alan D. Lourie, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, panel judge in *Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc.*, 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Judge Lourie stood to benefit financially from undisclosed holdings in Facebook. See analysis of Judge Lourie's *T. Rowe Price* holdings re. the Facebook IPO. Judge Lourie also failed to apply his own law-test in *Group One v. Hallmark Cards* to



the evidence. After debunking all of Facebook's evidence on appeal, Judge Lourie created new argument in the secrecy of chambers to support Facebook and prevent the on-sale bar verdict from being overturned—a clear breach of constitutional due process.



Judge Kimberly A. Moore, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, panel judge in *Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc.*, 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Judge Moore stood to benefit financially from undisclosed holdings in Facebook. See disclosure of substantial holdings in Facebook and Facebook-related stocks. Judge Moore failed to follow the long-held precedent for testing on-sale bar evidence in *Pfaff v. Wells Electronics, Inc.*—an evident and intentional omission coming from a former patent law professor. After debunking all of Facebook's evidence on appeal, Judge Moore created new argument in the secrecy of chambers to support Facebook and prevent the on-sale bar verdict from being overturned—a clear breach of constitutional due process.



Fig. 4—2008 Banking Crisis Timeline - The Fleecing of America

* * *

Posted by K. Craine at 2:13 PM

+1 Recommend this on Google

18 comments:



Rain Onyourparade March 30, 2013 at 8:48 AM

What is bugging me is why U.S. Attorney PREET(inder) [no kidding] BHARARA, who filed the criminal case against Paul Ceglia did so (a) while the Ceglia v. Zuckerberg civil case was in process, and (b) suspiciously after Ceglia's attorney "dismantled" Facebook's forensic experts and revealed the existence of the 28 Zuckerberg hard drives and the Harvard email archives (evidence that was concealed from Leader Technologies in their case). I had to scratch that itch this morning, and look what I found!

BINGO!

BHARARA WAS AT HARVARD AT THE SAME TIME AS PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA. Obama was there 1988-1991 and Bharara was there 1986-1990.

Then, Bharara worked for GIBSON DUNN LLP (Facebook's firm) from 1993-1996.

That curious connection got me to wondering if there was a connection between THOMAS G. HUNGAR (Facebook's Gibson Dunn LLP appeal counsel in *Leader v. Facebook*) and Bharara.

BINGO!!

Bharara handled a NY Second Circuit appeal case with Gibson Dunn's "W. James Hall" AT THE SAME that Hall was handling another case IN THE SAME COURT court with Thomas G. Hungar.

The Bharara-Hall case was MUHAMMAD V. NY DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS. The Hall-Hungar



Judge Evan J. Wallach, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, member of the three-judge panel in *Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc.*, 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Judge Wallach is not a patent attorney. This begs the question as to why a judge with no knowledge of patent law was assigned to the case. Would anyone ask a dentist to perform brain surgery? The Federal Circuit was specially formed to appoint patent-knowledgeable judges to patent cases. There is no evidence so far in the judicial disclosures that Judge Wallach holds stock in Facebook, although

case was IN RE CHATEAUGAY CORP. This puts Bharara and Hungar in the SAME LAW FIRM rubbing shoulders in the same NY court AT THE SAME TIME, between October 1995 and July 1996. (Non-lawyers should know that these federal court houses are not that large, and the attorneys that work in them all know each other well, if not personally, at least professionally.)

CHA CHING!!!

Present and former GIBSON DUNN attorneys, PREET BHARARA and THOMAS HUNGAR are now engaged in defending Facebook? So who is leading the defenses of ALL THINGS FACEBOOK?

Reliable sources tell me that the U.S. Patent Office response to the recent Leader v. Facebook Freedom of Information Inquiry (into why the USPTO Director's office ordered Leader's patent into a third reexamination after passing on the first two, has invoked EXECUTIVE PRIVILEGE. What????!!

Why is the President of the United States intervening on behalf of Facebook?

Don't believe me on this research? Here's my source material:

<http://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/president-obama-nominates-preet-bharara-tristram-coffin-jenny-durkan-paul-fishman-j>

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Early_life_and_career_of_Barack_Obama

<http://www.mainjustice.com/files/2009/06/bharara.pdf>

BHARARA-HALL: Muhammad v. City of New York Dept. of Corrections, 904 F. Supp. 161 - Dist. Court, SD New York 1995

[http://scholar.google.com/scholar_case?](http://scholar.google.com/scholar_case?case=17053064731312015818&q=Mohammed+v.+City+of+New+York+Dept+of+Corrections,+126+F.3d+119+(2d+Cir.+1997)&hl=en&as_sdt=2,36)

[case=17053064731312015818&q=Mohammed+v.+City+of+New+York+Dept+of+Corrections,+126+F.3d+119+\(2d+Cir.+1997\)&hl=en&as_sdt=2,36](http://scholar.google.com/scholar_case?case=17053064731312015818&q=Mohammed+v.+City+of+New+York+Dept+of+Corrections,+126+F.3d+119+(2d+Cir.+1997)&hl=en&as_sdt=2,36)

HALL-HUNGAR: In re Chateaugay Corp., 89 F. 3d 942 - Court of Appeals, 2nd Circuit 1996

[http://scholar.google.com/scholar_case?](http://scholar.google.com/scholar_case?case=8415956821779936092&q=In+re+Chateaugay+Corp.,+89+F.+3d+942+-+Court+of+Appeals,+2nd+Circuit+1996&hl=en&as_sdt=2,36)

[case=8415956821779936092&q=In+re+Chateaugay+Corp.,+89+F.+3d+942+-+Court+of+Appeals,+2nd+Circuit+1996&hl=en&as_sdt=2,36](http://scholar.google.com/scholar_case?case=8415956821779936092&q=In+re+Chateaugay+Corp.,+89+F.+3d+942+-+Court+of+Appeals,+2nd+Circuit+1996&hl=en&as_sdt=2,36)

I am told that the disclosure of the USPTO FOIA response will be published soon.

Does this corruption go to the top? I sincerely hope not.

[Reply](#)



dave123 March 30, 2013 at 7:23 PM

Venture Capitalist To Join Harvard Corporation

Venture capitalist James W. Breyer was elected to the Harvard Corporation, the University's highest governing body, Harvard announced Monday. He will become the organization's thirteenth member on July 1.

Does this corruption go to the top YEP?

[Reply](#)



steve n amy March 31, 2013 at 9:02 AM

Help me understand something; why would the (President) of the United States have any interest in a Freedom of Information request at the USPTO concerning an inquiry as to the logic behind a third re-examination of a valid U.S. Patent -the same patent that had twice been reaffirmed at the same office? What connection does the White House have in this particular patent anyways? And what is so damning about this patent that the President invokes executive privilege to hide these facts from the American public?

We now know that President Obama was at Harvard (as a foreign student, I might add) the same time Preet Bharara, the U.S. Attorney nominated by Obama, the same man who brought criminal charges against Paul Ceglia. So, after Ceglia's attorneys proved that the previously non-existent 28 Zuckerberg hard drives and Harvard emails actually did exist, they were sealed from public scrutiny.

when he was asked on a motion to disclose potential Facebook holdings and other conflicts of interest, he refused along with the other judges. See Motion to Disclose Conflicts of Interest.

Judge Wallach continued in silence even after Clerk of Court Horbaly failed to provide him with



Dr. Lakshmi Arunachalam's motions (according to his Federal Circuit staffer Valeri White), and yet the Clerk signed an order regarding that motion on Judge Wallach's behalf. See a full analysis of these events at Donna Kline Now! Judge Wallach also failed to police his court's violation of Leader's Fifth and 14th Amendment constitutional right to due process when he participated in the fabrication of new arguments and evidence for Facebook in the secrecy of judge's chambers after he had just invalidated Facebook's sole remaining item of evidence (using disbelieved testimony as ostensible evidence of an opposite). Judge Wallach also failed to police his court when he failed to apply the Supreme Court's Pfaff v. Wells Electronics, Inc. test for on-sale bar evidence, which included even the Federal Circuit's own Group One v. Hallmark Cards, Inc. test—a test which Judge Lourie should have advised Judge Wallach to follow since Judge Lourie helped write that opinion. [Group One test omission analysis.](#)



Clerk of Court Jan Horbaly, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, clerk who signed all the opinions in Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc., 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Clerk Horbaly and his staff obfuscated when the court's ruling was challenged by an amicus curiae brief revealing clear mistakes of law and new evidence. See analysis of the misconduct and misrepresentations within the Federal Circuit Clerk of Court in Leader v. Facebook. Mr. Horbaly failed to disclose his conflicts of interest and close associations with numerous Facebook attorneys and law firms, as well as his close association with one of Facebook's largest shareholders, Microsoft, who is a Director of

Mark Zuckerberg certainly does enjoy cozy relationships with certain Harvard alums. And if Harvard claims to be a higher school of learning, a school where honor and intellect are supposedly at the “forefront” of American, if not Global, superiority, then it would behoove Harvard to put up or shut up over their complicity in the greatest theft and cover up in American history.

I’ve also said this before, but I feel it deserves repeating; when will anyone in Congress grow some brass and subpoena these emails and/or hard drives for examination (or, at least, ensure their survival by making hard copies and other backups for future use)? Time is something that is not on our side here folks. These things do have shelf lives; once they are gone, they are gone -along with any proof that everyone at Harvard, from Zuckerberg and his pimple-faced geek squad, all the way up to, and including, the Big Cheese himself, Barry Sotero (aka President Barack Hussein Obama), that these people knowingly and willfully helped steal, reproduce, profit, extort, bribe, coerce, and cover up this theft of Leader’s U.S. Patent # 7,139,761.

I strongly encourage everyone to keep hounding their elected officials to move on this ASAP!!

[Reply](#)



K. Craine  April 2, 2013 at 11:49 AM

Comment by: Sad and Sickened

Who can get this information to Bob Woodward at THE WASHINGTON POST? This is sickening and depressing that it is really this bad. These goon squad lawyers gotta go. Why haven’t the “good” lawyers stepped up to fix this mess??? Surely they’ve noticed. We pay them to do their jobs MORALLY (RIGHT VS WRONG). I guess that idea is just a con. They have overrun Washington.

[Reply](#)



steve n amy April 2, 2013 at 5:00 PM

Well now, it seems that our Russian (not-so-friendlies) are once again meddling into Facebook affairs. A recent (today, 04/02/2013) posting by Lawless America on Facebook has suggested that, apparently, a bunch of foreigners are blatantly liking their page as to drive up their numbers. They then are using those numbers to try and discredit Lawless. Why?

A little background of Lawless America: They are a Community Page on Facebook. Their founder, Bill Winsdor, is dedicated to exposing corruption, wherever it may be. He has uncovered scores of horror stories, by ordinary Americans, of how our government has wrongly, accused, tried, and convicted innocent people. He has exposed how corrupt courts, officials, and legislators have manipulated the system into their personal gain and/or saving of embarrassment. Sound familiar yet?

Please see the post, below, as it appeared on my Lawless America (Bill Windsor) page:

Lawless America
5 hours ago
FACEBOOK FRAUD CONTINUES.

Last night, www.facebook.com/lawlessamerica was at 46,000 Likes. Today we are at 51,000. Looks like we have picked up 5,000 Russians. I believe someone is getting a bunch of foreigners to Like the page so they can claim our numbers are not legitimate. I have observed a lot of strange Facebook stuff. This page is most definitely being manipulated by someone other than me.

My first obvious question is, “Why would (Russians) want to delegitimize the number of LIKES for Lawless America”? Is there something that they (the Russians) don’t want Americans getting wind of? Could Lawless be on the verge, or have their sights set on, of exposing an even bigger cover up that only a privileged few either know or speak of? Is the media feeling the heat to the point where they almost certainly have to acquiesce?

What better way, and in grand Liberal fashion, to squelch the story by discrediting the source, rather than face the truth head on? I say it’s time to back this courageous outfit, and help them in helping us to expose Facebook’s cabal, and our corrupt judicial system.

[Reply](#)

The Federal Circuit Bar Association where Mr. Horbaly is an ex officio officer.



Additionally, the DC Bar revealed in a written statement that Clerk Horbaly is not licensed to practice law in the District of Columbia. [Editorial: What does that make the Federal Circuit with its location within in a stone’s throw of the White House? A self-governing state?]



Judge Randall R. Rader, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, chief judge responsible for the (mis)conduct of his judges and Clerk of Court in *Leader Techs v. Facebook, Inc.*, 678 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Judge Rader failed to manage his court resulting in a likely situation where his judges never even received briefs that they allegedly ruled on in favor of Facebook. Judge Rader also failed to disclose his conflicting relationships with a Leader principle with whom he may have had deep professional differences during his time at the Senate Judiciary Committee—his former professor of law at George Washington University Law Center, former Leader director Professor James P. Chandler. [See analysis of Judge Rader’s undisclosed conflicts of interest in Leader v. Facebook.](#) Judge Rader also did not stop his



judges from creating new arguments and evidence for Facebook in the secrecy of chambers—after they had debunked all of Facebook’s evidence on appeal, which is a clear breach of constitutional due process.



[Click here to view a Federal Circuit Leader v. Facebook Conflicts of Interest Map.](#)

See “Cover-up In Process At The Federal Circuit?” Donna Kline Now! Sep. 17, 2012.

▼ Replies



K. Craine April 4, 2013 at 3:37 PM

Comment by: Don't look over your shoulder 8-|

I think it is highly probable that these are not 5,000 Russians at all. Remember, these FB goons are masters of misdirection. It would be very easy for a Facebook engineer to generate a spreadsheet with the right columns like NAME, LOCATION, EMAIL, FRIENDS, etc. and then simply import that list into the Facebook program if (s)he has the right authorizations. They're probably all fake accounts. For whatever reason, they want you/us to think they're Russian. Heck, they probably did it from Starbucks here on University Avenue in Palo Alto. Remember, these peoples are criminals who get their jollies by deceiving people for fun and profit.

"Pay no attention to that man behind the curtains."

--The Wizard of Oz... however, these Wizards only rape, pillage and plunder their fellow man.

... then again, maybe they are Russians who took a sudden interest in American judicial corruption. LOL.

Reply



dave123 April 5, 2013 at 3:11 AM

http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2013/04/03/offshore-companies-politicians_n_3008426.html?utm_source=DailyBrief&utm_campaign=040413&utm_medium=email&utm_content=FeaturePhoto&utm_term=Daily%20Brief
Dozens of journalists sifted through millions of leaked records

Tony Merchant, one of Canada's top class-action lawyers, took extra steps to maintain the privacy of a Cook Islands trust that he'd stocked with more than \$1 million in 1998, the documents show.

In a filing to Canadian tax authorities, Merchant checked "no" when asked if he had foreign assets of more than \$100,000 in 1999, court records show.

Tony Merchant KNEW AND KNOWS HOW FACEBOOK WAS STOLEN

Reply



dave123 April 5, 2013 at 4:21 AM

ICIJ's investigation into offshore secrecy

Dozens of journalists sifted through millions of leaked records and thousands of names to see if there name was on the list like Tony Merchant

like to see if Preet Bharara is there: is this why its not on the front page news?? How can we stop it? the Republicans hate regulation the reason to protect their cronies from prosecution; and where is the "Liberal" Media on this

Reply



K. Craine April 8, 2013 at 3:00 PM

Comment by: law blogger

Here's more proof of Judge Kimberly A. Moore's judicial misconduct. Here is one of her coursework for Patent Law I. Look at the case she asked her George Mason University students to read for on-sale bar (102(b)) - PFAFF! That's the very case that she IGNORED in Leader v. Facebook. Naughty, naughty (top of Page 2):

Leader v. Facebook Legal Research
Links

NOTICE: Opinion

This is an opinion blog. Any information contained or linked herein should be independently verified and should be considered the sole opinion of the writer. Free Speech and Freedom of the Press are protected by the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution and other local, state, national and international laws. Therefore, as with all opinion, such opinion should not be relied upon without independent verification.

This site is a not-for-profit effort focused on education, news, investigation of issues in the public interest, and research, and relies on fair use copyright exemptions under 17 U.S.C. 106(a)-117 of the United States Copyright Act, in addition to any and all other related and relevant privileges to which a fair and reasonable person would attribute to this grassroots effort to root out corruption and promote justice. No rights whatsoever to third party content are claimed or implied.

AFi LOGO (with text)



AFi LOGO (no text)



Reply



K. Craine  April 9, 2013 at 7:49 AM

Comment by: bodacious

Isn't it a sad state of affairs in this country when our federal judges - who we are supposed to respect - have to be shamed with such expressions as "naughty, naughty"? I think I'll add SHAME, SHAME... but of course they don't care. They're only lining their pockets and have lost sight of democracy's ideals.

Why aren't the ethical attorneys speaking up? Or, is "ethical" and "attorney" in the same sentence a contradiction? 50% of Washington is attorneys. This IS the problem!!!!

Reply



Rain Onyourparade April 9, 2013 at 3:37 PM

Of course the White House is meddling. When are you people going to give up your silly notions about democracy? They needed the Facebook donations as well as the data. Why would the Constitution stand in the way of their right to maintain power at all costs? Geesh. How else do you think this administration decides how to target Executive Order give aways -- Facebook demographics. It's like crack. Once you're on it, you have to beg, borrow and steal to keep it coming, no matter who you hurt or what laws you break.

Reply



steve n amy April 13, 2013 at 3:44 PM

I just finished listening to Mike McKibben's radio interview...

http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_detailpage&v=YwefAh4PCbE.

I am not surprised that many people calling in to the show were either uninformed and/or dumfounded at the story that they just listened to. I am also not surprised that some people had had endured problems that were similar, perhaps not on as grand a scale.

The thing that I took away most from the whole interview is the same question, over and over, "What can we do?" What you can do is keep talking, keep writing, and keep calling. I have a life; so do elected officials. They no more want to be bothered as you or I with annoying telemarketers. The more they hear from us, the more they are likely to listen (if nothing else, to stop you from annoying them).

I just completed reading "Killing Lincoln", by Bill O'Reilly, and there is a parallel here. As arrogant, flamboyant, and ignominious as John Wilkes Booth was, he was not going to go down alone. The assassination conspiracy was planned flawlessly, albeit executed haphazardly. He (Booth) knew that if he were ever to be captured, or killed, he was sure to implicate as many of his brethren as possible. He did this for two reasons:

1. He used these implications as a way to keep his "brethren" pressing forward and doing the dirty deed, and not back out at the last second.
2. Cowards, ultimately, never want to face the full brunt of repercussions alone.

These Facebook goons are forever and irrevocably tied to one another; if one domino falls, be assured the rest will collapse faster than the words, "I plead the fifth", can be uttered!

Reply



Rain Onyourparade April 15, 2013 at 2:20 PM

Hey folks, look what I just dug up. Hope you add "Thomas J. Kim" to your FOIA inquiries to the U.S. Securities & Exchange Commission. THIS CORRUPTION IS ESPECIALLY SMELLY:

That one-day turnaround SEC waiver that Facebook received on Oct. 14, 2007 is an itch I decided to scratch:

Here's the SEC waiver given to Facebook in ONE-DAY:
<http://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/vpr/08/9999999997-08-043090>

CORRUPTION WATCH LIST

Faces of the Facebook Corruption (PDF) (currently being updated after the Fri. Mar. 7, 2014 Scribd censorship of this document:

Here is the cast of characters in Leader v. Facebook. We encourage you to report their corrupt activities to this site and others, like Lawless America. Feel free to communicate anonymously in any way in which you are most comfortable. The attempt of these people and their organizations to corrupt American justice and commerce cannot be tolerated. Vigilance. We will expose them. See [Congressional Briefings](#) (currently being updated after Scribd censored the documents on Fri. Mar. 7, 2014).

A. Facebook's law firms:

1. Fenwick & West LLP (Facebook securities and patent law firm; former Leader Technologies counsel; attempted an appearance in Leader v. Facebook; did not seek conflicts waiver from Leader prior to representing Facebook)
2. Cooley Godward LLP (Facebook law firm in Leader v. Facebook; McBee Strategic energy stimulus partner; Obama Justice Dept. advisor; former employer to patent judges)
3. Blank & Rome LLP (Facebook law firm in Leader v. Facebook; former employer to patent judges)
4. White & Case LLP (Facebook law firm in Leader v. Facebook; undisclosed former employer to Patent Office Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) officer involved in Leader v. Facebook)
5. Gibson Dunn LLP (Facebook law firm in Leader v. Facebook; undisclosed counsel to the Federal Circuit; undisclosed protégé of Chief Justice John Roberts, Jr.; undisclosed former employer to Preetinder ("Preet") Bharara, U.S. Attorney currently persecuting Paul Ceglia in U.S. v. Ceglia (Ceglia v. Zuckerberg))
6. Orrick Herrington LLP (longtime Facebook law firm and destroyer of evidence for the cabal in Winklevoss v. Zuckerberg and ConnectU v. Facebook)
7. Weil Gotshal LLP (Federal Circuit counsel in Leader v. Facebook; Judge Kimberly A. Moore's undisclosed former client)
8. Latham & Watkins LLP (Facebook Director James W.

I decided to look into the background of THOMAS J. KIM, Office of Chief Counsel, Division of Corporation Finance, USSEC on Oct. 14, 2007

Many more "kinky-dinks"

(1) He approved the Facebook waiver of the 500-shareholder rule in a one-day turnaround on October 14, 2007.

(2) He joined the SEC in 2006.

(3) He was a securities lawyer for GENERAL ELECTRIC before 2006.

(4) He was a partner in *** LATHAM & WATKINS LLP Washington DC *** office in 2003.

(5) LATHAM & WATKINS was chief Washington DC lobbyist for the National Venture Capital Association (NVCA) when ***JAMES W. BREYER, ACCEL PARTNERS LLP*** was NVCA Chairman. James W. Breyer served in various Chairman capacities for the NVCA in 2002-2004.

(6) This is exactly when Leader Technologies' invention were stolen. FENWICK & WEST LLP was both Leader's and James W. Breyer's / Accel Partners LLP counsel.

(7) He clerked for DC Distric Judge Oberdorfer 1995-1996

(8) He graduated from HARVARD LAW SCHOOL in 1995

(9) He edited the the HARVARD LAW REVIEW in 1995 (Barack Obama had edit the REVIEW in 1989)

CONCLUSION: Yet another "Harvard" connection to this corruption. Do they actually have a class in Corruption 101, or is there something in the Boston water? BIGGEST ETHICS QUESTION: Did Thomas J. Kim DISCLOSE his material conflicting associations with James W. Breyer, Fenwick & West LLP and Latham & Watkins LLP before approving the unpredented waiver of the Facebook 500-shareholder rule that opened the floodgates of \$\$\$ billions in dubious Russian funds to purchase private Facebook stock which pumped the Facebook pre-IPO valuation to \$100B?

Taking best that Mr. Kim was a ringer for the Harvard cabal at the SEC.

Gotcha Mr. Kim. Shame. Shame. OK, corruption fighters. Jump on this.

SOURCES:

http://www.pli.edu/Content/Faculty/Thomas_J_Kim/_/N-4oZ1z139c7?t=WDQ2_8AD06&ID=PE562873

Barack Obama was HARVARD LAW REVIEW Editor Mar. 19, 1999.

<http://latimesblogs.latimes.com/thedailymirror/2008/09/barack-obama-ha.html>

http://www.lw.com/upload/pubContent/_pdf/pub945_1.pdf

<http://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases-test/jim-breyer-of-accel-partners-elected-chairman-of-national-venture-capital-association-74019087.html>

http://www.ali-cle.org/doc/frontmatter/TSSA09_fm.pdf

[Reply](#)



K. Craine  April 17, 2013 at 8:24 AM

Comment by: Judicial Corruption

Congratulations to the super sleuths who uncovered this SEC connection to Breyer and the PUMP AND DUMP scheme that we have suspected. If there are any non-corrupt regulators left in Washington D.C. this should be like red meat to a dog. Then again, these are the same "regulators" who ignored Bernie Madoff for almost a decade. And, now we learn that Goldman Sachs was Madoff's banker. Why do all roads lead back to Goldman Sachs and a corrupt SEC? Hmmmmmmmmmmm. Since we are relegated to quoting fairy tales to explain this audacious criminality, I'll quote the Red Queen from Alice in Wonderland: "Off with their heads."

[Reply](#)

Breyer's counsel; Judge Kimberly A. Moore's husband, Matthew J. Moore's new law firm)

9. Federal Circuit Bar Association ("FCBA") (Federal Circuit's bar association; second largest in the U.S.; Facebook's law firms exert much influence in its policy and activity, incl. Fenwick & West LLP, Gibson Dunn LLP, Orrick Herrington LLP, Weil Gotschal LLP; Facebook's large shareholder, Microsoft, is a director; Federal Circuit Clerk of Court Jan Horbaly is an officer; FCBA made an appearance in Leader v. Facebook to oppose the amicus curiae (friend of the court) motion of Dr. Lakshmi Arunachalam, former Director of Network Architecture at Sun Microsystems, in favor of Leader Technologies and objecting to the evident conflicts of interest within the court itself, her motion was denied, the judges refused to disclose their conflicts which we now know include Facebook and Microsoft stocks)


10. DC Bar Association

11. Perkins Coie LLP (Facebook's "rapid response enforcement team;" law firm for Obama's chief counsels, the husband and wife team of Robert F. Bauer and Anita B. Dunn; Bauer was identified on Aug. 1, 2013 as having directed the IRS targeting of the Tea Party)
12. Stroz Friedberg (Facebook's "forensic expert" who manipulated the data in Paul Ceglia v. Mark Zuckerberg, and who first revealed the existence of 28 Zuckerberg hard drives and Harvard emails that they told Leader Technologies in 2009 were "lost")

B. Facebook attorneys & cooperating judges:

13. Gordon K. Davidson (Fenwick; Facebook's securities and patent attorney; Leader Technologies' former attorney)
14. Christopher P. King (sometimes Christopher-Charles King, Fenwick)
15. Theodore B. Olson (Gibson Dunn)
16. Thomas G. Hungar (Gibson Dunn)
17. Eric H. Holder, Jr. (Attorney General, U.S. Dept. of Justice)
18. James Cole (Deputy Attorney General, U.S. Dept. of Justice)
19. Tony West (Associate Attorney General, U.S. Dept. of Justice; 2008 Obama California Campaign Manager)
20. Robert F. Bauer (Obama



K. Craine  April 18, 2013 at 5:39 AM

Comment by: Betty

You folks have introduced me to the concept of "kinky dinks". My definition is parallel events that are possibly linked rather than just mere coincidence. Here's another one:

The Clerk of the US Supreme Court, William Suter announced his retirement just five (5) weeks after Leader filed their Writ of Certiorari on Nov. 30, 2012. If you recall we kept waiting for a Facebook customary reply to such a normally grave challenge to a company. Instead we received more "crickets".

Here's a little timeline:

On August 11, 2012 Dr. Lakshmi Arunachalam filed a disciplinary complaint against the Federal Circuit at the US Supreme Court. It was sent to Clerk Sutter
<http://donnaklinenow.com/disciplinary-complaint-filed-against-the-federal-circuit-at-the-u-s-supreme-court>

According to Dr. A, Suter sent the complaint package back saying they don't conduct lower court discipline at the U.S. Supreme Court, and instructing her to send them to Federal Circuit Clerk of Court Jan Horbaly == one of the subjects of the complaint along with Chief Judge Randall Rader.

On November 30, 2012, the U.S. Supreme Court is petitioned to hear Leader's appeal
<http://www.leader.com/docs/supremecourt.html>

Facebook files no reply.

Then, five (5) weeks later . . .

January 7, 2013 = Suter announces retirement
http://www.supremecourt.gov/publicinfo/press/viewpressreleases.aspx?FileName=pr_01-07-13.html

Kinky dinks? Yeh, right!

[Reply](#)



K. Craine  April 23, 2013 at 12:11 PM

Comment by: law blogger

HAS ANYONE SEEN ANY SEC REPORT ON THE RESULTS OF THIS FACEBOOK INVESTIGATION? Didn't think so.

Page 6, column 2, 1st paragraph: "The SEC had opened an inquiry into the structure of the offering and whether it violated the law because of widespread news coverage."

http://www.hunton.com/files/Publication/41fae5d0-e5f2-4874-8661-36ce0cfd66a6/Presentation/PublicationAttachment/82433900-f23d-4b78-bbd7-3dca3dc3ffdb/Facebook_McKinney_2.11.pdf

Also: Andrew Ross Sorkin, "Goldman Limits Facebook Investment to Foreign Clients," The New York Times' Dealbook (January 17, 2011) (Investment Limited to Foreign Clients Article)

<http://dealbook.nytimes.com/2011/01/17/goldman-limits-facebook-investment-to-foreign-clients/>

[Reply](#)



Rain Onyourparade April 23, 2013 at 2:52 PM

Check this out. On November 18, 2010 SEC Chief Counsel Thomas J. Kim, speaks at an SEC Small Business Forum. Notice how his bio edits out any prior association with Accel Partners and James W. Breyer via his former law firm Latham & Watkins. Well, how convenient.

<http://www.sec.gov/info/smallbus/2010gbforumprogram.pdf>

Thomas J. Kim is the Chief Counsel and an Associate Director of the Division of

Attorney; White House Chief Counsel; directed IRS targeting of the Tea Party; formerly and currently employed by Perkins Coie LLP, Facebook's "rapid response enforcement team;" spouse is Anita B. Dunn)

21. Anita B. Dunn (Obama Attorney; White House Chief Counsel; husband Robert F. Bauer directed IRS targeting of the Tea Party, formerly employed by Perkins Coie LLP, Facebook's "rapid response enforcement team")
22. Mary L. Schapiro (former Chairman, Securities & Exchange Commission (S.E.C.); holds investments in 51 Facebook Club basket funds)
23. James "Jamie" Brigagliano (former Deputy Director of the Division of Trading and Markets at the Securities and Exchange Commission; Mary L. Schapiro's chief lieutenant on "dark pool" rule making)
24. Joseph P. Cutler (Perkins Coie)
25. David P. Chiappetta (Perkins Coie)
26. James R. McCullagh (Perkins Coie)
27. Ramsey M. Al-Salam (Perkins Coie)
28. Grant E. Kinsel (Perkins Coie)
29. Reeve T. Bull (Gibson Dunn)
30. Heidi Keefe (Cooley)
31. Michael G. Rhodes (Cooley; Tesla Motors)
32. Elizabeth Stameshkin (Cooley)
33. Donald K. Stern (Cooley; Justice Dept. advisor)
34. Mark R. Weinstein (Cooley)
35. Jeffrey Norberg (Cooley)
36. Ronald Lemieux (Cooley)
37. Craig W. Clark (Blank Rome)
38. Tom Amis (Cooley / McBee Strategic)
39. Erich Veitenheimer (Cooley / McBee Strategic)
40. Roel Campos (Cooley; former Commissioner of the U.S. Securities & Exchange Commission at the time of the infamous Facebook 12(g) exemption)
41. Lisa T. Simpson (Orrick)
42. Samuel O'Rourke (Facebook; Cooley-directed)
43. Theodore W. Ulyot (Facebook; Cooley-directed)
44. Amber H. Rover, aka Amber L. Hagy aka Amber Hatfield (Weil Gotshal LLP; Judge Kimberly A. Moore's former client)
45. Edward R. Reines (Weil Gotschal)

Corporation Finance at the Securities and Exchange Commission. From 1995 to 1996, Mr. Kim served as a law clerk to the Hon. Louis F. Oberdorfer, U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia. Mr. Kim earned his J.D., magna cum laude, from Harvard Law School, where he was an editor of the Harvard Law Review. He graduated summa cum laude from Yale College with a B.A. in English.

He edits out the early 2000's years at Latham & Watkins. Coincidentally, these are the same years that Yuri Milner edits out of his bio when he worked for Bank Menatep that was caught laundering \$10 billion in Russian mob money and diverting about \$5 billion in IMF funds.

[Reply](#)



steve n amy May 5, 2013 at 8:51 AM

To John Craven, let me first say that Leader v Facebook, and how I might opine on it, has nothing to do with my immortal soul. Your fellow cronies at Facebook may have bought into the Zuckster deity, but as for me, I answer to someone up the food chain a bit. As a personal note, you may want to really get to know Him, for you shall see Him face to face one day; and everything that you have stolen shall be repaid to you in like fashion.

Also, John, this matter is far from over; people with far more power and influence that you could only dream of are immobilizing behind the scenes. There is a reason Mr. Breyer is jumping ship now; he hears the soft, albeit growing, pitter-patter of questions upon questions from investigators to law makers. And the growing knowledge from the media (the ones not bought off...) that this story is morphing into a sicker, far-rooted deeper problem, a problem that Facebook helped create.

Jason Bellini...a.k.a. perhaps....do you really wish that this entire matter had unraveled differently? Had it done so, you would be begging Leader for a job. So, to say otherwise, is just an empty statement (but, I assume, that's what Facebook pays you to state). Of course everyone wishes this had turned out differently; only history will show that there were two warring camps involved -one who did things correctly and were rewarding with nothing, and the other who started off incorrectly (stealing) and continued that wrong path (bribery) and used gained political muscle to garner favors to build up their amassed fortunes (coercion).

To correct a couple of points (Jason), Mike McKibben never set out to invent "social media". His platform was designed for collaborative data flow, so that businesses could readily inter-communicate and share ideas. Boy Blunder turned it into something sophomoric (which is ironic, because he was one when he stole the source code). I would argue that Zuckster built his site because he was a horny, pimple-faced geek looking to score! Yes, Jason and JC, your Zuckster deity did steal that code and was subsequently found guilty of infringing on McKibben's data flow patent. That is fact that is indisputable; those 11 counts of guilty verdict will never go away.

And might I close with a simple question to the both of you...I really don't care who answers? Since team Zuckerberg created "social networking". Since team Zuckerberg revolutionized the planet; an endeavor for which you are so grateful every day. Since Facebook is awesome dude!! Why can't team Zuckerberg get these "social media" ideas patented? Why are there over 500 team Zuckerberg patents waiting on deck at the USPTO? Perhaps the answers lie in "prior art"? U.S. Patent # 7,139,761 is already on the books, preventing Facebook from getting their patents approved. How else can you explain un-needed re-examinations of said patent?

The truth is, boys, you need that patent out of the way; you know it, Facebook knows it, and (as of now) the courts know it.

There does come a time when you have to stare reality in the face...Perhaps team Leader inadvertently created "social media" first?

[Reply](#)

46. Trish Harris (DC Bar Association)
47. Elizabeth A. Herman (DC Bar Association)
48. Elizabeth J. Branda (DC Bar Association)
49. David J. Kappos (former Patent Office Director; former IBM chief intellectual property counsel; ordered unprecedented 3rd reexam of Leader Technologies' patent; Obama political appointee)
50. Preetinder ("Preet") Bharara (U.S. Attorney Ceglia v. Zuckerberg; formerly of Gibson & Dunn LLP; protects Zuckerberg)
51. Thomas J. Kim (SEC Chief Counsel)
52. Anne Krauskopf (SEC Special Sr. Counsel)
53. John G. Roberts, Jr. (Chief Justice, U.S. Supreme Court)
54. Jan Horbaly (Federal Circuit, Clerk of Court)
55. Kimberly A. Moore (Judge, Federal Circuit)
56. Matthew J. Moore (Latham & Watkins LLP; husband of Judge Kimberly A. Moore)
57. Kathryn "Kathy" Ruemmler (Latham & Watkins LLP; White House counsel)
58. Evan J. Wallach (Judge, Federal Circuit)
59. Alan D. Lourie (Judge, Federal Circuit)
60. Randall R. Rader (Chief Judge, Federal Circuit)
61. Terence P. Stewart (Federal Circuit Bar Association)
62. Leonard P. Stark (Judge, Delaware U.S. District Court)
63. Richard J. Arcara (Judge, N.Y. Western District, Ceglia v. Holder et al)
64. Allen R. MacDonald (Administrative Judge, U.S. Patent Office)
65. Stephen C. Siu (Administrative Judge, U.S. Patent Office)
66. Meredith C. Petravick (Administrative Judge, U.S. Patent Office)
67. James C. Payne (U.S. Patent Office)
68. Kathryn Walsh Siehndel (FOIA Counsel, U.S. Patent Office - bio and conflicts log concealed)

C. Facebook puppet masters:

69. President Barack Obama (appointed Leonard P. Stark to the judge's seat in Delaware Federal District Court eight days after Stark's court allowed Facebook to get away with jury

Enter your comment...

Comment as:

Google Accour ▼

Publish

Preview

Newer Post ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● Home ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● Older Post

Subscribe to: [Post Comments \(Atom\)](#)

and court manipulation of an on-sale bar verdict which was attained without a single piece of hard evidence; Barack and Michelle Obama were evidently protecting their 47 million "likes" on Facebook)

70. Lawrence "Larry" Summers (Harvard President who aided Zuckerberg's light-speed rise to prominence with unprecedented Harvard Crimson coverage; Obama bailout chief; Clinton Treasury Secretary; World Bank Chief Economist; "Special Advisor" to Marc Andreessen in Instagram; co-creator of the current Russian robber baron economy; close 20-year relationships with protégés Sheryl Sandberg & Yuri Milner; aided in recommendations that created the Russian robber baron economy—and Yuri Milner/DST/Asmanov's money used to purchase Facebook stock)
71. James W. Breyer, Accel Partners LLP; Facebook director; client of Fenwick & West LLP since the 1990's; apparently received technology from other Fenwick clients that was shuffled to Zuckerberg, incl. Leader Technologies' inventions)
72. David Plouffe; directed Obama's 2008 and 2012 campaigns; a self-described "statistics nerd;" likely directed the activities of the Facebook Club; employed Robert F. Bauer, Perkins Coii LLP in 2000 at the Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee
73. McBee Strategic (one of the main "private" arms responsible for dolling out the billions in Obama "green energy" stimulus funds; partnered with Cooley Godward LLP)
74. Mike Sheehy (Cooley-McBee Strategic principal; former National Security Adviser to House Speaker Nancy Pelosi)
75. Nancy Pelosi (U.S. Congresswoman; appears to be running political cover in the House for Facebook, McBee Strategic, Cooley Godward, Fenwick & West, Breyers, etc.)
76. Harry Reid (U.S. Senator; Judge Evan J. Wallach patron)
77. Thomas J. Kim (SEC, Chief Counsel & Assoc. Director) approved Facebook's 500-shareholder exemption on Oct. 14, 2007, one day after it was submitted by Fenwick & West LLP; Facebook used this exemption to sell \$3 billion insider stock to the Russians Alisher Asmanov, Yuri Milner, DST, Digital Sky, Mail.ru which pumped Facebook's pre-IPO valuation to \$100 billion; another Harvard grad, Kim worked at Latham & Watkins LLP

which was the chief lobbyist for the National Venture Capital Association in 2002-2004 whose Chairman was . . . James W. Beyer, Accel Partners LLP; in other words Breyer and Kim, both Harvard grads, were associated at the time of the Zuckerberg hacking and theft of Leader Technologies' software code)

78. Ping Li (Accel Partners, Zuckerberg handler)
79. Jim Swartz (Accel Partners; Zuckerberg handler)
80. Sheryl K. Sandberg (Facebook, Summers protégé; Facebook director)
81. Yuri Milner (DST aka Digital Sky, Summers protégé; former Bank Menatep executive; Facebook director)
82. Alisher Asmanov (DST aka Digital Sky; Goldman Sachs Moscow partner; [Russian oligarch](#); Friend of the Kremlin; Became the Richest Man in Russia after the Facebook IPO)
83. Marc L. Andreessen (Zuckerberg coach; client of Fenwick and Christopher P. King; Summers' sponsor during Instagram-scam; Facebook director)
84. Peter Thiel (19-year old Zuckerberg coach; PayPal; Facebook director; CEO, Clarion Capital)
85. Clarion Capital (Peter Thiel)
86. Reid G. Hoffman (19-year old Zuckerberg coach; PayPal; LinkedIn; Facebook director)
87. Richard Wolpert (Accel Partners)
88. Robert Ketterson (Fidelity Ventures; Fidelity Equity Partners; Fidelity Ventures Telecommunications & Technology)
89. David Kilpatrick (Business Insider; "The Facebook Effect"; PR cleanse-meister re. Facebook origins)
90. Zynga/Groupon/LinkedIn/Square/Instagram ("Facebook Money/Credits/Bitcoin" feeder companies)
91. Tesla Motors (received \$465 million in Obama stimulus funds and hired Cooley's Michael Rhodes in the seven months before the Leader v. Facebook trial, just before veteran Judge Joseph Farnan made the surprise announcement of his retirement, just six days after Facebook's disastrous Markman Hearing)
92. Solyndra (received \$535 million in Obama stimulus at the recommendation of the Cooley-McBee Strategic "consulting" alliance)
93. BrightSource (received \$1.6 billion in Obama stimulus at the

- recommendation of the Cooley-McBee Strategic "consulting" alliance)
94. John P. Breyer (father of James W. Breyer; founder of IDG Capital Partners - China; coached his son on exploiting Western markets while he quietly built a venture capital business in China for the last 20 years; the real brain behind the Breyer exploitations)
 95. IDG Capital Partners (China) (founded by John P. Breyer, the father of James W. Breyer, Accel Partners; the current launderer of the tens of billions James W has fleeced from the U.S. market from the bailout, stimulus and the "pump & dump" Facebook IPO schemes)
 96. Goldman Sachs (received US bailout funds; then invested with DST in Facebook private stock via Moscow; took Facebook public; locked out American investors from investing)
 97. Morgan Stanley (received US bailout funds; took Facebook public; probably participated in overseas purchases of Facebook private stock before IPO)
 98. State Street Corporation (received U.S. taxpayer bailout monies along with Goldman Sachs and Morgan Stanley; consolidating control of ATM banking networks internationally)
 99. JP Morgan Chase (received U.S. taxpayer bailout monies along with Goldman Sachs, Morgan Stanley and State Street Corporation)
 100. Lloyd Blankfein (Goldman Sachs, CEO)
 101. Jamie Dimon (JP MorganChase, CEO)
 102. Steve Cutler (JP MorganChase, General Counsel)
 103. Rodgin Cohen (JP MorganChase, Outside Counsel; Sullivan Cromwell, LLP)
 104. U.S. Securities & Exchange Commission (granted Fenwick & West's application on behalf of Facebook for an unprecedented exemption to the 500 shareholder rule; opened the floodgates for Goldman Sachs and Morgan Stanley to make a private market in Facebook pre-IPO insider stock; facilitated the influx of billions of dollars from "dubious" sources associated with Russian oligarchs, Alisher Asmanov and Yuri Milner, and the Kremlin; Goldman Sachs is a partner with this Moscow company, Digital Sky Technologies, aka DST, aka Mail.ru)
 105. Jeff Markey (McBee Strategic LLC; allied with Facebook's Cooley Godward Kronish LLP to arrange Obama's green energy funding; arranged \$1.6 billion for failed

- BrightSource and \$535 million for failed Solyndra)
106. Steve McBee (McBee Strategic LLC; allied with Facebook's Cooley Godward Kronish LLP to arrange Obama's green energy funding; arranged \$1.6 billion for failed BrightSource and \$535 million for failed Solyndra)
 107. Michael F. McGowan (Stroz Friedberg; Facebook forensic expert who lied about his knowledge of the contents of the 28 Zuckerberg hard drives and Harvard Email accounts)
 108. Bryan J. Rose (Stroz Friedberg; Facebook forensic expert who lied about his knowledge of the contents of the 28 Zuckerberg hard drives and Harvard Email accounts)
 109. Dr. Saul Greenberg (Facebook's expert witness from the University of Calgary; disingenuously waived his hands and said he would be "wild guessing" about the purpose of a Java "sessionstate" import statement (even Java newbies know it is used for tracking a user while in a web session); in short, Dr. Greeberg lied to the jury, thus discrediting his testimony)
 110. Toni Townes-Whitley (CGI Federal; Michelle Obama's 1985 Princeton classmate; CGI "donated" \$47 million to the Obama campaign; CGI won the no-bid contract to build the www.healthcare.gov Obamacare website; CGI shut off the security features on Obama's reelection donation sites to increase donations)
 111. CGI Federal (US division of a Canadian company; Donated \$47 million to Obama's reelection, then received the no-bid contract to build the ill-fated Obamacare website; Michelle Obama's Princeton classmate, Toni Townes-Whitely, is a Senior Vice President of CGI; the website is replete with social features and links to Facebook)
 112. Kathleen Sebelius (Obama's Secretary of Health & Human Services since 2009 responsible for \$678 million Obamacare implementation; made the decision to hire CGI Federal on a no-bid contract despite the evident conflict of interest with Michelle Obama and \$47 million in Obama campaign donations by CGI; the website is replete with social features and links to Facebook)
 113. Todd Y. Park (White House Chief Technology Officer (CTO); former CTO for Health & Human Services; chief architect of HealthCare.gov; founder, director, CEO, Athenahealth, Inc.; founder, director, CEO, Castlight Health, Inc.)

114. Frank M. Sands, Sr. / Frank M. Sands, Jr. (Founder and CEO, respectively, of Sands Capital Management LLC; failed to file S.E.C. Form SC 13G acquisition reports for Athenahealth, Inc., Baidu, Inc. (ADR) and Facebook stock during 2012; masked the association of Todd Y. Park with Athenahealth, Inc. and Baidu, Inc., and the association of both of those companies with the Facebook IPO fraud)
115. Robin "Handsome Reward" Yangong Li (CEO, Baidu, Inc. (ADR); appointed Jan. 2004, the same month that Mark Zuckerberg obtained Leader Technologies' social networking source code to start Facebook; Robin Y. Li is very likely associated with John P. and James W. Breyer through their Chinese entities, including IDG Capital Partners, IDG-Accel and other variants; Li appointed a junior attorney from Fenwick & West LLP, Palo Alto/Mountain View, namely Parker Zhang, to be his "Head of Patents;" Fenwick & West LLP represented both Leader Technologies, Inc. and Accel Partners LLC in 2002-2003 and had Leader's source code in their files.)
116. Parker Zhang ("Head of Patents" at Baidu, Inc. (ADR), appointed in approx. May 2012; formerly a junior Associate attorney at Fenwick & West LLP; graduate from Michigan Law in 2005)
117. Penny S. Pritzker (Secretary, Department of Commerce; replaced Rebecca M. Blank; holds over \$24 million in Facebook "dark pools" stock, most notably in Goldman Sachs, Morgan Stanley and JPMorgan)
118. Rebecca M. Blank (Secretary, Department of Commerce; oversaw the dubious Leader v. Facebook activities of the Patent Office Director, David J. Kappos, who held over one million dollars in Facebook "dark pools" during the Leader v. Facebook proceedings; Kappos purchased this stock within weeks of his surprise recess appointment by President Obama; Kappos also was formerly employed by IBM, who sold Facebook 750 patents during the Leader v. Facebook proceedings; right before leaving the Patent Office, Kappos also ordered an unprecedented 3rd reexamination of Leader's patent without even identifying claims)
119. Mary L. Schapiro (Chairman, Securities & Exchange Commission; holds 51 Facebook "dark pools" stocks which held stock in Facebook, Baidu and more than a dozen Facebook crony companies; failed to regulate the "dark pools;" failed to disclose her substantial conflict of

interest in regulating the run up to the Facebook IPO)

120. Robert C. Hancock (Chief Compliance Officer, Sands Capital Management, LLC; failed to file S.E.C. Form SC 12G notice of acquisition reports for Athenahealth, Baidu and Facebook during the period of the Facebook IPO in 2012; this conduct masked the conflicts of interest of Todd Y. Park, who was appointed by President Obama to be the U.S. Chief Technology Officer during this same period; Todd Y. Park is/has been founder, director and CEO of both Athenahealth and Castlight Health; Todd Y. Park deeply embedded the software from Athenahealth and Castlight Health into HealthCare.gov when he was CTO at Health & Human Services; none of these conflicts of interest were disclosed; Todd Y. Park's ethics pledges and reports are missing from the Office of Government Ethics)
121. Jonathan Goodman (Chief Counsel, Sands Capital Management, LLC; failed to file S.E.C. Form SC 12G notice of acquisition reports for Athenahealth, Baidu and Facebook during the period of the Facebook IPO in 2012; this conduct masked the conflicts of interest of Todd Y. Park, who was appointed by President Obama to be the U.S. Chief Technology Officer during this same period; Todd Y. Park is/has been founder, director and CEO of both Athenahealth and Castlight Health; Todd Y. Park deeply embedded the software from Athenahealth and Castlight Health into HealthCare.gov when he was CTO at Health & Human Services; none of these conflicts of interest were disclosed; Todd Y. Park's ethics pledges and reports are missing from the Office of Government Ethics; Goodman was formerly employed by Gibson Dunn LLP, Facebook appeals counsel in *Leader v. Facebook*)
122. Trip Adler ("Co-Founder" of Scribd; Harvard contemporaries of Mark Zuckerberg with a dubious origins story, like Zuckerberg's; Scribd held AFI documents for two years, then summarily deleted the entire library without warning on Fri. Mar. 7, 2014; AFI's library contained only public documents and much evidence proving the *Leader v. Facebook* judicial corruption)
123. Jared Friedman ("Co-Founder" of Scribd; Harvard contemporaries of Mark Zuckerberg with a dubious origins story, like Zuckerberg's; Scribd held AFI documents for two years, then summarily deleted the entire library without warning

on Fri. Mar. 7, 2014; AFT's library contained only public documents and much evidence proving the Leader v. Facebook judicial corruption)

D. Facebook boy puppets:

- 124. Mark E. Zuckerberg
- 125. Chris Hughes
- 126. Dustin Moskowitz
- 127. Eduardo Saverin
- 128. Matthew R. Cohler
- 129. Elon Musk

E. Corruption Watch Patent Office Judges:

- 130. Anderson, Gregg
- 131. Best, George
- 132. Bonilla, Jackie W.
- 133. Boucher, Patrick
- 134. Braden, Georgianna W.
- 135. Branch, Gene
- 136. Bisk, Jennifer Bresson
- 137. Bui, Hung H.
- 138. Busch, Justin
- 139. Clements, Matt
- 140. Crumbley, Kit
- 141. Droesch, Kristen
- 142. Elluru, Rama
- 143. Fitzpatrick, Michael
- 144. Gerstenblith, Bart A.
- 145. Giannetti, Thomas L.
- 146. Guest, Rae Lynn
- 147. Hastings, Karen M.
- 148. Hoff, Marc
- 149. Horner, Linda
- 150. Hughes, James R.
- 151. Hume, Larry
- 152. James, Housel
- 153. Jung, Hung J.
- 154. Kamholz, Scott
- 155. Katz, Deborah
- 156. Lucas, Jay
- 157. MacDonald, Allen R. (bio unavailable) – Leader 3rd reexam judge (bio and conflicts log concealed by FOIA)
- 158. Mahaney, Alexandra
- 159. Martin, Brett
- 160. McKone, Dave
- 161. McNamara, Brian
- 162. Medley, Sally
- 163. Moore, Bryan
- 164. Moore, James T – Leader 3rd reexam judge (bio and conflicts log concealed by FOIA)
- 165. Morgan, Jason V.

166. Morrison, John
167. Pak, Chung K.
168. Perry, Glenn J.
169. Petravick, Meredith C. (bio and conflicts log concealed by FOIA) – Leader 3rd reexam judge
170. Pettigrew, Lynne
171. Praiss, Donna
172. Quinn, Miriam
173. Reimers, Annette
174. Saindon, William
175. Scanlon, Patrick
176. Siu, Stephen C. – Leader 3rd reexam judge (bio and conflicts log concealed by FOIA)
177. Smith, James Donald
178. Smith, Neil
179. Snedden, Sheridan
180. Song, Daniel
181. Spahn, Gay Ann
182. Strauss, Mike
183. Timm, Catherine
184. White, Stacey
185. Zecher, Michael

Research Tip:

Type any name or subject in the Google search at the top of this webpage. That will show you any relevant links within the sites that we have been following and investigating in the Leader v. Facebook case. Vigilance everyone! American democracy is at risk.